Sonic Hedgehog Promotes the Survival of Specific CNS Neuron Populations and Protects These Cells from Toxic Insult In Vitro
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Sonic hedgehog (Shh), an axis-determining secreted protein, is expressed during early vertebrate embryogenesis in the notochord and ventral neural tube. In this site it plays a role in the phenotypic specification of ventral neurons along the length of the CNS. For example, Shh induces the differentiation of motor neurons in the spinal cord and dopaminergic neurons in the midbrain. Shh expression, however, persists beyond this induction period, and we have asked whether the protein shows novel activities beyond phenotype specification. Using cultures derived from embryonic day 14.5 (E14.5) rat ventral mesencephalon, we show that Shh is also trophic for dopaminergic neurons. Interestingly, Shh not only promotes dopaminergic neuron survival, but also promotes the survival of midbrain GABA-immunoreactive (GABA-ir) neurons. In cultures derived from the E15–16 striatum, Shh promotes the survival of GABA-ir interneurons to the exclusion of any other cell type. Cultures derived from E15–16 ventral spinal cord reveal that Shh is again trophic for interneurons, many of which are GABA-ir and some of which express the Lim-1/2 nuclear marker, but it does not appear to support motorneuron survival. Shh does not support the survival of sympathetic or dorsal root ganglion neurons. Finally, using the midbrain cultures, we show that in the presence of MPP+, a highly specific neurotoxin, Shh prevents dopaminergic neuron death that normally would have occurred. Thus Shh may have therapeutic value as a protective agent in neurodegenerative disease.
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In *Drosophila*, the *hedgehog* gene was first discovered for the role it plays in early embryo patterning (Nusslein-Volhard and Wieschaus, 1980). Further study showed that the product of this gene is secreted and as an intercellular signaling protein plays a critical role in body segmentation and patterning of imaginal disk derivatives such as eyes and wings (Lee et al., 1992; Mohler and Vani, 1992; Tabata et al., 1992). There are, at present, three mammalian homologs of *Drosophila* hedgehog protein that have been identified: Sonic hedgehog (Shh), Desert hedgehog, and Indian hedgehog (Fietz et al., 1994). During the course of vertebrate development, these secreted peptide molecules are involved in axial patterning and consequently regulate the phenotypic specification of precursor cells into functional differentiated cells.

The embryonic expression pattern of Shh has been shown to be closely linked to the development and differentiation of the entire ventral neuraxis (Martí et al., 1995a). Using naïve neural tube explants derived from the appropriate levels of the rostrocaudal axis, it has been demonstrated that the induction of spinal motor neurons (Roelink et al., 1994; Tanabe et al., 1995), midbrain dopaminergic neurons (Hynes et al., 1995; Wang et al., 1995), and basal forebrain cholinergic neurons (Ericson et al., 1995) are dependent on exposure to Shh. This molecule appears to be crucial for such patterning and phenotype specification in *vivo*, because mouse embryos deficient in the expression of functional *Shh* gene product manifest a lack of normal ventral patterning in the CNS as well as gross atrophy of the entire cranium (Chiang et al., 1996).

In this study we have explored the issue of whether Shh may have activities at stages in neural development later than those studied previously. Namely, we have asked whether Shh is trophic for particular neural populations, and under toxic conditions, whether Shh is neuroprotective. Using cultures derived from the embryonic day 14–16 (E14–16) rat, we find that Shh is trophic for midbrain, striatal, and spinal neurons. In the first case the factor is trophic for both dopaminergic and GABA-immunoreactive (GABA-ir) neurons. From the striatum, the surviving neurons are exclusively GABA-ir, whereas in the spinal cultures Shh promotes survival of a heterogeneous population of putative interneurons. Shh does not support survival of any peripheral nervous system neurons tested. Finally, we show that Shh protects cultures of midbrain dopaminergic neurons from the toxic effects of MPP+ , a specific neurotoxin that induces Parkinsonism *in vivo*. Together, these observations indicate a novel role for Shh in nervous system development and its potential role as a therapeutic.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization. Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization on bisected E14.5 Sprague Dawley rat embryos was performed with digoxigenin-labeled (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN) mouse RNA probes as described previously (Wilkinson, 1992). Bound probe was detected with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-digoxigenin Fab fragments (Boehringer Mannheim). The 0.7 kb *Shh* probes were transcribed using T3 (antisense) or T7 (sense) RNA polymerase from *Bam HI* (antisense) or *Hind III* (sense) linearized templates as described by Echelard et al. (1993). The 0.9 kb *Ptc* probes were transcribed using T3 (antisense) or T7 (sense) RNA polymerase from *Bam HI* (antisense) or *Hind III* (sense) linearized templates as described by Goodrich et al. (1996).

**Shh protein and anti-Shh antibody.** Rat Sonic hedgehog amino terminal signaling domain (amino acids 2–198) (Porter et al., 1995) was cloned into a baculovirus expression vector (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA) (virus...
encoding Shh insert was a gift of Dr. Henk Roelink, University of Washington. High Five insect cells (Invitrogen) were infected with the baculovirus according to manufacturer’s instructions. The culture supernatant was batch-adsorbed to heparin agarose type I (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), and Shh was eluted with PBS containing a total of 0.75 mM NaCl and 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol. Shh concentration was determined by the method of Ericson et al. (1996). Escherichia coli-derived Shh was obtained as described previously (Wang et al., 1995) and purified as described above. All samples were sterile-filtered, and aliquots were frozen in liquid nitrogen. Anti-Shh polyclonal antibody was a gift from Dr. Andy McMahon (Harvard University). Preparation of this reagent, directed against the amino peptide of Shh, is described by Bumcrot et al. (1995). McMahon (Harvard University). Preparation of this reagent is described by Ericson et al. (1996).

**Dissociation and culture of neural tissue.** E14.5 rat ventral mesencephalon was dissected as described by Shimoda et al. (1992). Streiatal cultures were established from E15–16 embryos from the regions identified by Altman and Bayer (1995) as the striatum and pallidum. Spinal cultures used the ventral one-third of the E15–16 spinal cord (Camu and Henderson, 1992). Tissues were dissociated for 10 min in 0.1% papain (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and neutralized in growth factor-free HBSS (Life Technologies) with 2.5 mg/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor (Sigma) and 0.04% DNase (Grade II, Boehringer Mannheim). Cells were then plated at 1 × 10⁵ to 2 × 10⁵ cells/well in the medium of Kriegstein et al. (1995) (a modified N2 medium) in 24-well tissue culture plates (Falcon) coated with poly-L-lysine or poly-L-ornithine (Sigma) after one wash in the same medium. Note that this procedure results in cultures in which the cells have never been exposed to serum and stands in contrast to cultures in which serum has been used to neutralize dissociation proteases or to initially “prime” the cells before serum withdrawal. The following peptide growth factors were added as indicated in the results: basic fibroblast growth factor, transforming growth factor β (TGFβ1, TGFβ2, TGFβ3), glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (all from PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ). Additional lots of BDNF and GDNF were purchased from Promega, Madison, WI. Anti-TGFβ antibodies were purchased from R & D Systems (Minneapolis, MN). Antibody was added at the time of Shh addition to the cultures. Cultures were maintained for up to 2 weeks, and the medium was changed every 3 d.

**Immunocytochemistry and cell scoring.** For all cell staining, cultures were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (plus 0.1% glutaraldehyde if staining for GABA) and blocked using 2% goat serum (Sigma), 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS. Antibody incubations were performed in the blocking solutions. Antibodies used in this study were anti-tubulin βIII (Sigma), anti-tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) (Boehringer Mannheim and Sigma), anti-GABA (Sigma), and anti-gial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) (Sigma). Primary antibodies were detected using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Vector, Burlington, CA), biotin-conjugated or fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA). Peroxidase-linked secondary antibodies were visualized using an Ni/Ni/DAB kit (Zymed, South San Francisco, CA), and phosphatase-linked secondary antibodies were visualized using Vector Blue (Vector).

Cell counting was performed using an Olympus inverted microscope at a total magnification of 200×. Data presented are representative and have been confirmed by repeating the cultures at least 3–10 independent times for each neural population discussed. Cells numbers are reported as cells/field (the average of 20–40 fields from a total of 4 wells/condition; 3–10 independent experiments were assessed for each culture condition examined). Consistency of counting was verified by at least two observers. Errors are reported as SEM, and significance is calculated by Student’s t test.

**Measurement of dopamine (DA) transport.** To detect the presence of the DA transporter (Cerruti et al., 1993; Ciliax et al., 1995), cultures were incubated with a mixture consisting of 5 × 10⁻⁴ M [3H]-DA (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL; 48 Ci/mmol), 100 μM ascorbic acid (Sigma), 1 μM fluoxetine (Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, IN), 1 μM desmethylimipramine (Sigma), and 10 μM pargyline (Sigma) in DMEM-F12. Nonspecific labeling was measured by the addition of 5 × 10⁻⁴ M unlabeled DA. Cells were incubated for 30 min at 37°C, rinsed three times with PBS, and processed for either scintillation counting or autoradiography. For scintillation counting, cells were first lysed with 150 μl of 0.1% SDS and then added to 500 μl of Microscint 20 (Packard, Meridian, CT) and counted in a Packard Instrument Topcount scintillation machine. For autoradiography, sister plates were coated with NTB-2 autoradiographic emulsion (Kodak, Rochester, NY) that had been diluted 1:3 with 10% glycerol. The plates were then air-dried, exposed for 1–2 weeks, and developed.

**Quantitative competitive PCR (QC-PCR).** RNA was isolated from cells and tissue using Trizol (Life Technologies) as prescribed by the manufacturer. Genomic DNA was removed from the RNA by incubation with 0.5 U of DNase (Life Technologies) at room temperature for 15 min. The solution was heated to 60°C for 10 min to inactivate the DNase. Reverse transcription was performed using random hexamer and MuLV reverse transcriptase (RT) (Life Technologies) as suggested by the manufacturer. All of the quantitative RT-PCR internal controls, or mimics, were synthetic single-stranded DNA oligonucleotides corresponding to the target sequence with an internal deletion from the central region (Oligo, Etc., Wilsonville, OR). For actin, target = 180 bp, mimic = 130 bp; for ptc, target = 254 bp, mimic = 100 bp. PCR was performed using the Clontech PCR kit; for actin: annealing temperature 54°C, oligos GGGTCCGG-TATTGTC, GGGGTACCTC-AGGGT; for ptc: annealing temperature 62°C, oligos CATTGGCAG-AGGATGTGTTGG, AGCAC-CTTTTAGTGGAGATTGGGG. In each QC-PCR reaction, four reactions were set up with equal amounts of sample cDNA in each tube and fourfold serial dilutions of actin mimic. As an example, an aliquot of cDNA was saved and amplified along with quantitative PCR as control for contamination. PCR reactions were performed in an MJ Research PTC-200 thermal cycler, and the following cycling profile was used: 95°C for 35 sec, 54°C or 62°C for 25 sec, 72°C for 20 sec, for 30 cycles. The reaction mixtures were then fractionated by agarose electrophoresis, and the bands were seen. Each experiment was performed at least 3–10 independent times, and the bands were digitally scanned and quantified by area integration according to established procedures (Wang et al., 1995, and references therein). The quantity of target molecules was normalized to the competing mimic and expressed as a function of cDNA synthesized and used in each reaction.

**RESULTS**

**Shh and Ptc continue to be expressed in the rat CNS after the major period of dorsoventral patterning**

Previous studies have shown that shh is expressed in the vertebrate embryo in the period during which dorsoventral patterning manifests (approximately E9–10 in the rat). Within the CNS, shh expression persists beyond this period and can be detected at a very high level in the E14–16 rat embryo. For example, in situ hybridization studies of the E14.5 embryo (Fig. 1A,E) reveal that shh is expressed in ventral regions of the spinal cord, hindbrain, midbrain, and diencephalon. Lower levels of expression are observed in the ventral striatum and septum, whereas no expression is observed in the cortex within the limits of detection of this method. Interestingly, a “streak” of shh expression (Fig. 1A, arrow) is observed to bisect the diencephalon into rostral and caudal halves. This is likely to be the zona limitans intrahalamicata that separates prosomeres 2 and 3 and has been observed previously (Hyman et al., 1994; Kriegstein et al., 1995). MPP⁺ (Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was added at day 2 of culture to a final concentration of 2 μM for 48 hr. Cultures were then washed extensively to remove MPP⁺, cultured for an additional 24–48 hr to allow clearance of dying TH⁺ neurons, and then processed for immunocytochemistry.

Recent biochemical evidence supports the view that the ptc gene product can act as a high affinity Shh receptor (Marigo et al., 1996a; Stone et al., 1996). Ptc shows an expression pattern complementary to that of shh (Fig. 1C,E) and is observed primarily lateral and dorsal to the sites of shh expression. The complementarity of expression is most dramatic in the diencephalon, where ptc mRNA is absent from the zona limitans (Fig. 1C, double arrow), but is expressed at a very high level on either side of this structure. Of further interest is the observation that rostral of the zona limitans, ptc expression no longer seems as restricted to...
regions immediately dorsal of *shh* expression. Again, within the
detection limits of this technique, *ptc* is not expressed in the
cortex. Thus in regions where *shh* is expressed, adjacent tissue
appears capable of responding to the gene product, as evidenced
by expression of the putative receptor.

**Shh promotes dopaminergic neuron survival**
In the developing midbrain (approximately E9), Shh was first
characterized for its ability to induce the production of dopamin-
ergic neurons. Thus the trophic potential of Shh was tested on
this neuronal population at a stage when these neurons have
already been induced. Using cultures derived from the E14.5
mesencephalon, we found that Shh increases the survival of TH
+ neurons in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2A). These cells
exhibited a neuronal morphology (Fig. 2C,E), and >95% of the
TH + cells were also positive for the neuron-specific marker
tubulin βIII (Banerjee et al., 1990); GFAP staining revealed no
glial cells (data not shown). Differences in TH + neuron survival
between control and Shh-treated wells could be observed as early
as day 4. Note that under these stringently serum-free conditions
(i.e., at no time were the cells exposed to serum), baseline levels
of survival are even lower than those conventionally reported for
cultures that have been maintained in low serum or that have
been briefly serum-primed. By 2 weeks in culture, <5% of the
total TH + cells plated were present in the control condition,
whereas 25–30% survive in 50 ng/ml of Shh (from 4 to 14 d; *p <*
0.001 at 25 and 50 ng/ml).

All catecholaminergic neurons express TH, but the presence of
a specific high-affinity DA uptake system is indicative of midbrain
dopaminergic neurons (Di Porzio et al., 1980; Denis-Donini et al.,
1984; Cerruti et al., 1993; Ciliax et al., 1995). As further evidence
that the cells supported by Shh are bona fide dopaminergic
neurons, specific, high-affinity DA uptake was also demonstrated
(Fig. 3). Midbrain cultures treated with Shh transported and
retained 3H-DA with a dose-response profile paralleling that of
the survival curves (Fig. 3A) (*p < 0.005 at 25 and 50 ng/ml).

Emulsion autoradiography also demonstrated that the cells taking
up 3H-DA were neuronal in morphology (Fig. 3B). In addition,
immunohistochemistry for DA itself demonstrated high cellular
content (data not shown).

The observed effect of Shh on increased TH + neuron number

---

**Figure 1.** *Shh* and *Ptc* in the E14.5 rat embryo. *Shh* (A, antisense; B, sense control), and *ptc* (C, antisense; D, sense control) expression as detected by *in situ* hybridization with digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes and alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-digoxigenin. The arrow in A and the double arrow in C designate the zona limitan intrathalamica. Major anatomical structures and summary diagrams of *shh* and *ptc* expression are shown in E. Scale bar, 1 mm.
is unlikely to be attributable to differentiation of latent progenitor cells, because previous studies demonstrated that the ability of Shh to induce dopaminergic neurons in explanted tissue is lost at later stages of development (Hynes et al., 1995; Wang et al., 1995). Furthermore, the effects are unlikely to be attributable to a mitogenic response of committed neuroblasts, because pulse-labeling the cultures with 5-bromo-2′-deoxyuridine (BrdU) at 1, 2, or 4 d in vitro revealed very low mitotic activity in the presence or absence of Shh (data not shown). Thus, in addition to inducing dopaminergic neurons in the naive mesencephalon, Shh is a trophic factor for these neurons.

**Specificity of Shh action on midbrain neurons: regulated expression of ptc**

Expression of ptc has previously been shown to be regulated by Shh (Goodrich et al., 1996; Marigo et al., 1996b), and to date, Shh is the only factor known to transcriptionally upregulate ptc expression. Therefore, the expression of ptc by mesencephalic explants would reinforce the view that these cells are capable of responding to Shh, and upregulation of ptc mRNA in response to Shh would strongly indicate the specificity of such a response. Therefore, QPCR was used to measure the level of ptc expression.

Ptc mRNA levels were measured at 0, 2, 4, and 6 d of culture by the method described by Wang et al. (1995). For each culture condition at each time point, four separate cDNA samples were coamplified with a different known amount of mimic substrate (DNA that can be amplified by the same primers but yielding a product of molecular weight lower than that being sought in the sample). Thus for each condition and time point, a gel like that shown in Figure 4A was generated (upper bands correspond to amplified ptc transcripts; lower bands correspond to amplified mimic). Using scanning densitometry to quantitate the observed bands, a graph was produced for each sample (Fig. 4B corresponds to 4A). When the density of the target band and the mimic band are equal, the concentration of the unknown target can be

Figure 2. Shh promotes the survival of TH⁺ neurons of the ventral mesencephalon. A, Time course and dose–response of the Shh effect. The number of TH⁺ neurons in control cultures (0 ng/ml Shh) began to decline dramatically by 4 d in vitro. In cultures treated with Shh at 25 and 50 ng/ml, there were significantly greater numbers of TH⁺ neurons over control through 14 d in vitro (from 4 to 14 d, p < 0.001 at 25 and 50 ng/ml). The 50 ng/ml dose typically gave a 50–100% increase over controls at all time points (error bars represent SEM). Photomicrographs of TH⁺ neurons in control (B, D) and 50 ng/ml Shh-treated (C, E) cultures, 2 d (B, C) and 7 d (D, E) after plating. Note that in addition to an increased number of TH⁺ cell bodies, the Shh-treated cells show extensive neuritic processes. Scale bar (shown in E): 200 μm.

Figure 3. Transport of 3H-Da. The identity and functionality of the surviving midbrain neurons was assessed by their ability to specifically transport DA. A, Addition of 25 ng/ml Shh resulted in a 22-fold increase in 3H-Da cell uptake over controls and lower Shh concentrations; 50 ng/ml Shh gave a 30-fold increase in 3H-Da uptake (error bars represent SD) (p < 0.005 at 25 and 50 ng/ml). B, Autoradiography was performed on sister plates to visualize DA transport. Only cells with neuronal morphology transported 3H-Da (inset). Scale bar, 50 μm; inset, 15 μm.
at this time; however, cultures treated with 50 ng/ml of Shh were analyzed. There was no difference in the expression between nonexpressing cell types, because a constant amount of RNA was extracted from these cultures, and the results were nearly identical. In earlier studies (data not shown), an affinity-purified, polyclonal anti-Shh antibody dramatically reduced the activity of Shh in the dopaminergic midbrain neuron survival assay (corresponding to A) and was determined as demonstrated in A and B. At 4 d in vitro Shh at 5 ng/ml increases ptc expression over control, and 50 ng/ml increases expression of ptc over the level found in the ventral mesencephalon at the time of dissection. D. Affinity-purified anti-Shh antibody inhibited the Shh neurotrophic response (p < 0.001). Cultures were maintained for 5 d. Shh was added at a concentration of 50 ng/ml, and in the coinoculation of Shh and anti-Shh (“Shh antibody”) Shh was added at 50 ng/ml and anti-Shh was added as a fivefold molar excess (error bars represent SEM).

Specificity of Shh action on midbrain neurons: immunoneutralization

As further evidence that the trophic activity of the Shh preparation used for these studies, purified from a baculovirus expression system, was attributable to Shh and not to a contaminating factor, antibody neutralization experiments were performed. As shown in Figure 4D, a saturating dose of Shh (50 ng/ml) promotes midbrain neuron survival (p < 0.001), whereas the same dose of Shh in the presence of a fivefold molar excess of activity-neutralizing, anti-Shh, monoclonal antibody (SE1) [Ericson et al. (1996)] inhibits this trophic response (p < 0.001). In earlier studies (data not shown), an affinity-purified, polyclonal, anti-Shh antibody dramatically reduced the activity of Shh in the dopaminergic neuron survival assay (p < 0.005), whereas purified rabbit IgG antibody from preimmune sera had no significant effect. Anti-TGFβ antibodies used at a twofold molar excess to Shh did not inhibit the trophic activity, whereas they did inhibit the previously reported (Kriegstein et al., 1995) trophic effects of exogenously applied TGFβs (data not shown). Addition of β-galactosidase, expressed and purified in a manner identical to Shh, failed to show any trophic effect (data not shown), and thus renders unlikely the possibility that an undefined baculovirus protein is responsible for the observed trophic effects. Finally, Shh purified from an E. coli expression system (Wang et al., 1995) also had trophic activity for TH+ cells, whereas β-galactosidase purified identically to Shh from the E. coli expression system gave no neurotrophic activity described above.
no such activity even at concentrations as high as 10 μg/ml (data not shown).

**Shh supports the survival of other midbrain neurons**

Because the original observations concerning the role of Shh in midbrain development were concerned with induction of dopaminergic neurons (Hynes et al., 1995; Wang et al., 1995), the current study initially focused on possible trophic effects on these neurons. Interestingly, the cultures in which the above described trophic effects were observed also demonstrated that the trophic effect of Shh extended to nondopaminergic neurons (i.e., TH− neurons). Within the dopaminergic nucleus of the midbrain, the substantia nigra, GABA is also a major neurotransmitter (Masuko et al., 1992). Staining for GABA in these cultures (Fig. 5) showed that GABA+ cells are supported by the presence of Shh, with a dose–response profile comparable to that of TH+ cells. Furthermore, GABA+ cells outnumber TH+ cells by a ratio of ~2:1. The two cell types together account for ~95% of the total neurons as gauged by staining for tubulin βIII (data not shown), and thus it is clear that the trophic effect of Shh on midbrain neurons extends to multiple neuron subtypes (for TH, p < 0.001 at 25 and 50 ng/ml; for GABA, p < 0.001 at 25 and 50 ng/ml).

![Figure 5. Shh supports the survival of midbrain GABA+ neurons. A. In addition to supporting the survival of TH+ cells in the midbrain cultures, Shh promotes the survival of GABA-ir neurons with a similar dose–response (error bars represent SEM) (for TH, p < 0.001 at 25 and 50 ng/ml; for GABA, p < 0.001 at 25 and 50 ng/ml). B. Double-label immunofluorescence of Shh-treated cultures shows that the majority of the GABA+ cells (orange) do not overlap with the TH+ cells (green). Scale bar, 15 μm.](image)

**Shh effects on striatal neurons**

Because Shh is strongly expressed in the ventral and lateral forebrain (Echelard et al., 1993; Ericson et al., 1995) and the Shh knockout mouse exhibits striatal defects (Chiang et al., 1996), Shh neurotrophic activity was examined in striatum-derived cultures as well. As assessed after 4 d in vitro (Fig. 6), Shh is a potent trophic factor for neurons cultured from the E15–16 striatum and shows a dose–response comparable to that of the midbrain. In comparing the number of total neurons (tubulin βIII+ cells) with that of GABA+ neurons, it is clear that essentially all of these neurons supported by Shh are GABAergic (Fig. 6: tubulin βIII, p < 0.001 at 25 and 50 ng/ml; GABA, p < 0.001 at 25 and 50 ng/ml). That this effect is strictly trophic was confirmed by the observation that BrdU labeling indices over the course of the culture period were low and did not vary with dose (data not shown). Closer inspection reveals that the intensity of GABA staining is variable, and it is thus possible that various subtypes of GABA+ interneurons (reviewed by Kawaguchi et al., 1995) are all supported by Shh.

![Figure 6. Shh effects on striatal cultures. A. At concentrations of 10 ng/ml and higher, Shh promotes neuronal survival as gauged by staining for tubulin βIII, and these cells are exclusively GABA+ (error bars represent SD) (tubulin βIII, p < 0.001 at 25 and 50 ng/ml; GABA, p < 0.001 at 25 and 50 ng/ml). Typical fields of neurons treated with 50 ng/ml Shh and stained for tubulin βIII (B) and GABA+ (C) are shown. Scale bar, 100 μm.](image)

**Shh effects on spinal neurons**

As a further examination of the postinductive effects of Shh on ventral neural tube derivatives, cultures of the E14–15 ventral neural tube were cultured with varying amounts of Shh. Again,
with a dose–response identical to that observed in the mesencephalic and striatal cultures, Shh promotes the survival of tubulin βIII + neurons as scored after 4 d in vitro (Fig. 7A). A majority but not all of these cells also stain for GABA, and a smaller subset stain for a nuclear marker of spinal interneurons, Lim-1/2 (Tsuchida et al., 1994) (Fig. 7A–C) (tubulin βIII, p < 0.001 at 25 and 50 ng/ml; Lim-1/2, p < 0.001 at 5, 10, 25, and 50 ng/ml; GABA, p < 0.001 at 25 and 50 ng/ml). Typical staining for Lim-1/2 in the E14 rat spinal cord (B) (scale bar, 100 μm), and spinal neurons cultured in the presence of 50 ng/ml Shh (C). Scale bar, 20 μm.

**Shh protects TH + cells against MPP + toxicity**

The toxin 4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) and its active metabolite MPP + are selectively toxic to mesencephalic dopaminergic neurons (Kopin and Markey, 1988; Forno et al., 1993). Because other agents that promote survival of TH + cells also protect against chemical toxicity of MPP + (Hyman et al., 1991; Kriegstein et al., 1995), we tested the ability of Shh to protect TH + cells in E14 rat mesencephalon explants from the effects of MPP +. As shown in Figure 8, the presence of Shh in cultures treated for 48 hr with MPP + significantly increased the numbers of TH + cells that were observed in culture after removal of the MPP +. MPP + treatment caused a >90% reduction in the numbers of TH + cells compared with non-MPP +-treated control cultures, whereas incubation with Shh protected the TH + cells so that only a 65% reduction in TH + cells occurred after MPP + treatment. Thus, the addition of Shh resulted in a net 3.5-fold increase in TH + cells after MPP + treatment versus controls. Sister cultures tested for [3H]-DA transport demonstrated a sevenfold increase in transport in Shh-treated cultures versus controls (data not shown).

Shh was significantly more active in protecting TH + cells from the effects of MPP + than the other growth factors tested: GDNF (Lin et al., 1993) and BDNF (Hyman et al., 1991) (Shh, p < 0.001 at 50 and 250 ng/ml; BDNF, no significance; GDNF, p < 0.05). In the serum-free conditions used in these experiments, none of the other growth factors tested showed as significant a level of TH + cell protection from MPP + toxicity as Shh, even when tested at levels previously shown to be optimal for neuroprotection (Fig. 8).

**DISCUSSION**

**Shh is neurotrophic for various ventral neurons**

The hypothesis that Shh may play roles in the nervous system in addition to its initial function in neural tube ventralization was first suggested by the observation that Shh expression in ventral neural tissue along the entire neuraxis continues well past the period during which phenotypic specification has occurred (Ech-
unlikely, because exogenous TGFβ has been shown to induce the expression of TGFβ factor(s) that actually possesses the survival activity. For example, or all of the neurons, but the response is to secrete another factor in response to Shh, and thus the reported survival effects must be attributable to Shh-induced expression of a secondary trophic factor.

Unlike its role at earlier stages of neural development, this novel neurotrophic activity acts on postmitotic neurons rather than on dividing progenitor cells. Although the general trophic effect is apparent in a number of CNS regions (Figs. 2, 5–7), there are both differences and similarities in the effects observed among the regions examined. Given the fact that Shh is necessary for the induction of both spinal motor neurons and midbrain dopaminergic neurons, one might predict that Shh would subsequently be trophic for these cells. Strikingly, Shh is a very potent trophic factor for the midbrain dopaminergic neurons (Fig. 2), but in the cultures of ventral spinal neurons no such effect on motor neurons was observed. Thus there is no direct correlation between the neuron phenotypes induced by Shh and those supported by Shh in a trophic manner. Interestingly, a common feature among the three CNS regions examined was the trophic effect for GABAergic neurons (Figs. 5–7). Although it is not obvious whether these specific GABA + populations are directly or indirectly induced by Shh during early development (cf. Pfaff et al., 1996), it is plausible that the trophic actions on these neurons are direct.

It is important to note that the neurotrophic effects reported herein are not lacking in specificity. For example, neurons of the peripheral nervous system show no survival in response to Shh administration, and preliminary studies of cultures derived from E15–16 dorsal CNS regions (e.g., neocortex and dorsal spinal cord) show high baseline levels of neuron survival with no significant response to exogenous Shh application (J. Ott and N. Mahanthappa, unpublished observation). Thus there appears to be a general restriction of the trophic effects of Shh to regions of the CNS specified by Shh, but the actual targets of trophic activity need not encompass the phenotypes whose induction is Shh dependent. Nevertheless, the fact that Shh also protects neurons from toxic insult (Fig. 8) suggests previously unforeseen therapeutic roles for Shh as well.

Possible mechanisms of Shh action

As stated above, the neurotrophic effect of Shh observed in these cultures is not attributable to the stimulation of proliferation. One could argue, however, that the observed effects are indirect. In one scenario, Shh may act on a non-neuronal cell that in turn responds by secreting a neurotrophic factor. We observed no sign of astrocytes in any of our neural cultures, either by morphology or by staining for GFAP. Furthermore, in the purely neuronal cultures established from the midbrain, ptc is greatly upregulated in response to Shh, and thus the reported survival effects must be attributable to a response by neurons (Fig. 4C).

In another scenario, it is possible that Shh acts directly on some or all of the neurons, but the response is to secrete another factor(s) that actually possesses the survival activity. For example, Shh has been shown to induce the expression of TGFβ family members such as Bone morphogenetic proteins in vivo (Laufer et al., 1994; Levin et al., 1995), and these proteins are trophic for midbrain dopaminergic neurons (Kriegstein et al., 1995). That induced expression of TGFβs is the trophic mechanism seems unlikely, because exogenous TGFβs show only modest trophic activity in our culture system, and the presence of neutralizing anti-pan-TGFβ antibodies failed to inhibit the neurotrophic effects of Shh. Thus, at a minimum, Shh supports the survival of a subset of ventral CNS neurons. The mechanism by which Shh supports neuron survival is yet to be determined. Although we favor the hypothesis that these trophic effects are direct, it remains possible that the survival response is attributable to Shh-induced expression of a secondary trophic factor.

As in the case of many secreted peptide factors, it now appears that Shh has activities that can vary greatly, depending on the spatiotemporal context in which the factor is expressed. Although it was initially thought that the primary role of Shh in the CNS is in early patterning events that are critical to phenotypic specification, it is now clear that Shh can also contribute to the survival and maturation of these CNS regions. Interestingly, the cell types acted on in these two distinct roles of Shh do not necessarily overlap. Thus a more thorough understanding of this multifaceted molecule will require a better understanding of its patterns of expression beyond early embryogenesis. Moreover, it will be critical to ascertain the significance of the trophic effects of Shh in vivo.
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