A Mutation Affecting Dihydropyridine-Sensitive Current Levels and Activation Kinetics in Drosophila Muscle and Mammalian Heart Calcium Channels
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The Dmca1D gene encodes a Drosophila calcium channel α1 subunit. We describe the first functional characterization of a mutation in this gene. This α1 subunit mediates the dihydropyridine-sensitive calcium channel current in larval muscle but does not contribute to the amiloride-sensitive current in that tissue. A mutation, which changes a highly conserved Cys to Tyr in transmembrane domain IS1, identifies a residue important for channel function not only in Drosophila muscle but also in mammalian cardiac channels. In both cases, mutations in this Cys residue slow channel activation and reduce expressed currents. Amino acid substitutions at this Cys position in the cardiac α1 subunit show that the size of the side chain, rather than its ability to form disulfide bonds, affects channel activation.
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Gene cloning studies have shown that both vertebrates and invertebrates have multiple genes encoding the α1 subunit of voltage-dependent calcium channels (Tsien et al., 1991; Hofmann et al., 1994; Catterall, 1995; Dunlap et al., 1995; Zheng et al., 1995; Smith et al., 1996; Eberl et al., 1998). This α1 subunit carries the structural determinants for the voltage sensor, the ion selectivity pore, and many drug binding sites (Catterall and Striessnig, 1992; Tang et al., 1993; Yang et al., 1993; Hofmann et al., 1994; Catterall, 1995; Varadi et al., 1995). There are four homologous repeats (designated I–IV, see Fig. 1A), each consisting of six transmembrane domains (S1–S6) (Hofmann et al., 1994; Catterall, 1995). The loops between IIIS5 and IIIS6 and between IVS5 and IVS6 act as the calcium ion selectivity filter in the pore region of the channel (Heinemann et al., 1992; Tang et al., 1993; Yang et al., 1993), whereas the S4 domains play an important role in voltage sensing (García et al., 1997). Despite these common structural motifs, calcium channels differ greatly in their physiological properties. For example, it is well established that cardiac muscle L-type calcium channels activate rapidly, whereas those in skeletal muscle activate slowly (Tanabe et al., 1991; Nakai et al., 1994).

A key question with respect to channel function is how the channel opens in response to transmembrane voltage changes. A number of investigators have taken advantage of the functional differences between skeletal muscle and cardiac muscle α1 subunits and have used chimeric subunits to define regions responsible for differences in activation properties (Tanabe et al., 1991; Nakai et al., 1994; Wang et al., 1995). Taken together, these studies show that the amino acid composition of the S3 segment in repeat I (IS3) and the linker connecting IS3 and IS4 are critical for determining activation kinetics. In addition, repeats III and IV play a role in activation gating (Wang et al., 1995).

Although these and other chimera studies have proven extremely useful in defining functional domains within ion channels, their use is restricted to regions that differ between subunit subtypes. The most highly conserved domains cannot be approached in this manner because they are identical between subtypes, even across species. An alternative approach is illustrated by the use of point mutations in S4 segments and in leucine heptad motifs to demonstrate that highly conserved regions in repeats I and III but not in repeats II and IV are also involved in channel activation (García et al., 1997).

In this report, we use a complementary approach of in vivo mutagenesis in Drosophila to dissect genetically different calcium channel currents and to identify a functionally important domain involved in channel activation. Using a point mutation, we demonstrate that the Dmca1D α1 subunit is responsible for the dihydropyridine-sensitive calcium channel current in Drosophila larval muscle but plays no role in the amiloride-sensitive current in that tissue. We define the functional consequences of mutational changes in a highly conserved IS1 site, showing effects on calcium channel current levels and on channel activation kinetics both in vivo and in a heterologous expression system. Finally, we
prove that an equivalent mutation has similar effects on the evolutionarily distant rabbit cardiac αC subunit.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Genetic strains.** *Drosophila melanogaster* mutations and chromosomal aberrations in the *Dmca1D* gene [formerly called *l(2)35Fa* (Ashburner et al., 1990; Eberl et al., 1998)] were from John Roote (in the laboratory of Michael Ashburner, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, England). The *AR66* allele, carrying the C629Y mutation, is maintained as a hemizygous stock with the CyO, wg^tn1^ second chromosome balancer that carries an enhancer trap transposon insert. The balance-bearing heterozygotes were identified histochromically after physiology by 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-p-D-galactopyranoside staining (Ashburner, 1989) in the wingless pattern. The wild-type control strain is Canton-S. Flies were grown at 25°C on standard yeast–cornmeal–agar medium (Lewis, 1960).

**Larval muscle electrophysiology.** The preparation of mature third instar larvae was identical to that described by Jan and Jan (1976) except that the dissection saline was a hemolymph-like solution (Stewart et al., 1994) containing (in mM): 70 NaCl, 5 KCl, 20 MgCl2, 10 NaHCO3, 5 trehalose, 115 sucrose, and 5 HEPES, pH 7.1. The recording saline contained (in mM): 70 NaCl, 5 KCl, 20 MgCl2, 10 NaHCO3, 5 trehalose, 115 sucrose, 5 HEPES, pH 7.1, 20 tetraethy lammonium chloride (TEA), 4-aminopyridine (4-AP), 10 BaCl2, and 0.1 quinidine. TEA was from J. T. Baker (Phillipsburg, NJ); diltiazem was from Research Biochemicals (Natick, MA); and 4-AP, quinidine, and amiloride were from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). All drug solutions were stored at 4°C for <3 d.

Two-electrode voltage-clamp measurements were done at 3–5°C as described by Giełow et al. (1995) using the ventrolateral longitudinal muscle fibers 6, 7, 12, and 13 within abdominal segments 2–6. Most recordings came from fiber 12. Electrodess (15–25 MΩ) were pulled from thin-walled 1.0 mm borosilicate glass capillaries with a filament (A-M Systems, Everett, WA). Data were sampled at 5 kHz and filtered at 500 Hz.

To minimize run-down, we made all recordings within 20 min of the start of dissection. Leak current was subtracted on-line with a P/2 protocol. To avoid differences because of fiber size, we normalized currents to membrane capacitance measured from the current response elicited by a ramp wave (a modification of the method of Wu and Haugland (1985)).

**cDNA expression constructs.** The cardiac αCICaNC clone (Mi kami et al., 1989; Wei et al., 1991) used as the wild-type control was αCIC subeloned into the pGAG2 vector (from L. Birbaumer, University of California, Los Angeles) following deletion of the first 60 amino acids to enhance expression (Wei et al., 1991). In this construct, αCIC is equivalent to C629 in the *Drosophila Dmca1D* αC subunit (see Fig. 1B). Mutants for the rabbit cardiac αCIC subunit (C168S, C168Y, C168D, C168K, and C168G) were made by site-directed mutagenesis on a Clal–Srl fragment using the Transformer site-directed mutagenesis kit (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA). The C168W mutation was made by PCR mutagenesis (Cormack, 1997). These total currents are comprised of two components (Gielow et al., 1995). In heterozygotes, the total current density is intermediate between that of wild type and homozygous mutants. Thus, the total current is reduced in a gene dosage-dependent manner by the *AR66* allele.

These total currents are comprised of two components (Gielow et al., 1995). One, which we name the D-current, is blocked by dihydropyridines and diltiazem. The other, referred to here as the A-current, is insensitive to dihydropyridines but is blocked by 1 mM amiloride and is inactivated at a holding potential of ~30 mV. To determine whether one or both of these currents are affected in the *AR66* allele, we first measured the D-currents by recording in the presence of 1 mM amiloride. As shown in Figure 2B, the D-current in the homozygous mutant is significantly reduced compared with that in the wild type. A similar reduction in mutant compared with wild type is obtained when the D-currents are recorded after first inactivating the A-current by holding the cells at ~30 mV (Fig. 2C). In contrast, when A-currents are recorded after blocking the D-current with 500 μM diltiazem, there is no significant difference between the mutant and wild type (Fig. 2D).

These results show conclusively that the *Dmca1D* gene encodes the calcium channel α1 subunit responsible for the dihydropyridine- and diltiazem-sensitive L-type calcium channel current in *Drosophila* larval body wall muscle. In addition, these experiments also show that mutations in the *Dmca1D* α1 subunit do not affect the A-current in muscles, suggesting that the A-current is mediated via a genetically distinct α1 subunit.

Averaged current traces (Fig. 2E) show that in the larval muscle there is also a slowing of D-current activation kinetics. The
apparent time to reach half-maximum current ($t_{1/2}$) in response to a depolarizing pulse to 0 mV was $22.0 \pm 3.8$ msec in the mutant compared with $9.2 \pm 0.7$ msec for the wild type (Fig. 2F). Thus, the mutant phenotype involves both a reduction in a specific current level and a slowing of channel activation kinetics.

**Analysis of C629 mutations in a heterologous expression system**

Because very little attention has been paid to the highly conserved IS1 region, we have taken advantage of the clues provided by the C629Y mutation in focus attention on its role in calcium channel function using expression in *Xenopus* oocytes where current levels and interactions with auxiliary subunits can be examined in a more controlled manner than is possible with the *in vivo* muscle preparation. Although we were unable to express full-length Dmca1D cDNA in *Xenopus* oocytes, we were able to record currents from chimeric channels comprised of the fly Dmca1D α1 and the rabbit cardiac α1C subunit (Mikami et al., 1989). In this report, we use chimera DR1 that contains the N terminal (through IS5) from *Drosophila*, with the remaining sequence from the rabbit cardiac channel α1C (see Fig. 1A). When coexpressed with calcium channel β1b and α2–δ subunits, both the wild-type chimera (DR1) and the chimera carrying the C629Y mutation (DR1C629Y) gave detectable barium currents (Fig. 1C–F).

There are two differences in the mutant compared with the wild-type channel. First, the magnitude of the macroscopic current is reduced approximately sixfold by the mutation, as shown by the representative current traces (compare Fig. 1C and D). This reduction is readily seen in the current/voltage ($I–V$) curves shown in Figure 1E. The second difference between the mutant and wild type is that channel activation is significantly slower in the mutant (compare Fig. 1C and D). We use $t_{1/2}$, the time to reach half maximum current amplitude in response to a 500 msec depolarizing pulse, to reflect activation kinetics. At a $V_{test}$ of 10 mV, $t_{1/2}$ is $5.8 \pm 0.2$ msec for the wild type ($N = 10$) and $70 \pm 1.7$ msec for the mutant ($N = 10$).

This current reduction and slowing of channel activation is strongly reminiscent of the *in vivo* phenotype of the C629Y mutation in larval muscle. Because it has been reported (Adams et al., 1996) that calcium channel activation kinetics in myotubes
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**Figure 1.** Functional characterization of the C629Y mutation in domain IS1 using a *Drosophila* and rabbit cardiac α1 subunit chimera (DR1). **A.** Location of the C629Y mutant change in the calcium channel α1 subunit. The orientation of the α1 subunit in the membrane is shown diagrammatically. The N and C terminals are cytoplasmic. The position of the amino acid substitution (C629Y) in the AR66 allele is indicated by the arrow. This diagram represents the *Drosophila* and rabbit cardiac α1c subunit chimera (DR1), showing *Drosophila* sequences as filled segments in the transmembrane domains and heavy lines in the linker regions. The rabbit cardiac sequences are shown as open segments and light linker lines. **B.** Comparison of IS1 domains across α1 subunit types. The misense mutation (C629Y) is found in a highly conserved region of domain IS1 and is caused by a substitution of A for G at nucleotide 1886 in the open reading frame (Zheng et al., 1995; Eberl et al., 1998). The top line shows the position of the C629Y mutation. The IS1 sequence in the *Drosophila* Dmca1D calcium channel α1 subunit (α1Dm) is compared with that of mammalian α1 subunits, including α1A rabbit brain P/Q type (Morì et al., 1991); α1B human brain N type (Williams et al., 1992); α1C rabbit heart and brain L type (Mikami et al., 1989); α1D rat brain L type (Hui et al., 1991); α1E rat brain E type (Soong et al., 1993); and α1F rabbit skeletal muscle L type (Tanabe et al., 1987). C–F, Oocytes were injected with 50 nl containing α1 chimera cRNA (C, F, wild type DR1; D, mutant DR1C629Y) plus α2–δ cRNA from rabbit skeletal muscle (Ellis et al., 1988) and β1b cRNA from rat brain (Pragnell et al., 1991). They were incubated for 2–4 d before two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings were made using barium as the charge carrier. Currents were elicited from a holding potential of $-80$ mV by 500 msec voltage steps as indicated. C, Representative current traces from the wild-type fly and rabbit cardiac α1C subunit chimera (DR1). Currents show fast activation. D, Representative current traces from oocytes expressing the DR1 chimera carrying the mutation C629Y. This mutation results in a greatly reduced current with markedly slower activation. E, Peak inward current versus test potential ($I–V$) curves for mutant (DR1C629Y) and wild-type (DR1) chimeras. The no α1 curve represents the endogenous current in oocytes injected with β1b and α2–δ cRNA only. N is the number of oocytes included in each average. Error bars show SEM. F, Representative current traces from oocytes expressing the wild-type DR1 chimera 2 d after injection. At this time, the injected cRNAs have not yet produced maximum current levels. The low level currents still show fast activation. The calibration shown below D applies to C, D, and F.
is dependent on current density, we also recorded from oocytes expressing wild-type channels at a low level to obtain currents similar in size to that of the mutant (Fig. 1F). Compared with the C629Y mutant, the wild type has faster activation kinetics at low current levels, and there is no significant difference between the \( t_{1/2} \) at low current \( (t_{1/2} = 6.1 \pm 0.4 \) msec; \( N = 9 \)) compared with that at high current \( (t_{1/2} = 5.8 \pm 0.2 \) msec) levels. Thus, the slow kinetics of activation in the mutant is not caused by reduced peak current.

**The conserved Cys in IS1 plays a role in mammalian cardiac \( \alpha_{1C} \) activation**

Because the Cys at position 629 in the *Drosophila* \( \alpha_{1} \) subunit is conserved in all calcium channel \( \alpha_{1} \) subunits cloned to date (Fig. 1B), we chose the well-studied rabbit cardiac \( \alpha_{1C} \) to determine whether the equivalent mutation (C168Y) (Mikami et al., 1989) has effects similar to those seen in the DR1 chimera. As shown in Figure 3A versus B, the currents are again dramatically reduced, and the time to reach half maximal amplitude is slower in the mutant (\( \alpha_{1C_{\text{C629Y}}} \) C168Y; \( t_{1/2} = 17.3 \pm 1.9 \) msec) than in the wild type (\( \alpha_{1C_{\text{C629W}}} \) t\(_{1/2} = 6.8 \pm 0.3 \) msec) (Fig. 3A,B). Again expressing wild-type channels at low levels did not significantly alter activation kinetics (Fig. 3C,J, small; \( t_{1/2} = 6.2 \pm 0.2 \) msec).

The C168Y change alters the size of the side chain. To gain further insight as to how changes at this site affect current levels and activation kinetics, we made additional mutants in which charge (C168D and C168K), size (C168G and C168W), and ability to form a disulfide bond (C168S) were altered. The C168S mutation shows current levels and activation kinetics very similar to that of the wild type (Fig. 3E,1)., suggesting that the ability of Cys to form a disulfide bond does not affect these processes. However, the C168S change did produce a hyperpolarizing shift in the \( I\!-\!V \) curve (Fig. 3E,1). Replacing Cys with a smaller amino acid (C168G) had no dramatic effect on activation kinetics (Fig. 3F,J) and had only a small effect on current amplitude (Fig. 3F,1).

In contrast with these relatively minor effects, replacing this Cys with a charged residue or a bulky group was very disruptive. We were not able to record currents in the mutant C168W carrying a bulky side chain. Nor were we able to record currents in C168K carrying a positively charged side chain at this site. Replacement with a negatively charged residue (C168D) significantly reduced current (Fig. 3G,1). However, it was without effect on activation kinetics (Fig. 3G,1).

Calcium channel \( \beta \) subunits are known to enhance the expressed channel currents and to accelerate channel kinetics via a physical interaction with the intracellular loop between domains I and II in the \( \alpha_{1} \) subunits (Pragnell et al., 1994). To determine whether the reduction in current level and the slowing of activation kinetics in the C168Y mutant was because of the disruption heterozygotes (C629Y+/); and \( L = 5 \) and \( F = 8 \) for mutant homozygotes (C629Y/C629Y). B, Current–voltage relationship of the dihydropyridine-sensitive current (D-current) isolated by recording in the presence of 1 mM amiloride at a holding potential of \(-100 \) mV. The difference between the wild type (\( L = 13; F = 17 \)) and the homozygous mutant (\( L = 10; F = 13 \)) persists in the absence of the amiloride-sensitive A-current. C, Current–voltage relationship of the dihydropyridine-sensitive current (D-current) isolated by recording with a holding potential of \(-30 \) mV. The difference between the wild type (\( L = 5; F = 7 \)) and the homozygous mutant (\( L = 4; F = 7 \)) again persists in the absence of the A-current. D, Current–voltage relationship of the amiloride-sensitive A-current recorded in the presence of 500 \( \mu \)M diltiazem at a holding potential of \(-100 \) mV. Under these recording conditions, there is no significant difference between the wild type (\( L = 5; F = 8 \)) and the homozygous mutant (\( L = 6; F = 6 \)). E, Averaged D-type barium current traces from wild-type (upper) and homozygous C629Y mutant (lower) muscle fibers from the experiment in C. Seven traces are shown for test pulses of \(-30 \) to \(+30 \) mV. F, Comparison of the apparent time to reach the half maximum response of larval muscle D-type barium currents in wild type (open bar) and in homozygous mutants (closed bar). Recording conditions are described in C except that \( V_{\text{test}} = 0 \) mV. The difference between the values of \( t_{1/2} \) for the wild type and the homozygous mutant is statistically significant (Student’s \( t \) test; \( p = 0.006 \)).
of α₁–β interaction, we compared the α₁C₆₈₉Y subunit with and without the β₁₆ subunit (Fig. 3H). The β₁₆ subunit did stimulate current levels. Currents expressed in the absence of the β₁₆ subunit were too small to determine whether there was a significant effect on activation kinetics. In addition, the maximum currents from the C₁₆₈Y mutated channels are still enhanced 3.7-fold by the calcium channel agonist (−)Bay K 8644 (Fig. 3D,H). Thus, the C₁₆₈Y mutation does not block α₁–β interaction or stimulation by dihydropyridine agonists.

**DISCUSSION**

**Genetic separation of the two calcium channel currents in larval muscles**

Calcium channels are involved in excitation–contraction coupling. In *Drosophila* larval body wall muscle where there are no sodium currents, calcium currents are also the major inward currents and are thus involved in the generation and propagation of action potentials. There are at least two genes encoding calcium channel pore-forming α₁ subunits in *Drosophila* (Zheng et al., 1995; Smith et al., 1996). One (*Dmca1D*) encodes a subunit similar to mammalian dihydropyridine-sensitive L-type channels; the other (*Dmca1A*) encodes a subunit more similar to dihydropyridine-insensitive non-L-type channels. Null alleles in each of these genes result in embryonic lethality, demonstrating that they are not functionally redundant, but rather each plays a unique role in the organism (Smith et al., 1996; Eberl et al., 1998). We initially used viability, heart beat, and wing expansion phenotypes to show that mutations in *Dmca1D* have dramatic effects in the organism (Eberl et al., 1998). We report here the first functional characterization of the Dmca1D α₁ subunit showing that mutations in this gene disrupt the dihydropyridine-sensitive current in larval muscle and are without effect on the amiloride-sensitive calcium channel current. It will be interesting to determine whether the amiloride-sensitive current in muscle is carried...
by the product of the *Dmca1A* gene or by an as yet uncloned \(\alpha_1\) subunit.

In addition to the two genetically and pharmacologically distinct calcium currents, there are also four potassium currents that have been separated by genetic and pharmacological methods (Singh and Wu, 1989). With the ability to separate genetically the calcium currents, it is now possible to separate all known muscle currents. This complete separation of currents makes *Drosophila* an ideal system in which to study the effects of disrupting specific currents on the regulation of other channels in the same tissue. Because electrical activity is known to affect channel regulation at the transcriptional and translational levels (Offord and Catterall, 1988; Dargent and Couraud, 1990; Catterall, 1992; Dargent et al., 1994), the ability to disrupt genetically specific current activities in a controlled manner throughout development will provide a specificity that could not be attained in earlier studies.

Although *Drosophila* muscle has only two genetically distinct calcium currents, neurons are more diverse (Pelzer et al., 1989; Leung and Byerly, 1991). It is likely that Dmca1D contributes to one or more of these neuronal currents because our previous *in situ* hybridization studies showed that the *Dmca1D* transcript is predominantly expressed throughout the nervous system (Zheng et al., 1995). *In situ* hybridization did not readily detect expression in muscle. Because our electrophysiological studies show that this gene plays an important role in muscle function, its previous lack of detection in muscle is likely because of the low abundance of transcripts in that tissue. The *Drosophila* Shaker potassium channel transcripts are similarly readily detectable in neurons but not in muscle, although these channels clearly also play an important role in muscle (Pongs et al., 1988).

**The AR66 mutation reveals a domain important for channel activation**

The transmembrane segment IS1 has not been specifically associated with any known biophysical characteristic of calcium channels, and yet it is one of the most highly conserved domains in the \(\alpha_1\) subunit. It is these highly conserved domains that are likely to be most important for channel function and/or subunit interactions because they have been highly constrained throughout evolution. Using voltage-clamp studies of mutant larval muscle and functional expression in *Xenopus* oocytes, we provide the first evidence that changes in this domain affect current levels and channel activation kinetics in both *Drosophila* muscle and mammalian cardiac calcium channels. Previous work using chimeras between the slowly activating \(\alpha_{1S}\) from mammalian skeletal muscle and the fast-activating \(\alpha_{1C}\) from cardiac muscle showed that domain I was involved in channel activation (Tanabe et al., 1991). In these chimera studies, the region responsible for the difference in activation between these two channel types was localized to IS3 and the IS3/S4 linker (Nakai et al., 1994). Point mutations in S4 and in leucine heptad motifs have shown that these motifs in repeats I and III, but not in repeats II and IV, are involved in activation (García et al., 1997). Thus, in addition to the current study, a number of different studies have shown the involvement of other regions of repeat I in channel activation.

We found that blocking the ability of the conserved Cys in IS1 to potentially form disulfide bridges or substituting a smaller amino acid such as Gly in its place had very little effect on channel properties. However, adding a bulky group such as Tyr or a charged group such as Asp caused a dramatic reduction of currents. Interestingly, although the bulky group substitution caused an increase in the time to reach apparent half activation, the substitution of a charged residue was without effect on channel activation kinetics. Thus, this mutagenesis separates these two effects.

In models of sodium and potassium channel activation, the S4 domain is thought to act as a voltage sensor and to move outward in response to depolarization to open (activate) the channel (Larsson et al., 1996; Yang et al., 1996). It is possible that the presence of a bulky group in a nearby transmembrane domain interferes with this movement of S4. Another possibility is that this mutation affects coupling between voltage sensing and channel opening. The \(\beta\) subunit potentiates this process (Neely et al., 1993). Because stimulation by the \(\beta\) subunit is intact in the mutant (Fig. 3H), it is unlikely that the mutant affects this potentiation. Finally, the mutant may affect the opening process.

There are several possible mechanisms that might account for the reduction in calcium channel currents that we observe in both mutant larval muscle and in mutant channels expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes. One possibility is that the actual number of functional channels inserted into the membranes is reduced in the mutant relative to the wild type. Another possibility is that the mutation that slows channel activation may also reduce the stability of the activated state and thus reduce the maximum probability of channel opening. This effect could reduce the peak calcium channel currents with no associated change in channel number. Additional experiments involving measurement of channel numbers by ligand binding and/or with channel subtype-specific antibodies will be required to distinguish these possibilities.

Interestingly, there is a completely conserved Cys in voltage-gated sodium channels in a position equivalent to the Cys in the calcium channel IS1 domain. Our work raises the question of whether it plays a similar role in sodium channels.

These studies show that changes in channel properties described first in the larval muscle preparation are similar to those caused by the equivalent mutant change in the rabbit cardiac muscle \(\alpha_{1C}\) subunit. Systematic screening for new leaky mutations for this calcium channel subunit should be useful for identifying other interesting functional domains of importance to channel function *in vivo*.
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