**APPL, the Drosophila Member of the APP-Family, Exhibits Differential Trafficking and Processing in CNS Neurons**
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The *Drosophila* Appl gene encodes a transmembrane protein that is expressed exclusively in neurons. Amino acid comparisons show that APPL protein is a member of the amyloid precursor protein (APP)-like family of proteins. Similar to mammalian APP-family proteins, APPL is synthesized as a transmembrane holoprotein and cleaved to release a large secreted amino-terminal domain. Using immunocytochemical methods, we have analyzed the distribution of APPL in the *Drosophila* CNS. Surprisingly, although APPL is present in all neuronal cell bodies, the neuropil shows stereotypic differential distribution. Double-labeling experiments with different neuronal markers were used to distinguish between APPL associated with neuronal processes or extracellular matrix. The distribution of APPL protein produced from transgenes encoding wild-type (APPL), secretion-defective (APPL<sub>sd</sub>), and constitutively secreted (APPL<sup>a</sup>) forms was analyzed in an *Appl*-deficient background to determine which APPL form is associated with different neuropil regions. We found that APPL<sup>a</sup> protein is enriched where APPL immunoreactivity coincides with neuronal processes. In contrast, APPL<sub>sd</sub> preferentially localizes to those parts of the neuropil that show a diffuse APPL signal that rarely colocalizes with processes, and thus seems to be a component of the extracellular matrix. These data indicate that proteolytic cleavage and trafficking of APPL is differentially regulated in different neuronal populations. Through metamorphosis, APPL is especially abundant in growing axons and in areas where synapses are forming. Interestingly, in adult brains, APPL protein is enriched in the mushroom bodies and to a lesser extent in the central complex, structures involved in learning and memory.
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The *Drosophila* APPL protein shares sequence and structural homology with the members of the amyloid precursor protein (APP) family (Rosen et al., 1989). The single mature *Appl* transcript is expressed exclusively in the nervous system in most, and likely all, developing and mature neurons (Luo et al., 1990; Martin-Morris and White, 1990). Mutational analysis indicates that *Appl* is not a vital gene (Luo et al., 1992); however, the behavioral deficits exhibited by mutant flies lacking *Appl* gene (*Appl<sup>−/−</sup>*) imply that APPL protein is necessary for the proper function of the nervous system. Transgenes encoding *Drosophila* APPL protein and a human neural APP isoform are able to provide similar rescue of the behavioral defects displayed by *Appl<sup>−/−</sup>* flies. Similarly, mice with impaired APP function are viable, yet they display functional deficits in behavioral assays (Müller et al., 1994; Zheng et al., 1995). Together with the sequence homology, these data indicate that APPL is a member of a family that is evolutionarily-conserved in domain structure and function; however, the cellular processes in which these proteins engage in the nervous system remain poorly understood.
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Along with APP and APPL, the APP-family of proteins now includes two closely related genes in mammals, APLP1 and APLP2 (Wasco et al., 1992, 1993), one gene in nematodes (Daigle and Li, 1993), and one gene in *Xenopus* (Okado and Okamoto, 1992). APP, APLP1, APLP2, and APPL are all synthesized as membrane-spanning glycoproteins (Kang et al., 1987; Luo et al., 1990; Wasco et al., 1992, 1993), suggesting that they might serve as surface receptors. The finding that APP can associate and activate a G<sub>4</sub> protein through its cytoplasmic domain supports this hypothesis (Nishimoto et al., 1993; Okamoto et al., 1995). These proteins, however, undergo proteolytic cleavage that releases the large soluble ectodomain (Weidemann et al., 1989; Luo et al., 1990; Sisodia et al., 1994; Slunt et al., 1994). *In vitro* experiments have shown that secreted APP displays several trophic activities (Milward et al., 1992; Jin et al., 1994) and can regulate neuronal activity (Barger et al., 1995; Furukawa et al., 1996), supporting the physiological importance of the proteolytic processing.

In this study, we took an immunocytochemical approach to analyze the *in vivo* regulation of APPL processing and trafficking in neurons and to gain insights into the biological significance of APPL holoprotein and secreted forms. A detailed analysis of APPL distribution in the CNS in different developmental stages was performed. In addition, using transgenes encoding mutant APPL proteins that mimic the secreted form as well as the membrane-spanning form, the *in vivo* distribution of the transmembrane and soluble forms was examined. Specifically, we demonstrate that although synthesized in all neurons, neuronal processes and the extracellular neuropil show stereotypic differential distribution of the two APPL forms and that this distribution is dynamic during development. Furthermore, in the adult brain,
APPL is concentrated in the mushroom bodies and to a lesser extent in the central complex, centers involved in associative learning and memory in insects. These findings suggest that transmembrane and secreted APPL forms have their respective roles and reinforce our previous finding that APPL is essential for optimal neuronal system function (Luo et al., 1992).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly strains. Generation of Appl/mutant has been described previously (Luo et al., 1992). In summary, a synthetic interstitial deletion of the central region of Appl gene was created by translocating a duplication containing all of the genes distal to Appl (ysm) to the right arm of the X-chromosome that carried a terminal deletion that extended through Appl locus (Df(1)R15). All autosomal transgenes were kept in Df(1)w background.

Affinity purification of antibodies against APPL. Antiserum from rabbit 952, which was immunized with the secreted form of APPL, was affinity-purified against the baculovirus-secreted APPL protein immobilized on a nitrocellulose filter, following the protocol described in Sambrook et al. (1989). The specificity of the affinity-purified anti-APPL antibody was tested by comparing Canton S and Appl samples in both immunoblots and immunocytochemistry.

DNA constructs for germline transformation. Caspar vector (Pirrotta, 1988) was used as the germline transformation vector. The three constructs used in this study (hsp:Appl1, hsp:Appl2, and hsp:Appl) were made using hsp70 promoter. The construction of hsp:Appl1 and hsp:Appl2 has been described (Luo et al., 1990, 1992). To generate hsp:Appl, oligo-directed mutagenesis [with oligo ggccaccgctaatcgagctt using an oligonucleotide-directed in vitro mutagenesis system (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL) according to the manufacturer’s specification] was performed with cDNA s1 of Appl (Rosen et al., 1989) in Bluescript SK+ as the template. The mutated EcoRI fragment of s1 (Appl) was then inserted into hsp:Appl CDNA (Luo et al., 1990), replacing the wild-type counterpart. The hsp:Appl XhoI fragment was cloned into Caspar, which had been digested with XhoI.

- P-element-mediated germline transformation was performed according to described methods (Spradling and Rubin, 1982). Df(1)w flies were the parental strain for all germline transformations. Flies bearing autosomal homozygous transgenes were used for immunocytochemical analysis. Two independent insertions of each transgene were used in all of the experiments.

- Heat-shock experiments. Male third-instar larvae were collected from the progeny of the cross Applb/b x Appl/s x Df(1)w; hsp:Appl/hsp:Appl. After a 1 hr heat shock at 37°C, larvae were allowed to rest at room temperature (RT) for 2 or 4 hr, and brains were dissected and immunoprocessed for confocal microscopy.

- Adult males of genotype Appl/s; hsp:Appl+ were heat-shocked at 37°C for 30 min, allowed to rest for different times, and frozen on dry ice. For each sample, the same number of frozen heads was collected into Eppendorf tubes and homogenized for immunoblotting experiments. For adult immunohistochemistry, after a resting period of 2–4 hr, heads were cut off and immediately processed for paraffin sectioning.

- Protein extraction, electrophoresis, and immunoholot. Frozen heads were extracted directly in 2× SDS buffer. The sample was boiled for 3 min and spun in the microcentrifuge for 5 min before electrophoretic analysis. All protein gels were 7.5% SDS-PAGE gels with 3% stacking gels, according to standard protocols (Harlow and Lane, 1988). Bio-Rad (Richmond, CA) mini-gel (200 V, 45 min) was used.

- For immunoblotting, gels were electrotransferred onto nitrocellulose with standard procedures (Harlow and Lane, 1988) for 1 hr at 100 V on ice. Immunoreaction with Ab952M (dilution 1:100) was visualized using an ECL Western blot detection system (Amersham) according to the manufacturer’s specifications.

- Confoal microscopy. CNSs were dissected in ice-cold PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 1 hr at RT, washed six times in PBT (0.3% Triton X-100, 0.01% BSA, PBS) for 15 min each, and incubated for 1 hr in PBT with 5% normal goat serum (NGS). After primary antibody incubation at 4°C overnight (rabbit anti-APPL antibody Ab952M; dilution 1:5), samples were washed in PBT 6×15 min and blocked with 5% NGS. A 1:100 dilution of FITC-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary (Cappel) was used to incubate the sample for 4 hr at RT (or 4°C overnight). Samples were washed for another 6×15 min in PBT and mounted in n-propyl gallate medium or processed further for double-labeling experiments. For double-labeling samples were then incubated with either mouse MAb 22C10 (dilution 1:5), mouse monoclonal anti-β-galactosidase (Promega, Madison, WI) (dilution 1:250), or mouse monoclonal anti-Fasciclin III (dilution 1:5). These antibodies were revealed with a rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA) (dilution 1:100).

- Confocal microscopy was performed on Bio-Rad MRC600. The CM program was used throughout. For double-labeling visualization, K1 and K2 filters were used.

- Immunohistochemistry of sections. Cryostat sections of frozen nonfixed flies were cut, allowed to dry, and fixed for 20 min at RT with 4% paraformaldehyde. After 6×5 min washes with PBT, sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-APPL antibody Ab952M diluted 1:250 in PBT. Biotinylated anti-rabbit antibody (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA) (dilution 1:100) was used as secondary antibody, and antigen-antibody complexes were detected using an HRP-conjugated avidin-biotin complex (ABC Dilution 1:100; Vectastain Elite ABC Kit, Vector Labs). Signal was revealed with DAB or VIP (Vector Labs) substrates. After they were rinsed in PBS, sections were dehydrated to xylene and coverslipped.

- To obtain higher signal, paraffin sections were subjected to a modified microwave antigen-retrieval protocol (Sheriff et al., 1994). Briefly, whole flies or heads were fixed in nonalcoholic Bouins overnight at 4°C, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. Sections (8 μm) were mounted onto glass slides, dried overnight at 42°C, deparaffinized in xylene, and rehydrated to water. To enhance antigen detection, all sections on slides were microwave-irradiated at full power in H2O for 10 min, cooled to RT, and transferred to fresh H2O for 5 min. Sections were then washed 6×5 min with PBT and immunoprocessed following the protocol described for frozen sections. Although this method is more sensitive and provides better morphology, the level of immunoreactive signal can vary between different experiments.

- For staging pupal development, white pupae were collected and raised at 25°C and dissected at different intervals thereafter. Under these conditions, the adult stage is reached in ~100 hr.

RESULTS

APPL protein is differentially distributed in the neuropil

APPL protein is present as a 145 kDa transmembrane protein and a 130 kDa soluble protein (Luo et al., 1990). The 145 kDa holoprotein is converted to the 130 kDa secreted form by proteolytic cleavage. An in vivo analysis of the intracellular trafficking and secretion properties of APPL in the neuronal population is informative for the following reasons. First, the biological properties of neurons in terms of APPL protein-sorting can be specific, because neurons are polarized cells where the transport of many proteins to axons and dendrites is regulated precisely. Second, the metabolism of APPL may differ between neurons, depending on their developmental and/or physiological state. Third, the secreted APPL form may localize extracellularly, and the extracellular localization may be indicative of a site of function. To gain insights into the function and intracellular trafficking and secretion properties of APPL, we analyzed the localization of APPL protein within the different functional regions of the nervous system and at a subcellular level. The insect nervous system can be subdivided operationally into three compartments: the cortical layer where neuronal somata reside, the neuropil region where processes from the overlaying cortex and incoming fibers from other centers ramify and make synaptic contacts, and the fiber pathways that connect cortical areas to the neuropil or connect adjacent neuropil regions. The relative distribution of APPL protein in neuronal cell bodies, neuronal processes, and the extracellular matrix can be revealed by analyzing the presence of the protein in these three compartments.

To reveal APPL proteins, we used an affinity-purified polyclonal antibody generated against the amino terminal ectodomain common to both APPL forms (Ab952M; see Materials and Meth-
To test the immunocytochemical specificity of antibody Ab952M, we immunoprocessed CNSs and developing eye disks of Canton S (wild type) (Fig. 1A) and ApplΔ (Fig. 1B) third-instar larvae and analyzed the preparations with confocal microscopy. As shown previously (Luo et al., 1990), in the eye disk only cells posterior to the morphogenetic furrow, where photoreceptors are differentiating, are APPL-immunoreactive. In the CNS, APPL is not detected in regions containing neuroblasts, such as the optic proliferation centers. These observations are consistent with the presence of Appl transcripts in postmitotic neurons (Martin-Morris and White, 1990). Most neurons in the ventral ganglion (VG) and the brain lobes (BL) show similar levels of APPL immunoreactivity. Under the same conditions, no immunoreactive signal was detected in brains from ApplΔ larvae (Fig. 1B). Thus, all the signal revealed with Ab952M is specific to APPL proteins encoded by the Appl gene.

In the neuronal cell bodies, APPL is present in the cytoplasm and concentrated in a punctate pattern (Fig. 1C). This pattern of immunoreactivity is common to all neurons in the ventral ganglion, brain lobes, and photoreceptors, and it resembles the subcellular distribution of APP in mammalian cells (Kuentzel et al., 1993; Allinquant et al., 1994; Caporaso et al., 1994). Similar to what was observed in salivary gland cells in Drosophila (Luo et al., 1990), APPL immunoreactivity is not detected at the cell surface what was observed in salivary gland cells in Drosophila (Luo et al., 1990).

In contrast to the cortex where the punctate staining appeared comparable in all areas of the CNS, APPL immunoreactivity in neuropil areas was not homogeneous. Although some areas of the neuropil had undetectable levels of APPL signal, other regions were intensely stained in a reproducible way (Fig. 1D). Double-labeling experiments using anti-APPL antibody and propidium iodide, which stains nuclei, confirmed that these areas are devoid of nuclei and thus correspond to neuropil regions enriched in neuronal processes (data not shown). Neuropil regions displaying intense APPL signal, however, do not contain higher density of neuronal processes and/or synaptic contacts, as revealed by an antibody against the synaptic protein synaptotagmin (Littleton et al., 1993) or the MAb 22C10 that labels neuronal processes (Fujita et al., 1982) (data not shown). Figure 1D illustrates APPL protein distribution in the neuropil of the CNS; in the ventral ganglion, intense APPL immunoreactivity is observed in the neuropil of the three thoracic neuromeres (t1, t2, and t3) and of the eighth abdominal neuromere (a8; inset). In the rest of the abdominal neuropil, no detectable levels of APPL protein were observed (see inset in Fig. 1D). The immunoreactivity in the intensely stained areas of the ventral ganglion neuropil seems to be qualitatively different from the punctate signal seen in cell bodies, because it has a uniform appearance. In the neuropil of the paired brain lobes, the pattern is more complex, because APPL protein seems to be concentrated in certain neuropil areas as well as along certain fiber pathways (Fig. 1D).

The presence of APPL protein in certain axons is evidenced by the strong APPL immunoreactivity associated with the photoreceptor axons in the eye stalk (es; Fig. 1A). In the CNS, the neuropil contains both axons and dendrites, making it difficult to characterize the relative distribution of APPL in these neuronal compartments. To determine whether APPL is present in dendrites, APPL immunoreactivity was analyzed in sensory neurons of the peripheral nervous system in embryos and leg imaginal disks of third-instar larvae. In these neurons, APPL immunoreactivity concentrates in cell bodies, whereas both dendrites and axons show very weak or no APPL signal (data not shown).
In summary, we have shown that although APPL protein is present in most if not all neuronal cell bodies in the third-instar larval CNS, its neuropil distribution is strikingly different in different areas. In some regions, APPL signal is uniform, as in the optic stalk, APPL protein seems to be associated with the fiber tracks.

**Different APPL forms contribute to APPL immunoreactivity in different areas of the neuropil**

We were interested in analyzing whether APPL immunoreactivity detected in the neuropil was associated with neuronal fibers or with the extracellular matrix. Both possibilities are plausible, because APPL holoprotein is membrane-associated and can be cleaved to yield a secreted form. To answer this question, we double-labeled third-instar larval CNS with Ab952M and antibodies against the following markers for neuronal processes: an epitope recognized by the antibody MAB 22C10 (Fujita et al., 1982); the cytoplasmic β-galactosidase protein expressed under the pan-neural elav promoter (Luo et al., 1994); and the membrane protein Fascin III (Patel et al., 1987). Figure 2 shows two examples of larval brains immunoreacted with Ab952M (green) and MAB 22C10 (red). Similar results were observed when neuronal processes were labeled with anti-β-galactosidase or anti-Fascin III antibodies (data not shown). Based on the degree of association between APPL protein and neuronal processes, we found two opposite situations. In the neuropil of the thoracic neuromeres (Fig. 2E) and in some regions of the central neuropil of brain lobes (Fig. 2B), APPL protein rarely colocalizes with the neuronal markers used in this study. In some of these regions, as is evidenced in Figure 2B (white arrow), APPL immunoreactivity seems to girdle processes. On the other hand, in the eye stalk (open arrow; Fig. 2B) and in the developing neuropil of the optic lobes (Fig. 2D), APPL immunoreactivity is closely associated with processes.

APPL protein associated with neuronal processes might correspond to the membrane-bound holoprotein, whereas APPL that is not associated with processes might be the secreted ectodomain. To analyze how the different forms of APPL protein contribute to APPL immunoreactivity, we made use of transgenic flies that express wild-type APPL or mutant APPL proteins, which mimic the membrane-bound or the secreted forms, in an Appl^sd genetic background. First we studied the heat-shock response of the hsp:Appl^sd transgene (Luo et al., 1992) by heat-shocking adult flies for 30 min and examining the APPL proteins by immunoblot analysis after specified intervals (Fig. 3A). Maximum levels of protein are reached 4 hr after the heat shock. Two forms of APPL^sd protein are generated that show a precursor-product relationship and correspond to the membrane-bound holoprotein (145 kDa) and the secreted (130 kDa) forms. Therefore, consistent with what has been shown previously in S2 cells (Luo et al., 1990), in vivo processing of APPL^sd protein produced from the heat-shock transgene is similar to the processing of endogenous APPL protein. On the contrary, mutant Appl^d flies carrying the hsp:Appl^d transgene (Luo et al., 1992) express a single form of APPL (Fig. 3C) and are unable to generate a secreted protein. The hsp:Appl^d transgene contains a mutated Appl cDNA, in which codon 789 GAA has been changed to generate a translation-stop TAA, so that the encoded protein lacks the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains (see Materials and Methods). Flies carrying this construct in an Appl^d background express only a secreted APPL protein (Fig. 3B). The overall kinetics of expression of APPL^sd and APPL^d is similar to that of the APPL^sd proteins when they are expressed under the influence of heat-shock promoter (Fig. 3A–C).

We compared APPL immunoreactivity in Canton S brains and in brains from Appl^d larvae carrying hsp:Appl^d, hsp:Appl^sd, or hsp:Appl^d transgenes. The antibody Ab952M was used, and larval CNSs were fixed and immunoprocessed 2–4 hr after the heat shock. These preparations were analyzed with confocal microscopy, and the APPL immunoreactivity was compared in the cortex, in the thoracic neuropil, and in the optic lobe neuropil.

Because the differential distribution of APPL in the neuropil could be a consequence of cell-specific differences in the levels of Appl transcription, we first proceeded to characterize APPL immunoreactivity generated from the hsp:Appl^sd transgene. Under these conditions, APPL protein should be produced at similar levels in all neurons, because the heat-shock response is expected to be the same in nearly all cells (Bonner and Pardue, 1976; Lis et al., 1983). In general, APPL immunoreactivity in heat-shocked transgenic brains is lower than in the wild-type brain. In the cortex, neuronal cell bodies show a punctate APPL immunoreactivity (Fig. 4C). In the ventral ganglion, APPL signal in the cortex is quite uniform (Fig. 4D), and although some cells show slightly higher levels of protein, the position of these cells varies from sample to sample and does not correlate with the pattern of immunoreactivity observed in the neuropil. APPL immunoreactivity in the neuropil, however, resembles the pattern observed in wild-type larval CNS, in both the ventral ganglion (Fig. 4A,B) and brain lobes (data not shown). As in the wild type, only the neuropil regions of the three thoracic neuromeres and the eighth abdominal neuromere display positive immunoreactivity (arrowheads, Fig. 4A,B). Thus, in a situation where all neurons produce similar amounts of APPL protein, APPL immunoreactivity in the neuropil still mimics the normal pattern, suggesting that APPL trafficking varies between different neuronal cell types and results in differential distribution of this protein in the neuropil.

Mutant APPL proteins are detected in cell bodies at levels similar to the induced wild-type protein, and they display the characteristic punctate aspect (data not shown); however, in the neuropil the two mutant forms behave differently. In the thoracic neuropil, induced APPL^d protein showed a distribution similar to the induced wild-type APPL protein (compare Fig. 5,A and B). In contrast, APPL^sd induction results in a very low signal in the thoracic neuropil (Fig. 5C), and the residual immunoreactive signal frequently is found along axonal tracts (not shown). The situation in the optic lobe is converse to that in the thoracic and central brain neuromeres (Fig. 5D–F). Although induced wild-type APPL and secretion-defective APPL^sd proteins show enrichment in this structure at levels similar to endogenous APPL, the APPL^sd form is found at very low levels.

In summary, these results show that those regions where APPL seems to be associated with neuronal processes (optic lobes) are the regions where secretion-defective APPL^sd is enriched. Secreted APPL^d, however, concentrates in regions that are rich in the APPL form that is not associated with processes (thoracic neuromeres). These data suggest that APPL is secreted in some areas of the neuropil, whereas in others it remains as a transmembrane protein, indicating that the proteolytic processing of APPL is differentially regulated.

**APPL distribution in the neuropil is developmentally regulated**

The results obtained so far strongly suggest that trafficking and processing of APPL protein is regulated precisely. These differ-
Figure 2. Localization of APPL relative to neuronal processes labeled with MAb 22C10. A, Larval CNS stained with anti-APPL (green) and 22C10 (red) antibodies. Boxed area including eye disk and brain lobe is shown at a higher magnification in B. B, In the eye stalk, APPL immunoreactivity colocalizes with 22C10 epitope, as revealed by the yellow color (open arrow). In the central neuropil of the brain lobe, APPL seems to be surrounding neuronal processes (white arrow). C, Optical section of a larval CNS stained with anti-APPL (green) and 22C10 (red) antibodies. Two boxed areas, comprising the optic lobe (D) in the brain lobe and the second thoracic neuromere (E) in the ventral ganglion, are shown at higher magnification in D and E, respectively. D, A section through the larval optic lobe stained with anti-APPL antibody (a), MAb 22C10 (b), and both antibodies (c). The central semicircular region stained with APPL corresponds to the neuropil of the medulla. Photoreceptor axons are intensely stained with both antibodies (small arrow). The thin arrow points to thick neuronal processes weakly stained with both antibodies. Thin fibers that run over the surface of the optic lobe are 22C10-immunoreactive and show APPL immunoreactivity concentrated in varicosities (arrowhead). E, A section through the second thoracic neuromere labeled with anti-APPL (a), 22C10 (b), and both (c). Notice that APPL immunoreactivity in this neuropil is not associated with 22C10-immunoreactive processes (arrow). In A–E, anterior is to the left. Scale bars: A, C, 50 μm; B, D, E, 25 μm.
ences could be ascribed to the physiological or developmental stage of a specific neuronal population. To gain insights into the biological significance of the regulation of APPL metabolism, we analyzed the distribution of APPL protein during metamorphosis, when the nervous system undergoes dramatic changes, in two regions: the ventral ganglion and the optic lobes. For this study we used both whole-mount preparations and paraffin or cryostat sections stained with anti-APPL antibody Ab952M.

We chose the ventral ganglion as a model to study developmental changes during metamorphosis in APPL distribution because of the simplicity of the pattern of APPL immunoreactivity observed and because the process of remodeling that occurs during metamorphosis has been well characterized in this structure (for review, see Truman et al., 1993). We have previously observed APPL along the axon tracks in the longitudinal and transverse commissures of the ventral cord in the embryo (Luo et al., 1990). In the ventral ganglion of third-instar larval CNS, APPL is no longer discerned along the longitudinal commissures but is concentrated in certain areas of the neuropil (Fig. 1D). During the first 24 hr of metamorphosis, axons and dendrites of larval neurons prune back, and new arborizations are formed. Axonal growth from new adult-specific neurons and from preexisting larval neurons start at ~24 hr after pupariation and is completed by 72 hr. Figure 6 illustrates how APPL immunoreactivity changes during the metamorphosis of the ventral ganglion. Immediately after pupariation (Fig. 6A), APPL distribution in the ventral ganglion resembles that described for the third-instar larval CNS. The most noticeable changes occur during the early stages of metamorphosis. Six hours after pupariation, the neuropil of the thoracic segments shows reduced APPL immunoreactivity compared with the third-instar larval CNS (Fig. 6B). By 12 hr after pupariation, APPL-immunoreactive signal in the thoracic neuropil is lower and comparable to that in the abdominal segments (Fig. 6C). By 48 hr, levels of APPL protein in the neuropil are very low, and isolated immunoreactive varicosities and processes are clearly distinguishable (Fig. 6D). This pattern of APPL immunoreactivity remains unchanged until adult stages (data not shown).

Most of the neurons that form the optic lobes are new adult-specific neurons. Differentiation of these neurons progresses during late larval and pupal stages and has been well characterized (for review, see Meinertzhagen and Hanson, 1993). The first half of metamorphosis is characterized by axonal growth, whereas in
thesecondhalf,synapsesareformed. Theprocessofsynaptogen-
esisseemstocontinueintotheadult. Thus, the optic lobe provides
a well-studied structure for correlating APPL metabolism and
neuronal differentiation. Figure 7 shows APPL immunoreactivity
in a section of the optic lobe in three pupal stages and in the adult.
At 0 hr after pupariation (Fig. 7A), APPL protein is concentrated
in photoreceptor axons within the eye stalk and in the three
neuropils of the optic lobe: lamina (l), medulla (m), and lobula
complex (loc). During development, the optic lobe rotates dor-
sally, and the relative position of the optic neuropil changes. By
25 hr, APPL signal in the optic neuropils remains intense (Fig.
7B). Sixty hours after pupariation, APPL immunoreactivity in the
medulla is arranged in a modular distribution in three layers (Fig.
7C) that are reminiscent of the synaptic layers described in the
adult medulla (Bausenwein and Fischbach, 1992; Bausenwein et
al., 1992). APPL staining in the lamina becomes more intense, and
axons are distinguished clearly (Fig. 7C). In the adult (Fig. 7D),
tense APPL immunoreactivity remains in the lamina neuropil.
APPL signal in the medulla and lobula neuropils is very low and
comparable with the rest of the brain neuropil, except for some
isolated axonal processes observed in the medulla.

We have seen that during metamorphosis, the pattern of APPL
immunoreactivity in the neuropil of the nervous system displays
dynamic changes. In the ventral ganglion, these changes coincide
with the period of axon retraction and outgrowth. During the
major period of synaptogenesis in the optic lobes, the APPL
immunoreactivity pattern in the neuropil resembles the distribu-
tion of the synaptic layers.

In the adult, APPL is concentrated in the
mushroom bodies

Larval CNS contains both fully differentiated and developing
neurons, whereas pupal CNS is composed essentially of develop-
ing neurons. We wanted to study APPL distribution in adult
brains, where all neurons are physiologically mature. APPL im-
munoreactivity was analyzed in frozen and paraffin sections of
adult CNS. The cell bodies of adult neurons display punctate
APPL immunoreactivity. Some isolated cells in the brain (Figs. 7D
and 8B) and thoracic ganglion (not shown) show higher levels of
APPL expression. As shown previously (Fig. 7D), the lamina is
highly stained, and separate processes are detected in the me-
dulla. Interestingly, the highest levels of APPL protein are local-
ized in the neuropil of the mushroom bodies (Fig. 8). Mushroom bodies are a principal site of olfactory information processing and are involved in associative olfactory learning and memory in *Drosophila* (Heisenberg et al., 1985; for reviews, see Davis, 1993; Heisenberg, 1994). They consist of two complex bilaterally symmetrical groups of neurons (Kenyon cells) in the dorsal-posterior brain that receive input predominantly from the antennal lobes. Kenyon cell dendrites form the calyces, whereas their axons extend through the peduncle to the anterior of the brain. There, these axons form three different neuropils: the α lobe, which extends dorsally, and the β and γ lobes, which extend medially. Figure 8 illustrates APPL immunoreactivity in the mushroom bodies. All of the mushroom body axonal neuropils are intensely stained, including the peduncle (*p*), α lobe (Fig. 8A), and β/γ lobes (Fig. 8B). The cell bodies of the Kenyon cells show slightly higher signal than the rest of the cortex. Their dendrites, however, do not appear enriched in APPL protein (Fig. 8C). APPL protein is also detected in the central complex (*cc*; Fig. 8A,B), the major structure of *Drosophila* brain controlling locomotor behavior (Strauss and Heisenberg, 1993) that has been shown to play an important role in learning in *Drosophila* (Heisenberg et al., 1985; Bouhouche et al., 1993; for review, see Heisenberg, 1994). In the rest of the brain neuropil, some isolated processes are highly stained (Fig. 8A).

The concentration of APPL protein detected in the axonal neuropil of the adult mushroom bodies directed our attention to the distribution of APPL in the larval mushroom bodies. As shown in Figure 9A, APPL is found in the neuropil of the larval mushroom bodies, although the relative amount of protein in these structures, differing from what is observed in the adult brain, is comparable to or even lower than the levels of APPL protein detected in other brain and ventral ganglion neuropil areas. Interestingly, the mutant protein APPL<sup>ed</sup> concentrates in the mushroom bodies at much higher levels than the endogenous APPL protein (Fig. 9C), whereas induced wild-type APPL (not shown) and mutant APPL<sup>ed</sup> (Fig. 9B) protein are detected at very low levels in this structure.

In the adult, although a higher rate of synthesis of APPL protein in the Kenyon cells is suggested by the immunohistochemistry (Fig. 8B–D), we were interested in examining whether the differential metabolism of APPL protein could account for part of the selective neuropil staining, as seen in larval brain. For APP, it has been shown that cell activity can affect secretion and distribution of the protein (Nitsch et al., 1993, 1994). Using the same rationale applied to the study of APPL localization in larval brains, we analyzed the distribution of APPL protein expressed under a heat-shock promoter in *App<sup>ed</sup>; hsp:App<sup>ed</sup>/+* adult brains. As shown in Figure 8F, 4 hr after the heat shock, induced wild-type APPL protein is especially concentrated in the axonal neuropil of the mushroom bodies and in the lamina, those areas where endogenous APPL is enriched. As is the case with the endogenous protein, the mushroom body calyces do not show enrichment of induced APPL (Fig. 8E). Similar to what was observed in larvae, mutant APPL<sup>ed</sup> protein induced in adult brains was found enriched in the axonal neuropil of the mushroom bodies and along processes going from the lamina into the medulla (not shown). The staining observed with APPL<sup>ed</sup> in adult brains was quite variable. In the mushroom bodies, APPL<sup>ed</sup> immunoreactivity ranged from no signal to some discernible staining. In no case was enrichment of the signal comparable to wild type observed. Nevertheless the variability in signal precludes any...
conclusions about the distribution of the APPL\textsuperscript{+} form in the adult brain.

In the adult, APPL is concentrated in regions known to mediate behavioral plasticity. It is interesting that APPL is found enriched in the axons but not in the dendrites of the Kenyon cells. Moreover, the cell-type specificity of APPL processing and trafficking is involved in generating its differential distribution in the adult neuropil.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we have performed an immunocytochemical analysis of the distribution of wild-type and mutant APPL proteins in the *Drosophila* CNS. Rescue experiments of the behavioral defects of *Appl\textsuperscript{d}* flies demonstrated the functional homology between APPL and at least one member of the APP-family (Luo et al., 1992). The advantages of using *Drosophila* to gain insights into the function of the APP-family of proteins are the following: (1) neurons in different developmental and physiological states can be examined and compared in the same preparation; (2) neuronal soma and processes are delineated spatially so that the relative distribution of the protein in these subcellular compartments can be examined; (3) it is possible to study APPL wild-type and mutant proteins generated by transgenes expressed under heat-shock induction in an *Appl*\textsuperscript{-}null background; and (4) the *Appl* gene is expressed exclusively in the nervous system and seems not to be alternatively spliced. Thus, the *Appl* gene of *Drosophila* offers a simpler in vivo situation when compared with the complexities encountered with the APP-family in mammals where three distinct but closely related genes with multiple splicing variants have been identified that show broad and overlapping tissue distribution (Kang et al., 1987; Wasco et al., 1992, 1993; Slunt et al., 1994).

**Subcellular localization of APPL proteins**

Because anti-APPL antibody Ab952M recognizes the ectodomain of APPL present in both transmembrane holoprotein and soluble protein, our studies cannot differentiate between the two forms. Therefore, to distinguish between these two forms we have used transgenes that express mutant proteins: APPL\textsuperscript{+}, which lacks the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domain, and APPL\textsuperscript{−}, in which the cleavage site is deleted. We have found that in the neuronal cell body, APPL immunoreactivity is concentrated in punctate structures that resemble the localization of APP in mammalian cells (Kuentzel et al., 1993; Allinquant et al., 1994; Caporaso et al.,...
1994). Previous experiments have shown that cleavage and secretion of APPL and the mammalian APP-family members are similar (Luo et al., 1990, 1992). Moreover, proteolytic processing of APP through the α-secretase pathway is conserved among metazoans, because the protein is processed normally in insect (Ramakrishna et al., 1991; Ramabhadran et al., 1993) and yeast (Hines et al., 1994; Zhang et al., 1994) cells. Thus, APPL-immunoreactive cytoplasmic structures may correspond to at least some of the compartments in which APP has already been found, such as endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi (Palacios et al., 1992; Caporaso et al., 1994).

An intriguing observation is the lack of APPL immunoreactivity at the plasma membrane of neuronal soma. Cell-surface APPL immunoreactivity is not observed even for the transmembrane secretion-defective protein APPL<sup>sd</sup>. One possible explanation is that the protein is inserted at the plasma membrane only after being transported to the axons. Intracellular or cell-surface localization of APPL within axons cannot be resolved with the techniques used in this study, but finding APPL immunoreactivity along axonal tracts in flies expressing only the APPL<sup>sd</sup> protein is consistent with this interpretation. In addition, in cells with a high rate of cleavage and secretion, the molecules that escape proteolytic processing could be degraded rapidly and thus rarely reach the cell surface. Finally, the presence of an internalization signal sequence in the cytoplasmic domain of APP suggests that APPL might be internalized in a way similar to that described for APP (Haass et al., 1992; Yamazaki et al., 1995). Recent studies performed on primary cultured neurons suggest that APP holoprotein can cycle rapidly at the plasma membrane (Allinquant et al., 1994; Yamazaki et al., 1995).

In the mushroom bodies, APPL seems to be transported selectively to the axons but not to the dendrites. Our analysis does not address whether this polarized transport is specific to the Kenyon cells or whether it is a general rule. Other neurons, like the sensory neurons of the embryo and larval disks and the antennal sensory neurons of the adult, show normal levels of APPL protein in the soma, but no enrichment in dendrites or axons (data not shown). Thus, polarized transport of APPL might be regulated selectively in different neuronal populations. Similarly, APLP2 is present in both pre- and postsynaptic compartments in the olfactory bulb, but only in postsynaptic sites in hippocampal and cortical neurons (Thinakaran et al., 1995). On the contrary, APLP1 protein has been localized selectively in the postsynaptic densities (Kim et al., 1995), whereas APP has been shown to be transported anterogradely and retrogradely through the axons (Koo et al., 1990; Sisodia et al., 1993; Yamazaki et al., 1995) and localized in both dendrites and axons (Maslia et al., 1992; Alinquant et al., 1994; Clarris et al., 1995).

Differential trafficking of APPL proteins

APPL-immunoreactive signal can vary dramatically between different axonal pathways and in different neuropil regions, suggesting that there is differential regulation of APPL metabolism in different neurons. We observed differential APPL signal in the neuropil and axonal tracts even when all cells are synthesizing APPL under the heat-shock promoter, which indicates that selective synthesis alone cannot account for the differential APPL distribution. Our data, based on the degree of association of APPL with axonal markers, suggest that APPL immunoreactivity associated with neuropil regions can be attributed to secreted or transmembrane APPL or both, depending on the region analyzed. A mechanism by which regulated cleavage and trafficking results in the differential distribution of APPL is further suggested by the distribution observed with the secreted APPL<sup>+</sup> and secretion-defective APPL<sup><sub>sd</sub></sup> mutant proteins. Although the localization of the APPL mutant proteins is consistent with the interpretations of the double-labeling experiments, an artifactual localization of the mutant proteins cannot be excluded.

Recent studies on APP in epithelial cells have revealed two independent mechanisms that operate in the sorting of surface and secreted APP, respectively: (1) polarized secretion of APP that does not require the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains and (2) polarized sorting of surface APP that depends on signals located in the cytoplasmic domain (De Strooper et al., 1995; Haass et al., 1995). Consistent with this mechanism, APPL<sup>+</sup> protein lacking the cytoplasmic and transmembrane domains accumulates in regions where endogenous APP accumulates, probably as a secreted form, suggesting that the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains are not required for polarized transport to axons and secretion of the soluble form. Similarly, the secretion-defective APPL<sup><sub>sd</sub></sup> is transported to the axons in certain neuronal populations and is enriched in areas where APPL is found associated with neuronal processes. As for APP (Kuentzel et al., 1993; Haass et al., 1995), experiments in Drosophila tissue culture cells suggest the existence of an intracellular proteolytic pathway for APPL (Luo, 1992; Luo et al., 1990). Therefore, although both transmembrane and soluble APP forms co-exist in the neuronal soma, the axon may contain only one of the two forms by means of a selective sorting and transport.

We recognize that cleavage of APPL is also likely to be differ-
Developmental regulation of APPL distribution

The pattern of distribution of APPL during development indicates that the metabolism of APPL protein is regulated dynamically during neuronal differentiation. Heterogeneities in the state of differentiation of neurons can account for at least part of the differential regulation of APPL metabolism seen in larval brains. It is worth mentioning that the distribution of at least one protein, the receptor tyrosine phosphatase DPTP69D, is strikingly similar to APPL in the neuropil of larval ventral ganglion and optic lobes (Desai et al., 1994). The similar distribution of these two proteins could simply reflect the specific biological properties of those neuropil areas; however, it could also be indicative of a functional relationship between these two proteins.

In the optic lobes of late third-instar larvae, when axonal growth is taking place, transmembrane APPL is the predominant form detected. Later, during the major period of synaptogenesis in the optic neuropils, APPL concentrates in layers that resemble those where synapses are forming. Similarly, transmembrane APP is associated preferentially with the rapid elongation of axons (Moya et al., 1994), whereas high levels of secreted APP have been correlated with the major periods of synaptogenesis (Loffler and Huber, 1992; Moya et al., 1994). The dynamic regulation of APPL metabolism during axonogenesis and synaptogenesis suggests a role for Appl function in these developmental processes and could account for the behavioral abnormalities observed in flies deficient for the Appl gene (Luo et al., 1992).

Enrichment of APPL in the mushroom bodies

Finally, in the adult brain, the mushroom bodies show high levels of APPL immunoreactivity. Although not comparable to the levels of protein detected in the mushroom bodies, the central complex also shows enrichment in APPL. These two structures are insect brain centers that are implicated in associative learning and other complex behaviors (Erber et al., 1980; Heisenberg et al., 1994). For example, specific mechanisms that selectively transport the secreted APPL form to the axons would preclude the presence of the holoprotein in those axons. APPL protein, however, is very often found along axonal commissures in the ventral ganglion, where endogenous APPL is never detected. Other mechanisms, such as differential stabilization and internalization, could also contribute to the pattern of APPL distribution.

APPL proteins, we have been able to show differential metabolism of APPL in different neuronal cell types. Moreover, processing and trafficking of APPL is regulated dynamically in differentiating neurons. In adult brains, APPL is enriched in centers responsible for behavioral plasticity in insects. The precise regulation of APPL metabolism supports the importance of Appl function in the Drosophila nervous system and suggests that transmembrane and secreted APPL forms are playing distinct roles and that both may be biologically active. The use of Drosophila offers an ideal system to test specific functions for these two forms.
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