Synergistic Effects of Schwann- and Muscle-Derived Factors on Motoneuron Survival Involve GDNF and Cardiotrophin-1 (CT-1)
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The survival of central neurons depends on multiple neurotrophic factors produced by different cell types. We demonstrate that media conditioned by muscle and Schwann cell lines show strong synergistic effects on survival of purified embryonic day 14.5 rat motoneurons in culture. Different lines of evidence implicate glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) and cardiotrophin-1 (CT-1) in this synergy. Their expression in the environment of the motoneuron is compartmentalized: gdnf transcripts are expressed principally in Schwann cell lines, whereas ct-1 mRNA is present in myotubes. Blocking antibodies to GDNF inhibit the trophic activity of Schwann cell line-conditioned media by 75%, whereas CT-1 antibodies diminish the myotube-derived activity by 46%. CT-1 and GDNF act synergistically to enhance motoneuron survival in vitro. In vivo, individual motoneurons coexpress both GDNF and CT-1 receptor components. GDNF and CT-1, therefore, are major components of the trophic support provided by the Schwann and muscle cells, respectively. The possibility that they act together on individual motoneurons suggests that the motoneuron must integrate distinct signals from different cellular partners when deciding whether to die or to survive.
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Motoneurons undergo a phase of natural developmental cell death that leads to loss of approximately half of the motoneurons initially generated. The surviving motoneurons have established contact with their target muscle and are assumed to have access to trophic factors essential for their survival (Hamburger, 1977; Oppenheim, 1989). Several studies have identified a variety of neurotrophic factors capable of supporting the survival of motoneurons (for review, see Henderson, 1996; Oppenheim, 1996). These include the cytokines ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) and cardiotrophin-1 (CT-1) and the glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), a member of the transforming growth factor-β (TGFβ) superfamily.

CT-1 belongs to the same IL-6 cytokine family as CNTF (Pennica et al., 1995). Among these cytokines, Leukemia Inhibitory Factor (LIF), CNTF, and CT-1 induce gp130 heterodimerization with a protein related to gp130, LIFRβ. In addition, CNTF and CT-1 require a third receptor component (CNTF-Rα for CNTF but still unidentified for CT-1) that is anchored to the cell membrane via a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) linkage (Davis et al., 1991; Pennica et al., 1996). GDNF signals through a receptor complex formed between the transmembrane tyrosine kinase Ret and the GPI-linked ligand-binding subunit GDNFRα (for review, see Robertson and Mason, 1997).

The role of some factors in the survival of motoneurons in vivo has been supported recently by gene knockout studies. For example, inactivation of the cytokine receptor components, cntfrα and lifrβ, leads to a 40% loss of motoneurons (DeChiara et al., 1995; Li et al., 1995), whereas the absence of their ligands CNTF and LIF exhibits no phenotype (Sendtnner et al., 1996). The loss of GDNF, shown to be the most potent motoneuron survival factor yet identified (Henderson et al., 1994), results in a significant loss of motoneurons (20–30%) (Moore et al., 1996; Sanchez et al., 1996). GDNF and an unidentified ligand for CNTF-Rα, therefore, are likely to be important factors in the survival of some motoneurons.

The cellular origin of these factors appears to differ. GDNF is strongly expressed by embryonic Schwann cells at the beginning of the motoneuron cell death period and later by some muscles (Henderson et al., 1994; Wright and Snider, 1996) and CNTF is expressed by Schwann cells only postnatally (Sendtnner et al., 1992), whereas skeletal muscle is one of the major tissues to express CT-1 during development (Henderson et al., 1994; Pennica et al., 1996; Sheng et al., 1996), clearly implying that neurotrophic factors are not necessarily all synthesized by the target muscle.

The identification of different cellular sources for these trophic factors and the complexity of growth factor requirements of neurons in the CNS (Snider, 1994) led us to hypothesize that...
signaling pathways for different factors may interact at the level of a single motoneuron to select those motoneurons that have established contact not only with their target but also with other cellular partners such as Schwann cells, glial cells, or interneurons. In accordance with this, we show that muscle and Schwann cells, the main peripheral partners of motoneurons, secrete factors that can act synergistically to promote motoneuron survival. Blocking the activity of CT-1 or GDNF in muscle- or Schwann cell line-conditioned media, respectively, significantly reduces the survival-promoting activity of each medium. This leads us to propose that GDNF and CT-1, two physiologically relevant factors, act in concert to ensure the correct development of motoneurons within a complex environment.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Motoneuron purification and culture.** Ventral spinal cords of embryonic day 14.5 (E14.5) Sprague Dawley rat embryos (Janvier) were dissected and dissociated, and motoneurons were isolated as described previously (Henderson et al., 1995). Briefly, motoneurons were purified by a combination of metrizamide density-gradient centrifugation and immunopanning on dishes coated with the 192 antibody (Chandler et al., 1984), which recognizes the low-affinity nerve growth factor (NGF) receptor and is specific for motoneurons at this stage (Yan and Johnson, 1988). Purified motoneurons were seeded on polyornithine/laminin-coated dishes at a density of 2000 cells per 35 mm dish or 800 cells per 16 mm well. Culture medium (basal medium) was Neurobasal (Life Technologies) supplemented with the B27 supplement (Life Technologies), horse serum (2% v/v), l-glutamine (0.5 mM), and 2-mercaptoethanol (25 μM). l-Glutamate (25 μM) was added to the medium during the first 4 d of culture and subsequently omitted. For long-term cultures, medium was changed every 4–5 d.

Motoneuron survival was quantified as described previously (Pennica et al., 1996) by counting the number of large phase-bright neurons with long axonal processes in a predetermined area of 1.5 cm² in the center of duplicate dishes. The number of motoneurons that developed initially in the presence of 100 pg/ml GDNF after 24 hr in culture was taken as 100% survival. Two B27 batches and several Neurobasal batches were used in this study. Combinations of different batches gave slightly different absolute survival values in basal medium and allow motoneuron survival for varied time in culture. To allow for comparison of values from different experiments, survival values were corrected for the value in basal medium (taken as 0%) and expressed relative to the initial 100% value.

**Neurotrophic factors.** Recombinant neurotrophic factors rat GDNF, ratCNTF, and neurturin were generously provided by Genentech, Inc. Mouse LIF was purchased from Life Technologies. The recombinant mouse CT-1 used in this study was produced in Escherichia coli, whereas that used in our previous work was purified from 293 mammalian cells. The percentage of motoneurons kept alive with this new batch was lower than that reported in our previous experiments (Pennica et al., 1996).

Neurotrophic factors were prepared as stock solutions (1–10 μg/ml) in PBS supplemented with 0.5% bovine serum albumin (Sigma) and kept in aliquots at –70°C. Once thawed, aliquots were kept at 4°C and used within 1 week.

**Conditioned media.** The C2/C7 muscle cell line (Catala et al., 1995) was expanded as myoblasts in DMEM with 20% fetal calf serum until confluent. The medium was changed for fresh complete Neurobasal medium and conditioned by myoblasts for 5 h. The C2/C7 muscle cell line-conditioned medium was then dialyzed against PBS containing 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, and 1 mM EDTA. The dialysate was concentrated to 50 μl by an AP-catalyzed color reaction using Fast Red (Boehringer Mannheim) for 10 min at 37°C. The resulting product was lyophilized and dissolved in water.

**Blocking the activity of CT-1 or GDNF in muscle- or Schwann cell line-conditioned media, respectively, significantly reduces the survival-promoting activity of each medium. This leads us to propose that GDNF and CT-1, two physiologically relevant factors, act in concert to ensure the correct development of motoneurons within a complex environment.**

**RT-PCR analysis.** C2/C7 myoblasts and myotubes were taken as muscle cells, whereas TSC2 (Knight et al., 1993), kindly provided by J. Koenig (University of Bordeaux) and MSC20 were taken as Schwann cells. Total RNA was isolated using the Trizol reagent (Life Technologies) and treated with RNase-free DNase I (Boehringer Mannheim). Reverse-transcription reactions, PCR, and electrophoresis were performed using standard protocols as described previously for ct-1 (Pennica et al., 1996), gapdh, and gdnf (Henderson et al., 1994), except that the number of cycles was 30 for gapdh, 39 for ct-1, and 36 for gdnf.

**Probes.** A 1167 nt full-length mouse cnfrf clone, including a 1169 nt region with 95% identity to the published rat cnfrf sequence (nt 62–1230 in Genbank S54212) in plBluescript II (pBS) and an 800 nt mouse liff/cfr clone representing the middle third of the extracellular domain (nt 820–1620 in Genbank D26177) in pBS were used to prepare transcripts labeled with digoxigenin-UTP (DIG-UTP). A 1610 nt rat gdnfrf clone with >99% identity to the published rat gdnfrf sequence (247–1856 in Genbank U95486) in pBS and a c-ret clone pcrmer7 (Pachnis et al., 1993) (including nt 1444–2864 in Genbank X07812) in pBS were used to prepare transcripts labeled with fluorescein-UTP (Fluo-UTP).

**Double in situ hybridization.** Double in situ hybridization was performed on 16-μm-thick frozen sections prepared from E14.5 rat embryos fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.12 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, and cryopreserved in 15% sucrose and 0.12 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, before embedding in the same buffer plus 7.5% gelatin.

Labeled probes were transcribed using the Boehringer T3/T7 transcription kit. Transcripts were hydrolyzed to give an average probe length of 150 bp and were used at a concentration of 500 ng/ml. The double in situ hybridization protocol was adapted from that described by Myat et al. (1996) and by Jowett and Yan (1996), except that in this study the two color reaction products were analyzed separately to avoid complications arising from superimposition of the two signals. This was achieved by recording the Fast Red reaction results and then bleaching the sections before recording the second color reaction for which we used NBT/BCIP instead of using fluorescent ELF-TM. Briefly, as described by Myat et al. (1996), DIG- and Fluo-labeled probes were mixed in hybridization buffer and applied to sections. After hybridization at 70°C overnight, sections were washed twice in 50% Formamide, 1× SSC, 0.1% Tween-20 for 30 min at 65°C, twice in MABT buffer for 30 min before blocking in buffer A (MABT, 2% blocking reagent from Boehringer Mannheim, 20% sheep serum) for 1 hr. Sections were then exposed to a 1:5000 dilution of anti-DIG-alkaline phosphatase (AP)-conjugate (Boehringer Mannheim) in buffer A overnight at room temperature. After washing for 30 min in MABT, the bound DIG-probe was visualized by an AP-catalyzed color reaction using Fast Red (Boehringer Mannheim) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The color reaction was stopped in water, the slides were mounted in 90% glycerol and 0.1 M Tris, pH 8.2, and the results were recorded as photomicrographs taken using DIC optics. The AP activity was then inactivated by incubating with 100 mM glycine and 0.1% Tween-20, pH 2.2, for 30 min, and the sections were post-fixed in 4% PFA in PBS for 10 min at room temperature, washed in PBS, blocked again in buffer A for 1 hr, and incubated overnight with the Fluo-AP conjugate (Boehringer Mannheim). After washing as above, slides were incubated this time with NBT-BCIP (Boehringer Mannheim, Meylan, France) staining solution according to the manufacturer’s instructions and the reaction stopped by washing in water. Fast Red precipitates were then removed by incubating the slides in increasing concentrations of ethanol, eluting in two final incubations in 100% ethanol for 10 min before cleaning with Histoclear and mounting with Eukitt (Poly Labo). Photomicrographs of the NBT/BCIP result were then taken for comparison with those showing the Fast Red results on the same sections. This sequential approach permits unequivocal identification of coexpression at the single cell level. Control experiments in which sections were hybridized with only one probe and nevertheless exposed to both antibodies sequentially were used to confirm both antibody specificity and successful alkaline phosphatase inactivation (data not shown).
The synergistic actions of Schwann cell- and muscle cell-derived factors. Among the trophic factors known to be active on motoneurons, we focused on two factors synthesized by Schwann cells or muscle cells: GDNF and CT-1 (Henderson et al., 1994; Oppenheim et al., 1995; Yan et al., 1995; Pennica et al., 1996). Because the cellular resolution of published in situ hybridization data for these neurotrophic factors is insufficient to identify the positive cell types, we used RT-PCR to determine which neurotrophic factors were potentially synthesized by Schwann and muscle cells.

We performed RT-PCR on RNA prepared from C2 myoblasts and myotubes or from MSC80 and TSC2 Schwann cells (Fig. 2). The results show that expression of gdnf and ct-1 mRNAs is compartmentalized. Whereas the expression of gdnf was much stronger in Schwann cells than in myoblasts or myotubes, ct-1 transcripts were detected only in muscle cells, myoblasts, and myotubes (Fig. 2). This is in accordance with the strong accumulation of gdnf mRNA in peripheral nerve at the beginning of motoneuron cell death (Henderson et al., 1994; Wright and Snider, 1996) and is consistent with the pattern of ct-1 expression detected by in situ hybridization (Pennica et al., 1996).
GDNF and CT-1 contribute to the trophic support provided by Schwann and muscle cells, respectively

To examine whether the trophic activity of conditioned media was attributable in part to the presence of CT-1 or GDNF, we performed antibody depletion experiments using blocking antibodies directed against either factor. Each antibody was capable of blocking the activity of the appropriate protein, but neither showed nonspecific toxicity for motoneurons grown in the presence of other factors, including members of the same family: neurturin for GDNF and CNTF for CT-1 (Fig. 3A). The number of motoneurons supported by rat GDNF (100 pg/ml) was reduced to basal levels by anti-human GDNF (20 μg/ml) but was not significantly affected by anti-CT1. Conversely, the trophic activity of mouse CT-1 (10 ng/ml) was inhibited by affinity-purified blocking antibodies to mouse CT-1 (20 μg/ml) but was not significantly affected by anti-GDNF (Fig. 3A, data not shown).

C2-CM and SC-CM (10% v/v) were incubated for 1 hr at 37°C with blocking antibodies directed against each factor before seeding motoneurons. Strikingly, whereas anti-GDNF antibodies reduced by approximately threefold the number of motoneurons supported by SC-CM (Fig. 3B), they had no effect on C2-CM activity (Fig. 3C). Conversely, preincubation with anti-CT1 antibodies strongly reduced the survival of motoneurons in the presence of C2-CM but did not alter the number of motoneurons saved by SC-CM (Fig. 3C). In three independent experiments, GDNF antibodies inhibited the trophic activity of SC-CM by 75 ± 4% and CT-1 antibodies reduced the trophic activity of C2-CM by 46 ± 4%. Thus, these results implicate GDNF as the major component of the trophic activity of SC-CM, and they implicate CT-1 as being responsible for almost half the C2-CM trophic activity for motoneurons. Furthermore, they confirm that muscle and Schwann cells provide different neurotrophic factors to maintain motoneuron survival during naturally occurring cell death.

Synergy between GDNF and CT-1

We next asked whether combinations of purified GDNF and CT-1 could mimic the effects of SC-CM and C2-CM and thus act synergistically to promote motoneuron survival. In optimal conditions, GDNF and CT-1 alone allow the long-term survival of 25% and 40% of motoneurons initially seeded, respectively (Pennica et al., 1996). These figures are higher than those obtained above with SC-CM (2%) and C2-CM (3.5%), probably because the concentrations of factors in conditioned media were lower than those used in these experiments. Therefore, to distinguish better between additive and synergistic effects, we tested combinations of GDNF and CT-1 in experimental conditions that were suboptimal for single factors. Either the factors were added at lower concentrations or motoneurons were counted when the survival value with either factor alone had fallen below 20% (this time varied from one set of experiments to another; see Materials and Methods).

In these conditions, we established dose–response curves for CT-1 in the presence or absence of a fixed concentration of GDNF (100 pg/ml). In two independent experiments, after 14 d in culture, survival values for each factor were calculated by subtracting the number of motoneurons surviving in basal medium (absolute value). The fraction of motoneurons kept alive in the presence of 100 pg/ml GDNF was 2 ± 2% (mean ± SEM, n = 2), and only 1 ± 1% were saved by CT-1 (Fig. 4A). Combinations of both factors promoted the survival of up to 31 ± 2% of motoneurons (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, the morphological development of surviving motoneurons was more pronounced in the presence of both factors (Fig. 4B1); compared with motoneurons cultured with either factor alone, those in the presence of GDNF plus CT-1 had twofold more primary neurites greater than two cell diameters in length (data not shown). These results demonstrate a strong synergy between GDNF and CT-1 on both survival and development of a fraction of motoneurons.

GDNF acts synergistically with cytokines of the IL-6 family that use α-subunits

Because CT-1 belongs to the IL-6 cytokine family, we assessed whether GDNF could synergize with other members of this family. We established dose–response curves for the trophic activity of CNTF (Fig. 5A) or LIF (data not shown) in the
Individual spinal motoneurons coexpress GDNF receptor and CNTF receptor in vivo

Taken together, our results suggest that at least two factors can act on a single neuron. This cooperative effect requires the expression of receptors for both factors in the same cell. However, such coexpression might be a secondary effect of cell culture. To determine whether, just before programmed cell death, individual motoneurons are potentially capable of responding to both GDNF and CT-1 in vivo, we developed a novel technique for double in situ hybridization (see Materials and Methods).

Each factor under investigation signals through a multicomponent receptor. GDNF uses a complex formed between the transmembrane tyrosine kinase RET and the GPI-linked ligand binding subunit GDNFRα, both of which are known to be expressed by motoneurons (Pachnis et al., 1993; Treanor et al., 1996). CT-1 and CNTF signaling in motoneurons requires the transmembrane subunits LIFRβ and gp130, in addition to CNTFRα, a GPI-linked α subunit for CNTF (Davis et al., 1991), and an as yet unidentified equivalent for CT-1 (Pennica et al., 1996). If individual motoneurons respond during embryonic development to GDNF and CT-1, they should express ret, gdnfrα, gp130, and lifrβ. If they are receptive to GDNF and a CNTF-like factor, the motoneurons should also express cntfr. To ascertain whether a single motoneuron can express at least one component of both receptor complexes, we studied coexpression on spinal cord sections.

Because for lifrβ only a mouse probe was available, we performed double in situ hybridization of lifrβ and ret on mouse spinal cords and double in situ hybridization of cntfrα and gdnfrα on rat spinal cords. At brachial levels of E12.5 mouse spinal cord, most motoneurons expressed both lifrβ, which was revealed using Fast Red (Fig. 6A,C) and ret, which was revealed using NBT/BCIP (Fig. 6B,D). Similar results were observed for expression of cntfrα (Fig. 6E,G) and gdnfrα (Fig. 6F,H) at the brachial level of E14.5 rat spinal cord. At higher magnification, coexpression was observed at the single cell level (Fig. 6C,D,G,H). Thus, a majority of motoneurons express both GDNF and cytokine receptors as programmed cell death is about to begin.
Of interest, the relative levels of intensity varied from one group of neurons to the other. For instance, as indicated in Figure 6, C and D, some mouse motoneurons in the lateral part of the spinal cord showed a strong signal for ret and a weaker signal for lifrβ. Similarly, a group of rat lateral motoneurons exhibited stronger staining for cntfα (Fig. 6G) than for gdnfα (Fig. 6H).

DISCUSSION

We have shown in the present study that two cell types in close contact with motoneurons, Schwann cells and muscle cells, synthesize molecules that have synergistic trophic effects on cultured motoneurons. We present evidence that major actors in this synergistic activity are GDNF and CT-1. These two factors are able to act in concert to promote significantly better motoneuron survival than either factor alone, and their expression in the environment of the motoneuron is compartmentalized: gdnf is expressed mainly by Schwann cells, and ct-1 is expressed mainly by myotubes. In addition, blocking antibodies to GDNF inhibit 75% of the motoneuron trophic activity secreted by Schwann cell lines in culture, whereas blocking antibodies to CT-1 reduce the trophic activity of myotube-conditioned medium by 46%.

Synergistic effects of Schwann cells and muscle may reflect the complex cellular environment of central neurons (Snider, 1994). Thus, one might expect synergy between neurotrophic factors synthesized not only by peripheral but also by central (Yin et al., 1994) partners of the motoneuron. Such synergy has been demonstrated in vitro for the association of spinal cord cells and muscle (Dohrmann et al., 1987). Our hypothesis that motoneurons simultaneously need factors synthesized by different sources is difficult to test in vivo because it is difficult to establish the origin of a given factor. However, by extrapolating our in vitro results to the physiological situation in vivo, one would predict that deletion of either muscle or Schwann cells would lead to motoneuron loss. Indeed, in skeletal muscle-deficient transgenic mice carrying a cytotoxic gene controlled by a muscle-specific promoter, up to 90% of those motoneurons that normally would have survived are lost (Oppenheim et al., 1997). Furthermore, homozygous erbB3 mutant embryos, which lack Schwann cells, lose 79% of their motoneurons (Riethmacher et al., 1997).

Several arguments are in favor of GDNF as a physiological survival factor for some spinal motoneurons. First, it is a potent trophic factor for motoneurons in vitro, allowing the long-term survival of 25% of motoneurons initially seeded (Henderson et al., 1994). In ovo and in vivo, it rescues developing motoneurons from natural programmed cell death and prevents loss of neonatal motoneurons induced by axotomy (Henderson et al., 1994; Oppenheim et al., 1995; Yan et al., 1995). Furthermore, mutations in the gdnf gene are associated with loss of 20–30% motoneurons (Moore et al., 1996; Sanchez et al., 1996). During embryonic development, in the environment of motoneurons, gdnf is predominantly expressed in Schwann cells and later in some muscles (Henderson et al., 1994; Wright and Snider, 1996). Analysis of knockout mice cannot reveal the source of GDNF necessary for motoneuron survival. Here we have shown that incubation with GDNF blocking antibodies depletes 75% of the trophic activity of myotube-conditioned medium, strongly suggesting that Schwann cells are the main source of GDNF for motoneurons.

CT-1 is also a potent trophic factor for motoneurons in vitro, and its pattern of expression is consistent with a physiological role in vivo. Indeed, levels of CT-1 mRNA are high in limb bud at the beginning of cell death and are greatly reduced at birth (Pennica et al., 1996). However, no knockout data are available to confirm
of two different receptors in individual motoneurons. Single sections of E12.5 (A–D) mouse and E14.5 (E–H) rat brachial spinal cords were hybridized with two probes: DIG-labeled liffr and Fluor-labeled ret (A–D) or DIG-labeled cntfr and Fluor-labeled gdnfr (E–H). Anti-DIG antibodies were applied first and stained using Fast Red to reveal cells expressing liffr (A, C) and cntfr (E, G). Anti-Fluo antibodies were then applied and detected using NBT/BCIP to reveal cells expressing ret (B, D) and gdnfr (F, H) after removal of the first red reaction product. Most motoneurons express components of two receptor complexes (examples indicated by arrows). Compare enlargements of one mouse ventral horn in C (liffr) and D (ret) and enlargements of one rat ventral horn in G (cntfr) and H (gdnfr). Arrowheads indicate motoneurons that show a strong signal for ret (D) and very low signal for liffr (C) or that are positive for cntfr (G) and nearly negative for gdnfr (H). Loss of liffr signal from roof plate (asterisk in A and B) demonstrates lack of interference between color reactions.

the physiological relevance of CT-1 in vivo. We used antibody depletion to remove factors from conditioned medium, thus avoiding the possibility of a compensatory reaction by the cells in question. A 46% depletion of the C2 muscle trophic activity was observed after incubation with a blocking antibody to CT-1, showing not only the likely importance of CT-1 for motoneuron survival but also that it may indeed be one of the key muscle-derived factors.

We have shown that GDNF synthesized by Schwann cells and CT-1 secreted by muscle cells act synergistically to promote motoneuron survival. Synergistic effects of neurotrophic factors on motoneuron cultures have been reported previously (Zurn et al., 1996; Wong et al., 1997). However, this is the first time that a synergistic effect has been observed between factors present in the environment of motoneurons during naturally occurring cell death. Furthermore, the use of low-density cultures of purified motoneurons proves that both factors act directly on motoneurons and strongly suggests that synergy results from interactions at the level of single neurons. Exposure to a combination of several factors may induce synergy at the level of intracellular signaling pathways. In line with this consideration is the observation that GDNF and CT-1 may signal through different pathways: cytokines use the Jak–Tyk family of tyrosine kinases and the STATs (signal transducer and activator of transcription) as signaling substrates (for review, see Ip and Yancopoulos, 1996), whereas GDNF signaling involves the MAP kinase pathway (Trupp et al., 1996). Synergy at the signaling level was observed with CNTF and FGF, resulting in an increased and prolonged activation of the ERKs (Ip et al., 1994). Taken together, these data indicate that the distinct signaling pathways, activated on one hand by GDNF and on the other hand by CT-1 or CNTF or an as yet unknown CNTF relative that is present during naturally occurring cell death, can cooperate to promote the survival of motoneurons.

Does synergy between GDNF and CT-1 occur during motoneuron development in vivo? This is difficult to test directly as discussed above. However, we show here that elements of both receptor complexes are coexpressed by individual motoneurons. Although Cntfr, liffr, and ret expression in motoneurons was described previously (Ip et al., 1993; Pachnis et al., 1993; MacLennan et al., 1996; Treanor et al., 1996), our results using double in situ for liffr and ret and for cntfr and gdnfr provide the first clear evidence that certain individual motoneurons are potentially capable of responding to both GDNF and CT-1 in vivo. We could not test for presence of the putative CT-1 α-receptor, but our data strongly suggest that a combination of GDNF and CT-1 should provide an interesting pharmacological tool (Henderson, 1995) that may have important implications for clinical neuroscience. Indeed, in vivo synergy between CNTF and BDNF (Mitumoto et al., 1994) or CNTF and NT3 (Haase et al., 1997) has been observed previously in the treatment of mouse models of degenerative motoneuron diseases.

GDNF and CT-1 are essential components of the neurotrophic activity of Schwann and muscle cell-conditioned media, respectively, as demonstrated by our antibody depletion experiments. However in neither case did we obtain complete inhibition. Thus, it is clear that GDNF is not the only Schwann cell-derived factor, as is also demonstrated by the fact that in mice lacking Schwann cells (Riethmacher et al., 1997) the loss of motoneurons is much greater than in GDNF knockout mice (Moore et al., 1996; Sanchez et al., 1996). Similar arguments can be applied to muscle-derived factors. Although muscle is absolutely required for survival of 90% of developing motoneurons (Oppenheim et al., 1997), CT-1 antibodies do not abolish all of the activity of muscle-conditioned medium. In agreement with this, two other factors, FGF-5 and HGF/SF, have been demonstrated to be responsible for part of the trophic activity of muscle cells (Hughes
et al., 1993; Yamamoto et al., 1997). Other factors contributing to Schwann cell- and/or muscle-derived support for motoneurons may be relatives of CNTF that signal through the CNTF receptor. The proposed existence of such a “CNTF-2” molecule (DeChiara et al., 1995) may account for the strong synergy observed between GDNF and CNTF, which is not expressed during the period of motoneuron cell death.

Not all motoneurons respond to a combination of GDNF and CT-1, reflecting the likely complexity of motoneuron populations and raising the possibility of the existence of subpopulations. Our in situ hybridization data point to the existence of pools of motoneurons that express different levels of the receptor components we have studied (Fig. 6). The possible existence of subpopulations of motoneurons requiring different trophic factors implies that other combinations of factors might save other motoneurons. It is also possible that our Schwann- and muscle-cell lines may not reflect completely the diversity of Schwann and muscle populations in vivo in terms of neurotrophic factor production.

Our results provide evidence, and a potential rationale, for the existence of synergistic interactions between physiologically relevant neurotrophic factor signaling pathways in individual motoneurons. We suggest that the motoneuron (and other central neurons) can integrate distinct signals from several cellular partners when deciding whether to die or survive (Fig. 7). The existence of synergistic effects between Schwann- and muscle-derived factors would favor the survival of those motoneurons that have both made appropriate central connections and been surrounded by the cells that will constitute the myelinated peripheral nerve.
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