Differential Effects of Amygdala Lesions on Early and Late Plastic Components of Auditory Cortex Spike Trains during Fear Conditioning
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In auditory fear conditioning, pairing of a neutral acoustic conditioned stimulus (CS) with an aversive unconditioned stimulus (US) results in an enhancement of neural responses to the CS in the amygdala and auditory cortex. It is not clear, however, whether cortical plasticity governs neural changes in the amygdala or vice versa, or whether learning in these two structures is determined by independent processes. We examined this issue by recording single-cell activity in the auditory cortex (areas Te1, Te1v, and Te3) of freely behaving, amygdalectomized rats using a movable bundle of microwires. Amygdala damage did not affect short-latency (0–50 msec) tone responses, nor did it interfere with conditioning-induced increases of these onset responses. In contrast, lesions of the amygdala interfered with the development of late (500–1500 msec) conditioned tone responses that were not present before conditioning. Furthermore, whereas onset conditioned responses in the control group remained elevated after 30 extinction trials (presentation of CS alone), onset responses in lesioned animals returned to their preconditioning firing level after approximately 10 extinction trials. These results suggest that the amygdala enables the development of long-latency (US anticipatory) responses and prevents the extinction of short-latency onset responses to threatening stimuli. The findings further suggest that auditory cortex cells may participate differently in explicit and implicit memory networks.
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The firing properties of cells are modified in various brain areas during learning (Olds et al., 1972). For example, after the association of an acoustic conditioned stimulus (CS) with an electric shock unconditioned stimulus (US) in a standard fear conditioning paradigm, spike discharges change in a number of brain regions, including areas of the auditory cortex, hippocampal formation, and amygdala (Diamond and Weinberger, 1984, 1986; Weinberger et al., 1984; Laroche et al., 1989; Quirk et al., 1995, 1997). Although damage to the amygdala prevents the expression of behavioral fear responses conditioned to the CS, damage to the auditory cortex or hippocampus does not (Selden et al., 1991; Phillips and LeDoux, 1992; Romanski and LeDoux, 1992; Armony et al., 1997a). These findings might imply that redundant, behaviorally irrelevant traces are established in areas such as the auditory cortex and hippocampus. It is also possible, however, that experiences are encoded and stored in parallel by complementary memory systems (Eichenbaum et al., 1994, 1996; Squire and Knowlton, 1995). Plasticity occurring in a particular brain area thus might be uninvolved in one aspect of learning but crucial to another.

The complementary nature of memory systems is nicely illustrated by the effects of different brain lesions in humans on fear conditioning. Patients with amygdala lesions fail to exhibit conditioned fear responses to a CS [but remember that the CS and US were systematically related (Bechara et al., 1995; LaBar et al., 1995)], whereas patients with damage to the hippocampus and related cortical areas exhibit conditioned responses to the CS but have no memory of having experienced the CS and US together (Bechara et al., 1995). The amygdala is thus required for establishing a linkage between the CS and response control pathways, whereas explicit or declarative knowledge about stimulus relations is acquired through hippocampal–cortical circuits that function more or less independently of the amygdala.

Although the amygdala is not required for the establishment of declarative memories about emotional situations, it may influence mnemonic processing in declarative memory circuits. For example, amygdala damage in humans eliminates the ability of emotional arousal to enhance declarative memory performance (Babinsky et al., 1993; Cahill et al., 1995). The amygdala can interact with hippocampal–cortical circuits by way of direct synaptic connections, as well as through various indirect pathways (Amaral et al., 1992; LeDoux, 1996). Nevertheless, little is known about the manner in which the amygdala might influence plasticity in cortical areas.

Auditory cortex, like other areas of sensory cortex, is reciprocally connected with the hippocampus (by way of parahippocampal circuits) and is a putative component of the declarative memory circuit for explicit auditory memory (Amaral, 1987; Squire and Zola, 1996). The auditory cortex is also reciprocally connected with the amygdala (Amaral et al., 1992; Romanski and LeDoux, 1993) and is thus a potential site of interaction between declarative and emotional memory networks. In the present study we have begun to explore these interactions by examining the
effects of amygdala lesions on the plasticity of cells in the auditory cortex during fear conditioning.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and surgery

Male Sprague Dawley rats (300–350 gm) were pretreated with atropine (0.24 mg/kg), anesthetized with nembutal (50 mg/kg, i.p.), and placed in a Kopf stereotaxic frame with blunt ear bars. Supplemental doses of anesthetic were administered throughout surgery as needed, and body temperature was regulated by a gel heating pad.

Bilateral amygdala ablation was achieved by placing two electrolytic lesions on each side, with the following coordinates (in millimeters) relative to bregma (LaBar and LeDoux, 1996): (1) anterioposterior (AP) = −2.3, mediolateral (ML) = ±4.8, dorsoventral (DV) = −8.4; (2) AP = −2.8, ML = ±5.2, DV = −8.5. Lesions were made by passing anodal constant current (1 mA, 15 sec) through a monopolar, stainless steel electrode (200 μm diameter; Kopf NE-300) with an exposed tip of 500 μm. The skull holes were then covered with sterile bone wax. The intent was to destroy much of the lateral and basal nuclei, but no effort was made to exclude damage to other regions of amygdala.

Electrode implant procedures were identical to those described in Quirk et al. (1997). A movable electrode bundle of 20 insulated nichrome microwires (25 μm diameter each; adapted from Kubie, 1984) was implanted in the auditory cortex (5.0 mm AP, 5.1 mm ML, 6.0 mm DV, relative to bregma) (Paxinos and Watson, 1986). The wires were insulated except for the cut tip (impedance ~2–3 MΩ at 1 kHz) and contained in a stainless steel tube (0.45 mm diameter). Each wire was attached to one pin of two 10-pin connectors (Augat) embedded in a triangular Marshall Electron of dental acrylic. TIA platform couplers were advanced by turning three screws (1 turn = 400 μm). Placement accuracy of the electrode bundle was improved by passing the tube and wires through an additional, larger guide tube (0.81 mm diameter), implanted just above the cortex. The tips of the electrode bundle were aimed to rest in the cortex during fear conditioning.

The electrode was advanced in 50–100 μm steps until discriminable single units were found in several wires. Units were tested for tone responsivity by delivering 50 msec tone pips (80–120 dB, open field) of various frequencies, as well as white noise, delivered through a speaker mounted in the ceiling of the sound-attenuating chamber. Auditory signals were generated with a Digital Signal Processing board controlled by a DataWave Discovery clocked sequencer (MOZART) running on the same computer as the data acquisition software.

Conditioning protocol

We used the same conditioning protocol as in previous studies of the amygdala and auditory cortex (Quirk et al., 1995, 1997) to facilitate comparisons between amygdala and auditory cortex recordings as well as to allow comparisons of cortical recordings between amygdala-lesioned and control animals. Briefly, the conditioned stimulus (CS) was a 2 sec pure tone (5 kHz, 80 dB) and the unconditional stimulus (US) was a mild electric footshock (0.5 mA, 0.5 sec) delivered through the grid floor of the test box.

The experiment consisted of three phases. During sensitization, 10 CSs and 10 USs were explicitly unpaired. This was immediately followed by 20 conditioning trials in which the CS coterminated with the US (that is, the tone and shock were always paired). After the conditioning phase, the rat was placed in its home cage for 1 hr, after which it was returned to the test chamber for the extinction phase, during which 30 tone-alone trials were delivered (i.e., no US was presented during the extinction phase). In all phases, the intertrial interval was 1–3 min.

Before the sensitization and extinction phases, behavioral responses to the CS were determined in a different context, using a 20 sec tone, by determining the same intensity and frequency as the CS during conditioning. Cumulative seconds of freezing during the CS were counted with a digital timer and used as a measure of conditioned fear (Blanchard and Blanchard, 1969; Bolles and Fanselow, 1980). Freezing was defined as absence of all movement, except those associated with breathing. The entire experiment took ~4 hr. To compare cell responses among animals, in naive animals, during conditioning, each rat could be conditioned only once. This was a limiting factor in the number of cells that could be obtained from each animal.

Data analysis

Data were analyzed, after off-line spike discrimination, using STRANGER (Biographies, Winston-Salem, NC). Statistical analysis was performed using commercially available software (Excel and Statistica). Long-term conditioned increases in the onset responses were investigated in a similar manner, using the firing rates from the first 10 extinction trials. Significant onset tone responses were determined using a z test (p < 0.05). Onset tone responses after conditioning were determined in a similar manner, using the firing rates from the first 10 extinction trials.

Late tone responses. We investigated the effects of conditioning on responses occurring after tone onset by analyzing firing rates during the entire tone in 100 msec bins before (10 sensitization trials) and after (first 10 extinction trials), for the first 50 msec after tone onset. Because we were interested in whether conditioning had an effect on the signaling of the CS, we restricted the analysis to onset responses to those cells that showed posttone responses. This response after the conditioning phase (i.e., their firing rate in the first 50 msec of the tone was higher than their rate before the tone).

Late tone responses. We investigated the effects of conditioning on responses occurring after tone onset by analyzing firing rates during the entire tone in 100 msec bins before (10 sensitization trials) and after (first 10 extinction trials) conditioning. For each bin, the number of tone-responsive cells was calculated using z scores (2 SD above pretone firing rate). Only cells that were significantly tone responsive in at least two adjacent 100 msec bins were included. This analysis revealed a “region of interest,” between 500 and 1500 msec, in which the majority of late tone responses occurred (Quirk et al., 1997). Thus, this region was further analyzed with Student’s paired t-tests (p < 0.05, two-tailed) to determine tone responsivity, comparing the rate in the 500–1500 msec interval with the pretone rate. (It should be pointed out that because this latter analysis combines the rates of 10 consecutive bins, it will yield a larger number of tone-responsive cells than that seen for any individual bin.) Late tone responses could not be measured during conditioning trials because inputs to the amplifiers were grounded shortly before shock presentation to avoid shock artifact contamination of the unit recordings.

Histology

At the end of the experiment, a small lesion was made by passing current (5 μA, 10 sec) through one of the recording wires. Animals were perfused transcardially with 10% buffered formalin. The brains were removed and stored in a formalin–sucrose solution with 2% nitroferro-
cyanide. The brains were frozen and cut on a sliding microtome (40 μm sections), and mounted sections were stained for nissl bodies and examined with a light microscope. The site of the Prussian blue reaction (caused by the reaction of iron deposits from the lesion with the nitroferrocyanide) was used to locate the region of the recorded cells. Cases in which the amygdala lesions were incomplete or thalamocortical fibers running through the striatum appeared to be disrupted by the lesion electrode tracks were discarded. Photomicrographs of the lesions were obtained with a CatsEye digital camera (Sony) and acquired on a Macintosh PowerPC 8100 with commercial software (Adobe Photoshop).

RESULTS

Histology

Figure 1 illustrates a typical amygdala lesion. Lesions were centered primarily on the lateral and basal nuclei and in some cases extended medially into the central nucleus. Some animals also had some damage to the amygdalostriatal zone. Those cases exhibiting severe damage of the caudate-putamen, near the electrode track, were discarded. Although caudate-putamen lesions do not interfere with the conditioning of fear behavior (LeDoux et al., 1985; Iwata et al., 1986), auditory thalamocortical fibers pass through the caudate, and normal auditory transmission to the cortex could be affected by damage to this region.

Recording electrode placements were located in auditory cortex (mainly in association areas Te1v and Te3). Figure 2 illustrates the sites of the individual electrode bundles for all the lesion and control animals used in this study, showing a clear overlap of recording locations between the two groups.

Behavior

The effects of CS presentation on fear behavior in the animals from which successful recordings were made was assessed by measuring the amount of time accounted for by freezing behavior during a 20 sec exposure to the CS outside of the conditioning context before and after conditioning. Before the sensitization phase, no rats in either of the groups (control and amygdala lesion) showed any substantial freezing to the CS (0.07 ± 0.07 sec and 0.13 ± 0.13 sec, respectively). After conditioning, control animals exhibited a significant increase in freezing to the CS [8.7 ± 2.0 sec; \( t_{(12)} = 4.45; p < 0.001 \)], whereas rats with amygdala lesion showed no increase in their freezing to the CS, even after 20 pairings (mean freezing time after conditioning = 0.50 ± 0.40 sec; \( t < 1 \)). These results, shown in Figure 3, are consistent with a large body of literature demonstrating a crucial role for the amygdala in the acquisition and expression of conditioned fear responses (for review, see Davis, 1992; Kapp et al., 1992; LeDoux, 1995, 1996; Gallagher and Chiba, 1996; Maren and Fanselow, 1996).

Unit activity

A total of 19 lesioned animals completed the full conditioning protocol. Eight of those were later discarded because of incomplete amygdala lesions or significant damage in the caudate-putamen (see Materials and Methods), or because no individual cells could be isolated satisfactorily throughout the entire experiment. Thirty-two cells were isolated, held, and analyzed from the remaining 11 rats. The average number of cells recorded per animal was similar to the control cases (40 cells in 14 rats). Furthermore, the average spontaneous firing rate of cells before conditioning—collected for 500 msec before each tone trial—in control and lesioned animals was similar: 3.9 ± 0.7 and 5.5 ± 1.1 Hz, respectively. Overall, conditioning did not have a significant effect on the spontaneous firing of cells in either group: the average spontaneous rates after conditioning were 3.1 ± 0.6 and 5.6 ± 1.1 Hz for the control and lesioned animals, respectively. That is, amygdala lesions did not have an effect on the number cells that could be discriminated, the quality of the recordings, or the basic properties of the cells. The number of cells studied was comparable to other conditioning studies of the auditory cortex (Cruikshank and Weinberger, 1996).
Onset tone responses before and after conditioning

Before conditioning, cells in auditory cortex in both control and lesioned animals responded to the tone at short latencies, within the first 50 msec after tone onset. A typical example of an auditory cortex neuron showing onset tone responses in a rat with amygdala damage is shown in Figure 4. Overall, 8/32 (25%) of cells exhibited significant excitatory onset responses in the lesioned animals compared with 14/40 (35%) cells in the control group. These percentages are statistically indistinguishable ($\chi^2 = 0.84; p = 0.36$).

After conditioning, 12 cells (30%) in the control group exhibited an increase in their firing rate in the first 50 msec after tone onset (see Materials and Methods). A similar proportion of neurons (12 cells; 37%) showed conditioning-induced onset increases in the amygdalectomized rats ($\chi^2 = 0.45; p = 0.50$). Two (5%) and three (9%) of these cells in the control and lesion groups, respectively, did not respond to the tone during the sensitization phase but developed onset tone responses as a result of conditioning. An example of conditioned increases in a cell from a lesioned animal is shown in Figure 4. Thus, amygdala lesions affected neither short-latency (0–50 msec) tone responses
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**Onset tone responses before and after conditioning**

Before conditioning, cells in auditory cortex in both control and lesioned animals responded to the tone at short latencies, within the first 50 msec after tone onset. A typical example of an auditory cortex neuron showing onset tone responses in a rat with amygdala damage is shown in Figure 4. Overall, 8/32 (25%) of cells exhibited significant excitatory onset responses in the lesioned animals compared with 14/40 (35%) cells in the control group. These percentages are statistically indistinguishable ($\chi^2 = 0.84; p = 0.36$).

After conditioning, 12 cells (30%) in the control group exhibited an increase in their firing rate in the first 50 msec after tone onset (see Materials and Methods). A similar proportion of neurons (12 cells; 37%) showed conditioning-induced onset increases in the amygdalectomized rats ($\chi^2 = 0.45; p = 0.50$). Two (5%) and three (9%) of these cells in the control and lesion groups, respectively, did not respond to the tone during the sensitization phase but developed onset tone responses as a result of conditioning. An example of conditioned increases in a cell from a lesioned animal is shown in Figure 4. Thus, amygdala lesions affected neither short-latency (0–50 msec) tone responses

**Figure 2.** Drawing of a coronal section, at −5 mm posterior to bregma, showing the electrode placements for control (open circles) and lesioned (filled circles) animals. Each circle corresponds to one electrode placement (each rat was implanted only once). Most placements were located in areas Telv/Te3, which are part of the auditory association cortex in the rat. Tel, Telv, Te3, Temporal cortex, areas 1–3. Adapted from Swanson (1992).

**Figure 3.** Freezing responses to the 20 sec tone conditioned stimulus (CS) before and after conditioning for control ($n = 14$) and lesion ($n = 11$) groups. After conditioning, control rats exhibited a significant increase in freezing to the CS (p < 0.001), whereas amygdala-lesioned animals showed no change.

**Figure 4.** Poststimulus time histograms showing the tone response of a cell in an amygdala-lesioned rat. This cell exhibited a short-latency (<50 msec) onset response before conditioning. As a result of conditioning, the magnitude of the onset response increased relative to the sensitization phase (Pre-Conditioning). Each histogram represents 10 trials; bin width is 50 msec.
nor the likelihood that conditioning would induce onset plasticity in those responses.

**Conditioning-induced late tone responses**

In addition to the short-latency onset plasticity described above, conditioning also produced increases in tone responses that started around 500 msec after tone onset and lasted for 1 sec (Quirk et al., 1997). We refer to these as late conditioned tone responses. An example of such a late conditioned increase in an intact animal is shown in Figure 5. Before conditioning, this cell did not respond to the tone. Conditioning did not have an effect on the onset response of the cell to the tone. After CS–US pairing, however, a significant late response to the tone developed, starting at ~700 msec after tone onset.

The development of late tone responses as a result of conditioning was a general phenomenon in cells of control animals. Figure 6 (top) shows the percentage of tone-responsive neurons in intact rats throughout the tone, with a 100 msec bin resolution, before and after conditioning. Before conditioning, most tone responses were concentrated at the onset and offset of the tone, with little signaling during the rest of the stimulus. After conditioning, however, we observed a substantial increase in the number of cells exhibiting late tone responses in two or more bins (see Materials and Methods). As in the example shown in Figure 5, these late responses started at ~500 msec after tone onset and peaked at 1500 msec, which was the latency of the shock onset during the conditioning phase of the experiment. In contrast, as shown in Figure 6 (bottom), no evidence for late conditioned tone-responsive cells was found in lesioned animals.

To make a statistical comparison of the number of neurons showing conditioning-induced late tone responses in control and lesion groups, we defined a region of interest between 500 msec (end of all onset responses) and 1500 msec (onset of footshock). The number of tone-responsive cells in each group in this region was calculated using two-tailed, paired t tests \( p < 0.05 \) (see Materials and Methods). After conditioning, 11 of 40 cells (28%) in control animals showed late tone responses. (A color-coded raster plot of the response of these cells throughout training is shown in Fig. 7A.) In contrast, only 2 of 32 cells (6%) in lesioned animals exhibited tone responses in the 500–1500 msec interval. A \( \chi^2 \) test revealed that the difference in these late conditioning-induced tone-responsive cells between control and lesion groups was significant \( (\chi^2 = 5.43; p < 0.02) \).

In summary, conditioning resulted in a significant increase in the number of cells displaying a late (500–1500 msec) tone response in intact animals, but no such changes were observed in cells recorded in animals with amygdala lesions. Unlike onset conditioned increases (see below), late conditioning-induced tone diminished with extinction trials in the control animals.

**Extinction of onset conditioned responses**

One hour after the end of the conditioning phase, the animals were brought back to the test chamber and exposed to 30 extinc-
As reported previously (Quirk et al., 1997), auditory cortex cells in intact animals retained the conditioning-induced increases of their tone responses after 30 extinction trials (in contrast, extinction occurred in lateral amygdala cells under the same conditions) (Quirk et al., 1997). An example of an auditory cell from a control animal exhibiting extinction-resistant onset conditioning in the present study is shown in Figure 8A. In contrast, cells recorded in the auditory cortex of amygdalectomized rats returned to their preconditioning level during extinction, as shown in Figure 8B. Figure 7B shows a color-coded average raster plot of all the cells that exhibited an increase in their onset response (0–50 msec) during conditioning (see above) as a function of the trials in the different training phases (sensitization, conditioning, and extinction). Before conditioning, a slightly smaller number (but not statistically significant; see above) of cells in the lesion group responded to the tone onset (hence the lower firing rate in this group seen in Fig. 7B, when compared with controls), but immediately after conditioning, both groups showed a similar number and strength of onset tone responses. Cells in lesioned and control animals, however, exhibited very different response patterns during extinction. Whereas in the control group animals the conditioned onset response in auditory cortex was still higher than the preconditioning (sensitization) response after 30 extinction trials, responses of auditory cortex cells in amygdala-lesioned rats extinguished their conditioned onset increases after approximately 10 extinction trials.

Figure 7. A, Color-coded raster plot showing the average firing rate of cells in the control group that exhibited significant late tone responses (500–1500 msec after tone onset; see Results) after conditioning (n = 11). The top of the figure shows the sensitization trials (preconditioning) and the bottom shows extinction trials (the conditioning phase is not shown). Each row is one trial, and the bin size is 100 msec. Firing rates were averaged across cells and smoothed with a nearest-neighbor average. Note that there was no indication of late responses before conditioning, and that the long-latency conditioned response is maximal (red) just before the time of the shock onset during conditioning (indicated by the arrow), similar to the example shown in Figure 5B. B, Color-coded raster plot showing the average firing rate of cells that develop short-latency (<50 msec) onset conditioned increases, for the control (n = 12) and lesion (n = 12) groups. Each row is one trial, showing the first 500 msec after tone onset; bin size is 10 msec. The top half shows the 10 sensitization and 20 conditioning trials, and the bottom half shows the 30 extinction trials. Animals were returned to their home cages for 1 hr after the end of conditioning and before the beginning of extinction. Note that in the control group the magnitude of onset responses after 30 extinction trials remained elevated relative to the response before conditioning (sensitization). In contrast, cells in lesioned animals returned to their preconditioning levels after 10 extinction trials.
trials. This effect was confirmed statistically by comparing the responses at the beginning (average of trials 1–10) and end (average of trials 20–30) of extinction. Cells in control animals showed no extinction in their onset responses (paired t test; \( p > 0.1 \)), whereas cells in the lesioned rats significantly decreased their onset response to the tone as a function of extinction trials (\( p < 0.005 \)), as shown in Figure 9.

**DISCUSSION**

We recorded from individual neurons in auditory cortex in rats with bilateral amygdala lesions during fear conditioning and compared the results with those obtained in intact animals (Quirk et al., 1997).

**Short-latency (onset) responses**

Amygdala lesions did not affect short-latency (0–50 msec) tone responses in auditory cortex that exist before conditioning. Moreover, there was no effect of the lesions on the conditioning-induced modification of these short-latency responses. These results are consistent with the idea that short-latency conditioned tone responses in auditory cortex are driven by direct inputs from the thalamus (Quirk et al., 1996) and thus do not need to rely on an intact amygdala. Although onset conditioning develops in the auditory thalamus (Disterhoft and Stewart, 1976; Gabriel et al., 1976; Ryugo and Weinberger, 1978; Edeline et al., 1990), it has not been established whether thalamic cells that project to the amygdala are plastic, nor is it known whether such plasticity requires an intact amygdala. [A recent study by Poremba and Gabriel (1997) showed that amygdala lesions interfere with thalamic plasticity—although not in the auditory thalamus—in an avoidance conditioning task in rabbits.]

**Late conditioned responses**

The majority of analyses of plasticity in auditory cortex during conditioning have focused on short-latency (<50msec) responses (for review, see Weinberger, 1995). However, we have observed recently that several cells (11 of 40; 28%) in auditory cortex in control animals showed a late conditioned response to the CS, which lasted from ~500 to 1500 msec (Quirk et al., 1997). As illustrated in Figure 7A, most cells exhibiting this late conditioned response did not show any response to the CS before conditioning. Thus, conditioning resulted in a recruitment of cells that started to respond to the late part of the CS. Interestingly, this conditioned neural response peaked at 1500 msec, which was the latency of the US during the conditioning phase. We have hypothesized that this response may reflect some attentional or anticipatory mechanism (Armony et al., 1997b; Quirk et al., 1997). No evidence of this late response was observed in cells recorded in animals with amygdala lesions, suggesting that this “anticipatory” response may be amygdala-dependent (see below). This amygdala dependency could reflect direct projections to the cortex from the amygdala, feedback to the cortex from various amygdala-triggered responses, including nonspecific arousal re-
of the amygdala, the sensory gateway to the amygdala, lost their onset conditioned increases (i.e., they returned to their preconditioning levels of tone-elicited spike trains) after 30 extinction trials (Quirk et al., 1995). In contrast, cells in the auditory cortex in intact animals did not show any significant extinction in their short-latency responses to the CS (Quirk et al., 1997), consistent with the idea that the cortex, specifically sensory areas, may be involved in the storage of some aspect of fear memories.

Interestingly, although amygdala lesions did not interfere with the development of onset conditioning in auditory cortex, they did have an effect on the rate of extinction of such increases. Conditioned cells returned to their preconditioning level of responses after ~10 extinction trials, as shown in Figure 7B. That is, although the lateral nucleus of the amygdala itself does not seem to be a site of extinction-resistant storage of the CS–US pairing information, the amygdala nonetheless appears to be necessary for the consolidation or maintenance of extinction-resistant responses in auditory cortex. Whether other amygdala subnuclei downstream from the lateral nucleus, such as basal or central nuclei, maintain or extinguish their conditioned responses after presentations of the unreinforced CS is unknown and is an important question for future research, especially because these downstream areas are the source of fibers that project back to the cortex (Amaral et al., 1992) and to other areas that allow the amygdala to influence the cortex indirectly (for a summary, see LeDoux, 1996).

Role of the amygdala in fear processing

A large body of evidence lends support for a critical role of the amygdala in controlling conditioned fear responses, through its projections to brainstem structures (Davis, 1992; Kapp et al., 1992; LeDoux, 1995, 1996). Little is known, however, about the role of the amygdala in modulating cortical areas during fear conditioning. Nuclei of the amygdala have extensive projections back to cortical areas, and these could play a role in modulating cortical processing of emotional stimuli (Amaral et al., 1992; LeDoux, 1996). A number of hypotheses have been proposed suggesting that the amygdala may modulate mnemonic and attentional processing in cortical areas (Kapp et al., 1992; Rolls, 1992; Gallagher and Holland, 1994; McGaugh et al., 1995; Packard et al., 1995; Weinberger, 1995; Cahill and McGaugh, 1996; Armony et al., 1997b). For example, stimulation of the central nucleus produces neocortical arousal, which is mediated by cholinergic projections from the basal forebrain in rabbit (Kapp et al., 1994), and stimulation of the nucleus basalis produces facilitation of neuronal responses to tones in auditory cortex in awake rats (Edeline et al., 1994). Furthermore, pairing of a tone with iontophoretic application of ACh induces frequency-specific changes in auditory cortex neurons, similar to those observed during fear conditioning (Metherate and Weinberger, 1990). Findings such as these have led Weinberger and colleagues to propose a conceptual model in which cortical plasticity in fear conditioning requires concurrent auditory input from the thalamus and amygdala-elicited diffuse cholinergic input from the basal forebrain (for review, see Weinberger, 1995). According to this hypothesis, a lesion of the amygdala would completely abolish the development of cortical plasticity. The present results do not lend support to that model, because we found that amygdala lesions failed to affect the induction of short-latency (0–50 msec) fear-conditioned cortical plasticity in auditory cortex. Nonetheless, amygdala lesions did block the development of late (500–1500 msec) tone responses after conditioning. Thus, the model of
Weinberger and coworkers might be more apt for this component of the conditioned response.

We have recently developed a computational model of emotion–cognition interactions grounded on the anatomy and physiology of the fear conditioning circuit (Armony et al., 1997b). The model is consistent with the results reported here. According to the model, auditory cortex cortical plasticity induced by direct thalamocortical projections, through Hebbian learning, should be independent of the amygdala. Auditory thalamic projections to the amygdala are also thought to be responsible for the plasticity in the amygdala, both in the model (Armony et al., 1995) and in experimental recordings (Quirk et al., 1995). In turn, the amygdala may influence later cortical responses, by way of either direct or indirect projections. Indirect sources include the various non-specific arousal or modulatory systems in the brain, as well as feedback from peripherally expressed responses (for a summary, see LeDoux, 1996). These late, amygdala-dependent responses may redirect attentional resources to the CS, possibly in anticipation of, and in preparation for, the expected arrival of the US. This hypothesis is still fairly speculative, but it does integrate much of the data described above.

**Conclusion**

The results presented in this study are consistent with the notion of complementary memory systems that form neural traces in parallel during emotional arousal. Through amygdala-based plasticity, an association is formed between the CS and the aversive US such that the CS gains access to evolutionarily programmed defense responses mediated by amygdala outputs. Plasticity also occurs in cortical areas and makes possible the establishment of explicit or declarative memories about the situation through interactions with the medial temporal lobe memory system (McClelland et al., 1986; Squire and Knowlton, 1995; Eichenbaum et al., 1996). At the same time, activation of the amygdala during the emotional event modulates the strength of explicit, declarative memories represented in various cortical memory circuits (McGaugh et al., 1995, 1996; Cahill and McGaugh, 1996).

Our findings begin to make explicit the manner in which the amygdala might influence cortically based memories. Specifically, the relatively early (within 50 msec) representation is processed in the auditory cortex independent of the amygdala. This plasticity involves, for the most part, the enhancement of preexisting responses to the CS.

Late responses to the CS (500–1500 msec), responses that did not exist before training, anticipate the arrival of the US and are dependent on the amygdala. We hypothesize that the early and late auditory cortex conditioned responses are part of the explicit or declarative representation of the experience processed in conjunction with the medial temporal lobe memory system and that the amygdala modulates the late but not the early component of this representation of the experience. This hypothesis is guiding additional studies in our attempt to understand how complementary memory systems interact during emotional learning.

**REFERENCES**


