Enhancement of Working Memory in Aged Monkeys by a Sensitizing Regimen of Dopamine D₁ Receptor Stimulation

Stacy A. Castner^{2,3} and Patricia S. Goldman-Rakic^{1†}

¹Department of Neurobiology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut 06510, ²MIICRO, Inc., Chicago, Illinois 60607, and

³Departments of Psychiatry and Biopharmaceutical Sciences, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60612

A natural consequence of aging is a loss of dopamine function and associated deficits in working memory in both human and nonhuman primates. Specifically, deficiency of D₁ receptor signaling has been implicated in age-related cognitive decline. Here, we report that an intermittent, sensitizing regimen of the D₁ dopamine agonist ABT-431 dramatically enhances working memory performance in aged rhesus monkeys, while either producing impairment or having little effect on performance in young adult monkeys. Importantly, cognitive enhancement in the aged monkeys was still evident for >1 year after cessation of D₁ treatment. Because intermittent exposure to low doses of amphetamine and other stimulants has been shown to enhance responsiveness to subsequent stimulant exposure, our findings suggest that sensitization of D₁ signaling may provide a novel neurobiological mechanism for improving a core cognitive process in conditions in which dopamine function has deteriorated, such as in normal aging and Parkinson's disease.

Key words: spatial working memory; nonhuman primate; D₁ agonist; sensitization; aging; D₁ dopamine receptors

Introduction

A pronounced loss of dopamine function occurs along with the natural aging process and is associated with a decline in short- and long-term memory capacity as well as a diminished quality of life for millions of individuals (Volkow et al., 1998; Bachman and Farde, 2001; Nieoullon, 2002). Dopamine dysfunction is integrally involved in the working memory impairments prominent in Parkinson's disease (Rinne et al., 2000; Cools et al., 2002), schizophrenia (Goldberg et al., 1991; Abi-Dargham et al., 2002), Huntington's chorea (Beckman et al., 1997), and attention deficit disorder (Levy and Farrow, 2001; Nieoullon, 2002), and may even constitute a prodromal sign of Alzheimer's disease (Welsh et al., 1991). Age-related decline in dopamine and working memory are also observed in nonhuman primates and other species (Arnsten et al., 1994; Enborg et al., 1998; El-Ghundi et al., 1999; Sidiqi et al., 1999). A variety of pharmacological strategies used to ameliorate these deficits have met with limited success.

Age-dependent decline in dopamine function encompasses decreases in the density of D₁ and D₂ dopamine receptor protein and mRNA, among a variety of other markers of deficiency (Goldman-Rakic and Brown, 1981; de Keyser et al., 1990; Rinne et al., 1990; Bannon and Whitty, 1997; Wang et al., 1998; Ma et al., 1999; Naoi and Maruyama, 1999; Harada et al., 2002; Henby and Trojanowski, 2003). The decline in D₁ receptors is signifi-

cant, because stimulation of this receptor improves working memory in humans (Davidson et al., 1990; Muller et al., 1998) and dopamine-deficient monkeys (Arnsten et al., 1994; Schneider et al., 1994; Cai and Arnsten, 1997). We recently demonstrated that intermittent D₁ stimulation ameliorates working memory impairments in young adult monkeys in whom D₁ receptors were experimentally downregulated by chronic haloperidol (Lidow and Goldman-Rakic, 1994; Castner et al., 2000). Remarkably, the cognitive improvement induced by this intermittent schedule persisted for >1 year after cessation of treatment. A restricted range for D₁ receptor stimulation has also been found for the expression of spatially tuned delay activity or memory fields, in prefrontal neurons—the physiological underpinning of spatial working memory (Sawaguchi and Goldman-Rakic, 1991; Williams and Goldman-Rakic, 1995). These studies suggest that either too much or too little D₁ stimulation can lead to impairments in spatial working memory (Lidow et al., 1998).

Whereas sensitization is readily produced in rats, monkeys, and humans by psychomotor stimulants such as amphetamine (Robinson and Becker, 1986; Kalivas and Stewart, 1991; Sax and Strakowski, 1998; Castner and Goldman-Rakic, 1999), there is also evidence that it can be induced by intermittent D₁ agonist exposure in rats and monkeys (Pierce et al., 1996; Castner et al., 2000). Moreover, D₁ receptor stimulation has also been implicated in cocaine craving (Giardina and Williams, 2001), and is required for the induction of amphetamine-induced behavioral and neurochemical sensitization (Stewart and Vezina, 1989; Bjijou et al., 1996; Vezina, 1996). Thus, the mechanisms by which intermittent D₁ stimulation restored cognitive function in a chronic haloperidol-induced D₁-downregulated state might be akin to those involved in the process of sensitization to psychomotor stimulants. Therefore, we examine here whether this

Received Aug. 28, 2003; revised Nov. 27, 2003; accepted Dec. 1, 2003.

Funding was provided in part by a grant from the National Institutes of Mental Health (MH44866). We thank Peter Vosler and Heather A. Findlay for their expert technical assistance, and Hoechst Marion Roussel (now Aventis Pharmaceuticals) for their kind gift of ABT-431.

†Deceased, July 31, 2003.

Correspondence should be addressed to Dr. Stacy A. Castner, 322 South Green Street, Suite 202, Chicago, IL 60607. E-mail: scastner@miicro.com or scastner@uic.edu.

DOI:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3987-03.2004

Copyright © 2004 Society for Neuroscience 0270-6474/04/241446-05\$15.00/0

process might be exploited for the treatment of endogenous dopamine deficiency in age-related cognitive decline.

Materials and Methods

Subjects. Cognitive performance was measured throughout the study in four aged (20–30+ years of age) and three young (7–10 years of age) female rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*). Males were not included in the study because of the limited availability of aged males. Monkeys were housed individually and maintained in accordance with Yale Animal Use and Care Committee and federal guidelines for the care of nonhuman primates. All of the monkeys received either a full bowl or a maintenance number of biscuits sufficient to maintain their normal body weight, as well as fruit and peanuts each day. On the basis of the medical histories available for the animals included in the present study, none of the subjects was directly related (i.e., siblings, parents, etc.). It should be noted that three of the four aged monkeys received acute low-dose drug treatments several years before the present study at various times. However, because the animals were all trained to a stable level of baseline performance before repeated D₁ treatment, any previous drug experience in these monkeys should not have influenced the present results.

Spatial delayed-response task. Monkeys were trained to perform the delayed-response task, a test of spatial working memory capacity, in a Wisconsin General Testing Apparatus situated in a sound-attenuated room. In the initial training for this task, the monkey is presented with two spatially displaced wells, and the monkey watches while the experimenter baits one well; the well baited varies in a semirandom order on a trial-by-trial basis. After the well is baited, the wells are then covered with identical plaques, and an opaque screen is lowered between the experimenter and the monkey for a randomized delay (see below). During the delay, the monkey must hold in mind the spatial location of the baited well to respond appropriately and be rewarded. Task difficulty/memory load is increased by either increasing the length of delay or increasing the number of spatially displaced wells (i.e., the spatial resolution). This task involves the use of five delays that are pseudorandomly varied across trials within a given test session. Because there are 20 trials per test session, each of the five delays was tested on four trials. For a given number of wells (e.g., two-well testing board), delay lengths were defined as 0N, 1N, 2N, 3N, and 4N, where N can be increased from 1 to 10 sec. Thus, in the initial training, N = 1 sec, and the five delays used across trials correspond to 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 sec. Once an animal performed at 90% at N = 1, N was increased to 2 until 90% correct performance was achieved, and so forth, until N = 10. If a monkey performed at an average of 90% correct across three consecutive test sessions at N = 10, then the task was made more difficult by adding an additional well, and N was lowered to 1 sec and then increased as described above. This process continued until the animals achieved a stable level of performance as defined by $\sim 70 \pm 2.0\%$ correct across a minimum of 20 consecutive test sessions (see Table 1). Throughout the 3+ years of this study, animals were tested 3–5 d per week as standard in this type of longitudinal study.

D₁ agonist treatment. Once baseline performance was stable at $\sim 70 \pm 2.0\%$ correct across a minimum of 20 consecutive test sessions, monkeys received intermittent treatment with the selective D₁ agonist (–)-trans 9,10-acetoxy-2-propyl-4,5,5a,6,7,11-b-hexahydro-3-thia-5-azacyclopent-1-ena[c]phenanthrene hydrochloride (ABT-431; provided by Hoechst Marion Roussel, now Aventis Pharmaceuticals), which is the diacetyl prodrug of the active metabolite A-86929 to which it is hydrolyzed *in vivo* (Giardina and Williams, 2001). Before injection, ABT-431 was dissolved in nitrogen-percolated, double-distilled, ice-cold water under dark conditions with 0.2% ascorbic acid. Individual aliquots of 1 ml were stored in light-protected containers at -70°C . During the end of the baseline testing period, the D₁ agonist period, and the early part of the post-D₁ agonist period, animals received an intramuscular injection of either drug or vehicle 30 min before testing. This was to ensure that the investigators were blinded to the treatment condition at all of the times, and that the monkeys were acclimated to intramuscular injections before receiving D₁ agonist treatment. No changes in gross motor behavior or alertness were observed in response to either saline or drug injections. Furthermore, no adverse consequences of repeated D₁ or saline treatment were observed in either the aged or young monkeys in the present

study. The full D₁ agonist ABT-431 (0.00001 or 0.0001 mg/kg) was given weight by volume; volume of vehicle in milliliters = body weight in kilograms/10. The doses and dosing regimen used in the present study were based on our previous work with ABT-431, in which the same doses and dosing regimen reversed chronic haloperidol-induced working memory deficits (Castner et al., 2000). For the D₁ agonist period, all of the monkeys received treatment with the D₁ agonist for 5 consecutive days followed by a 2–3 week washout, during which time they received the vehicle alone, and this regimen (i.e., 5 d of D₁ agonist treatment followed by a 2–3 week washout is defined as a block) was repeated four additional times. Monkeys received five consecutive blocks of treatment. Thus, the D₁ agonist period corresponded to ~ 4 months of testing. Note: Monkey TYR became temporarily ill during the D₁ agonist period and therefore did not receive the final two blocks of treatment but was still tested in the post-D₁ agonist period (see Table 1).

Data analysis. Factorial ANOVA with Scheffe *post hoc* comparisons was performed on the percentage (mean $\pm$ SEM) of correct scores for each monkey for each testing period. Regression analysis (Pearson's) on the individual scores was used first to determine the stability of performance during the baseline period for the aged and young groups separately. This was then repeated across all five blocks of the D₁ agonist period and for the post-D₁ agonist period for the young and aged groups separately. The values for the correlation coefficient *r* referred to in Figure 1 were calculated from the average scores of the monkeys in each group, whereas the *r* values in the text refer to the total data for all of the individuals. Two-way ANOVA was used to examine group (aged vs young) $\times$ condition (D₁ agonist blocks 1–5 in chronological order) effects on the percentage of test sessions for which delayed-response performance was significantly above baseline. Three-way ANOVA was also used to examine delay (0N, 1N, 2N, 3N, and 4N) $\times$ group (aged vs young) $\times$ testing condition (baseline; D₁ agonist period; post-D₁ agonist period) effects on the mean number of errors in the task.

Results

The aged and young monkeys all met a criterion of stable baseline performance ($\sim 70 \pm 2\%$ correct) on delayed response for a minimum of 20 consecutive test sessions before D₁ treatment (Fig. 1*a*) (aged, $r = 0.02$; $F_{(1,109)} = 1.84$; $p = 0.18$) (*d*) (young, $r = 0.00002$; $F_{(1,90)} = 0.002$; $p = 0.97$) (note that these *r* values pertain to the individual scores for monkeys in both groups; also see Table 1 for individual mean percent correct $\pm$ SEM). Despite the fact that the aged monkeys tended to make more errors than young monkeys at the longest delays (see Fig. 3*a*), the two groups reached a comparable level of baseline performance (Fig. 1, Table 1). Significant differences in delayed-response performance of young and aged monkeys were observed, however, during the D₁ agonist period. In aged monkeys, D₁ agonist treatment markedly improved cognitive performance (Fig. 1*b*) ($r = 0.25$; $F_{(1,202)} = 66.12$; $p = 4.216 \times 10^{-14}$) (Table 1). Across the five blocks of D₁ treatment, the aged monkeys' performance rose by $20.22 \pm 3.82\%$ compared with their mean baseline performance of $67.85 \pm 0.87\%$ (Table 1). In contrast, the performance of young monkeys either showed no change ($N = 1$) or was significantly impaired ($N = 2$) in response to the same D₁ agonist regimen (Fig. 1*e*) ($r = -0.007$; $F_{(1,129)} = 0.08$; $p = 0.78$) (Table 1). Remarkably, with each subsequent block of D₁ treatment, the aged monkeys showed increasingly extended periods of sustained cognitive improvement that extended into the washout periods between D₁ agonist administrations. By the fifth D₁ block, the aged monkeys exhibited a significantly greater percentage of test sessions in which their performance was above their own baseline performance compared with the young monkeys ($F_{(1,4)} = 15.48$; $p = 0.0007$) (Fig. 2). Indeed, after the fifth and final D₁ agonist block, the performance of the aged monkeys remained improved (up to an average of 83.61 ± 0.68 , or $23.22 \pm 1.63\%$ improvement relative to their baseline performance) for periods of >1

year (Fig. 1c) ($r = 0.002$; $F_{(1,329)} = 1.77$; $p = 0.18$; no significant change in performance over time). In direct contrast, the post-D₁ agonist performance of young monkeys was not significantly different from their baseline performance (mean $\pm$ SEM, $71.47 \pm 4.71\%$ correct during the post-D₁ agonist testing period vs $72.65 \pm 4.41\%$ during the baseline testing period). During the post-D₁ agonist period, the cognitive improvement shown by the two previously D₁-impaired young monkeys (Fig. 1f) could be attributable to the recovery from agonist-induced cognitive deficits.

There was a significant effect of delay across all of the conditions, that is, monkeys in both groups made more errors at longer delays (baseline, $F_{(4,25)} = 23.23$, $p < 0.0001$; D₁ agonist, $F_{(4,25)} = 8.63$, $p = 0.0002$; post-D₁ agonist, $F_{(4,25)} = 4.74$, $p = 0.006$) (Fig. 3). However, no delay-dependent effects of treatment were observed. Delay-dependent effects of age were evident during the D₁ agonist and post-D₁ agonist testing periods. As a group, aged monkeys made significantly fewer errors than did young monkeys at the lowest delays during the D₁ agonist period ($F_{(1,1)} = 12.84$; $p = 0.001$) (Fig. 3b) as well as during the post-D₁ agonist period ($F_{(1,1)} = 7.46$; $p = 0.01$) (c). None of the monkeys showed any change in appetite, motivation, or general activity in response to D₁ agonist treatment.

Discussion

The present findings provide evidence that a short-term, intermittent regimen of D₁ stimulation improves spatial working memory performance in aged monkeys in whom the dopamine system is known to be compromised, but has minimal effects in young adult monkeys with presumed normal dopamine function. This result is consistent with our previous finding that short-term D₁ stimulation ameliorates working memory deficits in a chronic haloperidol-induced D₁-downregulated state (Castner et al., 2000) and with previous findings on the cognitive-enhancing effects of acute D₁ agonist treatment in aged monkeys and other cases of dopamine deficiency, including schizophrenia (Davidson et al., 1990; Arnsten et al., 1994; Schneider et al., 1994; Cai and Arnsten, 1997; Muller et al., 1998; Castner et al., 2000). The present study did not assess the importance of the timing of intermittency per se, but there is reason to hypothesize that this is an important element in the treatment regimen.

The progressive and long-lasting cognitive enhancement evoked by repeated, intermittent treatment with a D₁ agonist in aged monkeys is reminiscent of the development of behavioral sensitization (Robinson and Becker, 1986; Kalivas and Stewart, 1991; Sax and Strakowski, 1998; Castner and Goldman-Rakic, 1999). However, as demonstrated by the lack of an observable long-term effect of D₁ treatment in young monkeys, it appears that sensitization to a selective D₁ agonist may require a dopamine-deficient state such as that which occurs during the natural aging process or during chronic neuroleptic treatment. In

Figure 1. Delayed-response performance across baseline, D₁ agonist, and post-D₁ agonist testing periods in aged and young monkeys. The filled triangles represent the average performance of four aged (a–c) and three young (d–f) monkeys on the spatial working memory task for individual test sessions across baseline, D₁ agonist (all five blocks), and post-D₁ agonist testing periods. Both aged (a) and young (d) monkeys maintained $\sim 70\% \pm 2\%$ correct baseline performance for a minimum of 20 consecutive test sessions before treatment with the D₁ agonist. Whereas the performance of the aged monkeys (b) significantly improved during repeated D₁ agonist treatment, the performance of the young monkeys (e) remained unchanged or became impaired. During the post-D₁ agonist testing period, the improved performance of the aged monkeys was sustained (c), and the young monkeys' performance returned to baseline (f). The r values in the graphs are based on the mean performance for all four aged or all three young monkeys on given test sessions within each testing period.

Table 1. Individual delayed-response performance across testing conditions

Monkey	Baseline	D ₁ agonist	Post-D ₁ agonist
Aged			
TYR	66.60 $\pm$ 1.84		82.41 $\pm$ 1.52 [¥]
RAM	70.48 $\pm$ 1.58	80.00 $\pm$ 1.89 [¥]	77.33 $\pm$ 1.57 [¥]
SYB	69.32 $\pm$ 1.84	90.58 $\pm$ 1.74 [¥]	93.82 $\pm$ 0.95 [¥]
AGA	65.00 $\pm$ 1.71	75.71 $\pm$ 2.22 [¥]	80.86 $\pm$ 1.27 ^{¥*}
Young			
JOA	69.60 $\pm$ 2.62	70.39 $\pm$ 1.64	68.90 $\pm$ 2.90
MAR	76.00 $\pm$ 2.00	69.00 $\pm$ 2.00 [¥]	71.00 $\pm$ 1.99
ISL	72.35 $\pm$ 2.50	63.43 $\pm$ 3.31 [¥]	74.50 $\pm$ 3.14 [*]

Shown are the mean $\pm$ SEM percentage correct for four aged and three young monkeys across baseline, the last two blocks of D₁ agonist treatment, and the post-D₁ period. Symbols indicate that performance under a particular condition differs significantly from another condition at an α -level of 0.05 as indicated by factorial ANOVA with Scheffé *post hoc* comparisons ([¥]significant versus baseline; ^{*}significant versus D₁ agonist). Note: The blank space for monkey TYR under the D₁ agonist column reflects the fact that this monkey did not receive the final two blocks of treatment during the D₁ agonist testing period because of temporary illness. It is of note, however, that despite the abbreviated D₁ regimen in this ≥ 30 -year-old monkey, significant improvement in spatial working memory was observed during the post-D₁ agonist testing period.

fact, previous studies have shown that repeated D₁ agonist exposure induces behavioral sensitization in 6-hydroxydopamine-lesioned animals, in which it has been postulated that a functional uncoupling of D₁ and D₂ receptors underlies this effect (Criswell et al., 1989, 1990). Whether such uncoupling occurs in response to age-related dopamine decline remains to be determined. In addition to age-related cognitive decline, this therapeutic D₁ regimen has potential relevance for the treatment of cognitive deficits in other dopamine dysfunctional states such as schizophrenia, Parkinson's disease, and attention deficit disorder.

Figure 2. The percentage of days that delayed-response performance was significantly above baseline during the D₁ agonist period. For young monkeys (a) and aged monkeys (b), the percentage (mean $\pm$ SEM) of test sessions in which performance was significantly above baseline for each of the five treatment blocks is shown. On the x-axis, the five D₁ agonist blocks (each block corresponded to 5 d of D₁ treatment and a 2–3 week washout period) are chronologically labeled 1–5. The average performance of the aged monkeys was significantly improved by the fifth D₁ agonist block, whereas, as a group, the young monkeys actually showed a decline in performance, as evidenced by the fact that they performed above baseline on only <20% of test sessions for this block. *The performance of the young and aged monkeys differed significantly from one another during the fifth treatment block at an α -level of 0.05, as measured by two-way ANOVA with Scheffe *post hoc* comparisons. Error bars denote SEM.

Figure 3. The mean number of errors for aged and young monkeys across delays during baseline, D₁ agonist, and post-D₁ agonist testing periods. Shown are the mean number of errors $\pm$ SEM (error bars) for aged (open squares) and young (open circles) monkeys across the five different delays (N ranging from 1 to 10 sec) during baseline (a), D₁ agonist (b), and post-D₁ agonist (c) testing periods. There was a significant effect of delay across all of the conditions for both aged and young monkeys, that is, both groups of monkeys made significantly more errors at longer delays. As a group, aged monkeys made significantly fewer errors than did young monkeys at the lowest delays and compared with their own baseline performance during the D₁ agonist (b) and post-D₁ agonist testing periods (c).

The sustained enhancement in the cognitive function of aged monkeys suggests that intermittent treatment with a D₁ agonist may induce fundamental alterations in the functional circuitry that underlies working memory. These long-term changes may involve permanent alterations in the D₁ signal transduction pathway. In aging, there is a significant reduction in striatum and frontal cortex in the ability of dopamine to stimulate cAMP (Puri and Volicer, 1977; Amenta et al., 1990). Given that cAMP production is elevated after repeated administration of psychomotor stimulants, such as amphetamine and cocaine, it is conceivable that enhancement of cAMP may contribute to the enduring cognitive enhancement observed in the aged monkeys in the present study. Furthermore, there is evidence that inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate and calcium signaling decline as a function of aging in the rodent brain (Sandhu et al., 2001), which raises the question as to whether D₁ facilitation of this signaling via calycon, a D₁-interacting protein (Lezcano et al., 2000), is also downregulated in aging. Thus, one could hypothesize that the enduring cognitive improvement in the aged monkeys might be, at least in part, attributable to a D₁-induced upregulation of signal transduction in this pathway. Morphological changes could also be

involved. For example, there is evidence that repeated treatment with indirect dopamine agonists, such as amphetamine, increases the density of dendritic spines on pyramidal neurons in prefrontal cortex (Robinson and Kolb, 1999). Because D₁ receptors are preferentially localized to spines, it is possible that the improvement in spatial working memory shown by aged monkeys after repeated, intermittent D₁ agonist treatment could actually reflect an upregulation of D₁ receptors. Moreover, repeated stimulation of the D₁ receptor can also lead to an enhancement of D₂ receptor function, and D₂ agonists have also been shown to improve spatial working memory in aged monkeys (Arnsten et al., 1995; Pollock and Yates, 1999). Hence, the potential contribution of enhanced signaling via D₂ receptors to the present findings cannot be ruled out, although ABT-431, which is the prodrug of A-86929, has itself a low affinity at the D₂ receptor (Shiosaki et al., 1996). It remains to be seen, however, to what extent the effects attributable to the repeated systemic administration of the D₁ agonist used in the present study reflect actions through the D₅ versus the D₁ signaling pathway. Thus, for future studies, it will be important to determine the optimal regimen of treatment and the cellular mechanisms by which repeated brief periods of D₁ agonist stimulation lead to an enduring improvement in working memory in aging. These findings are highly significant to the enhancement of cognitive function in an ever-increasing elderly population and may provide the basis for drug development targeted toward this purpose.

References

- Abi-Dargham A, Mawlawi O, Lombardo I, Gil R, Martinez D, Huang Y, Hwang DR, Keilp J, Kochan L, Van Heertum R, Gorman JM, Laruelle M (2002) Prefrontal dopamine D₁ receptors and working memory in schizophrenia. *J Neurosci* 22:3708–3719.
- Amenta F, Cavallotti C, Collier WL, De Michele M, Ricci A (1990) Age-related changes of dopamine sensitive cyclic AMP generation in the rat frontal cortex. *Mech Ageing Dev* 54:63–73.
- Arnsten AFT, Cai JX, Murphy BL, Goldman-Rakic PS (1994) Dopamine D₁ receptor mechanisms in the cognitive performance of young adult and aged monkeys. *Psychopharmacology* 116:143–151.
- Arnsten AFT, Cai JX, Steere JC, Goldman-Rakic PS (1995) Dopamine D₂ receptor mechanisms contribute to age-related cognitive decline: the effects of quinpirole on memory and motor performance in monkeys. *J Neurosci* 15:3429–3439.
- Bachman L, Farde L (2001) Dopamine and cognitive functioning: brain imaging findings in Huntington's disease and normal aging. *Scand J Psychol* 42:287–296.
- Bannon MJ, Whitty CJ (1997) Age-related and regional differences in dopamine transporter RNA expression in human midbrain. *Neurology* 48:969–977.
- Beckman I, Robins-Wahlin TB, Lundin A, Ginovart N, Farde L (1997) Cognitive deficits in Huntington's disease are predicted by dopaminergic PET markers and brain volumes. *Brain* 120:2207–2217.
- Bjijou Y, Stinus L, Le Moal M, Cador M (1996) Evidence for selective involvement of dopamine D₁ receptors of the ventral tegmental area in the behavioral sensitization induced by intra-ventral tegmental area injections of D-amphetamine. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 277:1177–1187.
- Cai JX, Arnsten AFT (1997) Dose-dependent effects of the dopamine D₁ receptor agonists A77636 or SKF81297 on spatial working memory in aged monkeys. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 283:183–189.
- Castner SA, Goldman-Rakic PS (1999) Long-lasting psychotomimetic consequences of repeated low-dose amphetamine exposure in rhesus monkeys. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 20:10–28.
- Castner SA, Williams GV, Goldman-Rakic PS (2000) Reversal of antipsychotic-induced working memory deficits by short-term dopamine D₁ receptor stimulation. *Science* 287:2020–2022.
- Cools R, Stefanova E, Barker RA, Robbins TW, Owen AM (2002) Dopaminergic modulation of high-level cognition in Parkinson's disease: the role of the prefrontal cortex revealed by PET. *Brain* 125:584–594.
- Criswell H, Mueller RA, Breese GR (1989) Priming of D₁-dopamine recep-

- tor responses: long-lasting behavioral supersensitivity to a D₁-dopamine agonist following repeated administration to neonatal 6-OHDA-lesioned rats. *J Neurosci* 9:125–133.
- Criswell H, Mueller RA, Breese GR (1990) Long-term D₁-dopamine receptor sensitization in neonatal 6-OHDA-lesioned rats is blocked by an NMDA antagonist. *Brain Res* 512:284–290.
- Davidson M, Harvey PD, Bergman RL, Powchik P, Kaminsky R, Losonczy MF, Davis KL (1990) Effects of the D-1 SKF-38393 combined with haloperidol in schizophrenic patients. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 47:190–191.
- de Keyser J, de Backer JP, Vauquelin G, Ebinger G (1990) The effect of aging on the D₁ dopamine receptors in human frontal cortex. *Brain Res* 528:308–310.
- El-Ghundi M, Fletcher PJ, Drago J, Sibley DR, O'Dowd BF, George SR (1999) Spatial learning deficit in dopamine D₁ receptor knock-out mice. *Eur J Pharmacol* 383:95–106.
- Enborg ME, Ma SY, Mufson EJ, Levey AI, Taylor MD, Brown WD, Holden JE, Kordower JH (1998) Age-related declines in nigral neuronal function correlate with motor impairments in rhesus monkeys. *J Comp Neurol* 401:253–265.
- Giardina WJ, Williams M (2001) Adrogolide HCl (ABT-431; DAS-431), a prodrug of the dopamine D₁ receptor agonist, A-86929: preclinical pharmacology and clinical data. *CNS Drug Rev* 7:305–316.
- Goldberg TE, Bigelow LB, Weinberger DR, Daniel DG, Kleinman JE (1991) Cognitive and behavioral effects of the coadministration of dextroamphetamine and haloperidol in schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 148:78–84.
- Goldman-Rakic PS, Brown RM (1981) Regional changes in monoamines in cerebral cortex and subcortical structures of aging rhesus monkeys. *Neuroscience* 6:117–187.
- Harada N, Nishiyama S, Satoh K, Fukumoto D, Kakiuchi T, Tsukada H (2002) Age-related changes in the striatal dopamine system in the living brain: a multiparametric study in conscious monkeys. *Synapse* 45:38–45.
- Henby SE, Trojanowski JQ (2003) Neuron-specific age-related decreases in dopamine receptor mRNAs. *J Comp Neurol* 456:176–183.
- Kalivas PW, Stewart J (1991) Dopamine transmission in the initiation and expression of drug and stress-induced sensitization of motor activity. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev* 16:223–244.
- Levy F, Farrow M (2001) Working memory in ADHD: prefrontal-parietal connections. *Current Drug Targets* 2:347–352.
- Lezcano N, Mrzljak L, Eubanks S, Levenson R, Goldman-Rakic P, Bergson C (2000) Dual signaling regulated by calycon, a D₁ dopamine receptor interacting protein. *Science* 287:1660–1664.
- Lidow MS, Goldman-Rakic PS (1994) A common action of clozapine, haloperidol, and remoxipride on D₁- and D₂-dopaminergic receptors in the primate cerebral cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 91:4353–4356.
- Lidow MS, Williams GV, Goldman-Rakic PS (1998) The cerebral cortex: a case for a common site of action of antipsychotics. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 19:136–140.
- Ma SY, Ciliax BJ, Stebbins G, Jaffar S, Joyce JN, Cochran EJ, Kordower JH, Mash DC, Levey AI, Mufson EJ (1999) Dopamine transporter-immunoreactive neurons decrease with age in the human substantia nigra. *J Comp Neurol* 409:25–37.
- Muller U, von Cramon Y, Pollman S (1998) D₁- versus D₂-receptor modulation of visuospatial working memory in humans. *J Neurosci* 18:2720–2728.
- Naoi M, Maruyama W (1999) Cell death of dopamine neurons in aging and Parkinson's disease. *Mech Ageing Dev* 111:75–178.
- Nieoullon A (2002) Dopamine and the regulation of cognition and attention. *Prog Neurobiol* 67:53–83.
- Pierce RC, Born B, Adams M, Kalivas PW (1996) Repeated intra-ventral tegmental area administration of SKF-38393 induces behavioral and neurochemical sensitization to a subsequent cocaine challenge. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 278:384–392.
- Pollack AE, Yates TM (1999) Prior D₁ dopamine receptor stimulation is required to prime D₂-mediated striatal Fos expression in 6-hydroxydopamine-lesioned rats. *Neuroscience* 94:505–514.
- Puri SK, Volic L (1977) Effect of aging on cyclic AMP levels and adenylate cyclase and phosphodiesterase activities in the rat corpus striatum. *Mech Ageing Dev* 6:53–58.
- Rinne JO, Lonnberg P, Marjamäki P (1990) Age-dependent decline in human brain dopamine D₁ and D₂ receptors. *Brain Res* 508:349–352.
- Rinne JO, Portin R, Ruottinen H, Nurmi E, Bergman J, Haaparanta M, Solin O (2000) Cognitive impairment and the brain dopaminergic system in Parkinson disease: [¹⁸F]fluorodopa positron emission tomographic study. *Arch Neurol* 57:470–475.
- Robinson TE, Becker JB (1986) Enduring changes in brain and behavior produced by chronic amphetamine administration: a review and valuation of animal models of amphetamine psychosis. *Brain Res* 396:157–198.
- Robinson TE, Kolb B (1999) Alterations in the morphology of dendrites and dendritic spines in the nucleus accumbens and prefrontal cortex following repeated treatment with amphetamine and cocaine. *Eur J Neurosci* 11:1598–1604.
- Sandhu SK, Bhardwaj SK, Sharma P, Kaur G (2001) Alterations in signal transduction cascade in young and adult rat brain and lymphocytes. *Brain Res Bull* 54:513–520.
- Sawaguchi T, Goldman-Rakic PS (1991) D₁ dopamine receptors in prefrontal cortex: involvement in working memory. *Science* 251:947–950.
- Sax KW, Strakowski SM (1998) Enhanced behavioral response to repeated D-amphetamine and personality traits in humans. *Biol Psychiatry* 44:1171–1177.
- Schneider JS, Sun ZQ, Roeltgen DP (1994) Effects of dihydroxidine. A full D-1 receptor agonist on delayed response performance in chronic low dose MPTP-treated monkeys. *Brain Res* 663:140–144.
- Shiosaki K, Jenner P, Asin KE, Britton DR, Lin CW, Michaelides M, Smith L, Bianchi B, Didomenico S, Hodges L, Hong Y, Mahan L, Miller T, Nikkel A, Stashko M, Witte D, Williams M (1996) ABT-431: the diacetyl prodrug of A-86929, a potent and selective dopamine D₁ receptor agonist: *in vitro* characterization and effects in animal models of Parkinson's disease. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 276:150–160.
- Siddiqi Z, Kemper TL, Killiany R (1999) Age-related neuronal loss from the substantia nigra-pars compacta and ventral tegmental area in the rhesus monkey. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 58:959–971.
- Stewart J, Vezina P (1989) Microinjections of Sch-22390 into the ventral tegmental area and substantia nigra pars reticulata attenuate the development of sensitization to the locomotor activating effects of systemic amphetamine. *Brain Res* 495:401–406.
- Vezina P (1996) D₁ dopamine receptor activation is necessary for the induction of sensitization by amphetamine in the ventral tegmental area. *J Neurosci* 16:2411–2420.
- Volkow ND, Gur RC, Wang GJ, Fowler JS, Moberg PJ, Ding YS, Hitzemann R, Smith G, Logan J (1998) Association between decline in brain dopamine activity with age and cognitive and motor impairment in healthy individuals. *Am J Psychiatry* 155:344–349.
- Wang Y, Chan GL, Holden JE, Dobko T, Mak E, Schulzer M, Huser JM, Snow BJ, Ruth TJ, Calne DB, Stoessl AJ (1998) Age-dependent decline in dopamine D₁ receptors in human brain: a PET study. *Synapse* 30:56–61.
- Welsh K, Butters N, Hughes J, Mohs R, Heyman A (1991) Detection of abnormal memory decline in mild cases of Alzheimer's disease using CERAD neuropsychological measures. *Arch Neurol* 48:278–281.
- Williams GV, Goldman-Rakic PS (1995) Modulation of memory fields by dopamine D₁ receptors in prefrontal cortex. *Nature* 376:572–575.