Timing of Dense-Core Vesicle Exocytosis Depends on the Facilitation L-Type Ca Channel in Adrenal Chromaffin Cells
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Secretion from dense-core vesicles is reputedly much slower than that from typical synaptic vesicles, possibly because of noncolocalization of Ca channels and release sites. We investigated this question by measuring the kinetics of catecholamine release in chromaffin cells from calf and adult bovines. Amperometric recording from calf chromaffin cells stimulated by action potentials exhibited two latencies of secretion that depended on both the frequency of stimulation and the pathway of Ca entry. Short-latency responses (<25 msec delay; “strongly coupled”) appeared at low (0.25 and 1 Hz) and high (7 Hz) frequencies and were entirely dependent on recruitment of “facilitation” L-type Ca channels as revealed by nisoldipine blockade. Long-latency responses (>25 msec delay; “weakly coupled”) were more apparent at higher frequencies (7 Hz) and were substantially reduced by toxins that blocked N- and P-type Ca channels. Ca current recordings revealed that adult bovine chromaffin cells lack facilitation channels; virtually all secretion was weakly coupled in these cells. The mean delay of the strongly coupled signal was ~3 msec after the peak of the action potential (at 24°C), indicating that dense-core vesicles can exhibit a rate of exocytosis approaching that occurring in neurons. Although other explanations are possible, these results are consistent with the idea that facilitation Ca channels are colocalized with release sites in calf chromaffin cells. Calculations based on a model incorporating this assumption suggest that these channels must be within 13 nm of secretory sites to account for such rapid exocytosis.
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Neurotransmitter release from presynaptic nerve terminals is extremely rapid. The time from spike invasion of the presynaptic terminal to postsynaptic response may be <1 msec at some synapses (Barrett and Stevens, 1972; Llinás et al., 1981; Sabatini and Regehr, 1996). An important element contributing to the speed of this event is the colocalization of Ca channels and release sites at the active zone, minimizing the distance over which Ca ions must diffuse to reach the divalent cation receptors for secretion. In the nervous system, such colocalization has been shown on a number of levels: direct morphological correlation between sites of Ca influx and secretion (Robitaille, 1990; Haydon et al., 1994) as well as biochemical association of specific Ca channel subtypes with protein components of the exocytotic apparatus (Sheng et al., 1996).

Secretion by dense-core vesicles in both neurons and neuroendocrine cells is generally considered to be much slower than that found at typical excitatory neuronal synapses (Almers, 1990; Martin, 1994). At synapses that contain both small synaptic vesicles and peptide-containing large dense-core vesicles, higher-frequency stimulation is often necessary to evoke peptide secretion than that required for small neurotransmitters (Whim and Lloyd, 1989). The slow rates of secretion found for some cells containing dense-core vesicles have been attributed in part to spatial separation between Ca channels and sites of transmitter/hormone release, although there may be other differences in the secretory machinery (Martin, 1994). For example, in adult bovine chromaffin cells, detection of secreted catecholamine by extracellular amperometric recording revealed a lag of 20–100 msec after stimulation (Chow et al., 1992, 1994, 1996). Such a lag may reflect poor colocalization of Ca channels with the secretory apparatus in this cell type (Chow et al., 1994, 1996; Zhou and Misler, 1995; Klingauf and Neher, 1997).

Despite these findings, there are indications that the relationship between Ca channels and secretion is nonrandom in several cell types containing dense-core vesicles. Insulin secretion is preferentially coupled to L-type Ca channel activity in β-cells, suggesting that these channels are closer to release sites than other types of Ca channel (Bokvist et al., 1995). Rapid exocytosis in mouse adrenal slices was also attributed to close association between Ca channels and the secretory apparatus (Moser and Neher, 1997). Moreover, we previously demonstrated selective coupling between a particular type of Ca channel and secretion in calf adrenal chromaffin (AC) cells (Artalejo et al., 1994). Using measurements of membrane capacitance as an estimate of exocytosis, we found that Ca flowing through facilitation L-type Ca channels was approximately five times more efficiently coupled to secretion than Ca entering via N- and P-type Ca channels (Artalejo et al., 1994). These results suggest that the facilitation L-type Ca channel is close to the secretory apparatus in these cells, but the limitations of capacitance recording precluded precise resolution of rapid stimulus–secretion coupling kinetics.
To resolve this problem we have analyzed the kinetics of catecholamine secretion from cells derived from young and adult bovines using more sensitive amperometric techniques (Wightman et al., 1991; Chow et al., 1992). We determined the contribution of different components of the Ca current to the secretory responses in these two cell types. We found that a major component of secretion in calf AC cells is strongly coupled to the facilitation L-type Ca channel with average latencies of <5 msec. Although other explanations are possible, these results can be accounted for if facilitation Ca channels are in very close physical apposition (<15 nm) to release sites in calf AC cells. By similar reasoning, most Ca channels are remote from release sites in adult AC cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

Both calf (average age, 10–12 weeks) and adult (age, >2 years) chromaffin cells were prepared by digestion of bovine adrenal glands obtained from local slaughterhouses. Cells were purified and cultured previously described methods (Artalejo et al., 1991a). Cells plated at a density of 3 × 10⁵ cells on collagen-coated 35-mm-diameter dishes were used in all studies, within 1 week of plating.

Electrophysiology

Conventional whole-cell recording. Our patch-clamp techniques have been published previously (Artalejo et al., 1991a,b, 1992a); Axopatch 200 B (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA) was used as the patch-clamp amplifier for these experiments. All experiments were performed at room temperature (24°C). The standard patch-pipette solution contained (in mM): cesium glutamate 140, Cs-EGTA 0.1, HEPES 10, MgCl₂ 1, and ATP 2. 1 or 7 Hz were able to recruit an extra component of inward Ca current at current clamp command pulses (McCobb and Beam, 1991). Currents were sampled at a frequency of 20 kHz.

Current-clamp recording. To evoke action potentials (APs), cells maintained at −70 to −90 mV, through application of a holding current of −1 to −4 pA, were stimulated by depolarizing currents (+10 to +20 pA) of 20 msec. The eight-pole Bessel filter was set to a corner frequency of 2 kHz for Vm recording and then sampled at 5 kHz. The pipette solution contained (in mM): potassium glutamate 100, K-EGTA 0.1, NaCl 12, HEPES 30, MgCl₂ 5, ATP 2, GTP 0.35, pH 7.2, with KOH. The external solution consisted of (in mM): NaCl 140, glucose 10, MgCl₂ 1, and 1 μM tetrodotoxin, pH 7.2. When necessary, the following Ca channel antagonists were added to the external solution to suppress individual Ca current components (Artalejo et al., 1994): nisoldipine (final 1 μM), ω-conotoxin-GVIA (ω-CgTx) (final 500 nM), or ω-agatoxin-IVA (ω-Aga-Tx) (10 nM). In most experiments a solution containing the drugs dissolved in the external solution was applied directly to the cells via narrow-bore capillary tubing placed within 50 mm of the cell surface. Perfusion rates were computer-controlled, and complete bath exchange occurred in 100–200 msec. To assess the effects of “action potential-like” stimuli, actual current clamp records were used as templates for voltage-clamp command pulses (McCobb and Beam, 1991). Currents were sampled at a frequency of 20 kHz.

Carbon-fiber amperometry for catecholamine detection

Highly sensitive low-noise polypropylene-insulated carbon-fiber electrodes (ProCFEs) (Zhou and Misler, 1996) were used for electrochemical monitoring of quantalet release of catecholamines from single AC cells as described previously (Wightman et al., 1991; Chow et al., 1992). Briefly, a 7-mm-diameter carbon fiber (Amoco Performance Products, Greenville, SC) was inserted into a polypropylene pipette (Continental Lab Products). The tip was then heated and pulled with a homemade CFE puller. The ProCFE was prepared for recording by inserting it into a micromanipulator and cutting the tip with a microscissors. To obtain an electrical contact between the carbon fiber and the Ag wire input to the head stage of the amplifier, the shank of the ProCFE was back-filled with mercury. Each ProCFE was then used in a maximum of one to three cells to ensure the highest possible sensitivity. The tip of the electrode was closely apposed to the cell surface to minimize the diffusion distance from release sites. The amperometric current (Iamp), generated by oxidation of catecholamines at the exposed tip of the CFE, was measured using an Axopatch 200A amplifier, operated in the voltage-clamp mode at a holding potential of +780 mV. Amperometric signals were low-pass-filtered at 1 kHz and then sampled at 2 kHz by an Axobasic system. The data were collected and then analyzed by computer using IGOR software (WaveMetrics, Lake Oswego, OR). Latencies (defined as the time from the peak of the AP to the beginning of the current spike) were analyzed using latency histograms (Chow et al., 1992). The beginning of the current spike was located where the leading edge of the transient which includes the “foot” signal when present (Chow et al., 1992; Zhou et al., 1996) exceeded the baseline current by two times the SD of the baseline noise level. Only amperometric events having 50–90% rise time faster than 3 msec were selected for these histograms. Measurements are given as mean ± SEM.

RESULTS

Action potential waveforms recruit facilitation Ca channels in calf but not in adult AC cells

The physiological stimulus for secretion in AC cells is the neurotransmitter acetylcholine (ACh) released synthetically from splanchnic nerve terminals into individual AC cells. ACh depolarizes AC cells, giving rise to trains of APs (Douglas et al., 1967) that evoke secretion (Kidokoro and Ritchie, 1980). To ascertain whether such stimulus trains can recruit facilitation Ca currents in chromaffin cells, we recorded APs in current-clamp mode and then played them back as voltage-clamp commands (AP waveforms (APWs)). As shown in Figure 1, trains of APWs at either 1 or 7 Hz were able to recruit an extra component of inward Ca current in calf (10–12 weeks old) chromaffin cells that was completely blocked by nisoldipine (1 μM). Although 7 Hz stimulation exerted a more rapid effect, additional 1 Hz trains eventually led to maximal activation of facilitation current. These results indicate that physiologically relevant stimulation of calf chromaffin cells activates facilitation L-type channels and confirms our previous results with square-wave depolarization (Artalejo et al., 1991a, 1992b). Nisoldipine has no effect on Ca current in naive cells (i.e., not subject to large predepolarizations or repetitive depolarizations in the physiological range), suggesting that calf AC cells lack a “standard” L-type Ca current. As described previously (Artalejo et al., 1994), the nondihydropyridine-sensitive Ca current, comprising N- and P-type components, was completely suppressed by the combination of ω-conotoxin and ω-agatoxin (500 and 10 nM, respectively).

When a similar APW train paradigm was applied to AC cells derived from adult animals (>2 years old), no additional Ca current was observed at either 1 or 7 Hz, indicating that facilitation Ca channels are absent from these cells. However, a component of Ca current was inhibited by nisoldipine (Fig. 1B, right panel), indicating that adult bovine chromaffin cells do have a “standard” (nonfacilitated) L-type Ca current. These results are summarized in Figure 2. Facilitation Ca channels can also be recruited by stimuli that raise cAMP levels in calf AC cells (Artalejo et al., 1990; 1991a), but such treatments were ineffective in augmenting Ca currents in adult AC cells [data not shown (also see Engisch and Nowycky, 1996)].

Distinct role of facilitation Ca channels in catecholamine secretion from calf AC cells

To determine whether facilitation Ca channels recruited by APs contribute to catecholamine secretion in calf AC cells, we stimulated the cells at 7 Hz with APs while recording catecholamine release amperometrically using an extracellular carbon-fiber electrode (Fig. 3). We found that in two successive 70 sec stimulation periods, secretion in the second round, as quantitated by cumulative amperometric spike amplitude, was very similar to that in
Figure 1. Action potential waveforms (APWs) recruit facilitation L-current in AC cells from calf but not adult cattle. To mimic Ca channel activation by depolarizing secretagogues such as ACh, we recorded APs in current clamp and played them back as voltage-clamp commands (APWs) in (A) calf and (B) adult bovine AC cells. At left, Ca currents elicited by single APWs (a1) before and after a train of 20 APWs delivered at 1 and 7 Hz in the same cell are shown; 4 min was allowed for recovery between trains. The superimposed current traces are (1) control Ca current and (2, 3) Ca current at the end of the 1 or 7 Hz train. Note that in (A), APWs delivered at 1 Hz increased the Ca current by 48%, whereas at 7 Hz the Ca current was increased by 98%; in (B) no change was observed at either frequency, indicating the absence of facilitation. At right, Ca currents (b1) elicited by a single APW (a1) before and after three consecutive trains of 20 APWs at 7 Hz in the absence (1, 2) or presence of nisoldipine (1 μM), alone (3) or plus ω-CgTx (500 nm) + ω-AgaTx (10 nM) (4); a 4 min interval was allowed for recovery and application of antagonists. Nisoldipine selectively suppressed the increased Ca current brought about by the 7 Hz stimulation in calf (A) and decreased a component of Ca current (presumed “standard” L-type) in adult (B); the remaining current was almost completely suppressed by the addition of ω-CgTx + ω-AgaTx.
the first round, indicating that secretion shows no sign of exhaustion under these conditions (Fig. 3A, a3). When the second stimulation round was conducted in the presence of nisoldipine, the rate and extent of catecholamine secretion were significantly (40%) depressed and showed a lag (Fig. 3A, a2, a3; Table 1). These results suggest that facilitation Ca channels contribute substantially to catecholamine release under these conditions. Despite the presence of sizeable Ca currents in adult AC cells, the magnitude of secretion was only 50% of that exhibited by calf cells for comparable amounts of stimulation (Figs. 2, 3B, b1, b2; Table 1), but again remained undiminished in successive rounds of stimulation (Fig. 2B, b3). Nisoldipine did not cause a significant lag in the onset of secretion but significantly depressed catecholamine release in adult AC cells, indicating that standard (nonfacilitated) L-type constitutes 42.3 ± 0.93% of the total Ca current in calf AC cells, whereas standard L-type contributes 34.1 ± 2.53% to the total current in adult AC cells.

**Figure 2.** Statistical analysis of Ca currents in AC cells from calf versus adult cattle: AP stimulation frequency and antagonist effects. A, Effect of AP stimulation frequency on the peak Ca current amplitude at the end of a train of 20 APWs at 1 or 7 Hz. Values are mean ± SEM; n is indicated in parentheses above each bar. *, Significantly different from the corresponding control at \( p < 0.0001 \). B, Pharmacological dissection of the Ca current components, evoked by APWs at 7 Hz, shows that facilitation L-type constitutes 42.3 ± 0.93% of the total Ca current in calf AC cells, whereas standard L-type contributes 34.1 ± 2.53% to the total current in adult AC cells.

Strong stimulus–secretion coupling is predominant in calf AC cells but not in adult AC cells

We used amperometric latency histograms (Chow et al., 1992, 1994, 1996) to quantitate the delay between the peak of the preceding AP and the onset of extracellular amperometric spikes in calf AC cells (Fig. 4A). Spikes that occurred <25 msec after the action potential were operationally classified as “strongly coupled,” whereas those taking place >25 msec after the action potential were termed “weakly coupled.” To illustrate the cou-
pling between APs and the amperometric spikes in calf AC cells stimulated at 1 Hz, a representative record is shown in Figure 4B. Low-frequency stimulation gave rise to amperometric spikes that were all (0.25 Hz) or almost all (1 Hz) strongly coupled to the AP (Fig. 4C, c1, c2). The average delay between AP and amperometric spike was ~3 msec at these frequencies. When stimulation was increased to 7 Hz, a greater variation in latencies was observed; in addition to the strongly coupled peak at 3 msec, a plateau component with delays of 25–143 msec was also found in these histograms (Fig. 4C, c3). The decay time constant (τ) of the strongly coupled peak in these histograms was 3–4 msec at all frequencies (Table 1). This value is used to compute the approximate distance between facilitation Ca channels and release sites (see below). To further test the idea that the strongly coupled component of secretion is caused by the activity of Ca channels that are preferentially associated with release sites, we performed experiments in which the amount of Ca entering the cell during stimulation was varied by changing external [Ca]. At high rates of stimulation (7 Hz), reduction of external [Ca] from 2 to 0.25 mM selectively abolished the weakly coupled signals but had no effect on the strongly coupled peak in latency histograms (data not shown). One explanation for these results is that the lower amount of Ca entering under these conditions fails to elicit secretion at distant sites that contribute to the weakly coupled secretion.
signal because of cytoplasmic Ca buffering (Klingauf and Neher, 1997).

Latency histograms in AC cells from adult cattle revealed no strongly coupled peak component at any stimulation frequency (Fig. 4D). Instead, a plateau of delay values stretching from 0 to 143 msec was observed. Although some events with latencies <25 msec were found (Fig. 4D, d), they comprised only ~14% of the total signal (Table 1) and thus may correspond to a "randomly colocalized" component of secretion in this cell type, as suggested in previous studies (Chow et al., 1994; Zhou and Misler, 1995; Klingauf and Neher, 1997). The behavior of the two cell types at 0.25 Hz was particularly striking: in calf cells, substantial rapid secretion occurred but no secretion could be evoked from adult cells (Fig. 4, compare c, d).

### Table 1. Kinetic properties of catecholamine release in AC cells from calf versus adult cattle: pharmacological dissection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>τ (msec)</th>
<th>% Events ≤25 msec</th>
<th>% Events &gt;25 msec</th>
<th>Integral Q&lt;sub&gt;amp&lt;/sub&gt; (pC)</th>
<th>Rate (pC/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calf, 0.25 Hz, control (n = 19)</td>
<td>3.18 ± 0.08</td>
<td>93.3 ± 1.05&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.05</td>
<td>374.7 ± 9.97&lt;sup&gt;b,c,d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.39 ± 0.16&lt;sup&gt;b,c,d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf, 1 Hz, control (n = 144)</td>
<td>2.92 ± 0.08</td>
<td>78.72 ± 0.68&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.28 ± 0.68</td>
<td>216 ± 13&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.55 ± 0.25&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf, 1 Hz, nisoldipine (n = 13)</td>
<td>15.13 ± 2.23</td>
<td>84.83 ± 2.21</td>
<td>17.17 ± 2.21</td>
<td>190 ± 13&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.55 ± 0.25&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf, 7 Hz, control (n = 109)</td>
<td>3.16 ± 0.08</td>
<td>40 ± 0.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60 ± 0.1</td>
<td>203.5 ± 12.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.19&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf, 7 Hz, nisoldipine (n = 20)</td>
<td>14.75 ± 1.13</td>
<td>85.25 ± 1.13</td>
<td>14.75 ± 1.13</td>
<td>131.3 ± 8.3&lt;sup&gt;b,c,d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.12&lt;sup&gt;b,c,d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf, 7 Hz, ω-CgTx + ω-Agatoxin (n = 12)</td>
<td>3.38 ± 0.27</td>
<td>70 ± 0.51&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>30 ± 0.51</td>
<td>174 ± 3.4&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.1&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult, 1 Hz, control (n = 20)</td>
<td>10.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>89.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>10.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>131.3 ± 8.3&lt;sup&gt;b,c,d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.12&lt;sup&gt;b,c,d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult, 1 Hz, nisoldipine (n = 9)</td>
<td>13.56 ± 0.83</td>
<td>86.44 ± 0.83</td>
<td>13.56 ± 0.83</td>
<td>190 ± 13&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.55 ± 0.25&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult, 7 Hz, control (n = 22)</td>
<td>14.1 ± 0.75</td>
<td>85.9 ± 0.75</td>
<td>14.1 ± 0.75</td>
<td>203.5 ± 12.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.19&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult, 7 Hz, nisoldipine (n = 13)</td>
<td>12.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>87.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>12.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>203.5 ± 12.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.19&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult, 7 Hz, ω-CgTx + ω-Agatoxin (n = 12)</td>
<td>14.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>86 ± 0.4</td>
<td>14.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>131.3 ± 8.3&lt;sup&gt;b,c,d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.12&lt;sup&gt;b,c,d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

τ, % of events ≤25 msec, and % of events >25 msec are calculated from the individual amperometric delay histograms (ALHs) in each condition. τ is obtained from a monoexponential fit of the "strongly coupled" events in each histogram. Integral Q<sub>amp</sub> = I<sub>amp</sub>dt is calculated from the amperometric traces (70 sec) under the different conditions described in the table. The integral rate corresponds to the slope of a linear fit of the amperometric integral.

<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>These values are significantly different from each other at p < 0.0001. Measurements are given as means ± SEM.

Strong stimulus–secretion coupling is caused by activation of facilitation L-type Ca channels

To examine the role of different Ca channels in the patterns of secretion observed above, we performed amperometric latency histogram analyses in the presence of toxins that differentially target either L-type (nisoldipine) or non-L-type (ω-conotoxin + ω-agatoxin) Ca channels (Fig. 5, Table 1). In calf AC cells, nisoldipine eliminated the strongly coupled peak of secretion at all frequencies but only attenuated the appearance of the weakly coupled plateau at 7 Hz. By contrast, ω-conotoxin plus ω-agatoxin did not affect the short-latency peak at any frequency, but strongly depressed (77%) the weakly coupled plateau seen at 7 Hz. These results clearly suggest that facilitation L-type Ca channels are responsible for strong stimulus–secretion coupling in calf AC cells, whereas N- and P-type channels contribute substantially to delayed secretion. In AC cells from adults, nisoldipine or the toxin combination simply reduced secretion at all latencies to virtually the same extent, indicating that all three channel types play approximately equivalent roles in secretion from these cells. These results are summarized in Table 1.

Facilitation channels are within 13 nm of release sites in calf chromaffin cells

There are several possible explanations for the close relationship between facilitation Ca channels and catecholamine secretion (see Discussion). One possibility, favored by us, is that these data reflect colocalization of these channels and secretory sites. Klingauf and Neher (1997) elaborated a model based on amperometric histograms similar to those used here that allowed them to calculate the average distance between Ca channels and secretory sites in adult bovine AC cells. The main assumption made in this model is that release-ready granules are randomly distributed within a regularly spaced grid of Ca channels with a constant interchannel distance. Klingauf and Neher (1997) determined that most vesicles were located at substantial distances from Ca channels but that a very minor fraction (~8%) were randomly colocalized with Ca channels. We have used this model and their data to derive an empirical function describing the algebraic relationship between the average distance (δ) from Ca channels to release sites and the decay time constant (τ) of the amperometric latency histogram (Fig. 6). In addition to points derived from the data of Klingauf and Neher (1997), we use a third point estimated from studies on synaptic release (Adler et al., 1991; Lando and Zucker, 1994; Roberts, 1994). The distance between Ca channels and release sites at synapses has been estimated to be ~10 nm, and fast release is accomplished within 1–2 msec (Sabatini and Regehr, 1996). After graphing the appropriate function, we then determined by interpolation that a τ value of 3.1 msec (derived from Table 1, line 1) yields a δ value of 13 nm for the average distance between facilitation Ca channels and release sites in calf AC cells. A variable in the Klingauf–Neher model is the magnitude of the Ca current. Larger Ca currents would lead to a reduction in the estimated average distance between Ca channels and release sites. In adult AC cells, Klingauf and Neher (1997) used a value of 500 pA, which is about half the average Ca current through facilitation channels found in the present study (~1000 pA; 927.1 ± 55.73; n = 51) (Fig. 2). With their assumptions that (1) all Ca channels are evenly/randomly distributed and (2) all Ca channels have a similar conductance, for a given whole-cell Ca current (I<sub>Ca</sub>) the following relationship can be derived: δ = 300 × (500/I<sub>Ca</sub>)<sup>1/2</sup>, where δ is in nanometers and I<sub>Ca</sub> is in picoamperes. According to this relationship, increasing the Ca current from 500 to 1000 pA in the Klingauf–Neher model would reduce δ values by ~30% (e.g., from 300 to 212 nm for the bulk of the noncolocalized vesicles and from 30 to 21.2 nm for the randomly colocalized population). The δ value for the noncolo-
calized population is still much larger than the 13 nm distance between facilitation Ca channels and release sites calculated for calf AC cells (Fig. 6). Thus the latter value cannot be explained by the large facilitation Ca current of 1000 pA found in the present study and must be caused by another factor, such as the colocalization suggested here.

**DISCUSSION**

Previous analyses of stimulus–secretion coupling in neuroendocrine cells led to the supposition that the kinetics of secretion from dense-core vesicles is generally much slower than that from small synaptic vesicles in neurons. The results presented here show that dense-core vesicles can exhibit rates of secretion quite comparable to those found in neurons and that this depends on the proximity of these vesicles to a specific subtype of Ca channel. We hypothesized previously that facilitation L-type Ca channels are colocalized with sites of exocytosis in calf AC cells, based on capacitance measurements in the presence of specific antagonists of the different Ca channels present in these cells (Artalejo et al., 1994). In the present work we demonstrate that APWs are able to recruit these same facilitation Ca channels in a manner indistinguishable from that seen previously with single, large, square-wave depolarizing prepulses or pulse trains in the physiological range (Artalejo et al., 1991a,b). Consequently, facilitation Ca channels do become active under physiologically relevant conditions, because acetylcholine leads to trains of action potentials in these cells (Douglas et al., 1967). We then used amperometry to...
define the delay or latency between the peak of the evoked AP and the onset of catecholamine secretion from dense-core vesicles. Both strong and weak coupling between AP stimulation and secretion was found in calf AC cells, based on analysis of amperometric spike latency histograms. Strong coupling, defined as a delay of $25\,\text{msec}$ between the AP and the amperometric spike, manifested as a peak at all stimulation frequencies, whereas weak coupling (delay $\geq 25\,\text{msec}$) was substantial only at higher frequencies and comprised a plateau of values stretching to $143\,\text{msec}$.

Nisoldipine was able to selectively abolish the strongly coupled signal at all stimulation frequencies, indicating that this peak in the latency histogram is mediated by facilitation L-type Ca channels. Because the latency is a measure of the coupling between ports of Ca entry and release sites, these results support our previous contention that facilitation L-type Ca channels are located closer to release sites than other types of Ca channel found in calf AC cells (Artalejo et al., 1994). Consistent with this scenario, weakly coupled, but not strongly coupled, stimulus-secretion responses were dependent on Ca influx via N- and P-type Ca channels because these long-latency signals were reduced by the combination of $\omega$-CgTx and $\omega$-AgaTx.

There are other possible explanations of the efficiency with which facilitation Ca channels elicit secretion in calf AC cells. For example, such channels could be clustered, thus providing a locus for large local increases in submembrane Ca that could trigger with greater efficiency the secretion of DCVs that happened to be close by. Although this is evidently a possibility, our previous single-channel data suggested that clusters of facilitation Ca channels do not exist in calf AC cells (Artalejo et al., 1990, 1991b). Moreover, large local changes in Ca attributable to influx at a hypothetical Ca channel cluster would be expected to spread laterally and cause release of vesicles at some distance from the cluster. Such
events should appear as a “tail” in amperometry histograms, but at low stimulation frequencies no tail was observed (Fig. 3B,C). Yet another possibility is that intracellular Ca buffering may differ (i.e., be weaker) in the region around facilitation Ca channels compared with other parts of the sub-plasma membrane domain. Once again, in this model one would anticipate that the spread of Ca from facilitation channels would be significant and give rise to a tail in latency histograms. Moreover, it has been suggested that the sustained increase in [Ca], and the mobile buffers should be similar for all three types of channels (Nowycky and Pinter, 1993; Zhou and Neher, 1993). Although we favor the colocalization model, further studies will be necessary to demonstrate a molecular link between facilitation channels and the secretory apparatus.

In their extensive analysis of secretion from adult bovine AC cells, Neher’s group (Chow et al., 1992, 1994, 1996) concluded that there was little evidence for coupling of Ca channels with release sites, and similar conclusions were reached in adult rat AC cells (Zhou and Misler, 1995). With the assumption that latencies reflect distances, recent modeling data suggested that the average distance between most Ca channels and release sites is 200–300 nm in these cells (Klingauf and Neher, 1997), assuming these distances were similar in the region around facilitation Ca channels. By contrast, the “random colocalization” hypothesis cannot account for either the much larger distance between facilitation Ca channels and release sites in calf AC cells (Zhou and Neher, 1995). In that model, two points (τ = 8.7 msec, δ = 30 nm; τ = 25 msec, δ = 300 nm) were calculated from a specific set of conditions prevalent in those cells (Δ). To derive the empirical function, we added a third point (δ = 10 nm, τ = 1 msec; Δ) derived from work on synaptic terminals (Adler et al., 1991; Lando and Zucker, 1994; Roberts, 1994; Sabatini and Regehr, 1996). An optimum fit with the three points (τ = 1, δ = 10; τ = 8.7 msec, δ = 30 nm; τ = 25 msec, δ = 300 nm) results in an empirical formula log δ = 0.94 + 0.063 τ. The resultant equation was plotted, and the known τ value of 3.1 msec derived from the latency histogram at 0.25 Hz (Table 1) was used to determine the corresponding δ value of 13 nm by interpolation (Δ). The triangles show modified data points of Klingauf and Neher (1997), corrected for 1000 pA Ca current (Δ) (see Results for details).

Figure 6. Estimated distance between facilitation Ca channels and the adjacent release site. To estimate δ from the decay time constant (τ) of an amperometric latency histogram (ALH), an empirical function was obtained by a standard optimum fit procedure of data describing the relationship between Ca channels and secretory sites in adult AC cells (Klingauf and Neher, 1997). In that model, two points (τ = 8.7 msec, δ = 30 nm; τ = 25 msec, δ = 300 nm) were calculated from a specific set of conditions prevalent in those cells (Δ). To derive the empirical function, we added a third point (δ = 10 nm, τ = 1 msec; Δ) derived from work on synaptic terminals (Adler et al., 1991; Lando and Zucker, 1994; Roberts, 1994; Sabatini and Regehr, 1996). An optimum fit with the three points (τ = 1, δ = 10; τ = 8.7 msec, δ = 30 nm; τ = 25 msec, δ = 300 nm) results in an empirical formula log δ = 0.94 + 0.063 τ. The resultant equation was plotted, and the known τ value of 3.1 msec derived from the latency histogram at 0.25 Hz (Table 1) was used to determine the corresponding δ value of 13 nm by interpolation (Δ). The triangles show modified data points of Klingauf and Neher (1997), corrected for 1000 pA Ca current (Δ) (see Results for details).
product of a separate gene or whether some regulatory feature that alters the behavior of the same channel is lost during aging.

Our results suggest that secretion from neuroendocrine cells has a component that approaches the rate of events occurring in nerve terminals. The latency of ~3 msec for the strongly coupled component is the shortest delay reported for a neuroendocrine cell. Because our experiments were all conducted at 24°C, and it is known that secretion from chromaffin cells is very temperature sensitive (Pihel et al., 1996), it is likely that at 37°C strongly coupled secretion could occur in substantially <3 msec. These values are comparable with those found for transmitter release at some nerve terminals using small synaptic vesicles. For example, in Retzius neurons of the leech, release of serotonin from such vesicles exhibited a latency of 4.6 msec (Bruns and Jahn, 1995). In the latter study, the latency of serotonin secretion from large dense-core vesicles was 16 msec; however, it was not clear whether this reflected differences in the underlying fusion reactions, distance from Ca entry sites, or even differences in the rate of release of transmitter from the matrix of the different types of vesicle. Why would calf AC cells possess such a rapid exocytosis mechanism? We speculate that facilitation Ca channels may be critical to the “fight-or-flight” response. The speed of catecholamine secretion into the bloodstream determines, in part, the rapidity with which the organism can prepare for escape in times of stress. The recruitment of facilitation Ca channels by repetitive action potentials ensures that they will dominate the secretory response during strong synaptic activation, providing a type of “tuning” mechanism. It is entirely possible that the developmental regulation of L-type Ca channels described here, namely facilitation channels giving way to standard channels, relates to the strength of the fight-or-flight response in animals of different ages and may have an evolutionary origin. The rapidity of the response in younger animals, still in their reproductive prime, maximizes their chances of escape from danger. As the speed of the response declines in older animals, it may render them more susceptible to predatory cull. If this speculation is correct, then the type of colocalization described here may not be a mere curiosity of calf AC cells. Recent results on mouse chromaffin cells in slice preparations also yielded evidence for “rapid exocytosis” (Moser and Neher, 1997) that was interpreted as support for colocalization, although the type of Ca channels involved was not defined in that study. Rat (Hollins and Ikeda, 1996) and human (A. Elhamdani and C. R. Artalejo, unpublished results) AC cells possess facilitation Ca channels and thus may also exhibit the same type of strong stimulus–secretion coupling described here.
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