cAMP Levels Increased by Activation of Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors Correlate with Visual Plasticity
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We have investigated the cAMP level increased by stimulation of metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) in cat visual cortex during development. The cAMP level increases activated by the general mGluR agonist (1S,3R)-1-amino-1,3-cyclopentane-dicarboxylic acid (ACPD) were closely correlated with the critical period for ocular dominance plasticity in both light- and dark-reared animals. Activation of either group I or group II mGluRs increased the cAMP level. Group II mGluR activation also reduced the forskolin-stimulated cAMP increase. The correlation was emulated by a mixture of groups I, II, and III mGluR agonists but not by agonists applied singly; therefore, the correlation is attributable to activation of multiple groups of mGluRs. The cAMP level increased by the mixture was greater than the sum of the increases produced by the agonists applied singly (super-additive effect), suggesting an interaction between the G-proteins and/or second messengers controlled by these mGluRs. The basal cAMP level also correlated closely with the critical period until shortly after the peak of the critical period. Therefore, the major factor that contributes to the correlation between the ACPD-stimulated cAMP increase and the peak of the critical period is the basal level of cAMP: the activation of multiple mGluRs amplifies the basal cAMP. We suggest that both basal activity of cAMP production and activation of mGluRs may be important in plasticity in the visual cortex.
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Deprivation of visual input from one eye can result in elaboration of connections from the open eye and withdrawal of connections from the deprived eye in the visual cortex (Wiesel and Hubel, 1963; Hubel et al., 1977). This ocular dominance plasticity is an example of the activity-dependent modification of synaptic connections. Both the afferents that carry visual inputs into the cortex and the dendritic structure of cortical neurons participate in this reorganization of the connections (Valverde, 1968; Shatz and Stryker, 1978; Antonini and Stryker, 1993). The information that leads to the change in the connections is carried into the visual cortex by action potentials (Stryker and Harris, 1986). To convert the information in action potentials into growth of dendrites requires intracellular messengers, and to convey the signal to geniculocortical afferents requires a feedback factor, which is probably also activated by second messengers.

Evidence suggests that the cAMP pathway mediates plasticity in various systems in a variety of animals (Schacher et al., 1988; Frey et al., 1993; Frank and Greenberg, 1994; Stevens, 1994). This signal transduction pathway is crucial in the late phase and in the maintenance phase of long-term potentiation (Frey et al., 1993; Matthis and Reymann, 1993) and is involved in long-term facilitation of connections between neurons in Aplysia where protein synthesis is required (Schacher et al., 1988). Therefore, this pathway may also participate in the remodeling of eye-specific connections.

Involvement of metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) in the activity-dependent modification of synaptic transmission has been implicated in the hippocampus (McGuinness et al., 1991; Otani and Ben-Ari, 1991; Bashir et al., 1993; Behnisch and Reymann, 1993; Musgrave et al., 1993), cerebellum (Hartell, 1994), and visual cortex (Kato, 1993; Haruta et al., 1994). mGluRs are categorized into three groups (I, II, and III) according to their agonist preference and their effects on second-messenger systems (Nakanishi, 1992; Schoepf and Conn, 1993; Joly et al., 1995). Activation of group I mGluRs, which prefer quisqualate over trans-1-amino-cyclopentane-1,3-dicarboxylate, leads to hydrolysis of phosphoinositide (PI) and increased cAMP level. Activation of either trans-1-amino-cyclopentane-1,3-dicarboxylate-preferring group II mGluRs or l-AP4-preferring group III mGluRs leads to suppression of forskolin-stimulated cAMP elevation. Regardless of group, every known mGluR can regulate cAMP levels. This leads to the speculation that mGluRs could act through cAMP to modulate plasticity.

Ocular dominance plasticity occurs during a critical period, starting around the third postnatal week, peaking between the fourth and the sixth postnatal week, dropping to a plateau around the 15th postnatal week, and ending around 1 year of age in cats (Olson and Freeman, 1980; Daw et al., 1992). Rearing animals in total darkness postpones this critical period (Cynader and Mitchell, 1980; Mower, 1991) so that the visual cortex of a dark-reared animal is less plastic than normal at 5 postnatal weeks, equally plastic around 8 postnatal weeks, and more plastic after 12 postnatal weeks of age (Mower, 1991). Thus, a factor that is involved in ocular dominance plasticity should have different effects at different ages in light- and dark-reared animals.
In this study, we apply the above criterion to test whether the mGluR-regulated cAMP level may be related to ocular dominance plasticity. We find that mGluR activation by a general mGluR agonist leads to an increase of cAMP level with a time course that fits the critical period well in both light- and dark-reared animals. Interestingly, the basal level of cAMP, defined as the level of cAMP in the absence of any stimulation by agonists or inhibition by antagonists, also correlated with the critical period quite well.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eighteen cats at various ages were used in this study. One normal cat per age of 13, 14, 35, 36, 59, 61, 107, 109, 124, and 294 d as well as two adult cats (>3 years of age) were used. Six other cats were dark-reared with their mother in light-tight cages in a darkened room. They were placed in darkness starting at 3–11 d of age, before their eyes opened, until they were 35, 36, 60, 61, 108, or 109 d of age. It was clear from daily monitoring and from the condition of the animals when they were brought into the light that they maintained excellent health in the dark.

After deep anesthesia was performed with ketamine and barbiturate, each animal was perfused with ice-cold, oxygenated artificial CSF (ACSF; NaCl, 128 mM; KCl, 2.5 mM; MgSO4, 2 mM; NaH2PO4, 1.25 mM; glucose, 10 mM; NaHCO3, 26 mM; CaCl2, 2 mM, pH 7.3). The visual cortices were removed and sectioned on a vibratome at 400 μM. After recovering in ACSF in an oxygenated interface chamber (2 hr, at room temperature), each slice of the lateral gyrus was transferred into an individual incubation chamber containing 500 μL of ACSF, and then drugs were added to achieve specific concentrations.

To activate group I mGluRs, quisqualate, (RS)-3,5-dihydroxyphenylglycine (DHPG), and the combination of (S)-4-carboxy-3-hydroxyphenylglycine (4C3HPG) and (RS)-α-methyl-4-carboxyphenylglycine (MCPG) were used in this study. Two drugs, (2S,2S)-(2-carboxycyclopentyl) glycine (L-CCG-I) and (2S,2R,3R,2S)-(2,3-dicarboxycyclopentyl)glycine (DCG-IV), were used to activate group II mGluRs. (1S,3R)-2-amino-4-phosphonobutyric acid (l-AP4) was used to activate group III mGluRs. A general mGluR agonist, (1S,3R)-1-amino-1,3-cyclopentane-dicarboxylic acid (ACPD), was used at 0.5 mM to activate all three groups of mGluRs.

In an earlier study with rat visual cortex, we found that quisqualate at 0.1–0.5 mM was effective in elevating cAMP level (Flavin et al., 1996). When quisqualate (0.25 mM) was used in this study, 6-nitro-7-sulfamoyl-benzo[f]quinoxaline-2,3-dione (NBQX) and (±)-(−)-2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (APV) were also used to counteract the effect of quisqualate on AMPA/kainate and NMDA receptors. APV was used at 0.2 mM, because it has been shown that this concentration is effective in blocking electrical activity in rat visual cortical slices evoked by application of NMDA (Currie et al., 1994). NBQX was used at twice the concentration of quisqualate to ensure the blockade of AMPA/kainate receptors, although equal-molarity NBQX is effective in blocking retinal electrical activity elicited by quisqualate (Cohen and Miller, 1994). When tested in our preliminary experiment with two cats, NBQX at 0.5 mM reduced the quisqualate-stimulated cAMP increase substantially, from >500% to ~250% in cat visual cortical slices. We used DHPG at 100 μM, because it was shown in our preliminary study that DHPG at this concentration was effective in increasing the cAMP level in cat visual cortical slices. In an attempt to activate only mGluR5, the mGluR5 antagonist MCPG (1 mM) was used in combination with 4C3HPG to block the activation of group II mGluRs by 4C3HPG. To verify this, we compared the cAMP increase by this drug combination with 4C3HPG alone. If the addition of MCPG suppresses the activation of group II mGluRs, the cAMP increase activated by 4C3HPG alone should be higher than by the drug combination. We found that this was the case (see Results for details).

The concentration of l-CCG-I was determined according to Nakaniishi (1992), in which it was shown that l-CCG-I at 10 μM was not very effective in activating a group I mGluR but was very effective in activating a group II mGluR. In our preliminary study, we tested l-CCG-I at 10 μM, and we also tested another agonist (DCG-IV) for group II mGluRs at 3 and 10 μM in combination with APV (0.2 mM), l-CCG-I and DCG-IV effectively reduced forskolin (10 μM)-stimulated cAMP increase; however, DCG-IV at 3 μM was more effective than at 10 μM. Therefore, DCG-IV at 3 μM in combination with 0.2 mM APV was used in the experiment. We also tested the group III-preferred agonist l-AP4 at 1 mM; forskolin-stimulated cAMP was effectively suppressed by l-AP4 in this concentration.

During drug treatment, the incubation chambers were oxygenated and kept at 36°C. The drug reaction was terminated by cooling the slice on an ice-cold aluminum plate. Slices without any drug treatment were processed alongside as a control. Because of the need for freshly prepared slices, experiments with different drug treatments on one animal were performed on the same day and data from different animals were collected on different days.

Incubation time for each mGluR agonist was 10 min. When used, antagonists were applied for 10 min of pre-agonist incubation before co-incubation with the agonist (NBQX and APV before quisqualate and before the quisqualate, l-CCG-I and l-AP4 cocktail; APV before DCG-IV; and MCPG before 4C3HPG). When tetrodotoxin (TTX; 1 μM) was used, it was also applied for pre- and co-incubation.

Six slices (3 slices per animal) were used to obtain results for each age group for each of ACPD, quisqualate, and no-drug treatments. Two slices (1 per animal) were used for each age group for each of the cocktails l-CCG-I, l-AP4, DHPG, the combination of 4C3HPG and MCPG, and the cocktail. One slice was used for each age group for DCG-IV and treatments with TTX.

The quantity of cAMP was measured by theRIA method (Harper and Brooker, 1975). Slices were homogenized in ice-cold 7% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) with a small amount of [3H]cAMP. After TCA was removed with ether extraction, the cAMP in the homogenate supernatant was assayed and corrected for [3H]cAMP recovery. cAMP was quantified using an acetylated procedure recommended by the vendor (Biomedical Technologies, Stoughton, MA). The pellet of each homogenate was assayed for the protein level (Lowry assay). The level of cAMP reported was expressed per unit of protein.

RESULTS

Developmental change of ACPD-stimulated cAMP increase and basal cAMP level, and the effects of dark rearing

The increase in cAMP by activation of mGluRs using ACPD (ACPD-stimulated cAMP minus basal cAMP) correlates closely with the critical period (Fig. 1) that has been established by examining the shift of ocular dominance after monocular deprivation (Olson and Freeman, 1980). The timing coincided with the critical period in that it peaked at 5 weeks of age, declined quickly within the next 10 weeks, then gradually tapered to a low level in the adult afterward. Furthermore, dark rearing had a similar effect on the ACPD-stimulated cAMP increase as on the critical period. The increase of cAMP level in dark-reared animals was lower than normal animals at 5 weeks and the same at 8.5 weeks, but it was higher at 15 weeks of age.

The effect of ACPD on the cAMP increase is probably not attributable to indirect receptor activation by action potentials generated by mGluR agonists, because TTX did not have a significant effect on ACPD-stimulated cAMP levels. We obtained the results of TTX-free and TTX-treated slices from the same animal (1 animal per age as plotted in Fig. 1) and then compared the overall effects across ages and rearing conditions (paired t test, t = −0.065, df = 8, p = 0.95). The average increase of cAMP by ACPD was 767.5 ± 82.7 pmol/mg protein (mean ± SEM) and by ACPD in the presence of TTX was 743.3 ± 123.6.

The cAMP level increased by ACPD could be an amplification of the net basal cAMP formation and degradation, which is reflected by the basal cAMP level. If this is the case, one will find that the basal cAMP levels correlate with the ACPD-stimulated cAMP increase, but the percent increases in cAMP level by ACPD should be similar for all ages. We found that the basal cAMP level also correlated with the critical period around its peak. It peaked at 5 weeks of age (Fig. 2). The basal cAMP level of dark-reared animals was lower at 5 weeks and may have a
tendency to be higher at 15 weeks of age than normal animals. The basal cAMP level shows little decline after 9 weeks of age, whereas the ACPD-stimulated cAMP increase does show a substantial decline after 9 weeks of age. Calculation of the percent increases in cAMP level by ACPD in the normal animals gave 1169, 1213, 1043, 444, 140, and 68% for 2-, 5-, 8.5-, 15-, and 41-week-old and adult cats, respectively. These values were close to each other at the youngest three ages (before 9 weeks of age) but show large reductions afterward. Thus, it seems that before 9 weeks of age, the basal cAMP production is the prime factor that contributes to the correlation between the cAMP increase by ACPD stimulation and changes in plasticity; however, after 9 weeks of age, other factors such as the quantities of mGluRs are the main contributors for the decline of ACPD-stimulated cAMP level and the decline of plasticity. These results are consistent with our previous findings that the quantities of mGluRs decline over development without peaking at 5 weeks of age (Reid et al., 1994, 1995b).

Which mGluR group is responsible for enhancing basal cAMP activity?

Activation of group I mGluRs is known to raise cAMP levels (Nakanishi, 1992; Joly et al., 1995), and quisqualate is the most potent agonist of group I mGluRs. Quisqualate also activates...
other glutamate receptors; therefore, we used NBQX and APV in conjunction with quisqualate to block its effect on AMPA/kainate and NMDA receptors. The increase of cAMP produced by quisqualate caused by activation of mGluRs varied with age. However, this cAMP increase did not correlate with the critical period or the increase activated by ACPD; it reached a high level at 5 weeks of age, peaked at 8.5 weeks of age, and dropped only at adulthood (Fig. 3). Furthermore, dark rearing did not have much effect on the quisqualate treatment. We also tested the effect of another group I agonist, DHPG, and it increased cAMP levels (34.84 ± 7.81 pmol/mg protein; paired t test, t = 3.027, df = 17, p < 0.01) when averaged across ages and rearing conditions. The pattern of developmental change and effects of dark rearing did not resemble the critical period (data not shown).

In our earlier studies, we found that the laminar distribution of mGlur5 correlated with the primary terminal arbors of geniculocortical afferents (Reid et al., 1995a) and that the development of mGlur5, but not mGlur1, was sensitive to visual deprivation (Reid et al., 1995b). Consequently, we were particularly interested in the increase of cAMP through activation of mGlur5. Neither quisqualate nor DHPG is specific for either one of the group I mGlurRs, mGlur1 or mGlur5. In an attempt to isolate the effect of mGlur5, we combined 4C3HPG with a general mGlur antagonist, MCPG. According to Joly et al. (1995), 4C3HPG is an antagonist of mGlur1 but an agonist of group II mGlurRs; however, it is also a partial agonist of mGlur5. They have also reported that MCPG is effective in blocking group II mGlurRs but is not effective in blocking mGlur5. The combination of these two drugs, therefore, should suppress mGlur1, group II mGlurRs, and group III mGlurRs but activate mGlur5. This drug combination had little effect on cAMP levels. On average, it did not significantly increase the cAMP level (0.53 ± 0.53 pmol/mg protein; paired t test, t = 0.15, df = 17, p = 0.883). The developmental pattern and effects of dark rearing were also not similar to the critical period (data not shown). To verify that MCPG was suppressing the activation of group II mGlurRs, we compared the cAMP increase by this drug combination with 4C3HPG alone. The addition of MCPG significantly reduced cAMP increase by 4C3HPG from 64.27 ± 26.48 to 20.46 ± 21.35% (paired t test, t = −2.462, df = 10, p < 0.05).

The above results show that application of agonists that activate mainly group I mGlurRs did not emulate the effect of ACPD. ACPD can activate all three mGlur groups in a high concentration such as was used in our study (Schoepp and Conn, 1993). When we used a cocktail composed of quisqualate to activate group I receptors, L-CCG-I to activate group II receptors and L-AP4 to activate group III receptors, the cocktail mixture was more effective in elevating the cAMP level than any of its individual components used alone (Fig. 4). The cocktail had a superadditive effect in that it raised the cAMP level higher than the sum of the individual components in all tested ages (paired t test, t = 5.684, df = 17, p < 0.001). Neither the group II mGlur-prefering agonist L-CCG-I (Hayashi et al., 1992; Winder and Conn, 1995) nor the group III-prefering agonist L-AP4 (Nakajima et al., 1993; Tanabe et al., 1993) provided a pattern of agonist-induced cAMP increase correlated with the critical period (Fig. 4). On the other hand, the developmental pattern and effects of dark rearing on cAMP level were similar for both the cocktail and ACPD.

It is known that activation of either group II or group III mGlurRs suppresses the forskolin-elevated cAMP level (Tanabe et al., 1992, 1993; Nakajima et al., 1993; Okamoto et al., 1994). DCG-IV is specific to group II mGlurRs when it is used in combination with APV to suppress its effect on NMDA receptors (Hayashi et al., 1993; Gereau and Conn, 1995). When the group II agonists L-CCG-I and DCG-IV were tested without forskolin, they elevated the cAMP level significantly above the basal level (paired t test, t = 2.176, df = 17, p < 0.05 for L-CCG-I; t = 2.431, df = 8, p < 0.05 for DCG-IV; Fig. 5). Both agonists raised the cAMP level by ~50%. On the other hand, L-CCG-I had little effect on the forskolin-elevated cAMP level (paired t test, t = −0.479, df = 8, p = 0.645; Fig. 5). DCG-IV, when it was combined with APV, depressed the forskolin effect (paired t test, t = 3.244,
Super-additive effects of mGluR agonists

![Graph showing super-additive effects of mGluR agonists](image)

**Figure 4.** Super-additive effects of mGluR agonists on cAMP levels. Regardless of ages and rearing conditions, when three mGluR agonists were mixed together in the same concentration as used separately, the mixture (MIX, line graph) was more effective in increasing the cAMP level than adding together the results of individual agonists (bar graph; paired t test, $t = 17, p < 0.001$). cAMP increases produced by the mixture correlate with the critical period for ocular dominance plasticity in normal and dark-reared animals, but cAMP increases produced by individual components do not. QUIS, quisqualate + NBQX + APV; LCCGI, 1-CCG-I; LAP4, L-AP4.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we found that the developmental time course of the cAMP increase generated by ACPD stimulation fits very well with the critical period for monocular deprivation (Olson and Freeman, 1980). Furthermore, our results on the effects of dark rearing on this cAMP increase also fit the prediction by Mower’s study that dark rearing should have an age-dependent effect on a factor involved in ocular dominance plasticity (Mower, 1991). In addition to some results on ibotenate-stimulated PI turnover (Dudek and Bear, 1989) and the immediate-early gene EGR-1 (Kaplan et al., 1995), we have applied the results of Mower (1991) successfully to demonstrate a factor that correlates with the critical period in both light- and dark-reared animals over a range of ages including those at which dark-reared animals are less plastic than normal, as well as ages at which they are more plastic than normal. The effects of ACPD are not likely to be attributable to indirect receptor activation by action potentials, because incubation with TTX did not suppress the effect of ACPD on cAMP increase. However, we do not exclude the possibility that action potentials may make a small contribution at some of the ages. Although it is beyond the scope of the current paper, it would also be interesting to examine in the future the developmental changes in cAMP increase with the endogenous mGluR agonist glutamate. Nevertheless, the close correlation found in our study does suggest that mGluRs may participate in ocular dominance plasticity by modulating the level of the messenger, cAMP.

Our results show that activation of group II mGluRs produces an increase in cAMP. There is also a decrease in forskolin-stimulated levels of cAMP, but the increase above basal levels is larger than the decrease in forskolin-stimulated levels. Moreover, regulation of basal cAMP levels is the predominant effect of mGluR activation in young animals in rat hippocampus and rat visual cortex (Casabona et al., 1992; Schoepp and Johnson, 1993; Flavin et al., 1996). The increase above basal levels from activation of group II mGluRs has been seen in other preparations (Winder and Conn, 1995; Schoepp et al., 1996; Flavin et al., 1996) and is probably attributable to an interaction between G-protein subunits controlled by group II mGluRs and G-protein subunits controlled by other neurotransmitters such as adenosine (Schoepp and Johnson, 1993; Winder and Conn, 1993; Schoepp et al., 1996). The increase above basal levels is not seen in transfected cells (Nakanishi, 1992), because they do not contain these other components of the intact system.

Activation of either group I or group II mGluRs can increase cAMP. Both group I agonists and group II agonists tested in this study raised cAMP levels. Moreover, when all three mGluR groups were activated together by an agonist cocktail, the increase in cAMP was larger than the sum of the individual components, suggesting a synergistic effect. A similar result has been found in the hippocampus (Schoepp et al., 1996). This super-additive effect, like the increase of cAMP generated by group II mGluR activation, indicates an interaction between pathways. Besides G-protein subunits, the activity of adenyl cyclase could also be influenced by protein kinase C, Ca$^{2+}$, and βγ subunits of G-proteins. The increase of cAMP in the hippocampus by activation of mGluRs is likely caused by a stimulatory effect of βγ subunits of G-proteins (Winder and Conn, 1993) rather than an indirect effect of Ca$^{2+}$ on Ca$^{2+}$/calmodulin-dependent adenyl cyclase (Winder and Conn, 1995). On the other hand, it has been demonstrated in *Aplysia* that an increase of intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ can elevate cAMP level by activation of Ca$^{2+}$/calmodulin-dependent adenyl cyclase (Abrams et al., 1991). Both Ca$^{2+}$-sensitive type I adenyl cyclase and βγ subunit-sensitive type II adenyl cyclase (which is also stimulated by protein kinase C) are present in the cortex (Mons et al., 1993). The presence of these adenyl cyclases allows an indirect pathway to elevate cAMP level through PI.
hydrolysis and also provides potential sites for interaction when different receptors are activated.

The best correlation between cAMP increases and critical period was not found with activation of group I mGluRs alone. When primarily group I mGluRs were activated by quisqualate, cAMP increases peaked after the peak of the critical period. The combination of 4C3HPG and MCPG had very little effect on forskolin-elevated cAMP levels. Both DCG-IV and L-AP4 suppressed the forskolin-elevated cAMP levels by 27 and 30%, respectively. *p < 0.05; ****p < 0.001.

Several years ago, Dudek and Bear (1989) showed that increases in phosphoinositides produced by ibotenate correlate with the critical period for plasticity in normal animals. Furthermore, this PI turnover in dark-reared kittens is lower than normal kittens at 5 weeks of age but equal to normal kittens at 8 and 15 weeks of age. Their data fit the prediction by Mower's study at 5 and 8 weeks of age. This was interpreted as an effect of the metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR1 and mGluR5) that affect PI, because increases in PI produced by carbachol did not show the same effects. When the quantities of mGluR1 and mGluR5 were measured, the quantities did not correlate with the critical period (Reid et al., 1994, 1995b); however, the mGluR-activated PI turnover correlates with the critical period (Dudek and Bear, 1989). Here also it is the amount of second-messenger generated by activation of receptors that is important, and/or the coupling between receptor and second messenger, rather than the amount of receptor.

Ocular dominance plasticity is governed by visual activity coming from the retina (Stryker and Harris, 1986), carried by the transmitter glutamate, and modulated by activity from other sources, carried by the transmitters acetylcholine and noradrenaline (Bear and Singer, 1986; Imamura and Kasamatsu, 1989; Brocher et al., 1992; Gu and Singer, 1993). All of these transmitters affect cAMP (Bourne and Nicoll, 1993). Our results that the basal cAMP level and levels of cAMP raised by metabotropic glutamate receptors are closely related to ocular dominance plasticity in both light- and dark-reared animals suggests that modulation of cAMP level could be critical in plasticity. The correlation of basal cAMP level also suggests that cAMP could be where various factors can converge on and exert their influence on plasticity, as also suggested by Kandel's group for the hippocampus (Abrams et al., 1991).

A recent paper by Hensch and Stryker (1996) shows that infusion of the metabotropic antagonist MCPG into the visual cortex does not affect ocular dominance plasticity. However, it
does affect long-term depression, as shown previously (Kato, 1993; Haruta et al., 1994). Hensch and Stryker (1996) showed that MCPG acted as an antagonist for some mGluR effects in their system, but they did not test all mGluR effects. Their result would also have occurred if factors other than mGluRs affect cAMP to influence ocular dominance plasticity.

In summary, we have shown that levels of both mGluR-elevated and basal cAMP levels in the cat visual cortex fit the time course of plasticity well in both light- and dark-reared animals, the basal levels of cAMP being the prime determinant of the peak of the critical period. cAMP is likely to be a messenger of mGluRs for ocular dominance plasticity. Activation of multiple mGluR groups together can enhance basal cAMP activity and leads to a correlation with the critical period. Therefore, both basal cAMP production and activation of mGluRs are important factors in visual cortical plasticity. Similarly, it is likely that other factors may also converge to modulate cAMP level; therefore, cAMP could well be the common path through which various factors affect plasticity.
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