Zebrafish Touch-Insensitive Mutants Reveal an Essential Role for the Developmental Regulation of Sodium Current
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During embryonic development, electrical activity regulates several essential neuronal characteristics, including process outgrowth, synapse formation, and neurotransmitter expression (for review, see Spitzer, 1991; Shatz, 1994; Katz and Shatz, 1996). However, the ability of activity to influence changes in neuronal differentiation often is limited to “critical” developmental periods during which the firing properties of embryonic neurons and the output of newly formed circuits are modified developmentally. Consistent with the temporally restricted ability of activity to alter programs of differentiation and the output of emerging circuits, voltage-dependent ion channel genes display stage- and cell-specific expression patterns during embryonic development of the nervous system (Beckh et al., 1989; Beckh, 1990; Drew et al., 1992).

Identification of the specific role that an individual ion channel gene plays during development is hindered by the overlapping and temporally complex expression of ion channels. A classic approach for the identification of genes that have essential or unique functions during embryonic development is saturation scale mutagenesis, followed by the screening of subsequent generations for defects in a property of interest (Nüsslein-Volhard and Weischaus, 1980). The application of this approach to the study of genes required for proper ion channel expression in the developing vertebrate nervous system would require a vertebrate in which large-scale mutagenesis is feasible as well as a rapid screen, thereby enabling efficient examination of large numbers of embryos from different mutant lines. By assaying an aspect or consequence of ion channel function, the screen would allow for the identification of embryos harboring mutations in genes affecting ion channel expression. Ideally, embryonic neurons also would be amenable to electrophysiological analysis at early stages.

Recently, methods have been developed for large-scale mutagenesis of the vertebrate species Danio rerio (Mullins et al., 1994; Solnica-Krezel et al., 1994), and embryonic mutants have been isolated (Driever et al., 1996; Haffter et al., 1996a). Zebrafish embryonic development occurs completely externally, and hundreds of embryos are generated from a single mating. Further, zebrafish start displaying behaviors as early as 1 d postfertilization (dpf), and function of the nervous system and muscle thus can be evaluated. Using simple behavioral tests, Granato et al. (1996) isolated close to 200 zebrafish motility mutants. Approximately one-third of these have abnormalities in skeletal muscle, whereas two-thirds are defective in locomotion despite apparently normal development of muscle. This latter group comprises 103 alleles and at least 30 genes.
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The specificity of the behavioral deficit suggests a defect originating in mechanosensitive sensory neurons. A semi-intact preparation was developed that allows for the physiological study of mechanosensitive Rohon–Beard sensory neurons in their native environment. In touch-insensitive mutants these neurons fire abnormal action potentials with little or no overshoots. Consistent with the lack of overshooting impulses, a specific reduction in the amplitude of whole-cell voltage-dependent sodium current ($I_{Na}$) is observed. These results implicate an essential role for a Rohon–Beard $I_{Na}$ in the establishment and maintenance of the behavioral response to touch.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals.** All lines of mutant zebrafish examined in this study are maintained in the Tübingen Stockcenter (http://www.eb.tuebingen.mpg.de/ Abt.3/Stockcenter/). The original ethylendinitrosoinduced mutations were created in fish of the Tübingen strain (Tü; Haftier et al., 1996a) referred to here as “wild-type Tü” (see, for example, Table 2). The mutant lines first were incrossed for at least two generations to yield homozygous forms and then outcrossed for several generations to various other strains, including TL (Tü Long fin; Haftier et al., 1996b) and WIK (Wild India Kukkutta; Rauch et al., 1997) to avoid problems associated with inbreeding. Importantly, the behavioral phenotypes persisted in the resulting different genetic strain background.

Embryos were obtained from matings of identified heterozygous carriers. The mutations studied here were recessive, and homozygous embryos were referred to as “wild-type Tü” (see, for example, Table 2). The mutant lines first were incrossed for at least two generations to yield homozygous forms and then outcrossed for several generations to various other strains, including TL (Tü Long fin; Haftier et al., 1996b) and WIK (Wild India Kukkutta; Rauch et al., 1997) to avoid problems associated with inbreeding. Importantly, the behavioral phenotypes persisted in the resulting different genetic strain background.

Embryos were obtained from matings of identified heterozygous carriers. The mutations studied here were recessive, and homozygous embryos were identified on the basis of a behavioral phenotype (Granato et al., 1996). Consequently, it is not yet possible to identify and study homozygous mutants at stages before the development of the affected behavior. Staging was done according to the criteria of external morphology as described by Kimmel et al. (1995). Controls consisted of unaffected sibling embryos that could be either homozygous wild-type ($\frac{1}{2}$) or heterozygous ($\frac{1}{2}$) for the mutation. Typically, a subset of embryos in a clutch were sorted at the earliest time of appearance of the phenotype (Granato et al., 1996) (see Results); the identification of an embryo as mutant or control was reconfirmed just before dissection and recording.

**Intact preparations of spinal cord/neural tube from zebrafish embryos.** Physiological recordings were performed on semi-intact preparations of zebrafish embryos. Embryos were mounted on glass coverslips with the use of HistoAcryl (B. Braun, Melsungen, Germany; at the suggestion of Dr. Jeff Rohrbough, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Utah) in the presence of 0.02% Tricaine. Once mounted, the embryos were killed either by decapitation or by transection at the level of the hindbrain. The skin and meninges ensheathing the spinal cord were removed with tungsten needles. Tricaine was removed by washing the preparations at least five times over the course of 10–15 min with recording solution. Preparations were washed with differential interference contrast (DIC)/Nomarski optics on a Zeiss Fixed Stage Axioskop microscope (Oberkochen, Germany) at a magnification of 640×. Within a single preparation, recordings were obtained from as many as 10 different Rohon–Beard cells.

**Whole-cell recording.** Conventional whole-cell patch-clamp techniques (Hamill et al., 1981) were used in either voltage- or current-clamp mode. An EPC-9 amplifier (HEKA, Lambrecht, Germany) was used in conjunction with the PULSE program (HEKA) for the acquisition of data. Current or membrane voltage was sampled at 100 msec; a modified P/4 protocol was used for leak subtraction for the recording of whole-cell currents. Pipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass and had resistances ranging between 4 and 8 MΩ. The composition of bath and pipette solutions (see below) was based on those used for the study of $\lambda$enotropic spinal whole-cell recording techniques (O’Dowd et al., 1988) but was increased slightly with respect to the concentration of either NaCl or KCl/CSCl. Muscle contractions were blocked by the addition of 0.5 μM α-bungartoxin (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Deisenhofen, Germany) to the bath solution.

Both current-clamp and voltage-clamp recordings from a neuron were considered acceptable when the following criteria were met as assessed during the experiment: (1) input resistances >1 GΩ, and (2) monoexponential decay of the whole-cell capacitative transient (indicative of appropriate access resistance and space clamp). For most of the cells from which current-clamp data were obtained, recordings also were obtained in voltage-clamp mode (see, for example, Figs. 2, 3). For these data as well as for the recordings of isolated $I_{Na}$, a time to peak of ±0.5 msec for the largest inward current was an additional criterion. None of these criteria led to the inclusion of less/more data from wild-type versus control or mutant embryos.

For the recording of action potentials in current-clamp mode, the pipette solution consisted of (in mM) 135 CsCl, 10 NaCl, and 10 EGTA, pH 7.2 with KOH. The bath solution contained (in mM) 145 NaCl, 3 KCl, 10 CaCl$_2$, and 10 HEPES, pH 7.2 with NaOH. Resting membrane potential was determined as the membrane voltage measured in current-clamp with no applied current. For the recording of action potentials the initial holding potential was kept near −80 mV by steady-state injection of current. Action potentials were elicited by applying a series of brief (2–2.5 msec) depolarizing current pulses of increasing amplitude, ranging between 100 and 1000 pA. Typically, single action potentials were elicited by current injections of 2–2.5 msec duration. After action potential recordings were obtained, the amplifier was switched to voltage-clamp mode, and total whole-cell voltage-dependent currents were recorded by using pipette and bath solutions like those for the measurement of action potentials (see, for example, Figs. 2, 3). In these recordings the net current was revealed, which reflected the combined contributions of both inward (sodium, calcium) and outward (potassium) currents. In the event that action potential generation was abnormal, current control of the net current provided a preliminary assessment of currents that might be affected. Currents were elicited by applying a series of depolarizing voltage commands (−60 to +90 in 10 mV increments) from a holding potential of −80 mV.

For the recording of isolated voltage-dependent sodium current ($I_{Na}$), potassium and calcium currents were suppressed by the use of the following solutions: the pipette solution contained (in mM) 125 CsCl, 10 NaCl, and 10 EGTA, pH 7.2 with KOH; and 100 mV to a holding potential of −80 mV. For the recording of isolated voltage-dependent potassium current ($I_{K}$), sodium and calcium currents were blocked as follows: the pipette solution consisted (in mM) 135 NaCl, 10 KCl, and 10 HEPES, pH 7.2 with KOH; the bath solution consisted of (in mM) 145 NaCl, 3 KCl, 10 CoCl$_2$, and 10 HEPES, pH 7.2 with NaOH. In a few experiments (e.g., Fig. 4. A, top) NaCl was omitted from the pipette solution. Currents were elicited by applying a series of depolarizing 30 msec voltage commands (from −60 to +90 in 10 mV increments) from a holding potential of −80 mV.

**Data analysis.** The PULSE and IGOR programs (HEKA) were used to analyze data. Action potential duration was measured as the time required to regain half-amplitude of the impulse after the depolarizing current injection was stopped. Action potential overshoot is the maximum membrane voltage achieved during an action potential. Action potential amplitude is the difference between the overshoot and the holding potential. Peak $I_{Na}$ was measured to the absolute maximum inward current that is elicited from a cell in response to a depolarizing series of voltage steps ranging between −60 and +90 mV. The amplitude of $I_{K}$ was measured as the average response 20–25 msec after the membrane potential was stepped to +20 mV. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Levels of statistical significance were calculated with both an unpaired two-tailed Student’s $t$ test and a nonparametric Mann–Whitney test, using the Statview Program (Abacus Concepts, Berkeley, CA).

Because it is not yet known whether the mutations present in the lines that were studied operate in a cell-autonomous manner, the studies reported here were performed on neurons in situ. Further, because zebrafish spinal neurons previously have not been studied electrophysiologically, it was critical first to determine their properties in vivo. Although the in situ preparation provides these key advantages, it also imposes restrictions on data analysis and interpretation. First, because the skin has been removed, it is not possible to examine aspects of the initial transduction event by applying tactile stimuli while recording from Rohon–Beard cells. Second, these neurons have processes of indeterminate but long length, and voltage errors arising from inadequate space clamp could be present; recordings that revealed inadequate space clamp would not be included in the analysis. In addition, stable whole-cell configurations required that the electrodes be ≥4 MΩ in resistance, and this contributed to voltage errors arising from series resistance. Accordingly, for Figure 7B, analysis was limited to cells that had an estimated maximum voltage error caused by series resistance of ≤10 mV. However,
RESULTS

Motility or swimming of zebrafish embryos and larvae initiates either spontaneously or in response to specific sensory stimuli such as touch. The neural circuitry underlying swimming behavior has been studied in several species, and the majority of information is derived from studies in Xenopus (Roberts et al., 1981; Roberts and Clarke, 1982; Roberts, 1989; Fetcho, 1992; Arshavsky et al., 1993; Fetcho and O’Malley, 1995). Primary neurons, a collection of motor, sensory, and interneurons of early birth date, mediate swimming behavior. Interneurons and motor neurons form the central pattern generator that drives the alternating contractions of muscles on opposite sides required for efficient forward locomotion. Tactile stimuli excite mechanosensory Rohon–Beard cells (primary sensory neurons), which then activate the swimming central pattern generator. Kimmel et al. (1995) charted key developmental behavioral landmarks of zebrafish embryos and larvae. With respect to early behavioral patterns, an initial transient behavior consisting of spontaneous rhythmic tail contractions is present between 22 and 27 hr postfertilization (hpf). During this period the spontaneous contractions decrease in frequency and finally disappear. At the end of this period a response to touch is detected for the first time. Because the embryo cannot swim yet, the initial touch response consists of a single twitch of the tail away from the site of stimulation. At 48 hpf, when the embryo can swim, the response to tactile stimulation consists of a swimming episode.

Zebrafish motility mutants previously were isolated during a large-scale mutagenesis screen for genes with essential functions during embryonic development (Granato et al., 1996; Haffter et al., 1996a). During the large-scale screen the touch response was used primarily to elicit swimming behavior but also to assess touch sensitivity. Thus, this test was applied after swimming behavior was present (48 hpf; Kimmel et al., 1995; Granato et al., 1996a). During the large-scale screen the touch response was used primarily to elicit swimming behavior but also to assess touch sensitivity. Thus, this test was applied after swimming behavior was present (48 hpf; Kimmel et al., 1995; Granato et al., 1996). The simple touch response assay led to the isolation of a class of six complementing mutants (B5) that can swim spontaneously but not in response to touch (Granato et al., 1996). Given our interest in the role of activity during the earliest stages of nervous system development, alligator (ali), macho (mao), and steffier (ste) were chosen for detailed study because their behavioral phenotypes were reported to appear as early as 48 hpf. In addition, a mutant isolated in a screen for pigmentation defects (Kels et al., 1996), touchdown (tdo), also was studied because homozygous mutant tdo embryos have a reduced touch response at 48 hpf (Granato et al., 1996; Kels et al., 1996).

Rohon–Beard neurons can be identified in situ

A principal goal of this study was to determine whether defects in voltage-dependent ion channel function account for the behavioral phenotype of touch-insensitive mutants. Rohon–Beard primary sensory neurons mediate touch sensation. Rohon–Beard cell bodies reside within the spinal cord, whereas peripheral processes innervate the skin and respond to tactile stimuli via mechanosensitive terminals (Clarke et al., 1984; Spitzer, 1984). Cell–cell interactions as well as growth factors that are prevalent during early stages of embryonic development are known to influence ion channel expression (Okamura et al., 1994; Toledo-Aral et al., 1995). Accordingly, the physiological study of Rohon–Beard neurons required an in situ preparation that preserves normal cell–cell interactions and exposure to growth factors. In our semi-intact preparations (see Materials and Methods), Rohon–Beard cells are recognized on the basis of size and position by the use of DIC/Nomarski optics (Fig. 1). Studies of zebrafish neurons with antibody probes have indicated that Rohon–Beard cells have large cell bodies (~10 μm; Bernhardt et al., 1990; Metcalfe et al., 1990) that distinguish them from neighboring dorsal neurons. Their large size previously has allowed for their reliable identification in live preparations, using DIC/Nomarski optics (Grunwald et al., 1988). These same morphological criteria also have enabled the successful identification of Rohon–Beard cells in semi-intact preparations of Xenopus embryos and larvae (Spitzer, 1976; Rohrbaugh and Spitzer, 1996).

Because the skin and meninges have been removed in the semi-intact preparations, zebrafish Rohon–Beard neuron cell bodies are accessible to patch-clamp electrodes, thus permitting electrophysiological investigation. Two physiological parameters further distinguish Rohon–Beard neurons from neighboring dorsal spinal neurons. First, Rohon–Beard cells have hyperpolarized resting membrane potentials (approximately −70 mV, as shown in Table 1, for different mutant lines and sibling controls) in comparison to neighboring dorsal interneurons (−50 ± 1.7 mV; n = 23). The hyperpolarized value of the resting membrane potential of Rohon–Beard cells parallels that observed for Xenopus Rohon–Beard cells (Spitzer, 1976). Second, no spontaneous synaptic currents are observed, consistent with their sensory identity. In contrast, spontaneous synaptic currents are seen consistently in neighboring dorsal interneurons (data not shown). Thus, on the basis of morphological as well as physiological
of mutants are not significantly different from control (unaffected siblings), except for the case of tdо.

Statistical analysis (either Student's t test or Mann–Whitney nonparametric) indicates that the amplitudes of responses of Rohon–Beard cells of mao, ali, and ste mutants are significantly different from those of the pooled sibling controls or tdо mutants (p values range between 0.04 and 0.001). Resting membrane potentials of Rohon-Beard cells of mutants are not significantly different from control (unaffected siblings), except for the case of tdо. However, when tdо Rohon-Beard cells are compared against their matched sibling controls, no statistical differences are noted. Durations are not significantly different among the five cases examined. Cell membrane capacitance values are calculated in all neurons from which acceptable whole-cell configurations were obtained (see Materials and Methods).

Figure 2. Rohon–Beard neurons of mao homozygous touch-insensitive zebrafish mutants fail to fire overshooting action potentials and lack a rapidly activating and inactivating inward current. Shown are action potentials (A) and whole-cell voltage-dependent currents (B) recorded from neurons in control (48 hpf; left) and homozygous mao mutant (48 hpf; right) embryos. The control embryo is an unaffected sibling from the same clutch as the homozygous mutant. Whole-cell currents are recorded under the conditions used for action potential recording (see Materials and Methods), and thus the net current is revealed.

Table 1. Resting and excitable membrane properties of Rohon-Beard neurons of mao, ali, ste, and tdо homozygous mutant and unaffected sibling embryos (36–48 hpf)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property examined</th>
<th>Embryo type</th>
<th>Control (n)</th>
<th>mao (n)</th>
<th>ali (n)</th>
<th>ste (n)</th>
<th>tdо (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resting membrane potential (mV)</td>
<td>-74 ± 3 (16)</td>
<td>-72 ± 4 (8)</td>
<td>-76 ± 3 (14)</td>
<td>-72 ± 3 (16)</td>
<td>-67 ± 3 (9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action potential/active response characteristics:</td>
<td>Overshoot (mV)</td>
<td>16 ± 3 (10)</td>
<td>-4.8 ± 1.7 (8)</td>
<td>6.2 ± 2.4 (11)</td>
<td>9.6 ± 2.6 (14)</td>
<td>17 ± 3 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amplitude (mV)</td>
<td>102 ± 3 (10)</td>
<td>75 ± 3 (8)</td>
<td>89 ± 2.6 (11)</td>
<td>92 ± 3 (14)</td>
<td>105 ± 3 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duration (msec)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.3 (10)</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.4 (8)</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.4 (11)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2 (14)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell membrane capacitance (pF)</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.1 (65)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1 (92)</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.2 (20)</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.12 (37)</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.24 (8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rohon–Beard cells constitute an identifiable population of primary spinal neurons that are amenable to electrophysiological study in semi-intact preparations of embryonic and larval zebrafish.

Rohon–Beard neurons of homozygous ali, mao, ste, and tdо mutants and their unaffected sibling embryos are identifiable in intact preparations by using the same morphological criteria that characterize Rohon–Beard neurons of wild-type embryos and larvae: relatively large cell bodies and dorsal position. In addition, electrophysiological properties that distinguish Rohon–Beard cells from neighboring neurons in wild-type embryos, such as a hyperpolarized resting membrane potential (Table 1) and the absence of spontaneous synaptic currents, also characterize these mechanosensory neurons in homozygous touch-insensitive mutant and unaffected sibling embryos.

Rohon–Beard neuron action potential and whole-cell currents are affected in touch-insensitive mutants

The original description of the behavior of ali, mao, ste, and tdо mutants refers to 48 hpf embryos (Granato et al., 1996; Hafter et al., 1996a; Kelsh et al., 1996), which normally display a vigorous response to touch (Kimmel et al., 1995). mao homozygous mutant embryos display the strongest behavioral phenotype and, at 48 hpf, show no response to tactile stimulation. ali and ste homozygous mutant embryos show a reduced response to touch and can respond to strong tactile stimuli (Granato et al., 1996). tdо homozygous embryos initially (e.g., 1–3 dpf) lack touch sensitivity but later recover this phenotype (Granato et al., 1996; Kelsh et al., 1996).

Our studies began with analysis of mao mutants because their behavioral phenotype is strongest. The possibility that touch insensitivity is attributable to reduced cellular excitability was examined by using patch-clamp recording techniques in the whole-cell mode (Hamill et al., 1981). Action potentials fired by Rohon–Beard neurons of 48 hpf unaffected sibling embryos have pronounced overshoots (Fig. 2, Table 1). In contrast, Rohon–Beard neurons of mao homozygous embryos fail to fire overshooting responses. Nonspecific effects on membrane properties are unlikely to account for this result because resting membrane potentials, input resistances, and whole-cell capacitance values are not different between Rohon–Beard cells of homozygous
mutants and unaffected sibling embryos (Table 1; see Materials and Methods).

Elimination of the overshoot of the action potential suggests that the voltage-dependent sodium current is perturbed. Recording of whole-cell currents under conditions used for the recording of action potentials (see Materials and Methods) indicates that the amplitude of a rapidly activating and inactivating inward current is reduced in Rohon–Beard neurons of mao homozygous embryos. The initial inward current was often completely absent (Fig. 2), although outward currents were present. However, within single homozygous alii or ste mutant embryos, Rohon–Beard neurons fire action potentials with overshoots of variable amplitude. Although some overshoots are reduced severely (Fig. 3), others are within the normal range. This variability is evidenced by the more positive mean overshoot amplitude of action potentials fired by Rohon–Beard neurons of alii and ste mutants versus that of Rohon–Beard cells of mao mutants (Table 1).

mao mutants swim spontaneously but fail to do so in response to touch, suggesting that the defect is limited to sensory neurons. In wild-type embryos a range of action potential waveforms and sodium currents was recorded from the different classes of dorsal interneurons. In mao homozygous embryos, responses within the normal range were observed in interneurons (data not shown), consistent with a restriction of the deficit to sensory Rohon–Beard cells. Dorsal interneurons of alii, ste, and tdo homozygous embryos also were examined, and defects in action potential generation were not detected.

**Sodium current amplitude is reduced in touch-insensitive mutants**

The possibility that mutation of the mao, alii, or ste gene affects functional expression of sodium current was addressed directly by isolating sodium current (INa) by standard pharmacological and ion substitution methods (see Materials and Methods). Under these conditions all of the inward current recorded from Rohon–Beard neurons from wild-type or unaffected sibling embryos was blocked by 1 μM TTX, a standard pharmacological blocker of the majority of neuronal voltage-dependent sodium channel isoforms. Further, the currents recorded under these conditions reversed between +60 and +80 mV, as predicted by the Nernst equation for a sodium-dependent current (ENa = +64 mV). In addition, when sodium was omitted from the pipette solution, reversal was not observed over the same range of potentials, consistent with the predictions of the Nernst equation (Fig. 4A, top).

Whereas Rohon–Beard neurons of mao unaffected sibling embryos have a prominent INa, it is reduced substantially in Rohon–Beard neurons of mao homozygous mutant embryos (Fig. 4A, top). However, INa is not eliminated completely; a small component persists that represents ~10% of the total inward sodium current recorded from controls (Fig. 4B). This persistent component of INa also is blocked by 1 μM TTX (n = 11).

The similarity of the effects of the mutation of alii or ste to the mutation of mao suggests that alii and ste also are required for the proper expression of sodium current. Similar to mao homozygous embryos, INa is reduced in Rohon–Beard neurons of alii and ste homozygous embryos (Fig. 4A, middle and bottom). However, an important difference distinguishes the effects of mutation of ste or alii from the mutation of mao. Within a single homozygous alii or ste mutant embryo, Rohon–Beard neurons have a broad range of peak INa amplitude values, with some approaching control values, whereas others are reduced nearly as severely as in mao homozygous embryos (Fig. 4B). This difference parallels the variability observed in the amplitude of action potential overshoots recorded from Rohon–Beard cells of alii and ste homozygous embryos.

Two other touch-insensitive mutants (Granato et al., 1996)
were also examined: crocodile (cro), and schlaffi (sla). Their behavioral phenotype first appears relatively late (3 dpf) versus the time of appearance of the mao, ali, ste, and tdo phenotypes (Granato et al., 1996). No defects in excitable membrane properties were detected in Rohon–Beard neurons of sla mutants. However, Rohon–Beard cells of cro mutants exhibited a 15% reduction in sodium current amplitude (n = 4; data not shown).

mao function is required when embryos normally acquire touch sensitivity
The results presented above suggest that, at 48 hpf, mutations that lead to a reduction in touch sensitivity of the embryo do so by reducing the functional expression of voltage-dependent $I_{Na}$ and consequently preventing the generation or propagation of action potentials in mechanosensory Rohon–Beard cells. However, Rohon–Beard cells of cro mutants exhibited a 15% reduction in sodium current amplitude (n = 4; data not shown).

mao function is required when embryos normally acquire touch sensitivity

The first behavior displayed by zebrafish embryos is transient, present only between 22 and 27 hpf, and consists of spontaneous rhythmic tail contractions (Kimmel et al., 1995). In clutches produced by heterozygous mutant ali, mao, or ste carrier parents, none of the embryos is distinguishable from each other on the basis of these spontaneous contractions, indicating that this earliest behavior is not obviously affected. At 27 hpf, zebrafish embryos first show a consistent response to touch, consisting of the contraction of the tail away from the stimulated side of the embryo. This is the earliest time that embryos in a clutch produced by heterozygous mutant mao carriers are distinguishable from their unaffected siblings. Unaffected siblings respond to tactile stimulation, whereas the homozygous mao mutants fail to respond to a light touch of the trunk. Homozygous ali and ste mutant embryos are not identifiable until 5–6 hr later. The delayed appearance of the ali and ste phenotypes may reflect the variability in the cellular phenotype present in Rohon–Beard neurons (Figs. 3, 4) and consequent attenuation of the effect on the behavioral response to touch.

The behavior of wild-type and mutant embryos indicates that the consequences of the mutation of mao that lead to touch insensitivity are already present when embryos normally first display touch sensitivity (27 hpf). Accordingly, action potentials were recorded from Rohon–Beard cells of wild-type (wild-type Tü, 24–26 hpf) embryos just before the acquisition of touch sensitivity and compared with the action potentials of wild-type (wild-type Tü, 27–33 hpf) and mao homozygous (−/−) and unaffected sibling (+/?) embryos just after touch sensitivity normally is acquired (this is the earliest time that mao −/− and +/? embryos can be identified). Just before the acquisition of touch sensitivity, Rohon–Beard neurons of wild-type embryos are ex-
citatable and fire action potentials in response to the injection of depolarizing current. However, these action potentials have small overshoots and prolonged durations (Fig. 5, top left, Table 2). In contrast, once the embryo responds to touch, Rohon–Beard neurons of wild-type embryos fire action potentials with prominent overshoots and reduced durations (Fig. 5, top right, Table 2).

At the time that a touch response is normally first present, Rohon–Beard neurons of mao homzygous embryos (27–33 hpf) fire action potentials with decreased overshoots but of normal duration (Fig. 5, bottom left, Table 2). This finding suggests that the developmental changes that lead to a decrease in the duration of the impulse occur normally, whereas those promoting an increase in the overshoot are disrupted by mutation of mao. These predictions are examined directly below.

**Developmental changes in sodium current are disrupted in Rohon–Beard neurons of mao homzygous embryos**

During the transition from a touch-insensitive to a touch-sensitive embryo, the action potential of Rohon–Beard cells undergoes a developmentally regulated shortening of its duration in addition to the increase in the amplitude of its overshoot. The change in duration of the action potential observed for zebrafish Rohon–Beard cells is reminiscent of the developmentally regulated changes in excitability reported previously for Xenopus Rohon–Beard cells (Baccaglini and Spitzer, 1977). In the case of amphibian spinal neurons the developmentally regulated change in impulse duration is attributable to an increase in functional expression of voltage-dependent potassium current, $I_{Kv}$ (Barish, 1986; O’Dowd et al., 1988; Lockery and Spitzer, 1992). In Rohon–Beard cells of wild-type zebrafish embryos, $I_{Kv}$ increases in amplitude during the transition to a touch-sensitive embryo (Fig. 6). mao homzygous mutant and unaffected sibling embryos are identifiable only after touch sensitivity is acquired (27 hpf) and thus cannot be studied before this time. At 27 hpf the amplitude of $I_{Kv}$ is different in Rohon–Beard neurons of mao homzygous versus unaffected sibling embryos. Further, the amplitude of $I_{Kv}$ in Rohon–Beard neurons of either mao homzygous or unaffected sibling embryos is not different from that found in Rohon–Beard neurons of wild-type embryos (Fig. 6).

An increase in sodium current is a likely explanation for the increasingly more positive values of the action potential overshoot. This possibility is supported by an examination of the amplitudes of the initial fast inward current that was recorded with the use of action potential recording conditions (data not shown). Moreover, direct examination of $I_{Na}$ confirms that, in Rohon–Beard neurons of wild-type embryos, it increases in amplitude as touch sensitivity is acquired (Figs. 7A,B, 8). However, the peak $I_{Na}$ of Rohon–Beard neurons of mao homzygous 27–33 hpf embryos is reduced substantially versus that of Rohon–Beard neurons of unaffected sibling and wild-type 28–33 hpf embryos. Mutation of mao prevents the developmentally regulated increase in peak $I_{Na}$ and, consequently, of the overshoot of the action potential fired by Rohon–Beard cells. Thus, although changes in both $I_{Na}$ and $I_{Kv}$ of Rohon–Beard cells are noted during the transition from a touch-insensitive to a touch-sensitive embryo, the developmental changes in $I_{Kv}$ occur normally, whereas those in $I_{Na}$ are prevented in touch-insensitive mutants. These results implicate an essential role for a developmentally regulated $I_{Na}$ in acquisition of a behavioral response to touch.

**DISCUSSION**

Physiological analyses of Rohon–Beard cells in touch-insensitive mutants reveal a reduction in functional expression of a voltage-dependent sodium current and a consequent loss of cellular excitability. The reduction of sodium current and action potential generation or propagation prevents Rohon–Beard neurons from activating the swimming central pattern generator and thereby promoting a behavioral response. Although defects in Rohon–Beard mechanosensation or connectivity also could lead to a touch-insensitive phenotype, the reduction in cellular excitability suffices to account for the behavioral phenotype.

The mutations in mao, ali, and ste complement each other (Granato et al., 1996), suggesting that at least three different genes are required for the proper expression of $I_{Na}$ in Rohon–Beard cells when a behavioral response to touch is first being acquired. During this same period, as well as later, Rohon–Beard neuron $I_{Na}$ is increasing in amplitude. Similarly, throughout embryonic and larval development the behavioral response to touch appears to have an essential requirement for this current. None of the mutations leads to the complete elimination of voltage-dependent $I_{Na}$ (see Figs. 4, 7, 8). Similar to mammalian sensory neurons, Rohon–Beard cells most likely express more than one molecularly defined class of sodium channel. Although several scenarios could account for our results, the simplest one is that only one of the different classes of sodium channel that are normally present in Rohon–Beard cells is affected by the mutation of mao, ali, or ste, thus predicting a persistence of some $I_{Na}$ in neurons from homzygous mutants. This model further predicts that mao, ali, or ste affects an $I_{Na}$ that is regulated developmentally, and its functional expression normally increases during the transition from a touch-insensitive to touch-sensitive embryo.

Rohon–Beard neurons serve the function of peripheral dorsal root ganglion cells in the early zebrafish embryo and larva. The behavioral specificity of the touch-insensitive mutants suggests that the reduction of sodium current is limited to or is most

**Figure 5.** As touch sensitivity is being acquired, the amplitude of the overshoot of the action potential increases in Rohon–Beard neurons of wild-type, but not mao, homzygous embryos. A. Action potentials recorded from neurons in wild-type embryos before (25 hpf; left) and after (27 hpf; right) the acquisition of touch sensitivity. B. Action potentials recorded from neurons in unaffected sibling (right) and mao homzygous (left) 27 hpf embryos. mao −/− and +/- embryos are not distinguishable before the time that touch sensitivity normally is acquired (27 hpf). At this time the action potentials are elicited from neurons of mao homzygous embryos. However, the amplitude of the overshoot of these action potentials is small and resembles that of action potentials of neurons of wild-type embryos before the acquisition of touch sensitivity (see also Table 2).
pronounced in these mechanosensory neurons. Consistent with this prediction, excitability in dorsal interneurons was not affected in any obvious way. Are some vertebrate sodium channel isotypes expressed in a restricted pattern limited to sensory neurons? Indeed, some neuronal sodium channel types (e.g., PN1) appear to be expressed preferentially in sensory neurons of the peripheral nervous system rather than in central neurons (Toledo-Aral et al., 1997). Similarly, the sodium channel gene, SNS, which is thought to encode TTX-resistant sodium current, also is detected in most dorsal root ganglion neurons (Black et al., 1994). Other sodium channel genes also display cell-specific expression patterns; some are predominantly in muscle (Trimmer et al., 1989; Kallen et al., 1990), whereas different ones have their most robust or sole expression in the nervous system (Noda et al., 1986; Auld et al., 1988; Kayano et al., 1988; Schaller et al., 1992, 1995).

**Table 2. Action potential properties of Rohon-Beard neurons immediately before and after the time of acquisition of touch sensitivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Wild-type Tu⁺, 24–26 hpf (n)</th>
<th>Wild-type Tu⁺, 27–33 hpf (n)</th>
<th>mao –/–, 27–33 hpf (n)</th>
<th>mao sibs, 27–33 hpf (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resting membrane potential (mV)</td>
<td>−66 ± 2 (3)</td>
<td>−63 ± 2 (9)</td>
<td>−66 ± 2 (11)</td>
<td>−58 ± 0 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action potential characteristics:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overshoot (mV)</td>
<td>7.7 ± 3.0 (11)</td>
<td>16 ± 1.1 (15)</td>
<td>−0.6 ± 1.8 (15)</td>
<td>18 ± 2.2 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude (mV)</td>
<td>95 ± 2.8 (11)</td>
<td>102 ± 2.0 (15)</td>
<td>85 ± 2.0 (15)</td>
<td>102 ± 1.6 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (msec)</td>
<td>16 ± 4.0 (11)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.3 (15)</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.3 (15)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.7 (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical analysis (either Student’s t test or Mann–Whitney nonparametric) indicates that resting membrane potentials are not significantly different among the four groups examined. However, the overshoot, amplitude, and duration of 24–26 hpf Tu differ significantly from that of the other three groups (p ≤ 0.02). The designation “Wild-type Tu⁺” indicates that these data were obtained from Rohon–Beard cells of the wild-type line Tu⁺; the mutations originally were induced in this strain (see Materials and Methods).

**Figure 6.** Potassium current of Rohon–Beard cells increases in both wild-type and mutant embryos during the period of acquisition of touch sensitivity. A, Whole-cell potassium currents recorded in response to a series of depolarizing voltage steps. Data were obtained from neurons in a 25 hpf wild-type embryo that was not yet touch-sensitive (top left), a 27 hpf wild-type embryo that did respond to touch (top right), a 28 hpf mao homozygous embryo that did not respond to touch (bottom left), and a 28 hpf unaffected sibling that was touch-sensitive (bottom right). mao –/– and +/- embryos are not distinguishable before the time that touch sensitivity normally is acquired (27 hpf). B, The developmentally regulated increase in potassium current amplitude found at the time of the acquisition of touch sensitivity occurs in neurons of wild-type embryos (open squares), mao homozygous mutants (filled circles), and their unaffected siblings (open circles). Potassium current amplitude (+20 mV) is plotted as a function of the age of the embryo (hpf) in which the neurons were studied; the 25 and 30 hpf points present data pooled for the 22–26 and 27–32 hpf groups, respectively. In wild-type embryos the potassium current amplitude (open squares) increases substantially at the time of appearance of touch sensitivity. In mao homozygous mutants (filled circles) the potassium current is of the same amplitude. The number of cells analyzed per point ranges between 4 and 13.

Neuronal sodium channels consist of pore-forming α-subunits and auxiliary β1- and β2-subunits (for review, see Marban et al., 1998). The genes that encode these subunits represent strong candidates for mao, ali, and ste. In both flies and mice the genes encoding either α-subunits (Loughney et al., 1989; Burgess et al., 1995) or auxiliary subunits (Feng et al., 1995) of neuronal sodium channels have been identified by the study of mutants with specific behavioral phenotypes (e.g., temperature-sensitive paralysis). Similarly, mao, ali, and ste may encode structural components of sodium channels. However, the variable Rohon–Beard cellular phenotypes of the ali and ste mutants are difficult to reconcile with the mutation of a gene that encodes a structural element that is common to the affected sodium channel. Alternatively, mao, ali, and ste may be regulatory genes (e.g., transcription factors) required for the upregulation of ISNa during the transition from a
touch-insensitive to a touch-sensitive embryo. However, such a gene would need to act selectively in Rohon–Beard cells and affect specifically sodium, but not potassium, current functional expression or other excitable membrane properties. A gene encoding a DNA-binding protein that regulates sodium current expression has been identified by the cloning of Drosophila nap (Kernan et al., 1991). Further, in vertebrates, different signal transduction cascades and targeting mechanisms regulate either functional expression or subcellular localization of sodium current (D’Arcangelo et al., 1993; Toledo-Aral et al., 1997); thus, components of these pathways may be affected in the zebrafish mutants.

The zebrafish touch-insensitive mutants resemble human disease conditions, known as ion channelopathies, in that the expression of an ion channel is affected in a tissue-specific manner. The human ion channelopathies arise by the mutation of a single gene encoding an ion channel (Ackerman and Clapham, 1997). Similarly, the zebrafish mutants are likely to carry point mutations, because they were produced by an initial mutagenesis consisting of treatment with ethylnitrosourea, followed by outcrossing for at least five further generations (Haffter et al., 1996). Because it is likely that a single gene is affected in each of the touch-insensitive mutants, the zebrafish mutants reveal one with an essential function during embryonic development. They as well as other zebrafish neurological mutants may serve as models for embryonic ion channelopathies that have been implicated in human developmental disorders such as epilepsy (Steinlein et al., 1995; Biervert et al., 1998) (for review, see Noebels, 1996).

The above considerations indicate that touch-insensitive mutants may harbor mutations in a sodium channel gene. Many sodium channel genes previously have been identified in other species, thus facilitating the identification of the mutated gene by using a candidate gene approach. However, a common goal of saturation screen mutagenesis is to reveal a previously unknown gene, and the mutation of an orthologue of a known gene is often considered less interesting. An important caveat exists for the case of embryonically expressed ion channel genes, because little is known regarding the role of specific ion channels or the mechanisms that provide stage- and cell-specific expression patterns. Further, genetic analyses of the earliest stages of nervous system development in mammals are often impractical because of the intrauterine development of the embryo. In contrast, zebrafish embryonic development occurs externally; thus, access to embryos at these early stages is straightforward. The mao mutant exemplifies these points.

The mao mutant also was isolated in a screen for fish with defects in their retinotectal projection (Baier et al., 1996; Granato et al., 1996; Trowe et al., 1996). In mao homozygous mutant embryos, retinal ganglion cell axons reach the tectum, indicating that pathfinding occurs normally. However, their mapping within the tectum is perturbed: the arbors of the retinal ganglion cells in the posterior ventral tectum, which contains the nasal–dorsal retinal projection, are enlarged; premature defasciculation is also evident. In many species this stage of connection formation is dependent on activity (Shatz and Stryker, 1988). Previous work (Stuermer et al., 1990) demonstrated that the application of TTX had no effect on the formation of the retinotectal projection in zebrafish. However, single axons were labeled at an age slightly younger than that of mutant larvae screened for retinotectal defects. On this basis the reexamination of the effects of activity and TTX on the formation of the retinotectal projection is war-
Figure 8. The large increase in sodium current amplitude found at the time of acquisition of touch sensitivity does not occur in neurons of touch-insensitive mutants. Peak sodium current amplitude is plotted as a function of the age (hpf) of the embryo in which the neurons were studied. The 25, 30, and 40 hpf points present data pooled for the 22–26, 27–34, and 35–48 hpf groups, respectively; the number of cells analyzed per point ranged between 10 and 35. In wild-type (open squares) and unaffected sibling (filled squares) embryos the peak sodium current amplitude increased substantially at the time of appearance of touch sensitivity. In contrast, the normal increase in \( I_{Na} \) was prevented in ali (filled triangles) and ste (inverted filled triangles) homozygous embryos. Similarly, in neurons of mao homozygous mutants (filled circles), peak \( I_{Na} \) amplitude is even smaller than that found just before the acquisition of touch sensitivity in wild-type embryos. mao, ali, and ste \(-/-\) and \(+/+\) embryos are not distinguishable until their behavioral phenotypes are present (27, 35, and 33 hpf, respectively).
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