Cell- and Lamina-Specific Expression and Activity-Dependent Regulation of Type II Calcium/Calmodulin-Dependent Protein Kinase Isoforms in Monkey Visual Cortex
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Type II calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase (CaMKII) is involved in many aspects of neuronal function involving calcium signaling (Braun and Schulman, 1995), including plasticity of the cerebral cortex (Glazewski et al., 1996; Gordon et al., 1996; Kirkwood et al., 1997). This kinase exists in four known isoforms, the products of separate genes, α, β, γ, and δ (Bennett et al., 1983; Bennett and Kennedy, 1987; Hanley et al., 1987; Lin et al., 1987; Tobimatsu et al., 1988; Tobimatsu and Fujisawa, 1989). Alternative mRNA splicing results in the production of additional isoforms (Bennett and Kennedy, 1987; Benson et al., 1991a; Mayer et al., 1993; Nghiém et al., 1993; Schwerer et al., 1993; Edman and Schulman, 1994; Brocke et al., 1995). Native CaMKII is thought to consist of heteromeric and homomorphic combinations of isomers, formed by interactions at the C-terminal end of the molecule (Lin et al., 1987; Yamauchi et al., 1989). Unmasking of a catalytic domain in the N-terminal half of all isoforms by Ca \(^{2+}\)/calmodulin permits interactions with a wide variety of substrates.
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In situ hybridization histochemistry and immunocytochemistry were used to study localization and activity-dependent regulation of α, β, γ, and δ isoforms of type II calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase (CaMKII) and their mRNAs in areas 17 and 18 of normal and monocularly deprived adult macaques. CaMKII-α is expressed overall at levels three to four times higher than that of CaMKII-β and at least 15 times higher than that of CaMKII-γ and -δ. All isoforms are expressed primarily in pyramidal cells of both areas, especially those of layers II–III, IVA (in area 17), and VI, but are also expressed in nonpyramidal, non-GABAergic cells of layer IV of both areas and in interstitial neurons of the white matter. CaMKII-α and -β are colocalized, suggesting the formation of heteromers. There was no evidence of expression in neuroglial cells. Each isoform has a unique pattern of laminar and sublaminar distribution, but cortical layers or sublayers enriched for one isoform do not correlate with layers receiving inputs only from isoform-specific layers of the lateral geniculate nucleus. CaMKII-α and -β mRNA and protein levels in layer IVC of area 17 are subject to activity-dependent regulation, with brief periods of monocular deprivation caused by intracarotic injections of tetrodotoxin leading to a 30% increase in CaMKII-α mRNA and a comparable decrease in CaMKII-β mRNA in deprived ocular dominance columns, especially of layer IVCβ. Expression in other layers and expression of CaMKII-γ and δ were unaffected. Changes occurring in layer IVC may influence the formation of heteromers and protect supragranular layers from CaMKII-dependent plasticity in the adult.

Key words: plasticity; α, β, γ, and δ isoforms; activity-dependent regulation; visual deprivation; pyramidal cells; GABAergic synapses in the neocortex, thalamus, and hippocampus (Liu and Jones, 1996). In monkey cerebral cortex and dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus, expression of CaMKII-α is restricted to subpopulations of cells with specific laminar locations and connections (Jones, 1988; Benson et al., 1991b; Jones et al., 1994a; Tighilet et al., 1998). The γ and δ isoforms have less-restricted distributions in the lateral geniculate nucleus (Tighilet et al., 1998) but may not be charted in cerebral cortex. CaMKII-α expression in cortical neurons is uniquely sensitive...
to levels of activity, being upregulated when neural activity is reduced (Hendry and Kennedy, 1986; Benson et al., 1991a) and downregulated when activity is increased (Bronstein et al., 1992; Murray et al., 1995; Liang and Jones, 1997). This sensitivity may underlie the role of CaMKII-α in cortical plasticity. It is unknown whether the other three isoforms are affected by manipulations that alter cortical activity.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Eleven macaque monkeys (three *Macaca fascicularis*, four *M. mulatta*, and four *M. fuscata*) aged 2 or more years were used. Two of the animals were normal. The remaining nine were anesthetized with ketamine, and tetrodotoxin (TTX, 15 μg in 10 μl of normal saline) was injected into the vitreous cavity of one eye every 4 d for 7, 14, or 16 d before death. Examination of the direct and consensual light reflexes indicated that retinal activity remained suppressed throughout the survival period. All procedures were approved by the appropriate Institutional Animal Care and Use committees.

All animals were given an overdose of Nembutal and perfused through the heart with normal saline, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer containing 0.5% sucrose. The brains were postfixed overnight at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer. The brains were stored at −80°C in 15% sucrose in 0.1 M phosphate buffer for immunocytochemical, Nissl, or cytochrome oxidase (CO) staining. Every 24th or 25th section was used for hybridization with sense control riboprobes or for immunocytochemical controls.

In situ hybridization

Free-floating sections were rinsed twice in 0.75% glycine in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, followed by a wash in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, and then each section was digested with neuraminidase K (0.5 mg/ml in 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.0, containing 0.05 M MgCl2) for 8–10 min at room temperature. Digestion was stopped with 0.25% acetic anhydride in 0.1 M triethanolamine, pH 8.0. After two washes in 2× SSC, pH 7.0 (1× SSC consists of 0.18 M NaCl and 0.01 M Na3HPO4·2H2O), the sections were incubated for 1 hr at 60°C in hybridization buffer consisting of 50% deionized formamide, 10% dextran sulfate, 5% 3H2O, 2% Triton X-100, and 20 mM triethanolamine, pH 8.0. After two washes in 2× SSC, pH 7.0, the sections were incubated for 1 hr at 4°C in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, containing normal serum instead of the primary antibody and showed no staining above a weak background level. The sections were finally mounted on gelatin-coated glass slides.
serum, 2% bovine serum albumin, and 0.25% Triton X-100. All sections were mounted in glycerol and phosphate buffer as described above. Sections were then examined in an epifluorescence microscope equipped with fluorescein- and rhodamine-exciting filters. It was not possible to obtain double staining for CaMKII-γ or CaMKII-δ and the other two isoforms or for CaMKII-γ or CaMKII-δ and GABA.

Quantification
At least one film autoradiogram from each normal and monocularly deprived animal, showing the results of hybridization with each probe, was quantified by densitometry using a microcomputer imaging device (MCID/M4; Imaging research, Inc., St. Catharines, Ontario, Canada). Optical density readings were taken in scans of defined width across the thickness of areas 17 or 18 from pia mater to white matter or in tangential sections across several occular dominance columns in layer IVC. The laminae of the cortex were then identified by comparison with digitized images of adjacent Nissl-stained sections, and ocular dominance columns were identified by comparison with similarly digitized images of the relevant parts of adjacent C0-stained sections. Background readings were taken over the white matter subjacent to the cortex and subtracted from readings taken over the cortex. Absolute values of radioactivity were determined from 14C plastic standards (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL) exposed on the same sheet of film. No attempt was made to quantify the immunocytochemical preparations.

RESULTS
Levels of CaMKII mRNAs in normal visual cortex
In both areas 17 and 18, the highest levels of expression were observed for CaMKII-α mRNA. CaMKII-β, -γ, and -δ mRNAs were more weakly expressed, with β being stronger than γ and δ. Autoradiograms for the latter two commonly had to be exposed for longer times to visualize patterns of laminar distribution. Optical density measurements made on sections from the same brains hybridized at the same time and exposed for the same period on the same piece of film gave the following mean figures for levels of each mRNA (in nCi per gm): for CaMKII-α in area 17, 2007.53 ± 109.17, and in area 18, 1510.71 ± 93.67; for CaMKII-β in area 17, 635.18 ± 18.13, and in area 18, 599.71 ± 3.88; for CaMKII-γ in area 17, 149.68 ± 2.95, and in area 18, 138.02 ± 8.97; and for CaMKII-δ in area 17, 157.08 ± 9.12, and in area 18, 131.07 ± 7.12. Apart from different densities overall, patterns of labeling in relation to cortical layers and sublayers in areas 17 and 18 were unique for each subunit mRNA (Figs. 1A, 2) and will be described sequentially below. For CaMKII-α mRNA, intense autoradiographic labeling was seen on film autoradiograms after an exposure of only 2–3 d. Effective labeling could be detected for CaMKII-β after 2–3 d, but more intense labeling was obtained after 5–8 d. Effective laminar labeling for CaMKII-γ and CaMKII-δ mRNAs required exposure times of 11–15 d.

Laminar distribution of CaMKII mRNAs in normal visual cortex
Localization of CaMKII-α mRNA across layers of areas 17 and 18 was in conformity with previous descriptions (Benson et al., 1991a), but patterns of expression of CaMKII-β, -γ, and -δ were quite unlike that of CaMKII-α. CaMKII-α is expressed most highly in cells of layers II–III, IVA, and VI in area 17 and in cells of layers II–III and VI in area 18. CaMKII-β in area 17 was expressed most heavily in cells of layers IVA, IVC, and VI and less heavily in cells of layers II–III. In area 18, CaMKII-β was expressed mainly in layers IIIB and VI. CaMKII-γ, although much less densely expressed, had a laminar pattern more similar to that of CaMKII-β than of CaMKII-α, whereas CaMKII-δ was more similar to CaMKII-α in laminar pattern but with the relative densities in layers II–III and layer V1 reversed. In the sections that follow, areas 17 and 18 will be described serially, and their layers will be given in order of highest to lowest density of labeling.

CaMKII-α
Area 17. Autoradiographic labeling for CaMKII-α mRNA was densest in layer II and in the upper two-thirds of layer III (Figs. 1A, 3A). Superficial to the dense band of labeling corresponding to these layers, layer I was unlabeled. A zone of relatively weaker labeling characterized the deepest aspect of layer III; this was replaced deeply by a dense band of labeling corresponding to layer IVA. Layer IVB was weakly labeled; then labeling increased somewhat in layer IVCα and increased further in layer IVCβ, forming a thin dense band in which hybridization intensity was approximately the same as that observed in deep layer III. The weakest density of labeling, apart from layer I, was found in layer V. Labeling began to increase in the deep part of layer V and became intense again in layer VI, particularly in its superficial part. The border between layer V1 and the underlying white matter was not sharply defined, labeling falling off gradually into the superficial 100 μm of the white matter. In this region, a large number of individually labeled cells could be detected.

When examined in dark field in emulsion autoradiographs (Fig. 3A), the labeling pattern for CaMKII-α mRNA was characteristically diffuse, as reported previously (Burgin et al., 1990; Benson et al., 1991a; Jones et al., 1994b). The presence of large amounts of the mRNA in dendrites obscures the labeling of individual cell somata, except when inspected at high magnification (Fig. 4A). Only the labeling of large somata in layer IVA was distinct at low magnification (Fig. 3A).

Area 18. Labeling with CaMKII-α riboprobes was distinguished by the presence of two major bands of hybridization, one corresponding to deep layer III and the other to layer V1 (Figs. 1A, 3B). Large labeled cell somata could be detected in both bands, but labeling was, as in area 17, generally diffuse.

CaMKII-β
Area 17. The densest labeling was observed in layer V1 (Fig. 1B). A high density was also detected in layer IVC, and there was a thin band of relatively high density in layer IVA. A moderate density of labeling was present in layers II and III. The weakest labeling was in layers I, IVB, and V, although a thin line of slightly enhanced labeling could be detected in deep layer V. Labeling was not diffuse like that observed with CaMKII-α mRNA labeling, and individual cell somata were clearly defined by overlying silver grains, the labeling of the neuropil being much less than that with CaMKII-α riboprobes (Figs. 3C, 4B).

Area 18. Two peaks of denser labeling corresponded to deep layer III and layer V1 (Figs. 1B, 3D). In these layers, large neuronal somata were clearly labeled. Labeling was relatively weak in layer II and the remainder of layer III and in layers IV and V, although a thin band of enhanced labeling could be seen at the border of layers IV and V. This contained a line of interrupted large, labeled cell somata.

CaMKII-γ
Area 17. Labeling for CaMKII-γ mRNA was weak, but there was one band of heightened labeling that corresponded to layer V1, especially to its superficial half (Fig. 1C). Here, labeled cell somata could be seen. Other faintly enhanced bands of labeling could be detected in layers IVA and IVC (Figs. 1C, 3E). Layers II and superficial III showed some enhancement of labeling, and
Figure 1. Autoradiograms of surface parallel sections near the occipital pole of the same M. fuscata brain that were hybridized to radioactive RNA probes complementary to CaMKII-α (CAM-α), CaMKII-β (CAM-β), CaMKII-γ (CAM-γ), and CaMKII-δ (CAM-δ) mRNAs. A is more superficial than B–D which are closely adjacent to one another. Arrows indicate the border between areas 17 and 18. A was exposed for 3 d, B was exposed for 5 d, and C and D were exposed for 11 d to reveal laminar densities of hybridization. For true relative differences in overall densities of expression, see Figure 2. Scale bar, 1 mm.
there was a thin line of label in the middle of layer V, corresponding to an interrupted line of labeled large cell somata.

**Area 18.** Labeling for CaMKII-γ mRNA was extremely weak but showed a band of slightly enhanced hybridization corresponding to deep layer III (Fig. 3F) and a thin similarly enhanced band corresponding to layer VI (Fig. 1C). In deep layer III, many large neuronal somata were labeled.

---

**CaMKII-δ**

**Area 17.** Labeling for CaMKII-δ mRNA showed a unique pattern of laminar distribution quite unlike that for the other three mRNAs (Fig. 1D). Layer VI, especially its superficial half, was labeled most densely. A band of relatively intense hybridization was found in layer II and superficial layer III. Layers IVCβ and IVA appeared as two bands of moderately dense labeling with large neuronal somata labeled in layer IVA (Fig. 3G). Labeling of layer IVCα was weak, although not quite as weak as that of layer IVB.

**Area 18.** There were very low levels of hybridization in area 18. Weakly enhanced bands of labeling corresponded to layers II and VI. Large cell somata were clearly labeled in deep layer III (Fig. 3H).

**Laminar and cellular distribution of CaMKII immunostaining in normal visual cortex**

Immunocytochemistry for each of the four isoforms revealed staining densities comparable to those seen with in situ hybridization histochemistry. In some cases, especially for CaMKII-α, bands of neuropil staining indicating stained axonal ramifications did not match the locations of cell somata labeled by cRNA probes.

For all four isoforms, by far the most prominent immunostained cells were pyramidal, but closer inspection revealed the presence of significant numbers of stained small, round neuronal somata as well, primarily in layer IV of each area. This reflected the staining of nonpyramidal cells, shown in a later section to be non-GABAergic. Although no counts were done, qualitatively only a subpopulation of pyramidal cells in any layer was labeled for CaMKII-α, -β, -γ, or -δ. This was also observed for CaMKII-α in the sensory-motor areas in which <50% of the total cell population was labeled (Jones et al., 1994b).

**CaMKII-α**

Immunoreactivity for CaMKII-α was similar to that briefly described by Hendry and Kennedy (1986). Staining was particularly dense in areas 17 and 18 and included both cell and neuropil staining (Fig. 5A,B). Many cells were stained in both areas (Fig. 6A–E).

**Area 17.** Cells were stained in all layers except layer I and the greater part of layer V. There were many stained cells in the white matter subjacent to layer VI. Neuropil staining did not always reflect cell staining patterns. For example, there was a dense neuropil band corresponding to layer V. This probably reflected staining of collateral branches of the axons of pyramidal cells in supragranular layers, the collaterals of which contribute to the inner band of Baillarger (Lund et al., 1994). Other dense bands were coextensive with layers I and II, IVA, IVCβ, and the deeper half of layer VI. Although at low magnification layer IV appeared weakly stained (Fig. 5A), there were many well-stained, small round cell somata in layers IVCα and IVCβ, with fewer in layer IVB (Fig. 6A). Large cells, many of them pyramidal in shape, their stained apical dendrites giving layers IVC through VI a pattern of radial striping, were stained in layers III, IVA, and VI. Smaller pyramidal cells were stained in layers II and III.

**Area 18.** Immunostaining was also characterized by heavy staining of many cells except in layers I and V. The latter, as in area 17, was coextensive with a band of neuropil staining, although this was much weaker than was seen in area 17. Although containing fewer stained cells than other layers, layer V at intervals contained a number of very well-stained large pyramidal...
Figure 3. Pairs of dark-field (left) and bright-field (right) photomicrographs from the same counterstained emulsion autoradiograms showing relative densities and laminar patterns of expression of the four CaMKII mRNAs in areas 17 (A, C, E, G) and 18 (B, D, F, H). More diffuse labeling for CaMKII-α, tending to obscure borders between layers at this magnification, reflects the high levels of the mRNA in dendrites of pyramidal cells (Benson et al., 1991a). Scale bar, 100 μm.
cells. Pyramidal cells were also stained in layers II, III, and VI, and many small round neuronal somata were lightly stained in layer IV. There was dense staining of the neuropil in layers I and II.

**CaMKII-α**

Immunostaining for CaMKII-α was also dense and included staining of many neuronal somata (Figs. 5C, 6F).

**Area 17.** There was denser neuropil staining in bands corresponding to layers IVA and IVCβ. The band in layer IVA was broken at intervals in a manner similar to that seen with cytochrome oxidase staining of this layer. The band in layers IVCα and IVCβ contained many stained small cells. There were concentrations of well-stained larger cells in layers II, IVB, and upper VI. Fewer were found in layer V, and none in layer I. Many of the cells were pyramidal in shape with well-stained apical dendrites, giving the deeper layers a radially striped appearance. There were many stained cells in the superficial white matter.

**Area 18.** Immunostaining was relatively weak in layer IV (Figs. 5D, 6G). There were bands of enhanced neuropil staining in the upper part of layer V as well as in layers II and VI. There were many stained cells throughout all layers, except layer I, and in the superficial white matter. The staining of large pyramidal cells in deep layer III was especially prominent. Stained dendrites of pyramidal cells located in deeper layers gave the cortex a radially striped appearance.

**CaMKII-γ**

**Area 17.** Cells were clearly stained for CaMKII-γ, but neuropil staining was particularly weak (Fig. 5E), and the staining of cells was characterized by an unusual, fragmented appearance (Fig. 7A), the profiles of the stained cells not being continuous as was seen with CaMKII-α and CaMKII-β immunostaining. The neuropil staining was weakest in layers I and IVB, although occasional stained cells were found in the latter. There were prominent bands of stained cells in layers IVB and IVCα and in the superficial half of layer VI. The smallest number of stained cells was in layer V, although some very large, heavily stained cells occasionally appeared in its deepest aspect (Fig. 7A). The radially staining of the deeper layers found with CaMKII-α and CaMKII-β immunostaining was absent. Many small cell somata were outlined by neuropil staining in layer IVCβ, but the somata themselves lacked staining. No cells were stained in the white matter.

**Area 18.** Many pyramidal cells were stained against a background of relatively weak neuropil staining (Fig. 5F). There were scattered but well-stained pyramidal cells in layer V (Fig. 7B), and more weakly stained cells were found in all layers, except layer I, and in the superficial white matter.

**CaMKII-δ**

Immunoreactivity for CaMKII-δ was weakest of the four isoforms, but staining of neuronal somata was more distinct than for CaMKII-γ. Many neuronal somata were stained in both areas, including the superficial white matter. Many were pyramidal in shape, but small round somata were also stained in layer IVC of area 17. There were also a few rather well-stained larger pyramidal cells in deep layer V of both areas (Fig. 7C,D), and there was an enhancement of neuropil staining in bands in both areas 17 and 18 (Figs. 5G,H, 7C,D).

**Area 17.** Bands corresponded to layers IVA and IVCβ, the middle of layer V, and layer VI. There was very weak staining of layers I through III.

**Area 18.** Neuronal staining was even weaker in layer 18 than in area 17, although there was enhancement in deep layer III and in layer VI (Fig. 5H). Layers I and IV were weakest of all.

**Colocalization of CaMKII-α and CaMKII-β in non-GABA cells**

Immunofluorescent staining revealed localization of CaMKII-α and CaMKII-β in pyramidal cells (Fig. 8A−D) of all layers of areas 17 and 18, as well as in small round cells (Fig. 8A,C) of layer

---

**Figure 4.** High-magnification photomicrographs from emulsion autoradiograms showing hybridization of cRNAs specific for each of the CaMKII mRNAs to neurons in layer VI of area 17 (A), layer V of area 18 (B), layer III of area 18 (C), and layer VI of area 17 (D). Arrows indicate nuclei of neuroglial cells which are not labeled. Scale bar, 10 µm.
Figure 5. Photomicrographs from pairs of adjacent sections stained immunocytochemically (left) for one of the four CaMKII isoforms or with thionin (right) and showing the laminar patterns of immunostaining in areas 17 (A, C, E, G) and 18 (B, D, F, H). Dense neuropil staining in the absence of somal staining (e.g., layers IVA and V of area 17) reflects immunostaining of axons and dendrites. Scale bar, 100 μm.
Figure 6. High-magnification photomicrographs showing immunostaining of cells in different layers of areas 17 or 18 for CaMKII-α (A–E) or CaMKII-β (F, G). (These are different sections from those shown in Fig. 5.) Note the staining of pyramidal cells in all layers and the staining of small round cell somata in layers IVC (area 17) and IV (area 18). WM, White matter. Scale bar, 150 μm.
Figure 7. A–D, Bright-field photomicrographs showing immunostaining of cells for CaMKII-γ or CaMKII-δ in deeper layers of areas 17 and 18. The isolated large neuronal somata stained in layer V of area 17 are those of Meynert cells. Scale bars, 150 μm. E, F, Fluorescence micrographs from the same microscopic field showing double staining of cells in layer III of area 17 for CaMKII-α (E, rhodamine immunofluorescence) and CaMKII-β (F, fluorescein immunofluorescence). Scale bar, 10 μm.
Figure 8. Paired fluorescence photomicrographs from the same microscopic fields stained for CaMKII-α (CAM-α) and GABA (A–D) or for CaMKII-β (CAM-β) and GABA (E–H). A, B, E, F, From layer III of area 17 and showing CaMKII-α and -β immunoreactivity in pyramidal cells but not in GABA cells. C, D, G, H, From layer IVCβ of area 17 and showing CaMKII-α and -β immunoreactivity in small, presumably spiny stellate cells and not in GABA cells. In the CaMKII-α- or CaMKII-β-immunostained member of each pair of micrographs, the unstained GABA cells are indicated by asterisks. Scale bar, 10 μm.
IVC in area 17 and of layer IV in area 18. No CaMKII-α or CaMKII-β-immunoreactive neuron showed colocalization of GABA immunoreactivity (Fig. 8A–D) in any layer or in the subcortical white matter. In layer IVC of area 17 or layer IV of area 18, small round CaMKII-immunoreactive somata were significantly smaller in diameter than were the largest GABA-immunoreactive somata, as reported previously (Jones et al., 1994a,b).

Double immunofluorescent staining for CaMKII-α and CaMKII-β showed virtually complete colocalization (Fig. 7E,F) of the two isoforms in all layers of both areas, including in the interstitial cells of the white matter.

It was not possible to obtain satisfactory double staining using the CaMKII-γ or CaMKII-δ antibodies, because of the low levels of these antigens.

Response to monocular deprivation
In area 17, both immunoreactivity and mRNA levels for CaMKII-α and CaMKII-β were affected by monocular deprivation induced by TTX injections (Figs. 9–11). No changes were detected for CaMKII-δ, and CaMKII-γ showed inconsistent equivocal changes (Fig. 12). For CaMKII-α and CaMKII-β, effects were most pronounced in layer IVCβ. The pattern of localization, from its normal homogeneity in that layer, became one of alternating lightly and densely immunostained or cRNA-labeled stripes (Figs. 9, 10). Comparison with adjacent sections stained for CO showed that unilateral deprivation induced opposite effects on CaMKII-α and CaMKII-β. For CaMKII-α, the darkly immunostained or more intensely hybridized stripes were almost exactly coextensive with lightly CO-stained stripes that corresponded to the deprived eye, and the lightly immunostained or hybridized stripes were coextensive with the darkly CO-stained stripes corresponding to the undeprived eye. In all cases, the denser immunostained or hybridized stripes were more intensely labeled in layer IVCβ then in layer IVCα of the deprived ocular dominance stripes. For CaMKII-β, lightly immunostained or hybridized stripes in layer IVCβ corresponded to deprived ocular dominance stripes when matched to the adjacent, CO-stained sections (Fig. 11) but mainly to the central parts of the deprived stripes. There were hints of a deprivation effect in striped immunostaining in layer VI for CaMKII-β.

Optical density measurements indicated that there was an enhancement of CaMKII-α mRNA by ~30% in deprived ocular

Figure 9. Pairs (A, B; C, D) of adjacent sections from area 17 stained immunocytochemically for CaMKII-α (A) or CaMKII-β (C) or for CO (B, D). Sections are from a M. fascicularis (A, B) and a M. fuscata (C, D) monkey subjected to monocular TTX injections for 7 d. Zones of reduced CO staining in layer IVC represent ocular dominance columns related to the deprived eye. CaMKII-α immunostaining is enhanced and CaMKII-β immunostaining is reduced in regions corresponding to the deprived columns, especially in layer IVCβ. Arrows in A and B and circles in C and D indicate the same blood vessels. Scale bars: A, B, 250 μm; C, D, 500 μm.
Figure 10. A, Autoradiogram from a surface parallel section through area 17 of a M. fuscata monkey monocularly deprived for 7 d. The section was hybridized with a CaMKII-α riboprobe. B, An adjacent section stained for CO. C, D, Enlargements of upper parts of A and B. Circles indicate the same blood vessels. Scale bars: A, B, 2 mm; C, D, 1 mm. E, F, Optical density scans made across layer IVC in regions indicated by the lines between the arrows in C and D converted to measures of radioactivity to show enhancement of CaMKII-α mRNA levels in deprived ocular dominance columns, the positions of which can be determined by matching to the zones showing reduced CO staining and lowered optical density.
dominance stripes of layer IVCβ (Fig. 10E,F) and a decrease of CaMKII-β mRNA of ~25% (Fig. 11E,F). No changes in the distribution or density of mRNA labeling could be detected in layers other than layer IVC. The CO-rich blobs of layers II and III appeared unaffected. No changes were detected in area 18. No quantitative changes could be detected in CaMKII-γ or -δ mRNAs.

**DISCUSSION**

**CaMKII and neuron-specific expression**

Expression of CaMKII-α, -β, and -δ isoforms was exclusively neuronal. CaMKII-γ is also neuronal, but its additional expression in neuroglial cells could not be excluded because of very low levels of CaMKII-γ mRNA and protein. For all four isoforms,
pyramidal cells are the predominant expressing cell, but CaMKII-α and CaMKII-β and probably CaMKII-γ and -δ are expressed in nonpyramidal cells as well, especially in layer IVC of area 17 and in layer IV of area 18. Although CaMKII-α is expressed at much higher levels overall than is CaMKII-β, the in situ pattern suggests that layer IVC cells may express CaMKII-β at higher levels relative to pyramidal cells of layers II–III than CaMKII-α (Fig. 1).

Nonpyramidal cells expressing CaMKII-α or -β were non-GABAergic. This and laminar localization suggest expression in spiny stellate cells, the second major form of cortical excitatory cell. Interstitial cells of the white matter labeled for CaMKII-α, -β, and -δ are another form of non-GABAergic, nonpyramidal cell. It could not be proven conclusively, because of low immunostaining levels, that CaMKII-γ and -δ are also expressed only in pyramidal and non-GABAergic nonpyramidal cells. Because CaMKII-γ and -δ, unlike CaMKII-α and -β, are expressed in many tissues outside the nervous system, the possibility of their less-restricted neuronal expression should be considered.

Relative laminar densities of hybridization in areas 17 and 18 varied for each isoform, but for all, the major pyramidal cell populations labeled were in layers II–III, IVA (in area 17), and VI. Smaller numbers of large pyramidal cells in deep layer V and of modified pyramidal cells in layer IVB of area 17 were also labeled for all isoforms. This may indicate that a very specific subpopulation of pyramidal cells expresses the CaMKII isoforms. A similar staining pattern occurs with other markers, e.g., nonphosphorylated neurofilament protein (Hof and Morrison, 1995, Hof et al., 1995), and seems to reflect staining of pyramidal cells with particular connectional relationships. This may be the most likely relevance of the preferential labeling of the pyramidal cell subpopulations in the present study (see below). However, strong activity-dependent regulation of CaMKII-α (Bronstein et al., 1992; Liang et al., 1996; Liang and Jones, 1997; see below) raises the possibility of an activity-dependent effect. Compensation for lack of expression of one isoform by upregulation of another seems unlikely from the consistency of the subpopulation labeled for each isoform and by the almost complete coexpression of CaMKII-α and -β that may imply formation of heteromeric combinations of the two.

CaMKII and cortical connectivity

High levels of expression of CaMKII-α in supragranular pyramidal cells—the sources of the majority of corticocortical and commissural fibers (for review, see Casagrande and Kaas, 1994)—imply that cortical plasticity dependent on CaMKII-α will be reflected directly onto other areas of the cortex. In area 17, consistent expression of all four isoforms in layer IVA implies association with the large pyramidal cells that project selectively to cytochrome oxidase-stained thick stripes of layer III in area 18 (Livingstone and Hubel, 1987a; Levitt et al., 1994), part of a pathway dominated by inputs from broad-band-sensitive cells of the magnocellular layers in the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (Livingstone and Hubel, 1987b, 1988). High levels of expression
in layer VI cells from which the majority of corticothalamic projections arise and the particular association of CaMKII-α with corticothalamic synapses (Liu and Jones, 1996) imply that the thalamus will also be influenced. Higher expression in upper layer VI, from which fibers to the parvocellular geniculate layers arise (Lund et al., 1975), suggests a particular association with those layers.

The relative absence of expression of all four isoforms in layer V was a striking feature, but equally striking was the intense immunostaining of a few large pyramidal cells and a corresponding thin line of mRNA labeling in the middle or deep aspect of that layer, especially for CaMKII-β, -γ, and -δ. The large pyramidal cells are likely to be cells the axons of which descend to the pulvinar, pretectum, and tectum (Lund et al., 1975; Trojanowski and Jacobson, 1976), but they may also represent Meynert cells with projections to extrastriate cortical fields (Fries et al., 1985).

There was no particular correlation between enhanced expression in layers or sublayers of area 17 and that in laminae of the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus projecting to those layers. In the lateral geniculate nucleus, CaMKII-α is specifically expressed in neurons of the S laminae and interlamellar zones (Benson et al., 1991b; Tighilet et al., 1998) that project to superficial layers of areas 17 and 18, including the CO-rich blobs of area 17 (Yukie and Iwai, 1981; Livingstone and Hubel, 1982; Fitzpatrick et al., 1983; Weber et al., 1983; Hendry and Yoshioka, 1994). Blobs in area 17 are enriched in CaMKII-α but to no greater extent than the rest of layers II–III. The other three isoforms are expressed in relay cells of the parvo- and magnocellular laminae of the lateral geniculate nucleus, as well as in the S laminae and interlamellar zones (Tighilet et al., 1998). The parvocellular laminae project to layers IVA and IVCβ of area 17, whereas the magnocellular layers project to layer IVCa (Hubel and Wiesel, 1972; Blasdel and Lund, 1983). CaMKII-α and -γ are enriched in layers IVA and IVCβ, whereas CaMKII-β and -α are enriched in layers IVA, IVCa, and IVCβ. There is no obvious correlation here, except that parvocellular geniculate cells gain access to cells enriched for CaMKII-α, -β, -γ, and -δ, whereas magnocellular geniculate cells gain access primarily to cells enriched for CaMKII-β and -γ.

**CaMKII and cortical plasticity**

Upregulation of CaMKII-α expression in deprived ocular dominance columns of layer IVC in area 17 confirmed previous reports at protein (Hendry and Kennedy, 1986) and mRNA (Benson et al., 1991a) levels. The equally striking downregulation of CaMKII-β in the same columns is a new finding. Both effects are considerable; at the mRNA level, deprived columns change by ~30% in each case. The most robust effects were on layer IVCβ, implying that the major group of recipient cells in the wavelength-specific thalamocortical pathway is most affected in the cortex.

CaMKII-α is involved in induction of LTP at hippocampal synapses (Malenka et al., 1989; Malinow et al., 1989) and in experience-dependent plasticity of adult somatosensory cortex (Glazewski et al., 1996). In visual cortex, it is essential for induction of LTP in supragranular layers of adult but not infant animals (Kirkwood et al., 1997) and is partially involved in ocular dominance plasticity of the same layers during the neonatal critical period (Gordon et al., 1996). In these layers, CaMKII-α is highly expressed, but only in the non-GABAergic pyramidal cells (Jones et al., 1994b; present study). In these cells, it is concentrated at postsynaptic densities of glutamatergic synapses (Liu and Jones, 1996), undoubtedly reflecting the association of CaMKII-α and NMDA receptors in cortical LTP (Artola and Singer, 1987).

Despite the involvement of CaMKII-α in adult, experience-dependent plasticity at these synapses, monocular deprivation in the present study did not affect CaMKII-α gene expression in layers II–III. This is surprising, given that deprivation for the same period results in effects on GABA, GAD, tachykinin, and GABA_α receptor gene expression in layers II–III that parallel those in layer IVC, especially in the CO-rich blobs (Hendry and Jones, 1986; Hendry et al., 1988, 1994; Benson et al., 1994; Huntsman et al., 1994). Induction of LTP in supragranular neurons of cat and rat visual cortex by stimulation of afferent fibers depends on reduction of intracortical inhibition (e.g., Artola et al., 1990; Bear et al., 1992; Tsumoto, 1992), but LTP can be induced without disinhibition if layer IV is stimulated directly (Kirkwood and Bear, 1994). This implies that layer IV may normally exert a gating effect over afferent-induced plasticity in the supragranular layers.

In the present study, inhibition should be reduced in the deprived ocular dominance columns because GABA production and GABA_α receptors will be markedly downregulated in layer IVC (see above). The complementary effects on CaMKII-α and -β may therefore be part of a response to restore the balance of excitation and inhibition to layer IV, serving to protect the supragranular layers from the consequences of deprivation in adults. Upregulation of CaMKII-α can be seen as an attempt to compensate for reduced input by engaging cellular mechanisms that should enhance excitatory transmission. This could be a key feature in protecting the cortex from the consequences of deprivation. By also engaging intracellular mechanisms that inhibit neuronal growth, the upregulated CaMKII-α could limit potential pruning that would be maladaptive. Until more is known about CaMKII-β, it is difficult to predict the extent to which downregulation of this isoform also represents a compensatory response in the same cells. This would be likely if the two isoforms, shown here to be colocalized, normally form heteromers in layer IVC cells. There is, however, increasing evidence of differential intracellular localization and trafficking of CaMKII-α isoforms (Brocke et al., 1995). In this case, the opposite effects on CaMKII-α and β and the lack of effects on CaMKII-γ or δ may reflect responses of entirely different intracellular signaling systems.
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