Temporal and Binaural Properties in Dorsal Cochlear Nucleus and Its Output Tract
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The dorsal cochlear nucleus (DCN) is one of three nuclei at the terminal zone of the auditory nerve. Axons of its projection neurons course via the dorsal acoustic stria (DAS) to the inferior colliculus (IC), where their signals are integrated with inputs from various other sources. The DCN presumably conveys sensitivity to spectral features, and it has been hypothesized that it plays a role in sound localization based on pinna cues. To account for its remarkable spectral properties, a DCN circuit scheme was developed in which three inputs converge onto projection neurons: auditory nerve fibers, inhibitory interneurons, and wide-band inhibitors, which possibly consist of Onset-chopper ($O_c$) cells. We studied temporal and binaural properties in DCN and DAS and examined whether the temporal properties are consistent with the model circuit.

Interneurons (type II) and projection (types III and IV) neurons differed from $O_c$ cells by their longer latencies and temporally nonlinear responses to amplitude-modulated tones. They also showed evidence of early inhibition to clicks. All projection neurons examined were inhibited by stimulation of the contralateral ear, particularly by broadband noise, and this inhibition also had short latency. Because $O_c$ cells had short-latency responses and were well driven by broadband stimuli, we propose that they provide short-latency inhibition to DCN for both ipsilateral and contralateral stimuli. These results indicate more complex temporal behavior in DCN than has previously been emphasized, but they are consistent with the recently described nonlinear behavior to spectral manipulations and with the connectivity scheme deduced from such manipulations.
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cells. Here, we examine whether these proposed interactions hold up when examined in the time domain.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Data for the present report were derived from 13 DCN–DAS experiments that also contributed data for a previous publication (Joris, 1998), in which methodological details can be found.

**Animal preparation.** Young adult cats were induced with an intramuscular injection of a mixture of ketamine (20 mg/kg) and acepromazine (0.4 ml) and received a subcutaneous injection of atropine. Anesthesia was maintained with periodic intravenous injections of 60 mg a-chloralose dissolved in a warm 1:3 mixture of propylene glycol and saline that were repeated when withdrawal reflexes to toe pinches returned and sometimes supplemented with diazepam to obtain more complete flaccidity of the limbs. Body temperature was maintained at 37°C. A tracheotomy was performed and both ear canals were exposed. DCN and/or DAS were visualized via a dorsal approach and cerebellar aspiration. The electrode was held by a microdrive fixed to the skull with a lucite holder and controlled from outside the sound-shielded room. It was aimed at the free surface of the DCN or at the DAS where it crosses the dorsal surface of the inferior cerebellar peduncle to descend along the surface of the fourth ventricle.

**Data collection and analysis.** Stimuli were timed and generated with a 16-bit digital stimulus system (Olson et al., 1985) driving dynamic phones that were part of a closed acoustic assembly (Chan et al., 1993). This assembly contained a calibration probe tube, was contained in tight-fitting hollow ear pieces inserted into the cut ear canals on both sides. Single units were recorded with glass-insulated tungsten electrodes (−3–4 MΩ [MicroProbe] or glass microprobes (−20 MΩ). The neural signal was amplified, filtered, displayed, and discriminated with conventional methods. Standard pulses were sent to the computer, which provided on-line visualization of poststimulus time histograms (PSTHs) and various response curves based on average firing rate or response synchronization.

For each well isolated unit characteristic frequency (CF: frequency with lowest excitatory threshold), response to broadband noise and presence of inhibitory sidebands (if spontaneous activity was present) were assessed with a computer-controlled search program. Spontaneous rate (SR) and CF were then quantitatively determined with a response area program, which presented tone bursts (duration/repetition intervals were typically 50/200 or 100/500 msec) at many frequency/sound pressure level (SPL) combinations, or a tuning curve program that tracked the excitatory threshold (duration/repetition intervals were 50/100msec). Rate-level functions were obtained to (1) short tone bursts at CF (25/100 msec, ± 200 repetitions, rise/fall times 1.6 msec), (2) long-duration tone bursts at CF (100/500 msec or 200/1000 msec, rise/fall 3.9 or 4 msec, usually 40 repetitions in 5 or 10 dB increments), and (3) broadband noise bursts (40 kHz wide, other parameters as in (2)). Stimulus levels for tones are specified in decibel SPL (sound pressure level re 20 µPa). Sound levels for noise are attenuator settings (decibel, arbitrary reference), which are specified in decibel SPL re 20 µPa computed by integrating the stimulus energy, corrected for acoustic calibration, over a one-third octave band centered on CF.

Responses to these initial tests allowed classification of cells, based on a modification of the schemes of Evans and Nelson (1973) and Young and Brownell (1976), as described previously (Joris, 1998). Briefly, four major categories were defined. Type IV responses showed spontaneous activity that was mostly inhibited by pure tones at the CF, so that rate-level functions obtained at CF were nonmonotonic, whereas broadband noise was usually excitatory at all stimulus levels. Type III responses resembled type IV responses but their response to CF tones was not strongly nonmonotonic. For reasons outlined earlier (Joris, 1998), we refer to type III and IV classes as one inclusive “II–IV” group. Type II responses represent spontaneous activity in the well driven by a pure tone, but not by broadband noise. Finally, responses were classed as O, based on the PSTH to short CF tone bursts, the expansive tonal rate-level function, and the strong response to noise.

Data on O2 cells in previous studies were obtained mainly in the PVCN. A small number of these cells occur in the DCN (Godfrey et al., 1975b; Joris et al., 1992), but the main reason for including the O2 responses was to contribute to our current understanding of the axonal projection to these cells to DCN (Smith and Rhode, 1989; Joris et al., 1992). Thirteen of the 14 O2 cells reported here were recorded in the stria, and one was recorded in the DCN. As they cross the inferior cerebellar peduncle and course centrally, the axons of O2 cells form a bundle that is separate from the axons of fusiform and giant cells (Osen et al., 1990, and our unpublished results), and it is a matter of definition whether one considers these axons to be part of the DAS or the intermediate acoustic stria or a separate bundle altogether. For convenience we refer to our recordings in the stria as “DAS recordings” (see Joris, 1998).

Temporal behavior was studied with 20 usec rarefraction clicks (repetition interval 100 msec, ≥ 200 repetitions) and AM stimuli (600/1000 msec, 20 or 40 repetitions). A tonal carrier of frequency f0 (= CF) was digitally multiplied with a low-frequency sinusoidal modulator of frequency f/m, according to the equation v(t) = [1 + m sin (2π f/m)] sin(2π f0 t/m), with modulation depth m = 1. Magnitude (Rm) and phase (ϕm) of synchronization of the response to the envelope frequency of the AM stimulus were quantified with vector averaging (Goldberg and Brown, 1969). Rm (also called vector strength or synchronization index) is 1 when all spikes occur at one particular envelope phase; for randomly timed spikes Rm is 0. In the calculation of Rm, the initial 10 msec of the response were discarded to remove the effect of the stimulus onset transient. Synchronization level functions (Rm and ϕm, as a function of SPL) were obtained with fixed f/m (usually 100 Hz) by increasing the stimulus level in 5 dB steps from below threshold to ~80 dB. From this function an SPL was found at which the response was strong in both terms of average firing rate and in terms of synchronization to f/m. Modulation transfer functions were then obtained by keeping SPL fixed at that level and varying f/m in linear steps between ~10 and 2000 Hz.

Examination of binaural sensitivity in the DAS is complicated by the combination of weak cross-nerve and direct contralateral influences and complex ipsilateral responses, which often include nonmonotonic and mixed excitatory/inhibitory components. We report only binaural effects that could not be interpreted as a result of ipsilateral stimulation through acoustic cross talk: this biased our sample toward type III–IV cells, which usually have spontaneous activity and low ipsilateral thresholds (see Results). Precautions were taken to minimize acoustic cross talk through the stimulus system (Gibson, 1982), and control experiments in the auditory nerve confirmed the high level of acoustic isolation, also helped by the high-frequency bias of DCN. Comparison of rate-level functions with ipsilateral and contralateral noise bursts (same parameters as in DCN–DAS experiments; e.g., see Fig. 9C), obtained for nerve fibers with CFs between 2.5 and 21 kHz, showed that interaural attenuation was ~60 dB. Control experiments. We contrast the responses obtained in DCN and DAS with responses in the auditory nerve and anteroventral cochlear nucleus (AVCN). Responses to AM stimuli are compared with published auditory nerve data (see Figs. 2C, 6.8) (Joris and Yin, 1992). Responses to contralateral noise bursts and ipsilateral CF tones, clicks, and noise bursts (see Figs. 2A,B, 9C, 13) were obtained from two auditory nerve experiments and one AVCN experiment (this was not the main focus of these experiments). The experimental and analytical procedures were almost identical to the DCN–DAS experiments, the main difference being that pentobarbital anesthesia was used (Joris and Yin, 1992, 1998). A comparison of contralateral stimulation were primarily looked for as an inhibition of FR, and these experiments were therefore biased toward cells with high SR.

**RESULTS**

Data were obtained for several hundred cells from DCN (four animals), DAS (six animals), or combined DCN–DAS (three animals) recordings. Cells were classified according to the response map and PSTH scheme, as described in Joris (1998). Only cells in the main response classes (types II, III, and IV, or O2), for which temporal or binaural measures were available and which were driven by the ipsilateral ear, are retained for the present report (n = 114). In terms of the binaural and temporal response measures discussed here, the data did not suggest notable differences, within the type III–IV group, between the DCN and DAS recordings. We therefore pool the data from DCN and DAS recordings in most population figures. Based on these other (Joris, 1998) similarities, and on independent anatomical evidence derived from intra-axonal labeling (our unpublished observations), it is unlikely that the DAS data reported here are contaminated by recordings from descending fibers.
Response latencies

Various kinds of broadband and filtered noises have been used in the physiological dissection of the DCN, but with the exception of the early study of Godfrey et al. (1975b), responses to transients have not been studied systematically. Figure 1 shows representative click responses of type III+IV (A–E) and O_c (F, G) units to 20 μsec rarefaction clicks over a range of SPLs. O_c units responded with one or more modes of increased firing probability that were very well timed and had short latency. Type III+IV cells also responded with several modes but with longer latency and poorer timing. Moreover, the response of the latter cells sometimes had unusual features such as a latency increase with increasing SPL (Fig. 1A, B, compare left and right histograms), and a first excitatory mode that was preceded by inhibition of spontaneous activity.

Click response latency at each SPL was determined for type III+IV and O_c cells from 3-point smoothed PSTHs (40 μsec binwidth) as the poststimulus time at which discharge rate reached 20% of the maximum driven rate (= absolute rate – SR) at the peak of the first response mode. Comparison (Fig. 2A) of minimum click latencies in 17 type III+IV units with eight O_c units shows systematically shorter values [Mann–Whitney U (MW-U), p < 10^-4] for the latter type. Latencies were only ~1 msec longer for O_c cells than for auditory nerve fibers of similar CF.

The click latencies measured here correspond well with the ranges quoted by Godfrey et al. (1975a,b) if compared as follows: their Pauser, Buildup, and Chopper categories with our III+IV class and their O_c class with our O_c class. Godfrey et al. (1975b) also described DCN cells with “on-type S” responses, many of which did not respond to clicks. The response to a sustained noise stimulus was not tested in that study, but the PSTH, shape of the tonal rate-level function, and absence of spontaneous activity of the cells reported by Godfrey et al. (1995b) are consistent with the current type II definition (Young and Voigt, 1982). To verify the finding of Godfrey et al. (1975b), we presented clicks to type II cells. In 10 cells, isolation of the spike was good enough to avoid contamination with the click-evoked field potential. Only one cell showed a significant response (one spike on ~50% of trials) but only at the highest output level attainable. A few other cells showed an occasional spike (maximum was 12 spikes in 200 repetitions), but most cells did not respond at any level. The absence of click responses is intriguing because it requires a very short latency inhibition that precedes the excitatory effect of auditory nerve input to type II cells, but that itself is also driven by the auditory nerve. Parsimony suggests that the same source of inhibition prevents firing of type II cells to broadband noise (Young and Voigt, 1982) and to transient broadband stimuli.

To enable a latency comparison for a larger sample of cells that includes type II cells, we measured latencies to short CF tone bursts. Onset latency was calculated for a wide range of SPLs, with the same method as that used for click responses, and for each cell we noted the shortest value, which generally occurred at the highest SPL presented. As shown in Figure 2B, there is a wide range and considerable overlap in the latencies of type II, III, and IV cells (n = 12, 36, 27, respectively; MW-U between these classes was not significant), but O_c latencies (n = 14) were again consistently short and differed significantly from the other DCN classes taken together (MW-U, p < 10^-4).

Various pieces of evidence suggest that O_c cells are inhibitory and may provide a strong input to both type II cells and weaker input to type IV cells (see Discussion). The short latency of O_c responses to clicks and tones (and also to AM; see below and Fig. 2C) corroborates this proposal; it may explain both the absence of click responses in type II cells and the early inhibition of SR in some type IV cells.

Response to amplitude modulation

Impulsive or step changes in intensity as in clicks and tone onsets do not afford the study of ongoing temporal properties of high-CF neurons to sustained stimuli, and often present recording difficulties because of a field potential associated with stimulus onset. AM stimuli are complementary and convenient in both these regards. Examples of responses to AM with increasing SPL are shown in Figure 3 for an O_c (left column), type II (middle
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Figure 1. Responses of fibers in the DAS to 20 μsec rarefaction clicks. A–C, Type IV; D, type III; F, G, type O_c. Suprathreshold levels (dB) are indicated above each PSTH histogram. For cells in A and B, responses at two levels are shown. Note that the latency of the excitatory response at low suprathreshold levels (left) is shorter than at high levels (right). Number of bins = 250. Number of repetitions: A, 500; B–D, 1000; E–G, 200. Responses B–G were obtained from the same animal. Ordinate scales on bottom apply to all histograms. A response of one spike/click, exactly timed in one 40 μsec bin, would give a response of one spike/stimulus per bin.
negative slope at mid and high SPLs for the type II cell, and mostly inhibitory for the type IV cell. The response to noise is very large in the Oc cell, absent in the type II cell, and intermediate in the type IV cell. The rate-level functions of Oc and type II cells to AM tones are similar to those for the short tone responses, albeit with reduced driven rate, as observed previously with unmodulated long tone bursts (Joris, 1998). The average rate of the type IV cell was inhibited by CF tones but exceeded SR in response to AM. These differences in average firing rate to tones versus AM were generally observed and are interesting in themselves, because it is unlikely that they are based on a spectral difference. For example, rate-level functions of type IV cells to noise bands of the same bandwidth as the AM stimuli used here (200 Hz) are similar to rate-level functions in response to CF tone bursts (Nelken and Young, 1994, 1997). However, we did not obtain responses to long unmodulated tone bursts and are therefore unsure to what extent the rate changes as in Figure 3 are caused by the stimulus envelope rather than the longer duration and/or repetition interval of the AM stimulus.

Temporal measures derived from the AM responses are shown in Figure 3 (middle panels). The magnitude of synchronization (R_m; ◊) to the modulation frequency of 100 Hz is shown for a range of SPLs. Open circles indicate significant synchronization, as measured with the Rayleigh test (p < 0.001); for those SPLs the phase of synchronization (f_m; ○) is also shown. Period histograms (firing rate as a function of modulation phase) are shown in the top row for selected SPLs, indicated by arrows in the middle panels. Oc cells invariably showed precise phase-locking to f_m over the entire range of SPLs tested. As illustrated by the example (Fig. 3, left column), period histograms grew more asymmetrical with increasing SPL and developed a small phase lead, but overall these responses were remarkably stable in magnitude and phase over a wide range of SPLs. In contrast, synchronization of type II and type III+IV cells to the AM envelope was highly variable both within and across cells. The type II (middle column) and type IV (right column) responses in Figure 3 are well synchronized to the envelope at low SPLs, with phase similar to that of the Oc cell. As SPL was increased, however, synchronization magnitude in both response types showed nonmonotonic behavior accompanied by large changes in phase (Fig. 3E,F). At low suprathreshold levels, both cells discharged maximally in the second half of the period histogram (0.5–1 cycles), whereas at high SPLs there was a trough at these phases. Moreover, as is obvious from the period histograms at intermediate levels (illustrated in Fig. 3 at 55 dB for type II and at 25 dB for type IV), the response can be so nonlinear that synchronization at the envelope frequency does not adequately describe the response at these levels. For example, over the range of SPLs where the synchronization to the first harmonic (=f_m) fell to a minimum and showed the large shift in phase, both cells showed a large second harmonic (data not shown) that exceeded the first harmonic in amplitude.

Nonmonotonic changes in synchronization magnitude and phase were seen in most type II (7/10) and type III+IV (6/8) cells; in the remaining cells, envelope synchronization was monotonic or was not studied over a wide enough range. Although the examples of Figure 3 are representative, the exact form of the synchronization and phase nonmonotonicities in type II and III+IV cells was idiosyncratic. A more consistent picture, especially for type II cells, is obtained by inspection of period histograms, shown in Figure 4 for six examples from each class. At low (≤45 dB) SPLs (Fig. 4A–C, left columns), all cycle histograms are

---

**Figure 2.** Comparison of latencies in DCN, DAS, and auditory nerve, measured for three types of stimuli. A. Minimum click latency. Type II cells were unresponsive to clicks and are thus not represented. B. Minimum latency to 25 msec tone bursts at CF. Symbols carrying upward arrows indicate responses for which shortest latency was >10 msec. C. Group delay measured from the slope of the phase-frequency function derived from responses to amplitude modulation. Datapoint for one type II cell (CF = 9.5 kHz) was shifted to lower CF to avoid overlap. Auditory nerve data in A and B are from a single experiment, whereas those in C are from the study by Joris and Yin (1992). Measures in C are corrected for acoustic delay, which was ~0.28 msec (~0.40 msec for auditory nerve experiments in C) as measured from the phase-frequency slope of the acoustic calibration curve.

**column), and type IV (right column) cell.** The rate-level functions to CF tones and broadband noise (bottom panels) show the defining characteristics of these response classes. The tonal rate-level function is expansive for the Oc cell, excitatory but with
Figure 3. Responses to AM tones for an Oc (left column), type II (middle column), and type IV unit (right column). Bottom panels show rate-level functions to unmodulated CF tone bursts (+; duration 25 msec for A, B, 100 msec for C), to AM tones (×), and to broadband noise (■). Middle panels (D, E, F) show the synchronization-level (\(R_m\), ○) and phase-level (\(\phi_m\), ◊) function calculated from the same AM response. Synchronization magnitude is quantified with the vector strength \(R_m\), a dimensionless quantity varying between 0 and 1. Phase \(\phi_m\) is given in cycles and is not shown if \(R_m\) is not statistically significant (indicated with ◊). Histograms (top) are cycle histograms at three selected SPLs, indicated with arrows in the middle panels. Modulation depth of AM tones was 100%, carrier frequency was at CF, and modulation frequency \(f_m\) was 100 Hz. The Oc and type IV unit were recorded from the stria; the type II was recorded from the DCN. CFs were 24.8, 9.5, and 8.8 kHz, respectively.

Figure 4. Examples of period histograms, binned at the modulation frequency \(f_m\), for six cells of each response type. For each cell, two histograms are shown: at a low SPL (left; ≤45 dB) and a high SPL (right; ≥65 dB). \(f_m\) was 100 Hz except for one low-CF Oc cell (top row, CF = 1.4 kHz) for which 50 Hz was used. C. Histograms on the first and second row were from type III cells; others were from type IV cells.
unimodal with similar phase (although the phase is consistently lowest for O c cells and highest for type IV cells). The similarity in phase at low SPLs in these three cell types is expected; the cells shown have CFs of >4.5 kHz (one exception; see legend to Fig. 4) and thus undergo small differences in cochlear delay (Joris and Yin, 1992); moreover, phase shifts caused by delay differences are small because of the low modulation frequency used (100 Hz: each one-twentieth of a cycle in the period histograms thus corresponds to 1 msec).

At high (≥65 dB) SPL (Fig. 4A–C, right columns) the histograms are very diverse and can be dominated by higher harmonics, but certain commonalities within each response class are apparent. For O c cells, the basic period histogram shape and average phase are similar to those at low SPLs, except for an increased asymmetry and superimposed chopping in some cells. In type II cells, the dominant mode at low SPLs is replaced by a trough flanked by one or two modes at high SPLs, and in type IV cells there is a general increase in complexity, sometimes revealing multiple modes. For all cells, at all SPLs, we examined spike timing, relative to envelope phase, over the entire stimulus duration. There was sometimes a slight increase in phase lag of the response modes with increasing poststimulus time, but the basic shape of the period histogram was present throughout the duration of the response.

The AM synchronization behavior of these three response types deviates strongly from that of auditory nerve fibers: for O c cells in terms of the high gains achieved, and for type II and III+IV cells in terms of the strongly nonlinear response at mid and high SPLs. Auditory nerve fibers sometimes show an increase in envelope synchronization at very high SPLs, but this occurs only in fibers with low CF and is not accompanied by a shift in $\phi_{in}$ (Joris and Yin, 1992). Intrinsic properties can affect temporal behavior (Manis, 1990; Zhang and Oertel, 1993; Feng et al., 1994) and may contribute to the change in shape of the cycle histogram with SPL, e.g., as seen in the O c cells, but undoubtedly the complex period histograms are also shaped through interaction of excitatory and inhibitory inputs that have phase-locked responses with different harmonic content. Period histograms of type II cells at high SPLs are consistent with a tightly phase-locked inhibitory input, e.g., from O c cells, at roughly the same phase as an excitatory input that is temporally more dispersed. Comparison at low and high SPLs (40 and 80 dB, or nearest available values) shows (Fig. 5) that the response phase of O c cells is restricted in distribution and changes little over a 40 dB range: the points are close to the diagonal of equality. This is not the case for type II cells, which show a restricted phase distribution at low SPLs but a more dispersed distribution at high SPLs. Note that at these high SPLs, at which O c cells show their highest discharge rates (compare Fig. 3A), significant synchronization of type II cells occurs mostly at phases outside the phase range of O c cells. The even greater complexity in the type IV histograms likely reflects phase-dependent interactions of multiple excitatory and inhibitory sources, including the type II cells, which are inhibitory to type IV cells, and parallels the previously described complexity of responses to manipulations in the frequency domain, which are also linear at low SPLs and increasingly nonlinear at mid and high SPLs (Nelken and Young, 1997). The lowest SPLs at which multimodal period histograms started to appear are also in line with this interpretation. For type II cells this was at a median SPL of 25 dB, which is 5 dB higher than the median rate threshold of the O c cells in this sample, and in type III+IV cells multimodal period histograms started to appear at a median SPL of 20 dB, which is 5 dB higher than the median rate threshold of type II cells in this sample.

The nonmonotonic and nonlinear behavior just described was not emphasized in previous studies of AM responses in the DCN. For example, Rhode and Greenberg (1994) found Pauser/Buildup units “to be exceptionally capable of encoding AM signals as long as the $f_m$ was relatively low (i.e., < 600 Hz).” The scant data that exist on AM responses in type II cells also show good envelope phase-locking (Kim et al., 1990; Zhao and Liang, 1995). It is important, therefore, to rule out differences in experimental variables (e.g., anesthesia, our inclusion of recordings in DAS) as a cause of discrepancies. In the following three figures, we proceed with a linear analysis of phase-locking and find that within the limits of that analysis, our results are consistent with previous reports.

For each cell we measured the maximal $R_m$ value, defined as the maximum in the synchronization level function obtained with $f_m$ of 100 Hz. O c cells had uniformly high gain when compared with auditory nerve fibers (Fig. 6). High maximum values were also found in some type II and III+IV cells, but the values for these cells were more varied. Median values were 0.93 for O c ($n = 12$), 0.79 for type II ($n = 10$), and 0.75 for type III+IV ($n = 7$).

A limited number of cells were held long enough to obtain complete modulation transfer functions (MTFs), which characterize the dependence of envelope synchronization on modulation frequency. AM stimuli of increasing $f_m$ were presented at low suprathreshold SPLs, where single-mode cycle histograms were obtained (Fig. 3D–F), and also at higher SPLs if time allowed. From the 45 MTFs obtained we selected one function for each cell, shown in Figure 7. When multiple MTFs were available we selected the function with the highest maximal $R_m$ value. In one
type II and one type IV cell there was a sharp notch in envelope synchronization over a narrow $f_m$ range, but for the remaining cells the MTF could be described as low-pass or bandpass. Type III+IV cells showed maximal synchronization near 100–200 Hz and generally had lower synchronization values than Oc or type II cells. The most striking difference between response classes was in the width of the MTFs; widest in Oc, narrowest in type III+IV, and intermediate in type II cells. The upper cutoff frequencies, taken at 3 dB down from the maximum (Joris and Yin, 1992), are compared with identical measurements from a population of nerve fibers in Figure 8. For CFs $>10$ kHz, the cutoff frequencies of Oc cells were within the range of auditory nerve fibers, whereas the cutoff frequencies of type II and III+IV cells were well below the lowest cutoff frequencies in nerve fibers of similar CFs. Thus, Oc cells stand out by a consistently high synchronization gain to the envelope frequency (Fig. 6), over a wide range of SPLs and $f_m$ values.

Figure 7 shows three examples of phase-frequency functions, obtained by accumulating response phase as a function of modulation frequency, for cells with a similar CF. Phase values are only graphed over the range of significant response modulation. The three functions converge to a $y$-intercept near 0.25 cycles, consistent with the sine starting phase of the stimulus envelope (see equation in Materials and Methods), and clearly differ in slope. This slope reflects the total delay accrued between acoustic stimulus and cell discharge and was measured by fitting a linear regression through the phase-frequency functions as described in previous publications (e.g., Joris and Yin, 1992). The regressions all had $r^2$ values $>0.987$. Figure 2C shows the slopes of the linear regressions as a function of CF. Again, Oc cells show the smallest values, which differed significantly (MW-U, $p < 0.01$) from other DCN cells.

Response to contralateral stimulation

Mast (1970) showed that many DCN cells in chinchilla were inhibited by contralaterally presented tones, at thresholds close to the ipsilateral excitatory threshold (median difference 7 dB) and with similar latency. Hochfeld (1973) presented similar findings for the cat, although with greater threshold (mean of 31 dB) and latency differences (mostly 10–20 msec). Judged on location, presence of spontaneous activity, and PSTH shape, most of these cells were likely type III+IV cells. Young and Brownell (1976) also found predominantly inhibitory contralateral effects in DCN of the decerebrate cat and reported that effects were weaker and more variable for contralateral tones than for broadband noise. Indeed, they reported that the response to binaural noise was intermediate between the ipsilateral (excitatory) response and the contralateral (inhibitory) response, which suggested a functional relevance for these binaural interactions in natural listening conditions.

We studied effects of contralateral or binaural stimulation in 20
DCN and 50 DAS units. The easiest way to detect an effect was by examining SR while stimulating the contralateral ear with broadband noise. Examples are shown in Figure 9 for a type IV (A) and a type III (B) cell. In both cells contralateral noise (○) suppressed SR to levels near zero. A summary for all cells tested is shown in Figure 10: circles indicate SR, and the “T” and inverted-T symbols indicate maximum firing rate to ipsilateral and minimum firing rate to contralateral noise, respectively, obtained from rate level functions as in Figure 9A. In all units tested (n = 52), we consistently found inhibition of SR by contralaterally presented broadband noise. The inhibition was not a spurious effect caused by acoustic cross talk, because the dominant effect of contralaterally presented noise was opposite to that of ipsilaterally presented noise, i.e., inhibition rather than excitation, and because the threshold for contralateral inhibition was usually close to that of ipsilateral excitation (on average 8 dB higher than ipsilateral excitatory threshold; range −20 to +30 dB). Effects on SR were hard to detect with contralateral tones, delivered as a search stimulus over a range of frequencies and SPLs. Of eight units tested quantitatively with monaural contralateral tones, at a frequency equal to the ipsilateral CF, only half showed an inhibitory effect at high SPLs (see below and Figs. 11A, 11B).

Because of the pervasiveness of inhibition by contralateral noise, a control experiment with identical stimuli was performed in the auditory nerve. Ten high-SR and two medium-SR auditory nerve fibers were tested (CF range, 2.5–210 kHz) with monaural ipsilateral and contralateral noise over a wide range of SPLs. In none of these was there a detectable suppression of SR by contralateral stimulation, as illustrated for a high-SR fiber in Figure 9C.

The inhibition of spontaneous activity by contralateral noise was not only consistently present and low in threshold; it was also profound, diminishing firing rates to low values in most cells (Fig. 10: median decrease in firing rate = 29 spikes/sec, median spontaneous rate = 35 spikes/sec). This was not generally the case for inhibitory effects on the response evoked by ipsilateral stimuli. In 14 cells (all type III+IV), we presented noise binaurally at an equal level over a range of SPLs. Two examples are shown in Figure 9 (A, B, diamonds). The response to binaural stimulation was lower than that to monaural ipsilateral stimulation, but in relative terms the effect was small, despite the clear inhibition of spontaneous activity by monaural contralateral stimuli. The × symbols in Figure 10 indicate the maximum rate to binaural stimulation for all cells. The inhibitory effect, measured as the difference between the maximum ipsilateral response and maximum binaural response, did not scale with ipsilateral response magnitude. The median decrease in firing rate was 22 spikes/sec. In only one cell (Fig. 10; cell ranked number 39) was the binaural response inhibited below spontaneous rate.

Before concluding that the inhibitory effect of the contralateral ear was weak, we further tested type III+1V cells with more natural interaural differences. To free-field sources, head and pinnae of the cat generate interaural level differences (ILDs), which can be ~20–30 dB at high frequencies (Irvine, 1987; Musicant et al., 1990; Rice et al., 1992). We obtained ILD functions by holding the level at the ipsilateral ear constant while varying the level at the contralateral ear. In all cases examined (n = 14), firing rate decreased with increasing contralateral level, but the decrease was much smaller than that obtained in well characterized binaural cells, e.g., in the LSO, where inhibition is virtually complete at 0 ILD (Boudreau and Tsuchitani, 1968). The largest effect was seen in the cell of Figure 9B, and a series of ILD functions for this cell is shown in Figure 9D, with ipsilateral level as the parameter. For each function the level of the ipsilateral ear was held constant at the value indicated, whereas the level of the contralateral ear was increased from positive ILDs (defined as contralateral level > ipsilateral level) to negative ILDs. Even for positive ILDs near the upper limit of the physiological range, the cell was not completely inhibited. Moreover, unlike LSO cells, the largest inhibitory effect was a nearly equal number of spikes/second across functions, independent of ipsilateral level. This was particularly evident when the functions in Figure 9D were graphed (data not shown) as a function of contralateral SPL, which was varied over the same range for all functions. The largest inhibitory effect, measured as the difference in firing rate between maximum and minimum of the ILD function, on average was 62.3 spikes/sec (range, 48.3–76.0) for Figure 9D (average for five other cells was 32.5 spikes/sec; range, 19.3–52.5).

The rather weak binaural effects described so far do not preclude that contralateral ear stimulation is significant in a full-cue, natural sound field, where different azimuthal sound source positions generate ILDs with reciprocal level changes in the two ears as well as spectral differences (Musicant et al., 1990). Limited data (not shown) to “virtual space” stimuli, obtained to binaural noise filtered by head-related transfer functions (technique as described in Delgutte et al., 1995), suggest indeed that inhibition of type III+1V cells by contralateral ear stimulation can be profound within the physiological range of cues, if the full complement of cues is present in their natural combination.

Various descending projections to the CN exist (Conlee and Kane, 1982; Brown et al., 1988; Weedman and Ryugo, 1996; Ostapoff et al., 1997), and an estimate of the latency and time course of the contralateral inhibition may narrow down the possible sources involved. We presented a contralateral stimulus during the sustained portion of the response to long ipsilateral CF tones in 10 type III+1V cells. A range of ipsilateral and contralateral settings was explored, and in most cases a setting could be found resulting in a sustained reduction of driven rate with a fast time course of onset. Figure 11 shows a level series of responses of one cell to a 200 msec CF tone, while a broadband noise burst (left column) or tone of the same frequency (right column) was
delivered to the contralateral ear at a constant level but with a 100 msec delay. The inhibition is stronger for contralateral noise than tones and is only profound for spontaneous activity or at low ipsilateral SPLs, but its onset is fast. Three more examples are shown in Figure 12A, B (contralateral noise) and C (contralateral tone). Inhibition reached its full strength within ~20–30 msec of contralateral stimulus onset, but not enough stimulus repetitions were available to derive a more precise estimate of the onset of inhibition. We attempted to estimate this onset with two additional procedures. First, we tried a technique used earlier on cells in the LSO (Joris, 1996) that are also excited by one ear and inhibited by the other. A sustained CF tone was presented to the excitatory ear and an AM tone or AM noise to the inhibitory ear, and the average phase of the response was calculated. Measurement of cumulated phase as a function of modulation frequency then gives an estimate of the contralateral inhibitory group delay (compare Fig. 7B). Unfortunately, the contralateral inhibitory effect appeared sluggish and did not follow the envelope of contralateral AM tones or AM noise (Fig. 12D,E). Only in one cell—strongly inhibited by contralateral tones (Fig. 12C)—was significant envelope phase-locking up to 250 Hz obtained after extensive exploration of binaural parameters (Fig. 12F). The group delay relative to the onset of the contralateral stimulus was 5.7 msec. Comparison of monaural and binaural responses (e.g., response phase to ipsilateral and contralateral AM) indicated that the phase-locked response was not caused by acoustic cross talk.

Second, we selected 20 type III+IV cells that showed inhibition of spontaneous activity with a well defined time course and averaged their individual PSTHs to an identical stimulus (100 msec contralateral broadband noise burst at 70 dB; this was the highest level at which none of the cells showed evidence of acoustic cross talk). This population PSTH was then compared with a population PSTH for the same stimulus presented ipsilaterally (Fig. 13, bottom). The latency for a 20% reduction in SR to contralateral stimulation was 6.6 msec, whereas the latency for a 20% increase in firing rate to ipsilateral stimulation was 3.8 msec. Figure 13 also shows population PSTH histograms for auditory nerve fibers and cells in AVCN with primary-like-with-notch (PLN) or chopper responses. Inhibition of SR by contralateral noise was clearly present in choppers, with a latency of 5.7 msec, but was not seen in auditory nerve and PLN cells. Interestingly, a prolonged offset inhibition was present in the type III+IV neurons for both ipsilateral and contralateral stimulation, but not in the chopper cells.

The time course of contralateral inhibition in choppers and type III+IV cells, and the absence of inhibition in the auditory nerve, exclude the possibility that this inhibition is caused by olivocochlear suppression of type I auditory nerve fibers. Such suppression has a time course that is about an order of magnitude slower, and its effect on SR is slight (Warren and Liberman, 1989a,b). It is questionable whether descending inputs from midbrain or even superior olivary complex could provide contralateral inhibition with sufficiently short onset latency, but these sources may contribute to the later, sustained part of the inhibition. Particularly in the cat, minimum latencies of olivocochlear fibers are longer than the onset of contralateral inhibition in the
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DISCUSSION

Temporal properties

Physiological dissection of DCN circuitry has made use mainly of spectral stimulus manipulations (for review, see Young, 1998). We provide evidence that in the time domain, responses are consistent with the circuitry proposed from these earlier experiments. Responses of interneurons (type II) and projection neurons (type III+IV) to clicks indicate a source of short-latency inhibition. The inhibition is particularly nonlinear to type III+IV cells. To all but 10% of the population, the excitatory response sometimes preceded by inhibition. Because type II cells were not responsive to clicks, they cannot be the source of the early inhibition to type III+IV cells. To all stimuli tested, Oč cells had the shortest latency. These cells are probably glycinergic and project to the DCN (Smith and Rhode, 1989), and therefore they are a likely source of the short-latency inhibition to type II and III+IV cells. A common source of inhibition that is strong to type II and weaker to III+IV cells was suggested earlier (Nelken and Young, 1994), and is consistent with results from electrical stimulation of the auditory nerve (Shoffner and Young, 1985; O’Leary et al., 1994) and with numerous previous studies (Smith and Rhode, 1985; Caspary et al., 1987; Snyder and Leake, 1988; Oertel et al., 1990; Saint Marie et al., 1991; Evans and Zhao, 1993; Zhang and Oertel, 1993, 1994).

Early studies found that the timing properties of the DCN were inferior to those of the ventral CN (Lavine, 1971; Goldberg and Brownell, 1973; Godfrey et al., 1975b; van Gisbergen et al., 1975a,b; Rhode and Smith, 1986b), but later studies emphasized good envelope phase-locking and even proposed specific roles for the DCN in processing temporal information (Kim et al., 1990; Frisina et al., 1994; Rhode and Greenberg, 1994; Langner and Schreiner, 1996). If synchronization to the envelope frequency of the AM stimulus is considered, our data on type II and III+IV cells agree with previous studies in terms of high maximal Rm values (Fig. 6) and low upper-cutoff frequencies (Fig. 8) (Kim et al., 1990; Rhode and Greenberg, 1994; Zhao and Liang, 1995). However, the most striking feature of these cells was the increasingly nonlinear response to AM stimuli of increasing SPLs (Figs. 3, 4). At low SPLs, period histograms showed a unimodal response peak. With increasing SPLs, this peak was replaced in type II cells by an inhibitory trough flanked by one or two excitatory modes, as would be expected from increasing recruitment of Oč cells, which showed high synchronization values at all

Figure 10. Summary of spontaneous rate, maximal rate to ipsilaterally and binaurally presented noise, and minimal rate to contralateral noise (DAS recordings, ○; DCN recordings, ◦). The first seven cells were tested only with monaural contralateral noise. The effect of binaural noise was tested in the last 14 cells (cells 39–52). Within groups, cells are ranked according to increasing response to ipsilateral noise (increasing spontaneous rate for cells 1–7). Inhibition to binaural noise was observed in only one cell (cell 39). Some cells were not classified because of short recording time (n = 16; almost all in DAS). All other cells were type III+IV.

Figure 11. The excitatory response of a type III cell to an ipsilateral CF tone of increasing SPL is inhibited by a contralaterally presented broadband noise (left column) or tone (right column). The ipsilateral CF tone (10 kHz) was identical for both columns: it started at 0 msec and was 200 msec in duration, and its SPL was increased in 10 dB steps, as indicated on the right. The contralateral stimulus was delayed by 100 msec relative to the ipsilateral stimulus, its duration was 50 msec (brackets on top), and it was at a constant level (left, 59 dB, measured over one-third octave centered at CF; right, 80 dB SPL). These responses are from the cell with the largest inhibition to contralateral tones in our sample.
SPLs with little change in phase. In type III IV cells the period histograms were even more complex, presumably reflecting an interaction of multiple sources of inhibition and excitation—whose amplitude, time course, and phase relationships changed with SPLs—with intrinsic membrane properties. The temporally nonlinear response of type II and III IV cells differs drastically from that of LSO cells, whose response to binaural AM stimuli conforms well to a linear summation of ipsilateral excitatory and contralateral inhibitory inputs (Joris, 1996).

Nonmonotonicities are present in some examples of the linear analysis by Rhode and Greenberg (1994), but the coarse sampling as a function of SPL and the absence of phase data make them less apparent. Complex behavior in some DCN units was also reported by Zhao and Liang (1995) and Schreiner and Snyder (1987). Our findings largely agree with these studies in terms of the high gain often found to AM stimuli, but the nonlinearity of the responses calls into question the functional use of this envelope information. The complexities in envelope phase-locking of type II and III IV cells make these cells ill-suited as straightforward “envelope encoders” (Langner and Schreiner, 1996). Of course, it remains possible that envelope information at this level is not encoded as “following” of the stimulus envelope waveform, but rather in a more subtle form of temporal patterns within or across cells.

**Binaural properties**

Stimulation of the contralateral ear had weak but consistent inhibitory effects. Contralateral noise bursts inhibited spontaneous activity of all type III IV cells tested, in both DCN and DAS, but had generally only weak effects on ipsilaterally evoked activity. Interaction of binaural stimuli was very different from that observed in the LSO (Boudreau and Tsuchitani, 1968): the maximal effect of contralateral ear stimulation was a roughly constant decrease in firing rate, independent of the ipsilateral SPL, as observed previously by Mast (1970). Moreover, the contralateral effects appeared temporally much more sluggish than those in the LSO (Joris and Yin, 1998).
(1976), but they differ in one respect. These authors reported that responses of type IV cells to binaural noise differed significantly from ipsilateral responses, which suggested a functional relevance for these binaural interactions in natural listening conditions. However, we found that responses to equal level binaural noise bursts were generally close in firing rate to the monaural ipsilateral response (Figs. 9A,B, 10). We tested a small sample of type III+IV cells with additional binaural paradigms. The results suggest that binaural effects may nonetheless be significant in a natural setting, where ILDs are combined with spectral cues, but more work is needed to substantiate this finding.

The inhibition through the contralateral ear had short latency but was temporally sluggish, as was apparent in the difficulty to obtain inhibition phase-locked to amplitude-modulated noise or tones (Fig. 12D–F). The weakness and sluggishness of inhibition made a precise latency measurement difficult, but in agreement with Mast (1970), Hochfeld (1973), and Evans and Zhao (1993), the latency was not much longer than that to ipsilateral excitation: the onset of inhibition was <7 msec, and the effect was fully developed within 20–30 msec. The short onset latency leaves little room in terms of number of synapses and conduction speeds involved. In the final section we argue that the Oc cells play a key role in the contralateral inhibition, as they do in the ipsilateral inhibition.

The pivotal role of Oc cells

Oc response patterns were first described by Godfrey et al. (1975a,b) (“on-type L” category), and were later tied to a specific morphological class (Rhode and Smith, 1986a; Smith and Rhode, 1989). These and other studies drew attention to the remarkable properties of these cells, including a wide dynamic range, broad frequency tuning, excellent phase-locking to envelopes and low-frequency tones, and their likely inhibitory nature. The response of these cells to broadband noise is higher than that to tones (Winter and Palmer, 1995; Joris, 1998), presumably because of a requirement of coincident input activity across a range of CFs (Winter and Palmer, 1995; Palmer et al., 1996). Oc cells have been proposed to be the “wide-band inhibitor” needed to explain the spectral nonlinear behavior of type IV cells (Nelken and Young, 1994) and was consistent with the hypothesis that Oc cells directly supply glycinergic input to the cochlear nucleus (Schofield and Cant, 1996). Other factors that may be important are the variable axonal thickness, divergent pattern, and diffuse course of the commissural projection.

Somewhat paradoxically, Oc cells have received a lot of attention precisely because of their exquisite temporal behavior (Kim et al., 1990; Rhode and Greenberg, 1994; Rhode and Smith, 1986a), yet we find that temporal behavior in their suspected postsynaptic targets is complex (in ipsilateral DCN) or weak (in contralateral DCN). The temporal properties of Oc cells may prove important through their participation in other circuits (Benson and Brown, 1990; Young et al., 1995) or may acquire new meaning as the nonlinear temporal responses of DCN cells are further explored. The present results, however, suggest that it is the spectral rather than the temporal properties of these cells that fit with general notions of DCN function.
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