Distinct Subtypes of Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors Mediate Differential Actions on Excitability of Spinal Respiratory Motoneurons
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Metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) modulate neuronal function by affecting excitability and altering synaptic transmission. We have shown that the mGluR agonist (1S,3P)-1-amino-3-cyclopentanedicarboxylic acid (1S,3R-ACPD) has multiple actions on phrenic motoneurons (PMNs), including reduction of inspiratory-modulated synaptic currents and an increase of neuronal excitability. We hypothesized that these actions were mediated by different mGluR subtypes. We have now identified the involvement of mGluR subtypes and their roles in modulating the excitability of PMNs and the consequent inspiratory motor output in an in vitro neonatal rat brainstem–spinal cord preparation. Activation of postsynaptic group-I mGluRs increases PMN excitability, associated with the production of an inward current and a decrease in membrane conductance, whereas activation of group-II or group-III mGluRs decreases PMN inspiratory-modulated synaptic current, probably via a presynaptic mechanism. To confirm further the distinction and the involvement of group-I and group-II–III receptor subtypes affecting PMN excitability, we used the membrane permeable cAMP analog 8-bromo-cAMP (8-Br-cAMP) to elevate intracellular cAMP concentration to mask or occlude any effects mediated via the cAMP cascade. 8-Br-cAMP attenuated the reduction of the inspiratory-modulated activity of PMNs by both (S)-4-carboxy-3-hydroxyphenylglycine (4C3HPG) and L-(+)-2-amino-4-phosphonobutyric acid (L-AP4), agonists for group-II and group-III mGluRs, respectively, but did not affect the actions of 3,5-dihydroxyphenylglycine (DHPG), an agonist for group-I mGluRs. These three groups of mGluRs are all endogenously activated during the inspiratory phase. We conclude that three groups of mGluRs are functionally expressed in the phrenic nucleus and that their activation modulates PMN excitability via distinct mechanisms, with group-I acting at postsynaptic sites and group-II and group-III acting at presynaptic sites.
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behavior. A broad spectrum mGluR agonist (1S,3R)-1-amino-1,3-cyclopentanedicarboxylic acid (1S,3R-ACPD) reduces inspiratory-modulated synaptic currents and increases the excitability of PMNs (Dong et al., 1996). These actions are mediated by distinct mechanisms at pre- and postsynaptic sites. We have now identified the mGluR subtypes underlying these effects by examining the actions of specific agonists on endogenous inspiratory-modulated synaptic current, baseline membrane current, and action potential patterns in PMNs. We then examined the effects of perturbing second messenger pathways associated with group-I and group-II/-III receptor subtypes. We analyzed the effects of these various perturbations on postsynaptic membrane properties of PMNs and on the frequency and amplitude of miniature EPSCs (mEPSCs).

Details of the preparation have been described elsewhere (Smith and Feldman, 1987; Liu et al., 1990; Dong and Feldman, 1995a). In brief, the brainstem and cervical spinal cord were isolated from 0- to 4-d-old Sprague Dawley rats anesthetized with ether or hypothermia. The en bloc neuraxis was then pinned down with the ventral surface upward on Sylgard resin in a recording chamber and continuously superfused with normal artificial CSF (aCSF) (in mM): 128 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.5 CaCl2, 1 MgSO4, 21 NaHCO3, 0.5 NaH2PO4, and 30 D-glucose, equilibrated with 95% O2/5% CO2. The bath temperature was gradually raised from 10–15°C (for isolation) to 25–26°C before recording.

Electrophysiology. Respiratory activity was recorded with suction electrodes from the C4 ventral root, which contains phrenic motoneuronal axons, and sometimes simultaneously from cranial nerves (X and XII). Signals were amplified (Grass P511K; Grass Instrument, Quincy, MA), rectified, and low-pass filtered (Paynter filter; t5 15 msec).

Electrodes for whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were pulled from aluminosilicate glass (A-M Systems, Everett, WA) with a tip size of ~2 μm and a resistance of 3.5–5 MΩ when filled with solution containing (in mM): 120 K+-glucuronate, 1 CaCl2, 5 NaCl, 10 HEPEs, 2 ATP (magnesium salt), and 10 1,2-bis-(2-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N',N'-tetra-acetic acid (tetrapotassium salt), at pH 7.3 adjusted by KOH. Successful whole-cell recording was ensured by the initial formation of a gigaohm seal (2–10 GΩ) and low series resistance (RS; 8–15 MΩ). Details of the preparation have been described elsewhere (Smith and Feldman, 1987; Liu et al., 1990; Dong and Feldman, 1995a). In brief, the brainstem and cervical spinal cord were isolated from 0- to 4-d-old Sprague Dawley rats anesthetized with ether or hypothermia. The en bloc neuraxis was then pinned down with the ventral surface upward on Sylgard resin in a recording chamber and continuously superfused with normal artificial CSF (aCSF) (in mM): 128 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.5 CaCl2, 1 MgSO4, 21 NaHCO3, 0.5 NaH2PO4, and 30 D-glucose, equilibrated with 95% O2/5% CO2. The bath temperature was gradually raised from 10–15°C (for isolation) to 25–26°C before recording.

Electrophysiology. Respiratory activity was recorded with suction electrodes from the C4 ventral root, which contains phrenic motoneuronal axons, and sometimes simultaneously from cranial nerves (X and XII). Signals were amplified (Grass P511K; Grass Instrument, Quincy, MA), rectified, and low-pass filtered (Paynter filter; t5 15 msec).

Electrodes for whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were pulled from aluminosilicate glass (A-M Systems, Everett, WA) with a tip size of ~2 μm and a resistance of 3.5–5 MΩ when filled with solution containing (in mM): 120 K+-glucuronate, 1 CaCl2, 5 NaCl, 10 HEPEs, 2 ATP (magnesium salt), and 10 1,2-bis-(2-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N',N'-tetra-acetic acid (tetrapotassium salt), at pH 7.3 adjusted by KOH. Successful whole-cell recording was ensured by the initial formation of a gigaohm seal (2–10 GΩ) and low series resistance (RS; 8–15 MΩ). RS was esti-
mated using 100 Hz, −10 mV, 5 msec voltage pulses. When in voltage-clamp mode, a 60–80% $R_s$ compensation was used. During experiments, $R_s$ was frequently checked, and data were discarded if large increases occurred during the course of the recording. PMNs were voltage-clamped at the end-expiratory potentials of −60 to −75 mV. Data obtained from PMNs displaying fast Na$^{+}$ currents during inspiration (indicative of poor space clamp) were not included in the analysis. Signals were amplified with a patch-clamp amplifier (AXOPATCH 1D or AXOPATCH 200; Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA) and filtered at 2–5 kHz (Bessel filter).

Current–voltage ($I$–$V$) relations were obtained by applying a series of command voltage step pulses (step size, 2–5 mV; width, 100–200 msec; frequency, 2 Hz) controlled by software (Axodata or Axoscope; Axon Instruments). $I$–$V$ curves were obtained by plotting current change (averaged steady-state current values at 5–10 msec before offset of voltage step) against membrane potential. Because most PMNs did not exhibit slow time-dependent membrane properties over the testing voltage range and the membrane current reached steady state at 50 msec after the onset of a voltage pulse (Dong et al., 1996), steady-state current could be obtained using voltage pulses with widths $\approx$100 msec. Membrane potentials ($V_m$) were adjusted for liquid junction potentials (−10 mV). Neuron input conductance ($G_{in}$) was calculated at the holding potential (−60 to −75 mV) from the slope of a least-squares regression line fitted to the data.

Neurons subjected to experimental measurements and data analysis satisfied various criteria described previously (Liu et al., 1990; Lindsay and Feldman, 1993). Briefly, these neurons had resting membrane potentials of at least −60 mV and displayed rhythmic synaptic drive currents in synchrony with the inspiratory burst activity on the C4 ventral root. These neurons were located at intermediate laterality and 130–300 μm below the ventral surface at the C4 segment, consistent with the location of the PMN pool in neonatal rats (Lindsay et al., 1991). Axons of these neurons were contained in the C4 ventral root, indicated by antidromic activation by stimulating the C4 nerve through the suction electrode. Moreover, their other intrinsic properties, such as input resistance, were consistent with the measurements made in neurons identified as PMNs (Smith et al., 1988).

**Pharmacological substances and application.** The drugs used included (RS)-DHPG (Tocris Cookson, Ballwin, MO; 30–200 μM), (S)-4-carboxy-3-hydroxyphenylglycine (4C3HPG; Tocris Cookson; 30–50 μM), (S)-3-amino-4-phosphonobutyric acid (L-AP4; Tocris Cookson; 3–50 μM), (RS)-1-aminoindan-1,5-dicarboxylic acid (AIDCA; Tocris Cookson; 2 mM), (S)-α-ethylglutamic acid (EGLU; Tocris Cookson; 2 mM), (RS)-α-methylserine-O-phosphate (MSOP; Tocris Cookson; 1–2 mM), 8-bromo-cAMP (8-Br-cAMP; Research Biochemicals, Natick, MA; 0.8–1.2 mM), 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoline-2,3-dione (CNQX; Tocris Cookson; 100 μM), (++)-5-methyl-10,11-dihydro-9H-dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-5,10-iminemaleate (MK-801; Research Biochemicals; 250 μM), and tetrodotoxin (TTX; Sigma, St. Louis, MO; 1 μM).

Experiments were performed while preparations were perfused with normal aCSF solution as described above. Drugs were added to the spinal cord bath and/or applied locally via a glass pipette placed over the midcervical spinal cord region containing the PMN pool. Bath application was used to determine the minimum concentrations required for drug action. With bath application of drugs, the chamber was partitioned into two compartments at the level of the spinomedullary junction by a transverse barrier of petroleum jelly (Vaseline) across the neuroaxis (Liu et al., 1990). This allows selective drug application to the spinal cord to...
RESULTS

Phrenic motoneurons receive rhythmic excitatory inspiratory inputs from bulbospinal inspiratory neurons. Under voltage-clamp conditions in the brainstem–spinal cord preparation, PMNs exhibit large, fast-rising, and slow-declining EPSCs during the inspiratory phase of the respiratory cycle (Fig. 1) (Liu et al., 1990; Dong et al., 1996). C4 ventral roots, which contain PMN axons, display spontaneous periodic bursts of discharges representing PMN population activity during the inspiratory phase (Fig. 1).

Enhancement of excitability of PMNs by group-I mGluRs

To determine the role of group-I mGluRs in affecting PMN excitability, we examined the effect of an agonist for group-I mGluRs, DHPG (Schoepp et al., 1994), on membrane currents of PMNs and on C4 ventral root activity. DHPG (50–200 μM, local) produced an inward current (I_{DHPG}; 50–200 pA) in all PMNs examined (n = 8) when the membrane potentials were clamped at end-expiratory potentials (−60 to −75 mV; Fig. 1). The inspiratory-modulated synaptic currents, however, were not significantly affected (96 ± 6%; n = 8; Fig. 1A–C). Inspiratory discharges in C4 ventral roots increased at low concentrations of DHPG (≤150 μM, local; n = 12; Fig. 1B, C). At 100 μM DHPG (local), the integrated C4 root discharge increased to 123 ± 8% of control (n = 6; Fig. 1C). At high concentrations (>150 μM, local), DHPG induced tonic discharges in the C4 roots (n = 6; Fig. 1A). No changes in respiratory frequency (Fig. 1A) or in inspiratory activity of cranial nerves (X and XII) (data not shown) were observed after local application of DHPG to the midthoracic spinal cord.

The current induced by DHPG could result from actions of DHPG in addition to its presumed effect on postsynaptic group-I mGluRs, including (1) increased activity of spinal interneurons projecting to PMNs and (2) actions mediated by ionotropic GluRs. To elucidate the mechanisms underlying I_{DHPG}, we examined the effects of DHPG after treatment with TTX (1 μM) to block voltage-dependent Na⁺ action potentials and associated synaptic transmission and with MK-801 (250 μM) and CNQX (100 μM), NMDA and non-NMDA receptor antagonists, respec-
tively, to block currents mediated by ionotropic glutamate receptors. Under these conditions, DHPG continued to produce inward currents (six of six neurons; Fig. 1D), indicating a direct action on postsynaptic mGluRs of PMNs.

Suppression of synaptic transmission to PMNs by group-II and group-III mGluRs

We showed previously (Dong et al., 1996) that simultaneous activation of different mGluR subtypes by 1S,3R-ACPD, a broad-spectrum agonist, affected several currents in PMNs, including the induction of an inward current and the decrease of inspiratory drive current. Because the above results indicate that group-I mGluRs induce an inward current but do not affect inspiratory drive current, receptors in other groups were candidates for mediating the suppression of inspiratory drive current. We therefore examined the role of group-II mGluRs by testing the effect of an agonist, 4C3HPG (Birse et al., 1993; Kingston et al., 1995), on PMN membrane currents and C4 ventral root activity. 4C3HPG decreased inspiratory drive current and spontaneous EPSCs during the expiratory phase (n = 7), with no detectable effects on baseline membrane current (Fig. 2B). The reductions of peak and total charge transfer of inspiratory drive current by locally applied 4C3HPG (500 μM) were 53 ± 6 and 58 ± 7% of control (n = 7), respectively (Fig. 2C). The effect of 4C3HPG began 8 min before 4C3HPG or L-AP4 application and continued during the course of each agonist’s application. Each data point is the average of three consecutive integrated inspiratory discharges expressed as the percentage of the control value. B, D, Pooled data from six preparations showing attenuated effects of 4C3HPG (B) and L-AP4 (D) on C4 root inspiratory activity by 8-Br-cAMP. Error bars indicate SEM. An asterisk indicates a significant difference (p < 0.05; t test) from control values. A pound symbol indicates a significant difference (p < 0.05; t test) from values obtained from the 4C3HPG or L-AP4 condition. CTRL, Control.

The role of group-III mGluRs was also examined. L-AP4, an agonist for group-III mGluRs (Bushell et al., 1995; Tones et al., 1995), inhibits synaptic transmission to PMNs (Liu et al., 1990). L-AP4 potently reduced the inspiratory current of PMNs (Fig. 3) without any effect on the baseline current. The peak inspiratory current was reduced to 51 ± 6% (n = 6) by 50 μM L-AP4. Concurrent with the reduction of inspiratory current of PMNs, a decrease in inspiratory discharges in C4 ventral roots occurred in response to L-AP4 (data not shown). In the presence of MSOP (1 mM, local), an antagonist for group-III mGluRs (Thomas et al., 1996), the effect of L-AP4 was diminished; 50 μM L-AP4 reduced inspiratory current only to 88 ± 7% (n = 6; Fig. 3).

There were no changes in respiratory frequency with either 4C3HPG (Fig. 2) or AP-4 (data not shown) applied locally to the spinal cord.

Effects of elevation of intracellular cAMP on mGluR-mediated actions

The various mGluRs are coupled to different second messenger cascades (Masu et al., 1991; Abe et al., 1992; Aramori and Nakanishi, 1992). To confirm further the distinction of the effects on PMN excitability of group-I and group-II/III receptor subtypes, we examined the involvement of specific second messenger pathways. The membrane-permeable cAMP analog 8-Br-cAMP was used to elevate intracellular cAMP concentration so that any effects mediated via the cAMP cascade would be masked or occluded.
In the presence of 8-Br-cAMP (0.8–1.2 mM) in the spinal bath of a partitioned chamber, the ability of both 4C3HPG and L-AP4 to reduce inspiratory-modulated activity in the C4 roots was greatly attenuated (Fig. 4). Thus, 4C3HPG (500 μM) could only reduce C4 root discharges to 87 ± 6% (n = 6) of control, compared with 40 ± 7% before 8-Br-cAMP treatment (Fig. 4A,B), and L-AP4 (50 μM) could only reduce C4 root discharges to 77 ± 7% (n = 6) of control, compared with 42 ± 9% before 8-Br-cAMP treatment (Fig. 4C,D). In contrast, the effect of DHPG was unaffected by 8-Br-cAMP (n = 4), i.e., it continued to elicit tonic discharges in C4 ventral roots (Fig. 5).

**Differential effects of distinct mGluR subtypes on postsynaptic membrane properties**

To elucidate the ionic mechanisms underlying the effects on baseline membrane current and inspiratory drive current mediated by the various mGluR subtypes, we examined the PMN current–voltage (I–V) relationship before and after drug treatment after synaptic isolation by TTX (1 μM, bath; Fig. 6).

DHPG increased input resistance, as indicated by the reduced slope of the I–V curve (Fig. 6A). The membrane input resistance at the resting potential (−60 to −75 mV) increased to 159 ± 8% of control (n = 6) after DHPG treatment (30 μM, bath). The I–V relationship for I_{DHPG} (Fig. 6B) was obtained by subtracting the I–V relationship during DHPG treatment from that obtained under control conditions (Fig. 6A). I_{DHPG} decreased linearly with hyperpolarizing potentials (Fig. 6B). A reversal potential of −102 ± 6 mV for I_{DHPG} was seen in four of six PMNs within the testing voltage range (−50 to −120 mV).

To examine the possible postsynaptic actions of group-II and group-III mGluRs on PMNs, we also examined the effects of 4C3HPG (n = 5) and L-AP4 (n = 4). In contrast to the group-I agonist DHPG, neither 4C3HPG nor L-AP4 had a significant effect on the I–V relationship over the test voltage range (−50 to −120 mV) (Fig. 6C,D). There was no detectable change in membrane input resistance after 4C3HPG or L-AP4 (Fig. 6C,D).

**Site of mGluR actions affecting inspiratory drive currents**

The reduction of the inspiratory drive current of PMNs caused by group-II and group-III mGluR agonists could result from actions either at presynaptic sites to decrease glutamate release or at postsynaptic sites to affect the responsiveness of ionotropic glutamate receptors to released glutamate. To investigate the underlying mechanism(s), we examined the effects of these agonists on the amplitude and frequency of mEPSCs. After treatment with TTX (1 μM, bath), PMNs exhibit spontaneous mEPSCs (Fig. 7A) (Liu and Feldman, 1992; Dong and Feldman, 1995a). These events were collected from a 20–25 min control period (Fig. 7B, top) and subsequently 25–30 min during drug application (Fig. 7B, bottom). The time interval between successive mEPSCs and their peak amplitude were used to construct cumulative interval and amplitude histograms (see Fig. 7C,D).

The group-II agonist 4C3HPG caused a significant decrease in the frequency of mEPSCs (Fig. 7C). The decrease is indicated by the shift toward longer values of the interval histogram for 4C3HPG compared with the control histogram. In contrast, the amplitude of mEPSCs was not significantly altered by 4C3HPG, as indicated by overlapping cumulative amplitude histograms (Fig. 7D). The mean frequency of mEPSCs was 74 ± 11% of control (n = 5) after 4C3HPG (30 μM, bath) treatment (Fig. 7E), whereas the mean amplitude of mEPSCs was not significantly altered (97 ± 9%; n = 5) by 4C3HPG (Fig. 7E).

Similarly, the group-III agonist L-AP4 significantly decreased
the frequency of mEPSCs while not affecting their amplitude. The mean frequency of mEPSCs after L-AP4 (5 μM, bath) was reduced to 69 ± 10% (n = 4), whereas the mean amplitude was not significantly altered (96 ± 11%; n = 5; Fig. 7F).

Endogenous activation of mGluRs shapes the inspiratory drive to PMNs

To determine the endogenous activation of mGluRs and their physiological roles in synaptic transmission of inspiratory drive to PMNs, we examined the effects of their antagonists. The group-I mGluR antagonist AIDCA, applied locally over the PMN pool (2 mM), significantly (p < 0.01) reduced the peak inspiratory drive current (Fig. 8A, D) to 84 ± 6% (n = 5) of control. Concurrent with the decrease of PMN inspiratory drive currents, a reduction of C4 root activity by AIDCA occurred (data not shown). The effect of AIDCA began 25–30 sec after the onset of drug application and took 4–5 min to peak (Fig. 8D). After washout, inspiratory activity returned to the control level within 10–15 min.

In contrast, antagonists for group-II and group-III mGluRs enhanced the inspiratory activity of PMNs. EGLU, an antagonist for group-II mGluRs, increased inspiratory current in PMNs (Fig. 8B, E) and in C4 root activity (data not shown). Locally applied EGLU (2 mM) increased inspiratory current by 31 ± 7% (n = 5) above control. The time course of the effect was similar to that of AIDCA (Fig. 8E). An antagonist for group-III, MSOP, also increased inspiratory drive current of PMNs (data not shown) and their output as C4 root activity (Fig. 8C).

**DISCUSSION**

This study demonstrates that inspiratory-modulated release of glutamate can activate various subtypes of mGluRs to affect differentially the excitability of PMNs. Using specific agonists, we identified mGluR subtypes mediating different effects. We propose that group-I mGluRs mediate the increase of excitability and that group-II and group-III mGluRs are responsible for the decrease of synaptic transmission.

**Postsynaptic group-I mGluRs mediate an increase in PMN excitability**

Activation of group-I mGluRs results in an increase in PMN excitability characterized by enhanced firing in response to inspiratory drive input. We found that the associated cellular responses, such as the production of an inward current and the increase in input resistance, persisted when synaptic transmission was blocked; these responses were not associated with any change in synaptic current. In addition, these effects were induced only by the agonist for group-I mGluRs and not by the agonists for the other groups. Taken together, our data suggest that postsynaptic group-I mGluRs mediate the increase of PMN excitability.

High levels of mGluR1 and mGluR5 mRNA expression are present in rat spinal cord (Valerio et al., 1997). Although there is a lack of information regarding the cellular localization of mGluRs in the phrenic nucleus, in many other brain areas group-I mGluRs are mainly localized postsynaptically (Martin et al., 1992; Baude et al., 1993; Lujan et al., 1996; Shigemoto et al., 1996).
1997), where they increase neuronal excitability (Davies et al., 1995; Batchelor et al., 1997; Schoppa and Westbrook, 1997; Schrader and Tasker, 1997) consistent with our conclusion that postsynaptic group-I mGluRs increase PMN excitability. Our finding is in agreement with studies in other brain regions. Activation of postsynaptic group-I mGluRs increases firing of hypothalamic neurons (Schrader and Tasker, 1997) and induces a depolarization or an inward current and an associated increase in input resistance in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons (Davies et al., 1995) and olfactory bulb mitral cells (Schoppa and Westbrook, 1997).

The effect of DHPG on PMNs resembles that elicited by 1S,3R-ACPD (Dong et al., 1996), including the production of an inward current that reverses at a potential close to the estimated $E_{K^+}$ ($-95$ mV) and an associated decrease in membrane conductance. Elevation of extracellular [K+] shifts the reversal potential of the 1S,3R-ACPD-induced inward current ($I_{ACPD}$) in the same direction as the $E_{K^+}$ change. In addition, the K+ channel blocker Ba2+ occludes the effects of 1S,3R-ACPD. We concluded that $I_{ACPD}$ results principally from the blockade of a Ba2+-sensitive K+ conductance (Dong et al., 1996). This mechanism may also underlie DHPG effects because the action of 1S,3R-ACPD and DHPG on the postsynaptic membrane of PMNs is very likely mediated by the same mGluR group, i.e., group-I, according to the following evidence. (1) The effects produced by 1S,3R-ACPD and DHPG on the postsynaptic membrane of PMNs are quite similar, suggesting that the same signal transduction mechanisms are involved. (2) DHPG is highly selective for group-I over group-II and group-III mGluRs. (3) Although 1S,3R-ACPD can interact with group-II and/or group-III mGluRs, their agonists did not affect postsynaptic membrane properties of PMNs. We conclude that the postsynaptic component of 1S,3R-ACPD actions described previously was mediated via group-I mGluRs. Therefore, we suggest that blockage of a Ba2+-sensitive K+ conductance mediates the increase in PMN excitability by group-I mGluRs.

Figure 7. Effect of group-II and group-III agonists on mEPSCs in PMNs. A, Raw trace with higher temporal resolution showing typical mEPSCs after bath application of TTX (1 μM). B, Top, Raw trace showing mEPSCs before drug treatment. Bottom, Decrease of mEPSC frequency after 4C3HPG (30 μM, bath) treatment. C, Cumulative (Cum) interval (Intvl) histograms of mEPSCs from the cell represented in B before (1012 events; gray curve) and after (810 events; black curve) bath application of 4C3HPG, which induced a significant ($p < 0.01$) rightward shift in the cumulative distribution of mEPSC intervals (i.e., a decrease in mean EPSC frequency). D, Cumulative amplitude (Amp) histograms of mEPSCs from the same data sets shown in C. Note that there was no significant ($p > 0.05$) difference in the amplitude distributions between control and 4C3HPG conditions. Statistical significance for the difference between distributions was assessed by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. E, F, Summary of 4C3HPG (E) and L-AP4 (F) effects on the amplitude (Amp) and frequency (Freq) of mEPSCs. Each value (mean ± SEM) was obtained by averaging the percentage changes of mean amplitude or interval (as the reciprocal of frequency) from cells tested with 4C3HPG (30 μM, bath; n = 5) or L-AP4 (5 μM, bath; n = 4). An asterisk indicates a significant difference ($p < 0.05$; t test) from control values.

The presynaptic group-II and group-III mGluRs mediate a decrease of synaptic current

Activation of group-II or group-III mGluRs decreased inspiratory drive current in PMNs. This effect was not observed when the agonist for group-I mGluRs was applied. To confirm further
the involvement of group-II or group-III mGluRs, their second messenger pathways were examined. Group-II and group-III mGluRs are linked to cAMP (Tanabe et al., 1992, 1993; Nakajima et al., 1993; Okamoto et al., 1994; Saugstad et al., 1994; Duvoisin et al., 1995), whereas group-I mGluRs are coupled to phospholipase C (Masu et al., 1991; Abe et al., 1992; Aramori and Nakanishi, 1992). Elevation of intracellular cAMP concentration would mask or occlude an effect mediated via cAMP cascades. Pretreatment with the membrane-permeable cAMP analog 8-Br-cAMP occluded the reduction of inspiratory drive by agonists for group-II and group-III mGluRs, confirming that group-II and group-III mGluRs mediate the decrease of synaptic transmission of inspiratory drive.

The reduction of inspiratory drive current appears to result from a decrease of transmitter release because of activation of mGluR autoreceptors located at presynaptic terminals of bulbospinal inspiratory neurons onto PMNs. First, our mEPSC analysis showed that the frequency of mEPSCs was significantly reduced by both group-II and group-III agonists, whereas the mEPSC amplitude was unaffected. Because mEPSC frequency is dependent on transmitter release probability (Fatt and Katz, 1952; Redman, 1990), we assume that its reduction is a presynaptic action of a group-II or group-III agonist to decrease transmitter release from bulbospinal terminals onto PMNs. On the other hand, changes in mEPSC amplitude could result from a postsynaptic action of test drugs to affect the postsynaptic sensitivity to the endogenously released transmitter (Fatt and Katz, 1952; Redman, 1990; Kullmann and Siegelbaum, 1995). The fact that mEPSC amplitude was unaffected by either group-II or group-III agonists suggests a lack of their postsynaptic action. Although the origin of these mEPSCs was not identified, it is likely that the presynaptic terminals producing the mEPSCs include those of bulbospinal inspiratory neurons. Therefore, we extend our conclusion drawn from the mEPSCs to unitary EPSCs comprising the endogenous excitatory inspiratory drive current. However, caution must be taken when extrapolating the conclusions from mEPSC analysis of PMNs to inspiratory drive current. One important difference between spontaneous mEPSCs and evoked synaptic currents is that mEPSCs do not require Ca\(^{2+}\) influx (Scanziani et al., 1992; Scholz and Miller, 1992), which must be considered because activation of presynaptic mGluRs has been postulated to reduce Ca\(^{2+}\) influx (Stefani et al., 1994; Glaum and Miller, 1995; Yoshino and Kamiya, 1995). The reduction in mEPSC frequency we observed indicates that a Ca\(^{2+}\)-independent mechanism, such as interference with the secretion cascade (Hayashi et al., 1993; Gereau and Conn, 1995; Scanziani et al., 1995; Tyler and Lovinger, 1995; Schoppa and Westbrook, 1997), is involved. Such a mechanism could also attribute, if not exclusively, to the inhibition of evoked transmitter release. Regardless of the precise mechanism, the decrease in transmitter release from bulbospinal presynaptic terminals by presynaptic group-II and group-III mGluRs leads to the reduction of inspiratory drive current.

Second, no alterations in steady-state membrane current or the input resistance of PMNs were produced by the agonist for group-II or group-III mGluRs, indicating that the decrease of
inspiratory drive current by activation of these receptors is not caused by the shunting of postsynaptic currents.

Third, the decrease in synaptic current is most likely caused by direct action of drugs on the synapses of bulbospinal inspiratory terminals to PMNs rather than by an action on putative spinal interneurons relaying the descending inspiratory drive to PMNs. Bulbospinal transmission of inspiratory drive to PMNs seems to be mediated primarily by a monosynaptic pathway (Ellenberger and Feldman, 1988; Berger et al., 1989; Ellenberger et al., 1990; Lipski et al., 1994) and not by spinal interneurons (Davies et al., 1985; Lipski and Duffin, 1986; Palisses et al., 1989; Bellingham and Lipski, 1990; Grelot et al., 1993) (X.-W. Dong and J. L. Feldman, unpublished observations). In addition, when PMNs were isolated by TTX, group-II or group-III mGluR agonist continued to affect the frequency of mEPSCs. Therefore, drug effects seem to be attributable to direct action on impinging presynaptic terminals.

In many brain regions, group-II and group-III mGluRs appear predominantly localized in presynaptic terminals (Shigemoto et al., 1995, 1996, 1997). The presynaptic distribution is consistent with their physiological roles in modulating synaptic transmission. These mGluRs can inhibit transmitter release in areas such as the hippocampus (Forsythe and Clements, 1990; Baskys and Malenka, 1991; Gereau and Conn, 1995; Schoppa and Westbrook, 1997), olfactory bulb (Trombley and Westbrook, 1993; Baskys and Malenka, 1991), hypothalamus (Schwarz and Tasker, 1997), thalamus (Salt and Eaton, 1995), and trigeminal motor nucleus (Del Negro and Chandler, 1998). We conclude that this is also the case for bulbospinal transmission of inspiratory drive to PMNs.

Endogenous activity and functional significance of mGluRs

We observed significant changes in the inspiratory-modulated activity of PMNs by antagonists for each of three groups of mGluRs, suggesting endogenous activation of all groups. The reduction of inspiratory drive current by the mGluR1 antagonist AIDCA suggests that mGluRs, in addition to various ionotropic receptors (Liu et al., 1990; Greer et al., 1991), are activated by endogenously released glutamate during the inspiratory phase and the resultant current contributes to the total inspiratory drive current. The mGluR1-mediated inward current will produce an incremental increase in the inspiratory-modulated depolarization. The concurrent increase in membrane resistance should also increase this depolarization. Our finding that the EPSCs of PMNs are mediated by glutamate acting at both ionotropic and group-I mGluRs is consistent with observations in other brain areas. In hippocampal CA3 pyramidal cells, when the fast ionotropic response is blocked pharmacologically, stimulation of mossy fibers produces a depolarizing postsynaptic potential associated with a decrease in membrane conductance (Charpak and Gähwiler, 1991; Gerber et al., 1993). This EPSP is greatly reduced by a mGluR antagonist methyl-4-carboxyphenylglycine (Gerber et al., 1993). mGluRs also mediate responses of thalamic neurons to noxious thermal somatosensory stimuli (Salt and Turner, 1998) and EPSPs at parallel fiber–Purkinje cell synapses in the cerebellum (Batchelor et al., 1997).

The enhancement of inspiratory drive current or discharges of PMNs by antagonists for group-II and group-III mGluRs is presumably caused by the blockade of presynaptic receptors. This finding suggests that, at least in this in vitro preparation, bulbospinal transmission is attenuated by endogenous activation of pre-synaptic group-II and group-III mGluRs. Such a presynaptic action may provide a rapid negative (autoregulatory) feedback to reduce further glutamate release under physiological conditions.

In summary, three groups of mGluRs are all functionally expressed in the synapses of bulbospinal inspiratory neuron terminals to PMNs. These receptors are activated during endogenous inspiratory drive transmission to modulate this process. Along with other modulatory systems, such as 5-HT (Lindsay and Feldman, 1993) and adenosine (Dong and Feldman, 1995a), different groups of mGluRs function to ensure that the synaptic transmission of inspiratory drive to spinal respiratory motoneurons is well adjusted to produce the appropriate respiratory motor output under widely varying physiological conditions with different ventilatory demands.
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