Interkinetic and Migratory Behavior of a Cohort of Neocortical Neurons Arising in the Early Embryonic Murine Cerebral Wall
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Neocortical neuronogenesis occurs in the pseudostratified ventricular epithelium (PVE) where nuclei of proliferative cells undergo interkinetic nuclear movement. A fraction of daughter cells exits the cell cycle as neurons (the quiescent, or Q, fraction), whereas a complementary fraction remains in the cell cycle (the proliferative, or P, fraction). By means of sequential thymidine and bromodeoxyuridine injections in mouse on embryonic day 14, we have monitored the proliferative and postmitotic migratory behaviors of 1 and 2 hr cohorts of PVE cells defined by the injection protocols. Soon after mitosis, the Q fraction partitions into a rapidly exiting (up to 50 μm/hr) subpopulation (Qr) and a more slowly exiting (6 μm/hr) subpopulation (Qs). Qr and Qs are separated as two distributions on exit from the ventricular zone with an interpeak distance of ~40 μm. Cells in Qr and Qs migrate through the intermediate zone with no significant change in the interpeak distance, suggesting that they migrate at approximately the same velocities. The rate of migration increases with ascent through the intermediate zone (average 2–6.4 μm/hr) slowing only transiently on entry into the developing cortex. Within the cortex, Qr and Qs merge to form a single distribution most concentrated over layer V.
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The majority of, and perhaps all, neurons of the neocortex arise in a proliferative pseudostratified ventricular epithelium (PVE), which forms the ventricular lining of the developing cerebral wall (for review, see Takahashi et al., 1995a). The nucleus of a proliferative cell undergoes interkinetic movement as the cell traverses the cell cycle. During M and at the outset of G1, the nucleus is located at the ventricular surface; it then ascends to the outer margin of the epithelium where S phase is initiated. The nucleus then descends toward the ventricle in the course of S and G2 phases (Fig. 1).

After mitosis, a fraction of the postmitotic cells exits the cell cycle (quiescent, or Q, fraction), whereas a complementary fraction re-enters S phase and sustains the proliferative pool (proliferative, or P, fraction) (P = 1 − Q) (Takahashi et al., 1994, 1996). Qr fraction cells exit the epithelium and migrate across the developing cerebral wall to enter the cortex where the earliest formed cells take up positions in the deepest cortical layers. Later formed cells are distributed to progressively more superficial cortical layers (Sidman et al., 1959; Berry and Rogers, 1965; Hicks and D’Amato, 1968; Sidman and Rakic, 1973; Fernandez and Bravo, 1974; Rakic, 1974; Biscontero and Marty, 1975; Caviness, 1982; Luskin and Shatz, 1985; Bayer and Altman, 1991). Neither the appearance of the proliferating cells nor their immediate behavior after their terminal mitosis presages the varied forms (e.g., pyramidal or stellate) and functional attributes (e.g., excitatory or inhibitory, projection, or interneuronal) that will be characteristic of the mature neocortical cells (Sidman et al., 1959; Sidman and Rakic, 1973; Berry and Rogers, 1965; Hicks and D’Amato, 1968; Fernandez and Bravo, 1974; Rakic, 1974; Biscontero and Marty, 1975; Caviness, 1982; Luskin and Shatz, 1985; Bayer and Altman, 1991).

We have previously determined the length of the cell cycle (Tc) and its phases (Takahashi et al., 1992, 1993, 1995a) and the values for Q and P fractions (Takahashi et al., 1994, 1996) in the PVE of the dorsomedial cerebral wall for the entire neuronogenetic interval in mice. These parameters support a neuronogenetic model that predicts the rate of neuron production and the total number of neurons that will be produced. The present analysis was conducted on embryonic day 14 (E14), which is in the course of the seventh and eighth cell cycles (CC7–8) of the total of 11 integer cell cycles that make up the neuronogenetic interval (Takahashi et al., 1995a). By tracking the proliferative and postproliferative behavior of small cohorts of PVE cells defined by sequential injections of two S-phase markers, we estimate the rates of interkinetic nuclear movement of the P fraction and the exit velocities of the Q fraction. We then track the migratory behavior of the Q fraction and afterward its postmitotic fate within the cortex, including an estimate of the relative contributions of cell death and tissue growth to population dilution. The analysis thus chronicles, with high spatial and temporal precision, the principal events of cortical histogenesis for strictly defined cell populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General methods. Procedures involving animals and histological procedures have been presented elsewhere in detail (Takahashi et al., 1992, 1993, 1994), and will be reviewed here only in outline. CD1 mice were maintained on a 12 hr (7:00 A.M. to 7:00 P.M.) light/dark schedule. Conception was ascertained by the presence of a vaginal plug with the day
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Figure 1. Neocortical histogenesis: the sequence of cellular events. Histogenesis is initiated with cell proliferation in the pseudostatified ventricular epithelium (PVE), which is approximately coextensive with the ventricular zone (VZ) lying at the ventricular margin. P fraction cells of the PVE \( P_{\text{VZ}} \) undergo interkinetic nuclear migration as they progress through the G1-to-S-to-G2-to-M phases of the cell cycle. Q fraction cells of the PVE \( Q_{\text{VZ}} \) exit the VZ and migrate through the subventricular zone (SVZ) and intermediate zone (IZ) to the developing cortex (CTX). The earliest formed neurons take up positions in the deepest cortical zone \( \text{PVE} \) through the G1-to-S-to-G2-to-M phases of the cell cycle. The progression of the Q fraction of cells in the course of their migrations to the cortex and, after their migrations are completed, as they become redistributed within the cortex (Figs. 1, 2). The Q fraction at E14 is 0.37 (Takahashi et al., 1994). Thus, it is to be expected that only 37% of the cohort labeled by this protocol will exit the cell cycle. To label a larger contingent of cells that could be followed as they migrate to the cortex, the delay between \(^{3}H\)Tdr and BUdR injections was increased to 2 hr; that is, migrations were followed in a 2 hr rather than a 1 hr cohort. As with animals at the 12.5 hr time point of the 1 hr cohort, for each set of animals for the 2 hr cohorts, an additional four BUdR injections were given to distinguish the Q fraction from the P fraction. The progression of the Q fraction only of the 2 hr cohort is followed from the 12.5 hr time point through staged time points in the course of migrations (24, 36, 48, 60 hr after BUdR exposure at 9:00 A.M. on E14) and into the postmigration interval (postnatal day 4 (P4) and P22) (day of birth, P0).

The analysis was undertaken in a "standard sector" of the dorsomedial cerebral wall corresponding to the posterior medial region of the future first somatosensory representation (Takahashi et al., 1992). For the embryonic time points, where the focus was on the position of nuclei within the ventricular zone (VZ) and while migrating across the cerebral wall, the size of the sector was defined with respect to the ventricular surface, where it was 100 μm in its medial-to-lateral dimension of the coronal section and 4 μm in depth, corresponding to section thickness. For analysis of the postmigratory intracortical positions of labeled nuclei in postnatal animals, the size of the sector was defined with respect to the cortical surface, where again it was 100 μm in its medial-to-lateral dimension of the coronal section and 4 μm in depth, corresponding to section thickness. For analysis of positions of nuclei of cells of both the 1 and 2 hr cohorts, the sectors of the cerebral wall were divided into bins, 10 μm in height. The bins were numbered 1, 2, 3, and so on, from the ventricular surface outward (Takahashi et al., 1992) for the embryonic time points and from the pial surface inward for the postnatal time points. For both 1 hr and 2 hr cohorts, experimental time points are based on analysis of brains of eight animals, four from each of two different litters, and eight nonadjacent sections were analyzed for each brain.

Mathematical analysis. The distribution of cells per bin was computed by first averaging the values obtained from eight nonadjacent sections from single brains and then by averaging the values obtained from eight brains. Then, when necessary, a separation of populations was calculated by using a least-squares fit of two (or more) normal distributions to a bimodal (or multimodal) histogram. This method provided an objective and unbiased estimate of the size and mean position of separate populations, regardless of whether there was overlap in their distributions. All calculations were performed with Microsoft Excel.

RESULTS

The analysis spans an interval beginning in the morning of E14 continuing through P22 and follows a small proportion of the cells that are “born” during the cell cycle that is initiated early on the morning of E14. Figure 3 illustrates the dramatic growth of the...
cerebral wall and the changes in the pattern of its stratification that occur over the first few days of this interval (Takahashi et al., 1995a). At 9:00 A.M. on E14 (the 0 hr time point in this study), the dorsomedial cerebral wall is bilaminate, comprised only of the VZ, corresponding approximately to the PVE, and the overlying primitive plexiform zone (PPZ). In the course of the afternoon on E14, the embryonic cortical strata, molecular layer (ML), cortical plate (CP) and subplate (SP), emerge in the PPZ; concurrently, the intermediate zone (IZ) becomes established between cortical strata and the VZ (Takahashi et al., 1993). Between E15 and E17, the CP increases severalfold in thickness, and toward the end of this period, the VZ regresses and then disappears. By P4, the SP, the deepest cortical stratum, and corresponding at this age to neocortical layers V and VI of the mature cortex, already has begun to acquire the cytoarchitectonic appearance of layers V and VI. The packing density of cells in the outer half of the CP already is less than that in its inner half, anticipating the emergence of neocortical layer IV in the inner half and layers II/III in the outer half of the CP. By P22, all cortical layers have attained their mature cytoarchitectonic features.

The advance in complexity of stratification of the cerebral wall beyond the morning of E14 is paralleled by a dramatic acceler-
tion in its rate of growth (Fig. 3). Thus, the dorsomedial cerebral wall more than doubles in width over the first 24 hr of the experimental interval (9:00 A.M. on E14 through 9:00 A.M. on E15) before its rate of growth slows. Nested within the overall pattern of growth of the cerebral wall are accelerations and subsequent decelerations in the rate of growth of the separate strata. Over the 12 hr after the morning of E14, as the full stratification plan of the cerebral wall is becoming established, growth is dominant in the IZ, which increases twofold in width in this interval. The growth of the IZ then almost ceases on E15, whereas that of the cortical strata advances. The VZ, in the depths of the cerebral wall, already has reached its maximum width by

Figure 3. Strata of the murine dorsomedial cerebral wall during the experimental interval (modified from Takahashi et al., 1995a). One and two hour cohorts of cells were established by sequential \[^{3}H\]TdR- and BUdR-labeling protocols (Fig. 2) on E14, 3 d after the onset of the neuronogenetic interval that extends in mouse from early E11 through early E17 (gray bar at the base of the graph) (Takahashi et al., 1995a). The movements of the cohorts were tracked from E14 through E16 in the course of their migrations (shaded area) and also postnatally at P4 and P22 (day of birth, P0). The heights of the cerebral strata were determined by direct measurements in histological sections (Takahashi et al., 1995a). From E11 through early E14, the cerebral wall is principally the VZ, with narrow overlying primitive plexiform zone (PPZ). On E14, the SVZ, IZ, and cortical strata [subplate (SP), cortical plate (CP), and molecular layer (ML)] replace the PPZ. The ventricular surface corresponds to 0 on the y-axis. The pial surface is the outer limit of the ML. The contours tracing progressive growth of strata were made initially by a least-squares fit to a fourth order curve and then smoothed by eye. Three photomicrographic inserts depict the principal histological features of the dramatic transitions that occur in the cerebral strata over the neuronogenetic interval. Each is taken from a 4-μm-thick coronal section stained immunocytochemically for BUdR and counterstained with basic fuchsin. The embryos had been exposed to BUdR only 30 min before killing so that the distribution of black, BUdR-positive nuclei corresponds to the zone of S phase at each illustrated age. Insert E13 is representative of the cerebral wall when the strata include only VZ and PPZ. A star marks the pia. Insert E15 represents the cerebral wall relatively late in the course of neuronogenesis when the full stratification plan is established, and insert E18 represents the cerebral wall after the neuronogenetic interval is completed. The S-phase zone at E13 and E15 corresponds principally to the outer half of the VZ, although with some S-phase activity in cells of the SPP in the SVZ and IZ at E15. The increase in the width of the cerebral wall between E15 and E18 largely represents increase in width of the cortical strata. By E18, the VZ has become reduced to a simple cuboidal epithelium, and S-phase activity is limited to cuboidal ependymal cells and the SPP. Scale bar, 50 μm.

E15, as growth of overlying strata accelerates. Beyond E16, the VZ becomes reduced in width, and by E18, after exhaustion of the PVE, the VZ is replaced by a simple cuboidal ventricular lining. The subventricular zone (SVZ), on the other hand, follows a growth cycle more like that of the cortical strata, with a phase of acceleration beginning after E14 and continuing until E17–E18.

**Interkinetic nuclear migration**

**Before mitosis**

The 1 hr cohort is defined by exposure to \(^{3}H\)TdR followed in 1 hr by exposure to BUdR. Cells of the cohort, labeled by \(^{3}H\)TdR only, will have exited S phase to enter G2 phase continuously over the hour separating the two injections (see legend for Fig. 2). At the time of the first time point in these experiments, taken 0.5 hr after the BUdR injection, the leading edge of the cohort (1.5 hr after exit from S) will have advanced to prophase (the combined length of G2 and M = 2 hr) (Takahashi et al., 1993). The trailing edge (0.5 hr after exit from S) would be in G2 phase. Appropriately, as shown in Figure 4, at the 0.5 hr time point after the BUdR injection, the nuclei of cells of the cohort are distributed bimodally. As estimated from the histogram, the majority of the nuclei, i.e., 67.5% per sector or 88% of the \(^{3}H\)TdR-only labeled cells (Table 1, rows 2, 3) are concentrated in a narrow zone within the inner half of the VZ (mostly in bins 1 and 2) (Fig. 4). This inner subset corresponds to cells of the PVE in G2 or prophase, which have shifted inward toward the ventricular surface as a result of interkinetic nuclear migration (Fig. 1). A small proportion of the labeled nuclei, i.e., 0.94 per sector or 12% of the total (Table 1, rows 2, 3) are concentrated in a zone that spreads from the outer margin of the VZ into the overlying PPZ (mostly in bins 7–9) (Fig. 4). This outer subset of nuclei belongs to cells of the secondary proliferative population (SPP) (Takahashi et al., 1995b) which, like those of the inner subset, are in G2 phase or prophase. Because \(T_c\) for both PVE and SPP on E14 are identical at ~15 hr, the relative proportions of the labeled nuclei in the PVE and SPP subsets correspond to the relative sizes of the total PVE and SPP populations on E14 (Takahashi et al., 1995b).

**After mitosis**

**Distribution of the 1 hr cohort**

An example of the distribution of the 1 hr cohort at the 6.5 hr time point is shown in Figure 5, and histograms of the distributions of the nuclei of the 1 hr cohort at the 3.5, 5.0, 6.5, and 8.0 hr time points are shown in Figure 6. As at the 0.5 hr time point, the distribution of the labeled nuclei at 3.5 hr time point (trailing edge of the 1 hr cohort 3.5 hr after exit from S or 1.5 hr after mitosis) is bimodal (Fig. 6). The majority of the labeled nuclei are found in the bins near the ventricular surface (inner subset), and the remainder form a broader distribution extending from bin 7–11 (outer subset). The inner subset corresponds to cells of the PVE just as at the 0.5 hr time point. However, unlike the nuclei, which are descending at the 0.5 hr time point, those at 3.5 hr have completed M phase and are ascending across the VZ, either progressing through G1 phase as P or exiting from the VZ as Q fraction cells. Just as at the 0.5 hr time point, the outer subset at 3.5 hr corresponds to the SPP.

At progressively later intervals through the 8.0 hr time point, the distributions of labeled nuclei remain bimodal (Fig. 6). The peak of the inner subset distribution is progressively displaced away from the ventricular surface (Fig. 7). From the mean nuclear position of the inner subset (Table 1), it is estimated that the average rate of ascent of nuclei within the VZ is 0.58 bin/hr, or ~6 \(\mu\)m/hr (10 \(\mu\)m/bin \(\times\) (4.30–1.69 bin)/(8.0–3.5 hr)). However, if one considers the full sequence of shifts in position over the 3.5 to 8.0 hr time points, there appears to be a modest acceleration in velocity over the course of the ascent through the VZ (Fig. 7). Such a pattern of acceleration also was suggested by observations in an earlier analysis (Takahashi et al., 1993).

The position of the peak of the distribution of the outer subset is more stable with a slight upward displacement at the 8.0 hr time point (Fig. 7). As we discuss below in Rapidly Exiting and More Slowly Exiting Subpopulations (Results section), there is a subset of Q fraction cells that exits the VZ (i.e., inner subset) rapidly and

---

**Table 1. Changes in cohort position**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time points</th>
<th>0.5 hr</th>
<th>3.5 hr</th>
<th>5.0 hr</th>
<th>6.5 hr</th>
<th>8.0 hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Mean position of the cells in each subset (bin)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td>10.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Number of cells/sector (average = 9.54)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>9.41</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>9.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Relative size (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>72.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
joins the outer subset. We assume that the slight upward displacement of the outer subset during this interval reflects migration of the rapidly exiting cells.

We have estimated the descending velocity of nuclei during S and G2 phases as follows (see also Takahashi et al., 1993). With interkinetic nuclear migration, nuclei of the PVE undergo S phase in the outer half of the VZ (S-phase zone) (Figs. 1, 4). They descend through the S-phase zone toward the ventricular surface. The width of the S-phase zone at E14 is ~40 μm and T_a at this age is ~4 hr. It follows that for the average nucleus in S phase at E14, it takes ~4 hr to traverse 40 μm. Within only 1 hr of entering G2 phase, the nucleus has crossed the inner 30–40 μm of the VZ to reach the ventricular surface, where it undergoes mitosis. Thus, the mean nuclear velocity is 10 μm/hr in S phase and as much as 40 μm/hr in G2 phase. In M phase, the nucleus is at a standstill, that is, its velocity is 0 (Takahashi et al., 1993).

Relative sizes of the two subsets of the 1 hr cohort
Given that the combined length of G2 and M phases is ~2 hr (Takahashi et al., 1993, 1995a,b), all of the cells in both the inner (PVE) and outer (SPP) subsets of the 1 hr cohort will undergo mitosis between the 0.5 hr and 3.5 hr time points. As expected from this consideration, the relative sizes of the inner (PVE) and outer (SPP) subsets remain unchanged at the 3.5 hr time point (the inner subset, 87.5%; the outer subset, 12.5%). However, there appears to be a reciprocal change in the relative sizes of the two subsets over the 3.5–8.0 hr interval. Thus, the relative size of the outer subset increases from 12.5% at 3.5 hr to 22.8% at 5.0 hr, 26.3% at 6.5 hr, and 27.7% by 8.0 hr (Table 1, row 3). This suggests that cells are shifting from the inner subset within the VZ.
(cells arising from proliferation within the PVE) to the outer subset (at 3.5 hr, the outer subset would have included cells arising within the SPP only). This means that some cells (i.e., ~15% of the total) are moving out of the VZ rapidly as they exit from the PVE.

Sensitivity of detection of postmitotic neurons
We have established previously that the sensitivity of the [3H]TdR method as used here for detection of G2 phase nuclei is ~75% that of the BUdR-based method (Takahashi et al., 1995b). In the present experiments, the premiotic cohort size at 0.5 hr is 7.69 cells, whereas the average postmitotic (at 3.5, 5.0, 6.5, 8.0 hr) cohort size is 9.54 cells (Table 1, row 2). Thus, we observe an apparent increase in the premiotic to postmitotic cohort size of only 1.24 times (9.54/7.69) rather than the expected 2.0 times; that is, the autoradiographic method as used here has an overall sensitivity of ~60% (1.24/2.0) for the detection of change in cohort size as a result of mitosis. The overall sensitivity of the autoradiographic method as used here for detection of postmitotic [3H]TdR-labeled nuclei is, thus, 45% (= 75% × 60%). We are doubtful that cell death contributes substantially to this low level of sensitivity of the autoradiographic method for detecting labeled cells.

A recent study based on staining with ISEL+ estimates cell death in the VZ and across the cerebral wall through E14 to be at least 50–70% (Blaschke et al., 1996). This high rate of cell death would preclude growth of the PVE and also the acceleration in the output of neurons from the PVE over the course of the neuronogenetic interval. That both phenomena occur is incontrovertible (Rakic, 1974; Luskin and Shatz, 1985; Bayer and Altman, 1991). The invariance in the actual numbers of cells of the 2 hr cohort observed here (Table 2) (12.5 hr time point through P4) throughout their migrations into the cortex also is inconsistent with the high estimates of cell death within the cerebral wall (Blaschke et al., 1996). Whatever the true rate of cell death, this factor would not influence our characterization of the exit and migratory behavior of cells of the Q fraction unless it were selective for Qr or Qs (to be characterized), which would seem improbable.

The relative numbers of Q fraction cells of the 2 hr cohort was approximately double that of the 1 hr cohort at 12.5 hr (Fig. 8), indicating that the sensitivity of the autoradiographic method is the same whether applied to the 1 hr or the 2 hr cohort series of experiments. We assume that the drop in sensitivity after mitosis reflects a number of nonstoichiometric properties of autoradiography. For example, a change in the size of the nucleus or an unequal distribution of [3H]TdR to two daughter cells may mean that fewer cells would generate an autoradiographic grain count sufficient to cross a threshold needed for recognition (Windrem and Nowakowski, 1993) (R. Nowakowski, personal communication).

Cell exit from the VZ
The average size of the 1 hr cohort before $T_e - T_s$, that is, before P and Q are separable, is 9.54 whereas the size after $T_e - T_s$ (at 12.5 hr), that is, with only Q included, becomes reduced to 3.56 (Table 2). Thus, the Q fraction for the entire proliferative population (essentially that of the PVE) estimated here is 0.37 (3.56/9.54). This value is essentially identical to (and, hence, corroborates) a previous estimate where injection schedules (both for P + Q and for Q; the animals were sacrificed after $T_e - T_s$) and size of the cohort (2 hr) were different from those of the present study.

### Table 2. Number of cells in the cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>&lt; $T_e - T_s$</th>
<th>12.5 hr</th>
<th>12.5–60 hr</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>P22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original cohort size</td>
<td>1 hr</td>
<td>1 hr</td>
<td>2 hr</td>
<td>2 hr</td>
<td>2 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction included</td>
<td>$P + Q$</td>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>$Q$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of cells/sector ± SEM</td>
<td>9.54 ± 3.56</td>
<td>6.92 ± 0.23</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Takahashi et al., 1994) (unpublished observations). A Q fraction of 0.37 means that after a survival time of 12.5 hr, 63% of the total PVE contingent of the cohort, corresponding to the P fraction, re-enters S phase.

**Rapidly exiting and more slowly exiting subpopulations**

Because the cells of the Q fraction make up ~37% of the total cells in the cohort and ~15% of the total cohort exits the PVE rapidly (as described above), the cells of the Q fraction must exit the PVE as two discrete subpopulations (Fig. 8) (Takahashi et al., 1994). At the 12.5 hr time point, one subpopulation, designated \( Q_r \) (r for rapidly exiting), is in the IZ. The second subpopulation, designated \( Q_s \) (s for slowly exiting), is at the VZ–SVZ border. It is to be noted that the two subpopulations, \( Q_r \) and \( Q_s \), do not correspond to the SPP and PVE subpopulation partitions of the 1 hr cohort observed premitotically at the 0.5 hr time point. Both the \( Q_r \) and \( Q_s \) subpopulations consist of cells of the Q fraction from both PVE and SPP components of the original premitotic cohorts. However, it is determined from the respective sizes of their Q fraction population of the cohort is only 4% (i.e., 0.12 \( \times \) 0.37), or 0.042. Therefore, the PVE contingent at 96% is by far the dominant subpopulation of the Q fraction. Because the SPP contingent is such a minor contributor to the Q fraction population, we will ignore the SPP component in our analysis of neuronal migratory movements to be based on tracking the \( Q_r \) and \( Q_s \) subpopulations.

At 12.5 hr, the \( Q_r \) and \( Q_s \) subpopulations of the 2 hr cohort have configurations that are closely similar to those of the \( Q_r \) and \( Q_s \) subpopulations of the 1 hr cohort (Fig. 8). However, both \( Q_r \) and \( Q_s \) of the 2 hr cohort are displaced slightly outward relative to the corresponding subpopulations of the 1 hr cohort (Fig. 8). This displacement corresponds, we assume, to the greater distance migrated by cells of the 2 hr cohort during the 1 hr difference in the survival times (note, in particular, that the 2 hr cohort contains all of the cells of the 1 hr cohort plus cells that left the S phase in the preceding additional hour) (see the legend for Fig. 2). At 12.5 hr, \( Q_r \) of the 2 hr cohort, ~60% of the entire Q fraction, lies at the VZ–SVZ border (average position, bin 6.9), whereas \( Q_s \) is centered more superficially in the cerebral wall at bin 11.3, a position that is well within the IZ (Fig. 8, Table 3). No stragglers appear to be left within the VZ among the Q fraction of the cohort; that is, no \( [3H] \) TdR-only labeled cells remain sequestered within the VZ beyond the time that the P fraction re-enters S phase. This observation is consistent with cell cycle kinetic analyses that indicate that the growth fraction of the PVE is 1.0 (Waechter and Jaensch, 1972; Takahashi et al., 1993, 1995a). The observation that essentially all Q fraction cells of the cohort have exited the VZ within an interval corresponding to \( T_c – T_s \) also is consistent with the finding that there is relatively little variation in \( T_c \) among cells of the PVE cycling at any given moment (Waechter and Jaensch, 1972; Cai et al., 1993; Takahashi et al., 1993, 1995a).

Thus, two lines of evidence, based on these observations, suggest that the overall Q fraction at E14 includes rapidly exiting and more slowly exiting subpopulations. The first line of evidence is a reciprocal change in the relative sizes of the two distributions (i.e., the inner subset within the VZ and the outer subset above the VZ, illustrated in Fig. 6 and referred to as inner and outer subsets, respectively) over the 3.5–8.0 hr interval. Increase in size of the outer subset signals the first appearance of a portion of the PVE Q fraction cells in the zone external to the VZ. The second line of evidence is that at 12.5 hr, the Q fraction has segregated into two spatially separate subpopulations. (We have designated these as \( Q_r \) and \( Q_s \) for rapidly exiting and slowly exiting subpopulations of Q, respectively) (Fig. 8). In addition, it should be noted that it was...
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observed in a previous analysis based on cumulative BUdR labeling that there are two groups of PVE Q fraction cells, one sluggish and the other rapid in terms of exit from the VZ (Takahashi et al., 1993).

Some of the PVE Q fraction cells (i.e., \( Q_b \)) of the cohort accumulate above the VZ as early as 5 hr after exit from S phase or 3 hr after mitosis (i.e., at the 5.0 hr time point), that is, well before their sister cells of the P fraction complete G1 phase (length of G1 phase on E14 = 9.3 hr). The mean position of the inner subset at the 3.5 hr time point is 1.69 bins and that of the outer subset at 5.0 hr is at bin 8.99 (Table 1, row 1). Thus, cells comprising \( Q_s \) will have traveled 7.3 bins (8.99 – 1.69) or 73 \( \mu \)m in 1.5 hr (5.0 hr – 3.5 hr) corresponding to an exit velocity of \(~50\ \mu\text{m/hr}\) (indicated by an arrow with dashed line in Fig. 7). This estimate is \~1.5 times that of the fastest exit behaviors in the ferret measured in slice preparations by high-precision techniques (O’Rourke et al., 1992; Chen and McConnell, 1993, 1995). This difference is of uncertain significance, however, because our estimate may be somewhat imprecise because of the compounded difficulties of estimating the short distances migrated during exit from the VZ and by the relative imprecision of our pattern of temporal sampling. There also could be mouse/ferret species differences.

\( Q_b \) is distributed at the VZ–SVZ border (mean position, 6.9) (Table 3) at the 12.5 hr point. Thus, exit velocity of the \( Q_s \) cells is 6.9 (at 12.5 hr) – 1.69 (at 3.5 hr)/12.5 – 3.5 hr = 0.58 bins or \~6 \( \mu\text{m/hr}\), which is only approximately one-eighth the apparent velocity of the \( Q_s \) cells. Because the P fraction cells re-enter S phase at \( T_s \) (near the upper margin of the VZ (Takahashi et al., 1992), the rate of ascent of nuclei of cells in G1 phase should be essentially the same as that of \( Q_s \).

### Table 4. Mean position (bin) of \( Q_s \) and \( Q_r \) during migration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hours after S phase</th>
<th>12.5–24 hr</th>
<th>24–36 hr</th>
<th>36–48 hr</th>
<th>48–60 hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance traveled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Q_s )</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Q_r )</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration rate ((\mu\text{m/hr}))</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.6 ((Q_s))</td>
<td>5.1 ((Q_r))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

**Rapidly exiting and more slowly exiting subpopulations**

A principal finding of the present analysis is that the Q fraction cells exit the PVE as two subpopulations, one rapidly exiting (\( Q_r \)) and the other slowly exiting (\( Q_s \)). These two different exiting behaviors that we have documented for the PVE Q fraction may
correspond to the two different exit behaviors of postmitotic cells, which have been observed in slice preparations in ferret (Chenn and McConnell, 1993, 1995). After mitoses where the line of separation of daughter cells is parallel to the ventricular surface, the daughter cell arising from the abventricular pole moved quickly out of the VZ, leaving the other daughter cell at the ventricular margin. It is possible that these cells (or, perhaps, some of them) correspond to our $Q_r$. For other mitoses where the line of separation of daughter cells is orthogonal to the ventricular surface, both daughter cells moved together more slowly away
from the ventricular margin. Some of these cells may correspond to our $Q_s$ and others to the $P$ fraction.

To be considered is the possibility that the “postmitotic sorting” observed in mouse and ferret reflects an early manifestation of fundamental distinctions between neuronal class, specifically a distinction between projection and interneuron classes. Certainly, the time that such sorting occurs is not too early for class distinctions to influence neuronal behavior. Several lines of evidence indicate that at least certain neurons are “aware” of their class destinies from at least as early as their terminal mitosis. Thus, pyramidal cells destined for layer V appear to be informed of their laminar destinations from as early as their final round of DNA
synthesis (McConnell and Kaznowski, 1991). In the primate, it has been demonstrated that a subset of pyramidal neurons that contributes to the corpus callosum deploys axons to the contralateral hemisphere before the end of migration (Schwartz and Meinecke, 1992). Further, lineage tracing studies based on the β-galactosidase gene suggest that the majority of pyramidal and nonpyramidal neurons arise from separate lineages (Parnavelas et al., 1991; Mione et al., 1994). It also is clear that less commonly, both pyramidal and nonpyramidal neuronal classes may arise from a common lineage. In rodents, one or the other class appears to be eliminated by cell death during the first postnatal month (Lavras et al., 1996). It also has been demonstrated in the developing cerebral cortex in primate (Schwartz and Meinecke, 1992), although without confirmation in rodents (Miller, 1986; Van Eden et al., 1989; Del Rio et al., 1992), that migrating cells express GABA even in the course of their migrations. Admittedly, because both pyramidal and nonpyramidal neurons may express both GABA and glutamate as late as the first 3 postnatal weeks (Lavras et al., 1996), the presence of GABA in migrating neurons may not reliably identify them as representative of the nonpyramidal class.

Migratory behavior of the young neurons

The $Q_r$ and $Q_s$ reach the cortex sequentially with a “gap” of several hours between the arrival of the two subpopulations at the bottom of the cortex. The uniform rates of migration of the two subpopulations of the cohort may be one of several mechanisms that ensure that the final intracortical arrangement of neurons approximates the sequence in which neurons exit the PVE and initiate migration. The pattern of neuronal migration observed here is a continuous behavior. The advance of migration across the IZ is not interrupted by 24 hr periods of arrested movement (in “sojourn zones”) (Bayer and Altman, 1991) as has been postulated to be the case in rat embryos (Bayer and Altman, 1991). Every time point beyond $T_C - T_S$ (12.5–60 hr) (Fig. 9) sees “every cell” of $Q_r$ and $Q_s$ farther along its migratory path. Salutatory stop-and-go and acceleration–deceleration patterns of neuronal migration have been documented in dissociated mouse cerebellar granule cells and ferret neocortical neurons in slice preparations (Edmondson and Hatten, 1987; Fishell and Hatten, 1991; O’Rourke et al., 1992; Chenn and McConnell, 1993). These variations in movement rates occur over minutes or seconds and would not be detected with the method used here.

The increase in migratory rate with ascent across the IZ might reflect increasing differentiation of cellular mechanisms that contribute to migration competence (Rakic et al., 1974; Schwartz and Meinecke, 1992). The transient drop in migration rate on entry into the cortex might result from a “migration-slowing” change in the relation of migrating cell to the glial fiber system. In this context, it is interesting to note that migrating cells, on entering the cortex, appear to insert themselves into the glial fiber fascicles leading to a progressive defasciculation of the fibers (Gadisseux et al., 1990, 1995). We speculate that this maneuver might slow the migratory rate of the cell transiently.

Cell redistribution and cell death within the cortex

The cells of both $Q_r$ and $Q_s$ merge to form a single distribution within the cortex that is centered over layer V but which spans all cortical levels at P4 (Fig. 12). At P22, the single distribution extends from layer VI–V and is most concentrated in lower layer V. We interpret the shift in the distribution relative to that of cortical layers as indicative of differences in patterns of dendritic growth and differentiation occurring among neurons with different times of origin. Although not directly observable by our methods, we infer that the apical dendrites of the layer V pyramidal cells of our cohort must have elongated as much as 450 μm in the course of this displacement of the cohort from the ML after P4 (distance between the ML and the peak position of the
Whereas the nuclei of the cohort have been shifted in position with respect to nuclei of neurons arising in preceding and succeeding cell cycles, the nuclei of cells of the cohort remain closely grouped over the first 3 postnatal weeks. (Fig. 12). This phenomenon suggests a rigorous homogeneity in the events of cell growth and differentiation among cells that undergo their terminal divisions within 2 hr of each other. There is no systematic variation in the size of the cohort from the early postmitotic period through the course of migrations (12.5–60 hr time point). Between the migration interval (12.5–60 hr) and P4, there again is no significant change in the size of the cohort (only a 10% variation). In the P4–P22 interval, however, the number of cells in the 2 hr cohort becomes reduced by almost 45% (Table 2). In principle, either tissue growth or cell death, or both processes together, might contribute to this reduction in cell density. We have estimated that 30% of the reduction in cell density observed between P4 and P22 is attributable to growth and 15% to cell death.

Therefore, the Q fraction of the cohort of cells arising on early E14 is estimated to be reduced by ~15% by postnatal histogenetic cell death. This process is detected only after P4, that is, after cells from succeeding cell cycles have completed their migrations. It is of interest that there may be no elimination of the pyramidal neurons of layer V attributable to cell death over the first 3 postnatal weeks in the dorsomedial region of the mouse neocortex (Crandall and Caviness, 1984). The proportion of neuronal death in the neocortex, as estimated by direct cell counts (Leuba et al., 1977; Heumann et al., 1978) or by pyknotic cell counts (Finlay and Slattery, 1983; Finlay and Pallas, 1989), has ranged from 30 to 50%. In these studies, cell death was judged to occur principally in the granular and supragranular layers rather than in layers V and VI. Thus, the significant but relatively low level of cell death
detected in the present study in layer V is generally in accord with observations based on quite different analytic methods.

**Prospectus**

The analysis has provided the unexpected observation that the neuronal output on early E14 is made up of two subsets of postmitotic neurons that are substantially different from each other in terms of their rates of exit from the VZ. These two subsets appear not to differ in terms of their migratory behavior, and they become intermingled within the cortex once migrations are completed. Future experiments may clarify whether the two VZ exit behaviors reflect significant neuronal class-related behaviors. We also have estimated the rate of neuronal migration across the cerebral wall and have exploited our unique quantitative data to estimate the contribution of cell death to the final numbers of neocortical neurons. Both estimates are in accord with others based on quite different methods by other investigators. Overall, we have used the primary parameters of cell proliferation (the growth fraction, cell cycle length, Q, and the number of integer cell cycles occurring through the neuronogenetic interval) to develop a quantitative picture of cortical development (Takahashi et al., 1996). The coherency of the predictions supported by this model with observations of other investigators suggests that it will provide the basis for the development of an integrated and comprehensive picture of the sequential processes that make up cortical development beginning with cell proliferation, continuing with cell migration, and culminating with selection of the final

---

**Figure 13.** Histogenetic life cycle of a strictly defined cohort of cells. A cohort of neurons arising over an interval of 1–2 hr on E14 in the dorsomedial murine neocortical PVE separates after mitosis into a Q fraction that has left the cell cycle and a P fraction that will continue to cycle (Neuronogenesis). The Q fraction, in turn, separates into rapidly exiting (\(Q_r\), light spheres) and slowly exiting (\(Q_s\), dark spheres) subpopulations. Once above the VZ in the SVZ and IZ, the two subpopulations migrate (Migration) at indistinguishable rates until the leading, or \(Q_r\), subpopulation of the cohort is slowed on entry into the cortex (CTX). Once in the cortex and in the course of ascent to the outer margin of the CP, the two subpopulations totally overlap and become indistinguishable. Later, they come to lie deep to neurons arising at later dates and are moderately reduced in numbers by histogenetic cell death (Redistribution & Cell Death). After neuronal migrations are completed, the IZ and SVZ are replaced by the cerebral central white matter (WM) and subependyma (SE), and the PVE within the VZ is replaced by a cuboidal ependymal ventricular lining (Ep). Provided at the base of the diagram is a temporal profile of these events with the nuclear velocities for cells of the proliferative cycle in S, G2, M, and G1 phases, and VZ exit and migration velocities of \(Q_r\) and \(Q_s\) registered on the ordinate. The diagram is schematic both with respect to scaling of time and distances.
numbers and classes of neocortical neurons in the mature neocortex (Fig. 13).
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