Formation of the Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor Binding Sites
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Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (AChRs) are activated by ACh binding to two sites located on different α subunits. The two α subunits, αa and αg, are distinguished by their interface with γ and δ subunits. We have characterized the formation of the ACh binding sites and found, contrary to the current model, that the sites form at different times and in a set order. The first site forms on αa subunits during the process of subunit assembly. Our data are consistent with the appearance of this site on αβγδ subunit tetramers soon after the site for the competitive antagonist α-bungarotoxin has formed and δ subunits have assembled with αβγ trimers. The second site is located on αg subunits and forms after AChR subunits have assembled into αgβγδ pentamers. By determining the order in which the ACh binding sites form, we have also identified the sites in which the δ and second α subunits associate during subunit assembly.
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The binding of different ligands to a diverse set of membrane receptors is the primary mechanism by which information is transferred across cell membranes. For many different membrane receptors, much progress has been made in determining the structural details of ligand binding to its receptor. However, almost nothing is known about the protein folding and assembly events that give rise to these binding sites. Using the muscle-type nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (AChR), we have examined how the ACh binding sites form on this class of receptors. These receptors are composed of four distinct yet homologous subunits, α, β, γ, and δ, which assemble into AChR αβγδ pentamers. The muscle-type AChR is the best characterized member of a family of neurotransmitter-gated ion channels that includes neuronal AChRs, 5-HT3 receptors, glycine receptors, and GABA_A receptors. As such, the muscle-type AChR has long served as a model system for the other receptors in the family (for review, see Unwin, 1993; Changeux, 1995; Karlin and Akabas, 1995; Lindstrom, 1995).

Several small ligands bind to AChRs. These ligands include agonists such as nicotine and the neurotransmitter ACh and small competitive antagonists such as curare. Amino acid residues located within three different regions of the N-terminal extracellular domain of the α subunits have been identified as residues at the ACh binding sites. Other experiments have identified additional amino acids on the γ and δ subunits that contribute to the binding sites (for review, see Galzi and Changeux, 1994; Karlin and Akabas, 1995; Tsigelny et al., 1997). Furthermore, for the ACh binding site to form, disulfide bonding and N-linked glycosylation within this N-terminal α subunit domain appear to be necessary (Mishina et al., 1985). The formation of the ACh sites, thus, requires the coordination of several post-translational events that draw together different regions of the α subunit N-terminal domain, and assemble the α subunits with the other subunits.

Processing, folding, and assembly of AChR subunits are slow events, taking ~2 hr to complete (Merlie and Lindstrom, 1983). Despite the slow kinetics of these events, intermediates with ACh binding sites have been difficult to isolate. ACh binding sites form when α subunits are expressed heterologously with either the γ or δ subunits in the absence of the other two subunits (Blount and Merlie, 1989). Based on these findings, it was proposed that the two ACh binding sites form on either αγ or αδ heterodimers at the same time during the early stages of subunit assembly (Blount et al., 1990; Gu et al., 1991; Saedi et al., 1991). In this paper, we have characterized the formation of the ACh binding sites using cells expressing all four AChR subunits and present data at odds with the current model describing ACh binding site formation. We find that the first ACh binding site forms on αg subunits during subunit assembly and that the second site, located on the αg subunit, forms after AChR subunits have assembled into αgβγδ pentamers. The data provide evidence for the subunit arrangement of assembly intermediates and for the location of the subunit interfaces in which the unassembled δ and second α subunits assemble.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Metabolic labeling and subunit precipitations. Mouse L fibroblasts, stably transfected with the Torpedo subunit cDNAs under the control of SV40 promoters (Claudio et al., 1987), were maintained in DMEM plus 10% calf serum and HAT (15 μg/ml hypoxanthine, 1 μg/ml aminopterin, and 5 μg/ml thymidine) in 5% CO2. To enhance subunit expression, the DMEM was supplemented with 20 mM sodium butyrate (NB medium) 36 hr before the experiment. Cultures in 10 cm plates were labeled as described previously (Green and Claudio, 1993; Green and Wanamaker, 1997). Briefly, cultures were pulse-labeled in 2 ml of methionine- and cysteine-free NB medium, supplemented with 33 μCi of a 35S-methionine 35S-cysteine mixture (NEN EXPE 35S 35S). The labeling was stopped with the addition of DMEM plus 5 mM methionine and cysteine. To follow the subsequent changes in the labeled subunits, the cells were “chased” by incubation for the indicated times in NB medium at 20°C. The cells were solubilized in (in mM): 150 NaCl, 5 EDTA, 50 Tris, pH
and characterize assembly intermediates because the kinetics of AChR subunit assembly are slowed by more than an order of magnitude at 20°C (Green and Claudio, 1993; Green and Wanamaker, 1997). AChR subunits, pulse-labeled with 35S-methionine and -cysteine, were first purified on a bromo-ACh affinity column and then immunoprecipitated with α subunit-specific mAb 35 (Fig. 1A,B) or with γ and δ subunit-specific mAb 88B (Fig. 1B). No labeled subunits were isolated immediately after the pulse label using this bromo-ACh affinity protocol in Figure 1A,B, even though both unassembled and assembled α subunits were present in the cell lysate. The presence of labeled AChR subunits immediately after the pulse label was shown by the mAb 35 precipitation of labeled subunits (Fig. 1C). The ACh binding sites isolated by the bromo-ACh affinity protocol appear a significant amount of time after the synthesis of the subunits (Fig. 1A,B, at 3, 6, and 12 hr). The time course of the formation of the ACh binding sites is shown quantitatively from the scanning densitometry of this and other experiments (Fig. 1B). Initially, the subunits isolated by the bromo-ACh affinity protocol had a ~1:1:1:1 ratio of α:β:γ:δ subunits (Fig. 1B, at 3, 6, and 12 hr). If ACh binding sites existed on unassembled α subunits, we would have expected a larger ratio of α to the other subunits. Also, we would expect differences in the results, which were not observed, when the γ and δ subunit-specific mAb was used instead of the α subunit-specific mAb 35 after the bromo-ACh affinity purification (Fig. 1B). In agreement with previous studies (Blount and Merlie, 1988; Paulson et al., 1991), we conclude, therefore, that no ACh binding sites appear on unassembled α subunits, and ACh binding sites form on complexes containing α and other AChR subunits.

An important feature of the time course of ACh binding site formation was that, 12 hr after subunit labeling, the number of α subunits in the isolated complexes continued to increase and eventually more than doubled whereas the number of β, γ, and δ subunits remained constant (Fig. 1A,B). We have found previously that the ratio of α subunit doubles relative to the other subunits as a second α subunit assembles with the other subunits to form αβγδ pentamers (Green and Claudio, 1993). The doubling of α subunits relative to the other subunits, thus, indicates that the AChR subunit complexes isolated by the bromo-ACh affinity protocol initially contain a single α subunit. Based on the time course shown in Figure 1B, subunit complexes with ACh binding sites isolated 3–12 hr after subunit labeling have a single α subunit, and the second α subunit is added 12–48 hr after subunit labeling. Because α subunits can have at most a single ACh binding site, one of the two ACh binding sites is present before the addition of the second α subunit. Furthermore, because ACh binding sites do not form on unassembled α subunits, the second ACh binding site must appear after the addition of the second α.

**Formation of Bgt binding sites**

Previous studies suggested that the formation of the binding sites for Bgt, a high-affinity competitive antagonist of ACh, precedes the formation of the ACh binding sites (Merlie and Lindstrom, 1983; Blount and Merlie, 1988; Paulson et al., 1991). To test when the Bgt binding sites form relative to the ACh binding sites, labeled AChR subunits were isolated using Bgt-Sepharose (Fig. 2A), and the results of this and other experiments were quantified by densitometry (Fig. 2B). Many features of Bgt binding site formation are similar to ACh binding site formation. As with the bromo-ACh affinity purification, no labeled AChR subunits were precipitated by Bgt-Sepharose immediately after the subunit la-
for mAb 35. All scanned values are displayed as the fraction of the used to precipitate the subunits eluted from the bromo-ACh affinity column. No differences in the results were observed when mAb 88b was substituted instead of mAb 35 (Fig. 1).

The AChR subunits initially precipitated by Bgt-Sepharose had a ~1:1:1:1 ratio of αβγδ subunits (Fig. 2B, at 3, 6, and 12 hr), and the ratio of α to the other subunits doubled by the 48 hr time point.

Although the time course of Bgt binding site formation was similar to that of ACh binding site formation, there were differences at the 6 and 24 hr time points. During the formation of both binding sites, the 12 hr time point is the point at which the number of β, γ, and δ subunits saturated and after which the number of α subunits doubled. As discussed above, these data indicate that the formation of the first ACh and Bgt binding sites occurs during the first 12 hr on complexes containing a single α subunit, whereas the second binding sites form in the period between the 12 and 48 hr time points after the addition of a second α subunit. For the Bgt-Sepharose precipitation at the 6 hr time point, the scanned values (mean ± SD for six gels) were 0.71 ± 0.28, 0.57 ± 0.31, 0.50 ± 0.18, and 0.62 ± 0.20 for the α, β, γ, and δ subunits, respectively. For bromo-ACh affinity purification at the 6 hr time point, the normalized scanned values (mean ± SD for five gels) were half that of the Bgt-Sepharose precipitation: 0.30 ± 0.07, 0.30 ± 0.04; γ, 0.27 ± 0.05; and δ, 0.32 ± 0.10, yielding a αβγδ subunit ratio of 1.0:1.0:0.9:1.1. Taking into account differences in subunit cysteine and methionine content and the ratio of 35S-methionine and 35S-cysteine during labeling of the subunits, the subunit ratio should be 1.3:1.0:0.8:1.0 for a subunit stoichiometry of 1:1:1:1. At the 48 hr time point, the values for the subunits were α, 2.1 ± 0.3; β, 1.0; γ, 0.80 ± 0.13; and δ, 0.94 ± 0.09, and the ratio of the α subunit to the other subunits doubled from the 6 to the 48 hr time point.

To verify the formation of the second ACh binding site, the bromo-ACh affinity-purified AChR subunits were immunoprecipitated with the subunit-specific mAb 88b instead of mAb 35 (Fig. 1B). Bgt-Sepharose purification at the 6 hr time point the scanned value of the Bgt-Sepharose subunit was 0.09, yielding a αβγδ subunit ratio of 1.6:0.48:0.27:0.05 for the α, β, γ, and δ subunits, respectively. These data suggest that formation of the first ACh binding site lags behind formation of the first Bgt binding site. Furthermore, at the 24 hr time point the scanned value of the Bgt-Sepharose α subunit was 2.1 ± 0.7 compared with 1.6 ± 0.48 for the bromo-ACh affinity-purified α subunit. Again, the ACh binding site, in this case the second site, appears to form after the second Bgt binding site.

The first ACh site forms on αβγδ tetramers after the Bgt binding site forms

In an earlier study, we presented data that Bgt binding sites and the conformation-dependent epitope to mAb 14 first appear on αβγ trimer just before the addition of δ subunits to the trimers.
to assemble αβγδ tetramers (Green and Claudio, 1993). If ACh binding sites form after Bgt binding sites, it raises the possibility that the first ACh binding site forms on αβγδ tetramers. To characterize in more detail the subunit complexes on which the first ACh and Bgt binding sites form, cells were solubilized 6 hr after the pulse label, and labeled subunits were size-fractionated on sucrose gradients before isolation (Fig. 3). After the sucrose gradient, subunit complexes were isolated by three different methods. In Figure 3A, complexes were bound by Bgt and isolated by anti-Bgt antibodies. In Figure 3B, complexes were isolated by bromo-ACh affinity purification. In Figure 3C, complexes were isolated by mAb 14 immunoprecipitation. Bgt binding sites as well as the conformation-dependent mAb 14 epitope are evident on complexes that migrate at 6–7 S and that contain predominantly α, β, and γ subunits (Fig. 3A,C, boxed fractions), consistent with the presence of these sites on αβγ trimer. The αβγ trimer are absent when the labeled subunits are isolated using bromo-ACh affinity purification (Fig. 3B).

The properties of the bromo-ACh affinity-purified subunit complexes in Figure 3B are consistent with αβγδ tetramers. These complexes contain all four subunits with a ~1:1:1:1 stoichiometry among the subunits and the peak fractions for these complexes, fractions 9 and 10, migrate at ~8 S, between where trimers at 6–7 S and surface αβγδ pentamers at 9 S migrate on the gradient. The properties of the Bgt-Sepharose-precipitated subunit complexes in Figure 3A are consistent with a combination of αβγ trimers and αβγδ tetramers. In the 6–7 S region, there are

Figure 2. Formation of the Bgt binding sites. A, Purification of labeled AChR subunits with Bgt-Sepharose. Cells were treated as in Figure 1A, except that precipitation using Bgt-Sepharose replaced the bromo-ACh affinity purification steps. B, Scanning densitometry of AChR subunits precipitated by Bgt-Sepharose. The time course of the formation of Bgt binding sites is shown quantitatively from the scanned values of the experiment shown in A and other experiments. All scanned values are displayed as the fraction of the binding sites at 48 hr. The 0, 6, 24, and 48 hr time points represent the mean ± SD for five separate experiments. The 3 hr time point represents the mean ± SD for five separate experiments. The 12 and 72 hr time points represent the mean for two separate experiments. At the 6 hr time point, the values for subunits were α, 0.71 ± 0.28; β, 0.57 ± 0.31; γ, 0.50 ± 0.18; and δ, 0.62 ± 0.26, yielding an αβγδ subunit stoichiometry of 1.2:1:0.87:1.1. At the 48 hr time point, the values were α, 2.2 ± 0.3; β, 1.0; γ, 1.0 ± 0.07; and δ, 1.3 ± 0.5. As with the bromo-ACh affinity purification, the ratio of the subunit to the other subunits doubled from the 6 to the 48 hr time point. The reason that the ratio of the δ subunit to the other subunits is somewhat larger than expected is attributable to the occasional presence of a contaminating band, α’, related to the α subunit that migrates on gels close to the δ subunit (Fig. 1C) and will merge with the δ subunit.

Figure 3. The first ACh site forms just after the Bgt binding site forms on αβγδ tetramers. A–C, Sedimentation of AChR subunit complexes containing Bgt binding sites (A), ACh binding sites (B), or the mAb 14 epitope (C). Cells were pulse-labeled with 35S-methionine–cysteine for 30 min at 37°C and cultured for 6 hr at 20°C. Solubilized subunits were fractionated on a 5–20% linear sucrose gradient. AChR subunits in gradient fractions 4–15 were precipitated by three different methods. In A, Bgt-bound subunit complexes were precipitated using anti-Bgt antibodies. In B, subunit complexes were bromo-ACh affinity-purified as in Figure 1A. In C, subunit complexes were immunoprecipitated with conformation-dependent mAb 14. The arrows above A mark the peak fractions of each of three standards: alkaline phosphatase (5.4S), cell surface Torpedo AChRs (9S, as in Fig. 4C), and catalase (11S), which were run on parallel gradients. Consistent with the results in Figures 1A and 2A, the majority of subunit complexes precipitated 6 hr after the 35S-methionine–cysteine label are αβγδ tetramers. This conclusion is based on the ~1:1:1:1 stoichiometry of αβγδ subunits and the fact that the peak fractions of all three gradients occur at fractions 9 and 10 or at ~8S, which is where αβγδ tetramers would be expected to sediment (Green and Claudio, 1993). A shoulder to this peak is observed at fractions 6–8 on the Bgt binding site and mAb 14 epitope gradients (highlighted by the boxed areas) but not on the ACh binding site gradient. Complexes containing predominantly α, β, and γ subunits are observed in this shoulder, which migrate at 6–7S and appear to be αβγ δ trimers. Although many of the trimers and tetramers migrate where expected, large numbers of both complexes extend farther into the gradient than expected. We do not fully understand the broad size distribution displayed by trimer and tetramers. However, this property has been observed previously for the intracellular AChR complexes, both for the Torpedo subunits at reduced temperature (Ross et al., 1991; Saedi et al., 1991; Green and Wanamaker, 1997) and for the mouse subunits at 37°C (Blount et al., 1990; Gu et al., 1991; Green and Wanamaker, 1997; Kreienkamp et al., 1995).
predominantly $\alpha \beta \gamma$ trimers. At the 8–9S region, the complexes have a subunit stoichiometry of $\sim 1:1:1:0.6$ for $\alpha \beta \beta' \delta$, attributed to the presence of both trimers and tetramers. In contrast, in the 10–11S region, the subunit stoichiometry is $\sim 1:1:1:1$, consistent with only tetramers. The results with the gradients indicate that the first ACh binding site, unlike the Bgt binding site, does not form on $\alpha \beta \gamma$ trimers. Instead, the first ACh binding site forms on $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ tetramers after the formation of the first Bgt binding site and addition of the $\delta$ subunit to $\alpha \beta \gamma$ trimers.

The second ligand binding sites form on $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ pentamers that are transported to the surface

Up to 12 hr after the pulse label, both the Bgt and ACh binding sites exist on subunit complexes with a $\sim 1:1:1:1$ stoichiometry of $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$. In the later stages of ligand binding site formation, the AChR complexes purified by Bgt-Sepharose and bromo-ACh affinity resin undergo the same change in which the amount of $\alpha$ subunit doubles (Figs. 1B, 2B). If the doubling of the $\alpha$ subunit is actually a measure of the addition of a second $\alpha$ subunit during assembly of $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ pentamers, then the second ACh and Bgt binding sites must form after the assembly of $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ pentamers. To confirm that the doubling of the $\alpha$ subunit in Figure 2B corresponds to the assembly of $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ pentamers, subunit complexes isolated using Bgt-Sepharose were size-fractionated on sucrose gradients. In Figure 4A, cells were solubilized 48 hr after the pulse label, and cell surface AChRs were selectively removed from the cell lysate to obtain intracellular complexes (see Materials and Methods for details). The distribution of subunit complexes on the gradients has clearly changed during the period between 6 hr (Fig. 3A) and 48 hr (Fig. 4A). By 48 hr, the $\alpha \beta \gamma$ trimers observed at 6 hr in the 6–7S region have disappeared, and the majority of the complexes migrate in the 9S region of the gradient, as shown by the scanned values displayed in Figure 4D. Cell surface receptors in these cells consist of a single population of $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ pentamers (Hartman et al., 1990), and the surface $^{125}$I-Bgt-bound AChRs, which were size-fractionated on parallel gradient, migrate precisely at 9S (Fig. 4C). In addition, the intracellular complexes at 48 hr in the 9S region have a subunit ratio of $\sim 2:1:1:1$ for $\alpha \beta \beta' \delta$ (see Fig. 4D legend for details), which differs from that at 6 hr in which the subunit ratios were consistent with $\alpha \beta \gamma$ trimers and $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ tetramers. These findings are all consistent with predominantly $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ pentamers precipitated by Bgt-Sepharose at 48 hr.

In Figure 4B, metabolically labeled, cell surface AChRs were also characterized on sucrose gradients. Our ability to isolate the labeled surface AChRs in Figure 4B demonstrates that the labeled complexes purified by Bgt affinity methods (Fig. 2A, B) are transported to the cell surface. These AChR subunit complexes differ from the intracellular complexes (Fig. 4A, D) in several respects. The surface complexes (Fig. 4B, E) migrate in a tighter peak centered at 9S on the sucrose gradient than the intracellular complexes. Almost all of the surface complexes are found in fractions 10, 11, and 12 of the gradient, whereas the intracellular complexes migrate in a broader peak encompassing fractions 9–14. For the surface receptors, the subunit ratio is on average 2.7:1.0:0.7:0.9 (see Fig. 4E legend for details). This subunit ratio is almost precisely the ratio obtained taking into account differences in subunit cysteine and methionine content and the ratio of $^{35}$S-methionine and $^{35}$S-cysteine during labeling, which is 2.7:1.0:0.8:1.0 for a subunit stoichiometry of 2.1:1:1 for $\alpha \beta \beta' \delta$. The ratio of the $\alpha$ to the other subunits is smaller for the intracellular complexes, ranging from $\sim 2$ for the complexes in the 9S region (fractions 10–12) to $\sim 1$ in the 11S region (fractions 13–15). The results indicate that the intracellular complexes include $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ tetramers with a $\sim 1:1:1:1$ subunit ratio and a broad distribution across the gradient (fractions 9–15) as well as $\alpha \beta \beta' \delta$ pentamers at 9S. The presence of both pentamers and tetramers explains why the ratio of the $\alpha$ to the other subunits for intracellular complexes is $\sim 2$ at 48 hr (Figs. 1B, 2B, 4D) as opposed to the expected value of 2.7. Altogether, our results characterizing subunit complexes on sucrose gradients (Figs. 3, 4) support our previous findings that AChR subunits assemble first as $\alpha \beta \gamma$ trimers, next into $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ tetramers, and finally into $\alpha \beta \beta' \delta$ pentamers, after which the complexes are transported to the cell surface (Green and Claudio, 1993; Green and Wanamaker, 1997).

The first ACh binding site forms on the $\alpha_\gamma$ subunit

Differences in the affinity of the two ACh binding sites for small ligands are caused by the association of the two $\alpha_\gamma$ subunits with different subunits, either the $\gamma$ or $\delta$ subunits (Blount and Merlie, 1989; Pedersen and Cohen, 1990; Sine and Claudio, 1991). To test which of the two ACh binding sites forms first, the AChR antagonist dTC was used to inhibit the initial rate of $^{125}$I-Bgt binding (Fig. 5). dTC binds to the two ACh binding sites with a $\sim 100$-fold difference in affinity (Neubig and Cohen, 1979; Sine and Taylor, 1981), and the high- and low-affinity sites are located on the $\alpha_\gamma$ and $\alpha_\delta$ subunits, respectively (Blount and Merlie, 1989; Pedersen and Cohen, 1990). When intracellular AChR complexes are isolated 48 hr after the cells are shifted to 37 to 20°C, the isolated complexes are predominately $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ pentamers (Fig. 4B). At this time, the inhibition by dTC is consistent with two different binding sites on the two $\alpha_\gamma$ subunits with a 70-fold difference in affinity for dTC, as calculated by the fit to the data of Figure 5 (see also Claudio et al., 1987). Different results were obtained for AChR complexes isolated 6 hr after the temperature shift, at which time assembled complexes consist of trimers and tetramers (Fig. 3; see Green and Claudio, 1993). The inhibition by dTC then was consistent with AChR complexes that predominantly contain a single binding site with high affinity for dTC (Fig. 5). The data together indicate that the first ACh binding site formed, the site found on $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ tetramers, is the high-affinity curare site located on the $\alpha_\gamma$ subunit.

As another test of which of the two ACh binding sites forms first, we obtained a conformational-dependent mAb, mAb 247g, which has been reported to specifically recognize and block the high-affinity dTC site on the $\alpha_\gamma$ subunit (Mihovilovic and Richman, 1987). At saturating concentrations, mAb 247g blocked $\sim 50\%$ of the surface Bgt binding sites (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, when the inhibition of $^{125}$I-Bgt binding by dTC was measured for subunit complexes precipitated by mAb 247g 48 hr after the temperature shift, the inhibition by dTC was consistent with AChR complexes that predominantly contain a single binding site with the low affinity for dTC (Fig. 6B). Together, the data indicate that mAb 247g binds to and blocks the binding site on the $\alpha_\gamma$ subunit but not the other binding site. When mAb 247g was used to immunoprecipitate labeled subunits undergoing assembly (Fig. 6C), we obtained results similar to those obtained using the bromo-ACh (Fig. 1A) and Bgt (Fig. 2A) affinity resin. This similarity is shown quantitatively in Figure 6D, in which the scanned values for all four subunits are displayed. These data demonstrate that the mAb 247g epitope forms at approximately the same time as the first ACh site, well before the second ACh site forms, and is further evidence that the $\alpha_\gamma$ ACh binding site is the first ACh binding site formed.
DISCUSSION

ACh binding sites form on subunit complexes other than αγ and αδ heterodimers

The data presented in this paper contradict the current model in which it is proposed that the two ACh sites form at the same time early in the process of subunit assembly. According to this model, the binding sites appear after α subunits have assembled with γ or δ subunits into αγ and αδ heterodimers. Differences in the two binding sites occur because one binding site forms in the vicinity of the αγ subunit interface on the resulting αγ heterodimers, and the other site forms in a homologous location on αδ heterodimers. After ACh binding site formation, αγ and αδ heterodimers assemble with β subunits into αγβγδ pentamers (Blount et al., 1990; Gu et al., 1991; Saedi et al., 1991). The evidence in support of this “heterodimer model” is based on experiments in which less than the full complement of AChR subunits were heterologously expressed. When α subunits are expressed alone (Blount and Merlie, 1988; Paulson et al., 1991) or with β subunits (Blount and
Merlie, 1989), no ACh binding sites form. However, when α subunits are expressed with either γ or δ subunits, ACh binding sites with high affinity for curare form on αγ subunit complexes, and ACh binding sites with low affinity for curare form on the αδ subunit complexes.

It is important to note that there are no disagreements about the data on which the heterodimer model is based. In fact, we obtained similar results when the same combinations of Torpedo AChR subunits were expressed (Green and Claudio, 1993). Contradictions with the heterodimer model only arose when studying the assembly of all four AChR subunits. Two features of our methods allowed us to overcome difficulties previously encountered when isolating AChR assembly intermediates. First, it was critical that AChR complexes were solubilized in detergent other than Triton X-100, which causes the dissociation of most partially assembled AChR subunit complexes. We used a mixture of Lubrol PX and phosphatidylcholine to solubilize the subunit complexes, and this mixture appears to preserve most of the subunit associations (Green and Claudio, 1993; Green and Wanamaker, 1997). The second feature is the temperature sensitivity of the Torpedo AChR subunit assembly. At 20°C, the temperature at which experiments were performed in this paper, the rate of assembly is slowed by more than an order of magnitude, greatly aiding the isolation of subunit complexes on which the ACh binding sites form.

Within the error of our measurements, we find no evidence for ACh binding to αγ or αδ heterodimers. All complexes isolated by bromo-ACh affinity purification, Bgt-Sepharose precipitation, or mAb 247g immunoprecipitation contained β subunits. In addition, when size-fractionated on sucrose gradients, the isolated complexes are larger than expected for heterodimer complexes, either αβγ trimers, αβγδ tetramers, or αγδβγδ pentamers (Figs. 3, 4). It is unlikely that we missed αγ and αδ heterodimers with ACh binding sites using these different techniques. Even though the bromo-ACh affinity purification of the AChR subunits does not quantitatively bind all AChR complexes, the αγ and αδ heterodimers would have to bind ACh with a lower affinity than the complexes purified for us to have missed these complexes. A number of studies have demonstrated that αγ and αδ complexes bind agonists and antagonists with the same affinity as the fully assembled receptor (Blount and Merlie, 1989), thus eliminating this possibility. Furthermore, although the bromo-ACh affinity purification is not quantitative, precipitations using both Bgt-Sepharose and mAb 247g are quantitative; that is, we precipitate just as much of the assembling subunit complexes with the Bgt-Sepharose and mAb 247g as with any other subunit-specific antigen. Because Bgt binding sites and the mAb 247g epitope appear to overlap with ACh binding sites on αγ and αδ dimers, both techniques should have precipitated any αγ and αδ dimers. Finally, previous studies from our laboratory using different subunit-specific and conformation-dependent antibodies never found evidence for the assembly of αγ and αδ heterodimers in cells expressing all four AChR subunits, neither Torpedo AChR subunits at 20°C nor mouse AChR subunits at 37°C (Green and Claudio, 1993; Green and Wanamaker, 1997). Thus, the results do not appear to be an artifact attributed to the temperature or species specificity.

**Subunit association sites for the δ and second α subunits**

Contrary to the heterodimer model, we found that the two distinguishable ACh binding sites form at different times. While the AChR is still assembling, the first ACh binding site forms on αγ subunits apparently in αβγδ tetramers. After completion of subunit assembly, the second site forms on αδ subunits in αγδβγδ pentamers. These findings, together with our data that the first Bgt binding site and the mAb 14 epitope appear on αβγ trimers (Fig. 3A,C), are in agreement with “the sequential model” of AChR assembly that we previously proposed (Green and Claudio, 1993). As shown in Figure 7A, the sequential model is consistent with two different pathways, which can be distinguished based on the order in which the two ACh binding sites form. Evidence was presented that the first ACh binding site formed is the αγ site and the second ACh binding site is the δ site. AChRs assemble, therefore, along path 2, with the δ subunit assembling at the interface between the γ and β subunits and the second α subunit assembling at the interface between the γ and δ subunits.

Although the two unassembled subunits associate between different pairs of subunits, both associate with the same γ subunit interface, the interface in which the β subunit also associates during the assembly of the αβγ trimer (Fig. 7B). This region of the γ subunit appears to play a critical role in the oligomerization process, because it is the only subunit interface that accepts different unassembled subunits during assembly. Our data suggest that this region changes subunit specificity to accommodate the three different subunits at different times during assembly.

The formation of the two ACh binding sites at different times and on different AChR subunit complexes may be a factor contributing to the differences between the two ACh binding sites. It is thought that the two AChR agonist binding sites are distinguishable because the two α subunits interface with different

![Figure 5. The first ACh site formed has a high affinity for curare. Displayed is the inhibition of the initial rate of 125I-Bgt binding to AChR intracellular subunit complexes by dTC. Intracellular subunit complexes were isolated 6 (filled circles) or 48 (open squares) hr after cells were shifted from 37 to 20°C by immunoprecipitation with mAb 14. For the AChR subunit complexes isolated 48 hr after the temperature shift, the data were well fit by assuming an equal number of two binding sites with a ~70-fold difference in the IC50, 4.5 ± 1.4 × 10⁻⁷ versus 3.0 ± 0.7 × 10⁻⁵ M, for dTC, as indicated by the line through the data. For the AChR subunit complexes isolated 6 hr after the temperature shift, the data could not be fit by the same model used to fit the 48 hr data. Instead, most of the inhibition by dTC (~80%) was well fit assuming a single binding site, as indicated by the line through the data. The IC50 for this site, 8.5 ± 1.7 × 10⁻⁵ M, was approximately the same as the high-affinity value estimated from the two-site model fit to the 48 hr data. The rest of the sites (~20%) were well fit by assuming a single low-affinity binding site for dTC. These data indicate that the first ACh binding site formed, the site found on αβγδ tetramers, is the high-affinity curare site. Each point represents the mean ± SD of three determinations, with each determination the mean of results from two 6 cm cultures.](image)
subunits, either γ or δ subunits (Blount and Merlie, 1989; Pedersen and Cohen, 1990; Sine and Claudio, 1991). Recently, however, we have found differences in the agonist binding sites of another AChR subtype consisting of subunits derived from the same gene product (Rangwala et al., 1997). The distinctions between the agonist binding sites of this homomeric AChR cannot arise because of different “structural” subunits interfacing with the ligand-binding subunits. An alternative mechanism must be causing the differences in the ligand binding sites. Our results suggest that differences in the homomeric AChR ligand binding sites could be caused by asymmetries in the process by which the ligand binding sites form. Asymmetries, such as the ligand binding sites forming at different times in the assembly process or on AChR subunit complexes of different sizes, could give rise to differences in ligand binding sites even though all the subunits derive from the same gene product. It is further possible that the same asymmetries are contributing to the distinctions between the two ACh binding sites on the muscle-type AChR.

Subunit folding during and after AChR assembly

This study provides additional evidence that the AChR subunits continue to fold during subunit assembly and new evidence that subunit folding continues after all of the subunits have assembled together into pentamers. Previously, we have shown that subunit folding events, such as the formation of the first Bgt binding site and the mAb 14 epitope, appear to be the rate-limiting steps in the assembly of the AChR pentamer (Green and Claudio, 1993), and that prevention of these or other folding events can block subunit assembly at different stages (Green and Wanamaker, 1997). As diagrammed in Figure 7B, we envision that these folding events underlie the subunit rearrangements on the αβγ trimers and αβγδ tetramers that change the subunit specificity at the sites in which the unassembled δ and second α subunits associate. The formation of the ACh binding sites appears to be different from other folding events in that they immediately follow the assembly of the δ and second α subunits and do not
contribute to any change in the sites in which unassembled subunits assemble. Previously it was shown that AChR complexes continue to reside in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) after pentamers have assembled (Ross et al., 1991). The formation of the second ACh and Bgt binding sites after assembly of the AChR pentamer suggests that the ER “quality control” mechanisms (Hurtley and Helenius, 1989) recognize and selectively retain pentamers lacking the second ACh and Bgt binding sites. Because

Figure 7. A. The order of ACh binding site formation distinguishes between two different paths of AChR subunit assembly. Displayed are two different paths of AChR subunit assembly consistent with our previous studies (Green and Claudio, 1993; Green and Wanamaker, 1997). The two paths differ where the δ and second α subunits associate (marked by arrows) and where the two different ACh binding sites, the high-affinity dTC site (marked as dTC) or the low-affinity dTC site (marked as ACh), form first. AChR subunit assembly along path 2 is demonstrated by our finding that the α2ACh binding site forms first. The subunit arrangement of the α2βγδ pentamer shown in both paths is the favored arrangement (Karlin et al., 1983; Blount and Merlie, 1989; Pedersen and Cohen, 1990; Sine and Claudio, 1991; Machold et al., 1995). Another subunit arrangement of the α2βγδ pentamer has been proposed (Kubalek et al., 1987), in which the positions of the β and γ subunits in the pentamer are switched. However, the positions of the arrows do not change with this arrangement, even though the positions of the β and γ subunits change. B. The subunit folding and oligomerization events that precede ACh binding site formation. Diagrammed are the subunit folding and oligomerization events that precede formation of both ACh binding sites. Subunit folding events are denoted by the filled arrows, and oligomerization events are denoted by the open arrows. Formation of the first Bgt binding site and the mAb 14 epitope precede the addition of the δ subunit and the formation of the first ACh binding site, as described in the Discussion. Other folding events, not described in this paper, appear to precede the addition of the second α subunit and the formation of the second ACh binding site (Green and Wanamaker, 1997). Our results indicate that the folding events lead to a change in the subunit specificity at the sites in which the unassembled δ and second α subunits associate. This change in the subunit specificity is shown schematically by the change in the shading of the γ subunit region that contributes to the interfaces in which the different unassembled subunits associate.
activation of the AChR requires formation of both ACh binding sites (Cash and Hess, 1980; Sine and Taylor, 1980), the AChR is not functional until after assembly of the α2βγδ pentamer, and it is an intriguing possibility that AChR activation serves as the signal for receptor release from the ER.
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