Multitasking in the Olfactory System: Context-Dependent Responses to Odors Reveal Dual GABA-Regulated Coding Mechanisms in Single Olfactory Projection Neurons
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Studies of olfaction have focused mainly on neural processing of information about the chemistry of odors, but olfactory stimuli have other properties that also affect central responses and thus influence behavior. In moths, continuous and intermittent stimulation with the same odor evoke two distinct flight behaviors, but the neural basis of this differential response is unknown. Here we show that certain projection neurons (PNs) in the primary olfactory center in the brain give context-dependent responses to a specific odor blend, and these responses are shaped in several ways by a bicuculline-sensitive GABA receptor. Pharmacological dissection of PN responses reveals that bicuculline blocks GABA_A-like receptors/chloride channels in PNs, and that these receptors play a critical role in shaping the responses of these glomerular output neurons. The firing patterns of PNs are not odor-specific but are strongly modulated by the temporal pattern of the odor stimulus. Brief repetitive odor pulses evoke fast inhibitory potentials, followed by discrete bursts of action potentials that are phase-locked to the pulses. In contrast, the response to a single prolonged stimulus with the same odor is a series of slow oscillations underlying irregular firing. Bicuculline disrupts the timing of both types of responses, suggesting that GABA_A-like receptors underlie both coding mechanisms. These results suggest that glomerular output neurons could use more than one coding scheme to represent a single olfactory stimulus. Moreover, these context-dependent odor responses encode information about both the chemical composition and the temporal pattern of the odor signal. Together with behavioral evidence, these findings suggest that context-dependent odor responses evoke different perceptions in the brain that provide the animal with important information about the spatiotemporal variations that occur in natural odor plumes.
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Offactory glomeruli have long been suspected of playing a major role in processing information about the molecular features of odor stimuli (Adrian, 1950; Mori and Yoshihara, 1995; Hildebrand and Shepherd, 1997), and mounting evidence supports the concept of a glomerulus as a distinct spatial “address” in the brain for the representation of a particular type of odor ligand (Axel, 1995; Buck, 1996; Mombaerts et al., 1996). In contrast, the coding of stimulus features other than those related to the chemical composition of the odor has received relatively little attention. For example, natural olfactory stimuli possess a fine-scale structure, and the behavior of many animals is influenced by changes in the spatiotemporal properties of a meandering odor plume (Dethier, 1987; Murlis, 1997). Behavioral evidence from both aquatic (Moore, 1994; Atema, 1995) and terrestrial invertebrates (Willis and Baker, 1984; Vickers and Baker, 1992, 1994; Mafra-Neto and Cardé, 1994) shows, moreover, that these animals often cannot locate the source of an odor unless the stimulus is intermittent. Male moths perform stereotyped “zig-zagging” flight maneuvers that may further contribute to their intermittent perception of the sex pheromone released by a “calling” female (for review, see Cardé and Minks, 1997). Mammals also use active sampling strategies (e.g., sniffing) that impose on the sensory epithelium a distinct temporal pattern of odor stimulation, and different odorants or changes in odor concentration can evoke different patterns of sniffing (Youngentob et al., 1987). Thus, when the brain first detects an olfactory stimulus, the initial representation of the odor is often intermittent. Because numerous environmental factors can influence the temporal patterns of impulse activity in olfactory receptor cells, it can be expected that neural circuits in the primary olfactory center in the brain can encode or even accentuate these temporal patterns to preserve the quality of the olfactory information available for higher processing.

The nature of the processing codes used by neural circuits in the brain has been debated for many years (for review, see Hopfield, 1996; König et al., 1996; de Ruyter van Steveninck et al., 1997), and this discussion has included the mechanisms by which odors are discriminated in the olfactory system (Adrian, 1942; Haberly, 1985; Gelperin et al., 1996; Laurent, 1996). Such a code may use the average rate of firing to represent a stimulus, or alternatively, the precise sequence of action potentials may underlie a temporal code for odor information, often involving oscillations in membrane potential that tend to synchronize network activity (for review, see Bullock, 1997). In either case, the patterns of action potentials tend to be temporally complex. Intracellular analysis of mitral/tufted cells in vertebrates and the analogous projection neurons (PNs) in insects has indeed revealed complex responses to odor stimulation, including both...
depolarizing and hyperpolarizing potentials that produce temporally dynamic spike trains (Hamilton and Kauer, 1989; Kanzaki et al., 1989; Wells et al., 1989; Christensen et al., 1993, 1996; Mori and Yoshihara, 1995; Laurent, 1996).

In this study, we investigated the integration of EPSPs and IPSPs in olfactory PNs of the sphinx moth Manduca sexta in the production of such patterned responses and examined the effects of these inputs on the spike code used by these glomerular output neurons. Most, if not all, of the ~360 wide-field local interneurons (LN), with arborizations in most or all of the glomeruli in the antennal (olfactory) lobe, stain with antibodies against GABA (Hoskins et al., 1986; Distler, 1990; Homberg, 1990; Malun, 1991; Leitch and Laurent, 1996), and spike-triggered averaging and cross-correlation analyses of LN–PN paired recordings show that the LN are the primary source of synaptic inhibition to PNs (see Fig. 1A) (Christensen et al., 1993, 1996; MacLeod and Laurent, 1996). Here, we present evidence that changes in the temporal pattern of an odor stimulus have a direct impact on the GABA-regulated response patterns of PNs. Our findings also suggest that a single PN may use different coding mechanisms according to the particular environmental context in which the odor is presented. Both the fast IPSPs that modulate responses to rapid changes in odor concentration as well as the slower oscillations that emerge only under conditions of continuous stimulation are regulated by a bicuculline-sensitive GABA$_A$-type receptor on the dendrites of the PNs.

A preliminary report of some of this work appeared elsewhere (Christensen et al., 1998).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. M. sexta were reared from eggs on an artificial diet, as described previously (Christensen and Hildebrand, 1987), and dissected and prepared for intracellular recording by established procedures (Waldrop et al., 1987; Hansson et al., 1991; Christensen et al., 1993). After the antennal lobe (AL) had been desheathed with fine forceps, the preparation was superfused continuously (1–2 ml/min) with a physiological saline solution containing (in mM): 150 NaCl, 3 CaCl$_2$, 3 KCl, 10 N-tris(hydroxymethyl)methyl-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid buffer, and 25 sucrose. pH 7.5. (Christensen et al., 1992). Intracellular recordings were obtained from coarse neurites in the glomerular neuropil in which physiological data were recorded and stored on magnetic tape for off-line characterization of postsynaptic potentials in LNs and PNs. Electrophysiological data were collected with established procedures (Waldrop et al., 1987) and expressed as the mean + SEM. Data were digitized and analyzed with AxoScope software (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA) or with Experimenter’s Workbench (DataWave Technologies, Longmont, CO). Electrodes were filled with one of the following: 3 M KCl for chloride injection experiments; 2 M K-acetate for most recordings; or 4% (w/v) Lucifer yellow CH in water to examine the morphology of some neurons after injection with 10 nA/min negative current. Histology and viewing of stained neurons followed standard procedures (Christensen et al., 1993). The odor stimulus used in all experiments was the natural sex pheromone obtained by rinsing a single female moth’s pheromone gland with n-hexane (Tumlinson et al., 1989). Odor stimuli were prepared and delivered as described previously (Christensen et al., 1993), and the timing of the stimulus pulses was controlled via a computerized stimulator running customized ASYST scientific software (Keithly Instruments, Rochester, NY), as published previously (Waldrop and Hildebrand, 1989).

Multibarreled microinjection system. An array of seven independently regulated glass pressure pipettes (1.5 mm outer diameter, 0.7 mm inner diameter; Frederick Haer, Brunswick, ME) was used for focal pressure ejection of GABA, ACh, and other compounds into the neuropil (Waldrop and Hildebrand, 1989). The pipette tips were dipped in cyanoacrylate adhesive to prevent uneven breakage of the barrels, and the final tip diameter measured 50–60 μm for all seven barrels. All compounds were dissolved in physiological saline and prepared daily or stored at 4°C for 1–2 d. Final concentrations inside the pipette were as follows: 1–100 mM GABA and ACh; 1–100 μM bicuculline methiodide (BMI); and 1–100 mM muscimol, baclofen, and cis-4-aminoacrotic acid (CACA). All of these agents were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO) or Research Biochemicals (Natick, MA). One barrel of the seven-barrel array was always reserved for a saline control to check for mechanical effects. These were minimal as long as the pressure pipette array was situated at least 100 μm from the intracellular microelectrode. In all experiments, the pressure pipette array was situated in the neuropil and fixed in position first, allowing for stable intracellular recordings for up to 45 min.

RESULTS

Orthodromic stimulation of the antennal nerve evokes a triphasic response in olfactory PNs

Olfactory PNs in the moth AL were readily identified on the basis of their characteristic triphasic (inhibition–excitation–inhibition) response to brief electrical shocks of the antennal nerve (Christensen et al., 1996) (Fig. 1B). Stimulation of the ipsilateral antennal nerve with an appropriate odorant (or odor blend) evokes the same basic pattern of activity in males and females (Christensen et al., 1993; King et al., 1997; Selchow et al., 1997). In males, it has been shown that the firing patterns of certain PNs that innervate the male-specific macroglomerular complex (MGC) can be tightly time-locked to the stimulus (Fig. 1C), even if the stimulus is a randomized series of odor pulses of varying duration (Christensen and Hildebrand, 1997). One purpose of this study was to investigate the pharmacology of the synaptic receptors that underlie the triphasic response and the tightly stimulus-locked responses of these PNs, the glomerular output neurons. The triphasic response consists of a rapid-onset hyperpolarizing IPSP (I$_1$), followed by a depolarizing EPSP, which generally gives rise to one or more action potentials. Another hyperpolarizing phase (I$_2$) follows I$_1$ and the EPSP and can prevent the PN from firing for several seconds (Fig. 2A).

Several different pharmacological manipulations, involving both ion substitution tests and pressure ejection of GABA and related compounds, showed that the I$_1$ phase could be mimicked by delivery of a pulse of GABA into the glomerular neuropil (see below), was mediated by an increased conductance to chloride ions (Fig. 2C), and was reversibly blocked by bicuculline, an antagonist of vertebrate GABA$_A$ receptors (Waldrop et al., 1987) (Fig. 1B). In contrast, the later I$_2$ phase was insensitive to changes in external or internal chloride concentration (Fig. 2C) and exhibited a reversal potential with a mean value nearly 10 mV below that for I$_1$ (Fig. 2B). For eight PNs, the mean reversal potential (relative to rest) for I$_1$ was $-13.1 \pm 0.5$ mV (mean ± SEM), whereas the value for I$_2$ was $-22.5 \pm 0.7$ mV. Unlike I$_1$, I$_2$ was not blocked by bicuculline (see below), nor did this antagonist affect either the delayed EPSP that follows I$_1$ in PNs or the monosynaptic excitatory input to local interneurons (Fig. 1B). In PNs, the blockade of I$_1$ by bicuculline resulted in marked desynchronization of the first PN spike in response to successive stimuli, but there were no spike failures, even with repetitive stimulation at 10 Hz (Fig. 1B). These findings point to different synaptic mechanisms for the three phases of the PN response and thus to a specific action of bicuculline on the receptors that mediate the fast early inhibitory phase, I$_1$.

Although these results suggest that bicuculline specifically blocks GABA receptors that mediate I$_1$, they do not rule out an action of bicuculline on other elements in the neural pathways converging on the PN. To demonstrate a direct effect of bicuculline on the GABA receptors of PNs, we used a microinjection system fitted with a multibarrel pressure pipette to introduce up
to seven different agents into the glomerular neuropil of the AL while simultaneously recording from a single PN with an intracellular microelectrode. With this system, we introduced pulses of GABA into the glomerular neuropil before and after application of bicuculline. Because bicuculline has been reported to antagonize cholinergic receptors in other insect preparations (Buckingham et al., 1994), and ACh is a putative transmitter of olfactory receptor cells in moths (Homberg et al., 1995, and references therein), the effects of bicuculline were also tested on pulses of ACh delivered into the glomerular neuropil.

**GABA mimics the early inhibitory phase of the PN response**

A brief pressure pulse of GABA (100 mM) delivered into the AL neuropil 100–200 μm from the recording electrode evoked a rapid membrane hyperpolarization, followed by complete cessation of background firing for many seconds (Fig. 3). This inhibitory response was robust and reproducible and was observed in 23 of 30 PNs tested. When the effects of several common agonists of GABA receptors were tested, these agents also revealed a specific pharmacological profile for the PN GABA receptor. The hyperpolarizing response of PNs to GABA application was mimicked by the GABA_A receptor agonist muscimol (n = 5) but not by the GABA_B agonist baclofen (n = 5) or by CACA (n = 5), an agonist used to characterize bicuculline- and baclofen-insensitive (GABA_C) receptors (Fig. 3) (Woodward et al., 1993).

**Bicuculline blocks the inhibitory response to GABA but facilitates the excitatory response to ACh**

The hyperpolarizing response to GABA was dose-dependent and in most cases was completely and reversibly abolished by a 100 msec pulse of bicuculline immediately preceding the GABA pulse (Fig. 4). In two cases in which GABA evoked a depolarizing response, pretreatment with bicuculline had no effect (Fig. 5). In sharp contrast, in 15 cases in which bicuculline was tested on hyperpolarizing GABA responses, the same pretreatment with the antagonist led to a 95% reduction in the response to a 100 msec pulse of GABA (Fig. 5). The effects of bicuculline were easily reversed after an interval of only a few minutes between trials. In eight cases in which ACh was tested in the same preparation with GABA, ACh evoked an excitatory response consisting of membrane depolarization and an increased rate of spiking activity (Figs. 4, 5). Unlike its antagonistic effect on GABA responses, a pulse of bicuculline preceding the ACh pulse did not block the excitatory response evoked by ACh. Instead, the ACh response was enhanced by pretreatment with bicuculline, resulting in almost a doubling of the mean rate of spike activity evoked by ACh alone (191 ± 11% of control; n = 8) (Fig. 5).
cases in which ACh evoked a hyperpolarizing response, bicuculline had no effect on the response of one PN and reduced the response of the other by 50% (Fig. 5).

Bicuculline disrupts the temporal pattern of action potentials evoked by odor stimulation

In PNs that give mixed inhibitory and excitatory responses to the blend of pheromone components (the so-called “blend neurons” of the MGC), the duration of the excitatory phase of the response to odor stimulation closely matches the duration of the stimulus from 50 to 500 msec (Christensen and Hildebrand, 1997). These PNs can report rapid changes in the temporal pattern of pheromonal stimuli striking the antenna. It is not known, however, how these neurons respond to prolonged stimulation in which the antenna is exposed to a continuous stream of odor of duration 500 msec. Such sustained stimuli are used in studies of the responses of olfactory networks in several other insect species in which prolonged stimulation with odor evokes dynamic patterns of firing, often far outlasting the stimulus itself (Sun et al., 1993; Laurent, 1996; Joerges et al., 1997). We investigated the responses of MGC blend neurons in M. sexta under similar conditions of prolonged stimulation.

As shown in Figure 6, when the antenna was stimulated with a 300 msec pulse of pheromone (the species-specific blend), the burst of spikes evoked in the blend neuron matched the duration of the stimulus (305 ± 7 msec) (Christensen and Hildebrand, 1997) and reached a maximum instantaneous frequency of 129 ± 11 impulses/sec (n = 11). After the stimulus-linked response, the membrane repolarized and produced a second burst of action potentials. The end of the stimulus was marked by a pronounced membrane hyperpolarization that persisted for several seconds as the membrane gradually returned to its resting state. As the stimulus

Figure 2. Effects of injected current and Cl⁻ ion substitution on the postsynaptic potentials recorded in a moth PN. A. Electrical stimulation of the antennal nerve (asterisk) evoked the characteristic triphasic response in the PN, and each phase responded differently to a shift in the resting membrane potential. B. The two inhibitory phases (I₁ and I₂) displayed distinctly different reversal potentials in response to injected current. Plots of IPSP amplitude versus membrane potential (relative to rest) reveal that the later relatively prolonged I₂ phase of the PN response (○—○) had a reversal potential that was nearly 10 mV more negative than that of the early fast I₁ phase (●—●). C. I₁ and I₂ evoked by antennal nerve shock (asterisks) were affected differently by changes in the value of E_{C_l}. Reducing extracellular chloride by substituting 18.7 mM Na₂SO₄ for 37.5 mM NaCl (top records) or increasing intracellular chloride by passing hyperpolarizing current (bottom records) led to a decrease and reversal of I₁, but not of I₂ (broken traces). Either treatment caused I₁ to become depolarizing, accompanied by increased excitability in the PN (E). Effect in bottom records is shown immediately after current was discontinued. The effects of both treatments were highly reproducible and readily reversible after only a few minutes of recovery time. The I₁ phase recovered fully after a return to normal saline solution for 5 min (top) or cessation of hyperpolarizing current for 5 min (bottom). Resting potential is indicated by dashed horizontal lines.
duration was increased further, the responses of these blend neurons became more and more oscillatory ($n = 11$) (Fig. 6). The evoked oscillations were observed only with such extended stimuli, and more cycles were observed as stimulus duration was increased. Blend neurons fired action potentials only during a few cycles of the evoked oscillation, and the cycles that were correlated with firing were not the same from trial to trial in a given neuron (Fig. 6). The precise temporal sequence of firing was not the same for each stimulus pulse but became more temporally complex with increasing stimulus duration. The end of the sequence was punctuated by pronounced membrane hyperpolarization, which ensured that each sequence also reflected the duration of the stimulus. This hyperpolarization slowly decayed over several seconds before the membrane returned to its prestimulated state.

Rhythmic response patterns such as those shown in Figure 6 could be evoked by more than one regimen of stimuli. As shown above, these patterns resulted from prolonged stimulation, but discontinuous stimulation with the same odor blend evoked responses that were time-locked to the patterned stimuli (Figs. 1C, 7) (Christensen and Hildebrand, 1997). With either stimulation scheme, these rhythmic spiking patterns in blend neurons were completely disrupted by treatment with bicuculline. A rhythmic pattern produced by five discrete pulses of a pheromonal stimulus was transformed by bicuculline treatment into a tonic burst of spikes that could no longer encode the temporal pattern of the pulsatile input (Fig. 7A). The later hyperpolarizing phase of the response ($I_2$), however, was not abolished by bicuculline, and the membrane hyperpolarized after the last stimulus pulse. In response to a single 5 sec exposure of the antenna to the same...
pheromonal stimulus, however, the blend neuron exhibited oscillatory activity with a temporal pattern very different from that produced by the pulsatile stimulus described above (Fig. 7B). This oscillatory response was also completely disrupted by bicuculline, in the presence of which the extended pheromonal stimulus evoked tonic firing that persisted throughout the stimulation period. Again, the later I2 phase of the response was not affected by bicuculline. Experiments using the same stimulation protocol to test four additional blend-selective PNs confirmed that the facilitating effects of bicuculline were reproducible and readily reversible.

DISCUSSION
Pharmacology of a GABA receptor in moth olfactory PNs

We are now beginning to understand the pharmacology of the GABA receptors that mediate the fast early IPSP (I1) in moth olfactory PNs. The properties of these receptors appear to be more like those of the classical vertebrate GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors than those reported for other arthropod GABA receptors (see below) (Hosie et al., 1997). Bicuculline, a potent antagonist of vertebrate GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors, including receptors apparently on the dendrites of vertebrate mitral/tufted cells (Duchamp-Viret, 1993; Duchamp-Viret and Duchamp, 1993), is also a potent antagonist of I1 in moth olfactory PNs (Waldrop et al., 1987). Bicuculline reversibly blocks I1 after only a few minutes of exposure but leaves the EPSP and I2 phase of the response intact. Removal of the shunting effect of the Cl\textsuperscript{−} conductance underlying I1 leads to a marked increase in the depolarizing phase of the PN response, along with increased excitability (Figs. 1B, 2C, 4). Thus, regulation of these receptors is a key to controlling the output from the glomerulus.

Unlike their vertebrate counterparts, which probably use glutamate as the primary excitatory transmitter at synapses between olfactory receptor–cell axons and mitral/tufted cells (Trombley and Shepherd, 1993; van den Pol, 1995), olfactory receptor neurons in insects appear to use ACh (Waldrop and Hildebrand, 1989; Homberg et al., 1995). In this regard, it is important to note that bicuculline has been shown to block certain nicotinic ACh receptors in insects (Buckingham et al., 1994), perhaps reflecting the highly conserved subunit composition of GABA\textsubscript{A} and ACh receptors (Macdonald and Olsen, 1994; McKernan and Whiting, 1996). Thus, the effects of bicuculline injected into the glomerular neuropil in vivo might be attributable to blockade of ACh receptors rather than GABA receptors (Buckingham et al., 1994). We tested this hypothesis by measuring responses to injected GABA and ACh and then examining the effects of pretreatment with bicuculline on these responses. We found that a pulse of injected bicuculline preceding a GABA pulse eliminated the hyperpolarization evoked by GABA but did not antagonize the depolarization evoked by ACh. In fact, bicuculline significantly facilitated the depolarization evoked by ACh, leading to a substantial increase in the rate of spike activity (Figs. 4, 5). One plausible explanation for this effect is that in vivo, ACh released by primary afferent axons activates parallel excitatory and inhibitory pathways that converge on the PN (Fig. 8). When ACh is applied alone, these two inputs are integrated and balanced in the PN, resulting in a net reduction in the overall response. In the pres-
ence of bicuculline, however, the GABA-mediated inhibitory input is blocked, leaving the excitatory input to dominate the response. Thus, in the moth olfactory system, bicuculline appears to be a potent antagonist of a GABA<sub>A</sub>-type receptor but not of cholinergic receptors. Our evidence therefore suggests that the subunit composition of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor on moth PNs, particularly the low-affinity bicuculline-binding site, is distinct from that of other reportedly bicuculline-insensitive insect GABA receptors (Sattelle, 1990; ffrench-Constant, 1994; Waldrop, 1994; Hosie et al., 1997). As further evidence of this, the response to GABA application is mimicked by the GABA<sub>A</sub>-receptor agonist muscimol but not by the GABA<sub>B</sub> agonist baclofen or by cis-4-aminocrotonic acid, a probe used for bicuculline- and baclofen-insensitive (GABA<sub>C</sub>) receptors (Fig. 3) (Woodward et al., 1993).

Timing of PN responses is not odor-specific, and different temporal patterns of the same stimulus evoke two distinct flight behaviors

We have shown that in certain olfactory PNs, which are involved in central processing of sex-pheromonal information in male moths, the response to continuous stimulation varies with stimulus duration and is distinctly different from the stimulus-locked responses to pulses of odor. These results suggest that a qualitatively different message is relayed by PNs to higher centers in the protocerebrum under conditions of prolonged and intermittent odor exposure (Figs. 6, 7) (Christensen and Hildebrand, 1997). A sustained pheromonal stimulus (longer than ~500 msec) results in a temporally dynamic pattern of spiking (Figs. 6, 7B), and this oscillatory activity is completely disrupted by bicuculline, with the result that the PN remains tonically depolarized throughout the response sequence (Fig. 7B). This finding indicates that the slow oscillations of membrane potential that develop under conditions of prolonged stimulation could result from feedback to the GABAergic local interneurons that synaptically inhibit the PNs (Fig. 8). In support of this model, output synapses that could...
mediate recurrent inhibition of PNs have been identified in several species (Malun, 1991; Leitch and Laurent, 1996; Distler and Boeckh, 1997; Sun et al., 1997). According to this view, GABA release, and thus the shutting of excitatory currents in the PN, would be periodic, resulting in transient impulse initiation during the positive phase of the oscillation cycles, as shown in Figures 6 and 7B.

There is abundant evidence from a variety of moth species that the temporal pattern of a sex-pheromonal stimulus is important in determining the male’s behavior. Intermittent stimulation with sex pheromone is necessary for sustained upwind flight (Kennedy et al., 1980, 1981; Willis and Baker, 1984; Baker et al., 1985) or walking (Kramer, 1992; Kanzaki, 1997) toward a pheromone source, but flying moths cease to make upwind progress and instead begin to “cast” or counterturn across the wind line when exposed to a prolonged pheromonal stimulus (Kennedy et al., 1980, 1981; Willis and Baker, 1984; Baker et al., 1985; Baker and Haynes, 1989). Males that have temporarily lost a pheromone plume they have been tracking (owing, for example, to a shift in wind direction) also enter into casting behavior, which often helps them regain contact with the plume. Thus, judging from their behavior, continuous exposure of male moths to sex pheromone is operationally equivalent to experiencing an odor-free environment. We hypothesize that the slow oscillatory response of PNs to prolonged odor stimulation might help synchronize periodic neural output from the MGC and therefore could be involved in driving or modulating the temporal regularity of casting behavior that is expressed under this particular stimulus condition. The slow periodic PN response evoked by prolonged odor stimulation could therefore be interpreted by higher centers as representing a stimulus condition different from intermittent stimulation with the same odor. Female moths flying in a plume of host plant odor also exhibit casting behavior after having lost the plume in a shifting wind field or under conditions of continuous stimulation (Haynes and Baker, 1989; Willis and Arbas, 1991). This pattern of behavior and the neural mechanisms that underlie it are therefore not unique to males or to pheromonal information processing in the brain.

Two coding schemes in one neuron

Our findings suggest that insect PNs could use different coding schemes to discriminate between persistent and intermittent stimulation with the same odor. When the stimulus is intermittent and the pulse durations are short, the PNs can effectively track even random pulses (Fig. 7A) (Christensen and Hildebrand, 1997), and these neurons and other PNs use a rate code to report concentration changes (Christensen and Hildebrand, 1987; Kanzaki et al., 1989; Hildebrand and Shepherd, 1997). When the signal is more uniform and prolonged, however, the GABAergic LNs that synaptically influence PNs (and each other) in the glomerular neuropil could also contribute to oscillatory activity underlying a temporal code (Figs. 6, 7B). In other insects, 20–35 Hz oscillations have been shown recently to underlie synchrony among subsets of AL neurons in response to prolonged odor stimulation (Laurent, 1996), and similar rapid oscillations in the local field potential have been observed in the pheromone-processing MGC in M. sexta (Heinbockel et al., 1998). It has been suggested that a given odor may be represented in the AL by a unique dynamical pattern of activity across a spatially distributed population of PNs, but it is not known whether these patterns would persist under more naturally intermittent stimulus conditions.

In moth PNs, oscillations emerge only as a consequence of prolonged odor stimulation at pulse durations above ~500 msec. Under intermittent stimulus conditions, the slow periodic hyperpolarization of the PN membrane does not have time to develop, owing to the renewed excitatory drive evoked by each successive odor pulse. The temporal pattern of the response therefore reflects the temporal pattern of the input, thus maximizing the amount of information available for higher processing (Fig. 7A) (Christensen and Hildebrand, 1997). Indeed, recent studies using vertebrates and invertebrates have stressed the importance of using more natural dynamic stimuli in assessing the ability of neural circuits to encode environmental stimuli, because spiking neurons can transmit information about changing stimuli more efficiently than continuous stimuli (Mainen and Sejnowski, 1995; de Ruyter van Steveninck et al., 1997). In some situations, however, prolonged stimulation is unavoidable. In this context, the precise temporal pattern of the response could be used in encoding some other aspect of the odor, possibly even its chemical identity (Laurent, 1996). In the case of moths, however, continuous olfactory stimulation causes both males and females to abort goal-oriented upwind flight, and thus this context evokes a qualitatively different perception than does pulsatile stimulation with the same odor.

The responses of PNs of moth and locust ALs to prolonged stimulation (even with very different odors) are remarkably alike, yet despite these similarities, bicuculline-sensitive GABA receptors like those of moth PNs apparently are absent in locust PNs (MacLeod and Laurent, 1996). The GABA receptor antagonist picrotoxin, which readily disrupts odor responses in moth PNs (Waldrop et al., 1987), does not influence the temporal pattern of spiking in other insect PNs but does block the population-synchronizing oscillations (MacLeod and Laurent, 1996; Stopfer et al., 1997). Our findings therefore suggest that the separation of mechanisms governing neuronal oscillations and temporal patterning of spiking activity, as found in locusts (MacLeod and Laurent, 1996) and honeybees (Stopfer et al., 1997), is not common to all insects.

In summary, our findings are consistent with the hypothesis that olfactory glomeruli are multifunctional coding modules in the primary olfactory center of the brain. The core of this hypothesis is that each glomerulus processes more information than simply the molecular features of the odor stimulus. Specifically, some PNs can also encode how the stimulus changes with time, and this information is used by the animal to help locate the odor source. Our new findings suggest an added level of complexity in these information-processing circuits by showing that single PNs may use different coding schemes that are appropriate to different environmental contexts.
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