I have previously reported that norepinephrine (NE) induces a sustained potentiation of transmitter release in the chick ciliary ganglion through a mechanism pharmacologically distinct from any known adrenergic receptors. Here I report that the adrenergic potentiation of transmitter release was enhanced by a phosphodiesterase inhibitor, 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX) and by zaprinast, an inhibitor of cGMP-selective phosphodiesterase. Exogenous application of the membrane-permeable cGMP, 8-bromo-cGMP (8Br-cGMP), potentiated the quantal transmitter release, and after potentiation, the addition of NE was no longer effective. On the other hand, 8Br-cAMP neither potentiated the transmitter release nor occluded the NE-induced potentiation. The NE-induced potentiation was blocked by neither nitric oxide (NO) synthase inhibitor nor NO scavenger. The quantal transmitter release was not potentiated by NO donors, e.g., sodium nitroprusside. The NE-induced potentiation and its enhancement by IBMX was antagonized by two inhibitors of protein kinase G (PKG), Rp isomer of 8-(4-chlorophenylthio) guanosine-3',5'-cyclic monophosphorothioate and KT5823. As with NE-induced potentiation, the effects of 8Br-cGMP on both the resting intraterminal \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) and the action potential-dependent increment of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) in the presynaptic terminal were negligible. The reduction of the paired pulse ratio of EPSC was consistent with the notion that the NE- and cGMP-dependent potentiation of transmitter release was attributable mainly to an increase of the exocytotic fusion probability. These results indicate that NE binds to a novel adrenergic receptor that activates guanylyl cyclase and that accumulation of cGMP activates PKG, which may phosphorylate a target protein involved in the exocytosis of synaptic vesicles.
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Norepinephrine (NE) and epinephrine are principal neuromodulators in the central and peripheral nervous systems (Moore and Bloom, 1979; Kuba et al., 1981; Nicoll et al., 1990). The adrenergic responses are characterized according to both the receptor subtypes and the intracellular signal transduction mechanisms (Nicoll et al., 1990; Bylund et al., 1994; Goldstein, 1998). For example, the \(\alpha_1\)-adrenergic receptors usually couple with phospholipase C and use IP3 and diacylglycerol as second messengers (Nicoll et al., 1990; Bylund et al., 1994). The \(\alpha_2\)-adrenergic receptors preferentially couple with \(G\)-group G-proteins and downregulate adenylyl cyclase or modulate \(K^+\) channels or \(Ca^{2+}\) channels through a membrane-delimited mechanism (Nicoll et al., 1990; Delcour and Tsien, 1993; Milligan, 1993; Bylund et al., 1994; MacKinnon et al., 1994). The \(\beta\)-adrenergic receptors are typical of the \(G\)-coupled receptors, which upregulate adenylyl cyclase (Nicoll et al., 1990; Milligan, 1993; Bylund et al., 1994). However, some adrenergic responses are resistant to conventional antagonists of any present known adrenergic receptors (Hirst et al., 1982, 1992; Benham and Tsien, 1988). In the rat arterial smooth muscle, NE causes a membrane depolarization that is resistant to \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) blockade (Hirst et al., 1982). Similarly, the NE-dependent enhancement of the L-type \(Ca^{2+}\) current in the arterial smooth muscle was resistant to blockers of \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\)-adrenergic receptors (Benham and Tsien, 1988). However, whether these responses are attributable to the activation of a new class of adrenergic receptors remains unknown. I have previously reported that NE induces a sustained potentiation of transmitter release in the chick ciliary ganglion through a mechanism resistant to any known adrenergic receptor antagonists (Yawo, 1996). Moreover, no receptor-selective synthetic agonists induced the potentiation of transmitter release, and only NE, adrenaline, and dopamine induced the potentiation (Yawo, 1996). Here, I report that the NE-induced presynaptic potentiation involves a nitric oxide (NO)-independent guanylyl cyclase and that an intraterminal increase of cGMP induces the potentiation of transmitter release by activating protein kinase G (PKG). It is suggested that the potentiating adrenergic receptor in the calyx-type presynaptic terminal is different from any known adrenergic receptors in terms of the intracellular signal transduction as well as pharmacological properties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation. The methods used here are the same as those described previously (Yawo, 1996, 1999). Chick embryos (White Leghorn; Aoki Egg Farm, Nasu, Japan) were incubated at a constant temperature of 37°C. Day 14 embryos (stage 39–40; Hamburger and Hamilton, 1951) were decapitated, and the ciliary ganglion was removed with the presynaptic oculomotor nerve. A whole ganglion was mounted in a superfusing chamber (~1 ml); the oculomotor nerve was drawn to the stimulating electrode by suction; and the collagenous envelope was enzymatically removed by locally applying a mixture of collagenase (type II, 2000 U/ml;
Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and thermolyvin (20 μM, Sigma-Aldrich) through a glass pipette (tip diameter, 30 μm). The ganglion was superfused with standard saline (in mM: NaCl, 132; KCl, 5; CaCl₂, 1; MgCl₂, 1; HEPES, 10; NaOH, 4; and glucose 11, pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH). All experiments were performed at room temperature (25°C).

**EPSC recordings.** A conventional whole-cell patch-clamp recording was made from a postsynaptic ciliary neuron (Yawo, 1996, 1999) using an electrode filled with an internal solution containing (in mM): CsCl, 130; MgCl₂, 1; Na₂-EGTA, 10; HEPES, 10; and MgATP, 5, pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. The series resistance was usually <10 MΩ throughout the experiment. EPSC was measured at a holding potential of −60 mV. To ensure the stable recording of EPSC, the capacitative transient was minimized by electrical circuitry, and the series resistance was compensated by 80–90%. The whole-cell currents were low-pass-filtered at 3 kHz (−3 dB, eight-pole Bessel filter, P-84P, NF Electronic Instruments, Yokohama, Japan), digitized at 10–20 kHz (ADX-98E; Canopus, Kobe, Japan), and stored in a computer (PC9801FA; NEC, Tokyo, Japan).

The quantal content (m) was estimated from the coefficient of variation (c.v.) based on Poisson statistics (Kuno and Weakly, 1972). When the occurrence of failure transmission was moderate, m calculated from the occurrence of failure was almost identical to that calculated from the c.v., indicating that the EPSC fluctuation approximately followed the Poisson statistics (Hill and Pilar, 1964a; Yawo and Chuhma, 1994). Therefore, [Ca²⁺][m] and [Mg²⁺][m] were adjusted so that the occurrence of transmission failure was obvious at the beginning of the experiment. Because of the infrequent occurrence of miniature EPSCs, the quantal size (q) was estimated as the mean EPSC divided by m.

**Measurement of intratermal Ca²⁺ concentration.** The method of measuring intratermal Ca²⁺ ([Ca²⁺]i) was almost the same as described previously (Yawo and Chuhma, 1993; Yawo, 1996, 1999). The oculomotor nerve was cut at its exit from the orbital bone in Ca²⁺-free saline containing 1 mM EGTA. Crystals of fura-2-conjugated dextran (fura dextran, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) were applied to the water-immersion objective (40×) and accumulated in the calyx-type nerve terminals. Because of its large molecular size, fura dextran was confined to the presynaptic axons and their terminals. A conventional epifluorescence system equipped with a water-immersion objective (40×; numerical aperture, 0.7; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) and xenon lamp (150 W) was used. Fluorescence was excited at 380 nm, the fluorescence behind the focal plane. The signal was recorded at 1–5 Hz by a computer (PC-9801RS, NEC) using the software for measuring the intracellular Ca²⁺ (MiCa, provided by Drs. K. Furuya and K. Enomoto, National Institute of Physiological Science, Japan). Twenty records were averaged using the computer-generated stimulating pulse as a trigger. The [Ca²⁺][m] was calculated from the ratio of fluorescence intensities at wavelengths of 340 and 380 nm. Because the microscope was focused on the surface of the ganglion, the fluorescence from one to three terminals was measured at a single spot with a diameter of 50 μm by a photomultiplier tube (OSP-3, Olympus). Confocal pupils at both excitation and emission light paths enable a reduction in the background fluorescence behind the focal plane. The signal was integrated for 30 msec at 5 Hz by a computer (PC-9801RS, NEC) using the software for measuring the intracellular Ca²⁺ (MiCa, provided by Drs. K. Furuya and K. Enomoto, National Institute of Physiological Science, Japan).

**Results.** Pharmacological agents were usually bath-applied through a superfusing line with a constant flow rate. The solution in the chamber was completely replaced in <2 min. The agents used in this study and their sources were as follows: L-NE (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan); phentolamine (Research Biochemicals International, Natick, MA); D,L-propranolol (Sigma-Aldrich); 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX, Sigma-Aldrich); zaprinast (Sigma-Aldrich); Ro-20-1724 (Biomer Research Laboratories, Inc., Plymouth Meeting, PA); 8-bromo-cGMP (8Br-cGMP, Sigma-Aldrich); 8-bromo-cAMP (Sigma-Aldrich); N⁶-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME, Sigma-Aldrich); hemoglobin (Sigma-Aldrich); sodium nitroprusside (SNP, Sigma-Aldrich); 2-methyl-4-[E-(E)-hydroxyimino]-5-nitro-8-methoxy-3-hexanamide (NOR1; Dohjin, Tabaru, Kumamoto, Japan); Rp isomer of 8-(4-chlorophenylthio)guanono-3',5'-cyclic monophosphorothioate (Rp-8cPT-C-GMPs; Bio-Log Life Science Institute, Bremen, Germany); KT5823 (Calbiochem); phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA; Wako, Osaka, Japan); and bis-dylylmaide (BIS, Calbiochem). The 10 mM stock solution of NE was made with isomol osmic acid (Wako), and NE was bath-applied with 10 μM isosmic acid, which has no effect on the synaptic transmission. All the experiments were done under yellow fluorescent light (wavelength, >520 nm; EL40SY-F; Matsushita Electronic Co., Kadoma, Japan) to minimize the photodynamic oxidation. IBMX was dissolved as 100 mM in 0.1N NaOH. Zaprinast was dissolved as 100 mM in 0.2 M N-methyl-D-glucamine (Sigma-Aldrich). Ro-20-1724, Rp-8cPT-C-GMPs, KT-5823, PMA, and BIS were dissolved in DMSO and then diluted. The concentration of DMSO did not exceed 0.1% and by itself had no effect on the EPSC. To minimize the aberrant effects of the cyclic nucleotides on the A2-adenosine autoreceptors (Yawo and Chuhma, 1993), the antagonist 8-cycloptenyl-1,3-dimethylxanthine (10 μM, Research Biochemicals International) was present throughout the experiment.

The values in the text and figures are mean ± SEM (number of experiments). Statistically significant differences between various parameters were determined using Student's two-tailed t test for paired data. Otherwise, Mann–Whitney’s U test was used. Usually, p < 0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

**Pharmacological properties of adrenergic presynaptic potentiation.**

The previous study (Yawo, 1996) revealed that NE increases the quantal transmitter release from calyx-type presynaptic terminals of chick ciliary ganglion with a negligible change in the postsynaptic acetylcholine sensitivity. As shown in Figure 1A, NE (10 μM) potentiated the average EPSC even in the presence of both an α₁- and an α₂-adrenergic receptor antagonist, phentolamine (10 μM), and a β-adrenergic receptor antagonist, propranolol (10 μM). A fluctuation of the EPSC amplitude was observed in extracellular solution with low Ca²⁺ and high Mg²⁺ (Fig. 1B).

Before the application of NE, the capacitative coupling response, which indicates the presynaptic invasion of the action potential (Yawo and Chuhma, 1994), occasionally accompanied a null EPSC response (synaptic failure). As indicated in the amplitude histogram of control EPSCs before the application of NE (Fig. 1C), the occurrence of failures was 7 in 100 consecutive trials. From the c.v. m and q were estimated to be 2.7 and 8.6 pA, respectively. Based on Poisson statistics (Martin and Pilar, 1964a; Yawo and Chuhma, 1994), the expected occurrence of failure (Fig. 1C, arrow) is 7 in 100 trials, which is exactly identical to the observed occurrence. In the presence of NE, no synaptic failures were observed, whereas instead the frequency of the occurrence of large EPSCs was increased (Fig. 1D); m and q were 10.6 and 9.0 pA, respectively. Therefore, NE increased m of the EPSC by 3.6-fold of the control, which was the same as that in the absence of adrenergic antagonists (range, 1.4- to 3.5-fold of control; n = 6; p > 0.1; Mann–Whitney’s U test). Agonists selective for the α₁- or β-adrenergic receptors had no effect on the EPSC, and the α₂-adrenergic receptor agonist clonidine attenuated the EPSC (Yawo, 1996). Thus, the NE-dependent potentiation appears to be mediated by a mechanism pharmacologically distinct from any known adrenergic receptors.

**Effects of phosphodiesterase inhibitors.**

The slow onset and the long-lasting nature of the NE-induced potentiation suggest the involvement of second messengers, e.g.,
cyclic nucleotides. To test this notion, the effects of a phosphodiesterase (PDE) inhibitor, IBMX, were first investigated. A moderate EPSC potentiation by 0.1 μM NE was further enhanced by IBMX (Fig. 2A), whereas IBMX alone had no effect (Fig. 2B). An increase in the dose of NE from 0.1 to 10 μM significantly increased the magnitude of potentiation \(p < 0.05\), Mann–Whitney’s \(U\) test, whereas the level of enhancement produced by IBMX remained virtually unchanged \(p > 0.3\), Mann–Whitney’s \(U\) test; Fig. 2B). This suggests that IBMX may inhibit the degradation of cyclic nucleotides, thereby potentiating the responsiveness to NE, and that this responsiveness to NE may be saturated in the presence of IBMX.

The NE-dependent potentiation was also enhanced by zaprinast (Fig. 2C), an inhibitor of cGMP-selective PDE (PDE5). Zaprinast enhanced the NE (0.1 μM)-dependent potentiation by \(1.77 \pm 0.19\)-fold \((n = 9\); \(p < 0.02\), paired \(t\) test between raw data), whereas zaprinast alone did not potentiate the EPSC \(1.10 \pm 0.04\) of the control; \(n = 6\); \(p > 0.1\), paired \(t\) test between raw data). In contrast, Ro-20-1724, an inhibitor of cAMP-selective PDE (PDE4), had no effect on the NE-induced EPSC potentiation (Fig. 2D). Therefore, cGMP rather than cAMP appears to be involved as the second messenger of the NE-induced potentiation of transmitter release.

**Effects of cyclic nucleotide analogs**

Next, the effect of the membrane-permeable cGMP analog 8Br-cGMP was examined. The EPSCs fluctuated in amplitude in the solution with low \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) and high \(\text{Mg}^{2+}\) concentrations and often failed to elicit any response but, on average, were potentiated by 8Br-cGMP \((30 \mu M)\) in a sustained manner (Fig. 3A,B). Synaptic failure occurred in 71 of 100 trials before the application of 8Br-cGMP (Fig. 3C). Bath application of 30 μM 8Br-cGMP decreased the occurrence of synaptic failures to 18 of 100 trials and increased the occurrence of large EPSCs (Fig. 3B,D). Calculations based on these data \((n = 6)\) revealed that 8Br-cGMP \((30 \mu M)\) increased the mean number of quanta in a single EPSC (quantal content) by \(2.23 \pm 0.55\)-fold with a negligible change in the mean size of a single quanta (quantal size, \(1.00 \pm 0.14\)-fold), the difference being significant \(p < 0.02\), Mann–Whitney’s \(U\) test). As summarized in Figure 3E, 8Br-cGMP potentiated the EPSC amplitude significantly, and no further potentiation was induced by the addition of NE after potentiation by 8Br-cGMP. In contrast, the same concentration of 8Br-cAMP did not potentiate the EPSC, and NE potentiated the EPSC in the presence of 8Br-cAMP to the same extent as in its absence (Fig. 3E).

The site of action of cGMP could be in either the presynaptic terminal or the postsynaptic cell. To distinguish between these possibilities, a high concentration of cGMP \((0.3 \mu M)\) was injected into the postsynaptic cell through the recording electrode with an access resistance of \(<5 \text{ MΩ}\). Ten minutes after the whole-cell configuration was established, NE \((10 \mu M)\) still potentiated the EPSC amplitude by 2.0- to 5.0-fold of the control \((n = 4\); \(p > 0.1\), Mann–Whitney’s \(U\) test), as was the case without cGMP (Fig. 2B). Because the NE-induced potentiation is occluded by 8Br-cGMP (Fig. 3E), the site of action of cGMP appears to be presynaptic.

**Contribution of NO to NE-induced potentiation**

These results suggest that NE selectively activates guanylyl cyclase. Two families of guanylyl cyclases have been reported: the soluble guanylyl cyclases, which are activated by NO, and the particulate guanylyl cyclases, which are anchored to the membrane by a single transmembrane domain (Garbers, 1992). Is NO involved in the NE-induced potentiation of transmitter release? Even in the presence of NO synthase inhibitor \(l\)-NAME \((100 \mu M)\), after pretreatment for 1–2 hr), NE \((10 \mu M)\) again potentiated the EPSCs by \(2.35 \pm 0.33\)-fold \((n = 5)\), which is exactly the same extent as NE alone \((p > 0.5\), Mann–Whitney’s \(U\) test). It is expected that extracellular NO scavengers would reduce the intracellular NO, because NO is freely permeable through the membrane (Garthwaite, 1991). However, even in the presence of 30 μM hemoglobin, NE potentiated the EPSC by 1.5- to 10.8-fold \((n = 3)\), which was the same as in the absence of hemoglobin \((p > 0.2\), Mann–Whitney’s \(U\) test). Does NO itself potentiate the transmitter release? To test this, the effects of the NO donor SNP \((100 \mu M)\) were examined. As exemplified in Figure 4A, SNP did not potentiate the EPSC even in the presence of IBMX. The control EPSC was recorded in the presence of 100 μM IBMX, and \(m\) and \(q\) were 2.7 and 10.1 pA, respectively (Fig. 4B). The addition of 100 μM SNP did not cause an obvious distortion of the amplitude histogram (Fig. 4C), with \(m\) and \(q\) of 2.5 and 10.6 pA,
respective. In a total of four similar experiments in the presence of 100 μM SNP, the m was 0.93 ± 0.16 of the control (p > 0.5, paired t test between raw data), the q was 1.02 ± 0.01 of the control (p > 0.2, paired t test between raw data), and the average EPSC was 0.92 ± 0.15 of the control (p > 0.7, paired t test between raw data). Another potent NO donor, NOR1 (20 μM), failed to potentiate the EPSC even in the presence of 100 μM IBMX (0.88 ± 0.14 of the control; n = 5; p > 0.3, paired t test between raw data).

Involvement of PKG

Four types of molecular targets that mediate the intracellular actions of cGMP are present: cGMP-gated channels (Zagotta and Siegelbaum, 1996), a cGMP-activated cAMP PDE (PDE2) (Polson and Strada, 1996), a cGMP-inhibited PDE (PDE3) (Polson and Strada, 1996), and a cGMP-stimulated protein kinase (PKG) (Francis and Corbin, 1994). Because the effects of 8Br-cAMP on the transmitter release as well as on its potentiation by NE were negligible (Fig. 3E), the indirect regulation of cAMP by cGMP is unlikely to be the mechanism of the NE-induced potentiation. If the activation of cGMP-gated channels is involved in the potentiation of transmitter release, a change in the resting [Ca^{2+}]_{o} or the nerve-evoked [Ca^{2+}]_{o} increment (∆Ca) would be expected. This notion was tested by examining the effect of 8Br-cGMP on the Ca^{2+} influx into presynaptic terminals. As shown in Figure 5A, stimulation of the oculomotor nerve increased the intraterminal Ca^{2+} concentration ([Ca^{2+}]_{i}). When eight pulses were applied at 100 Hz, ∆Ca was accumulated to approximately sevenfold of that by a single pulse (Fig. 5B). Calculations based on these data (n = 11) showed that the ∆Ca produced by eight pulses at 100 Hz was 7.67 ± 0.08-fold of that produced by a single pulse, indicating that ∆Ca was almost proportional to the number of applied pulses in this range. Similarly, the reduction of [Ca^{2+}]_{o} from 1 to 0.6 mm attenuated ∆Ca to 0.63 ± 0.02 of the control (n = 10; Fig. 5C,D). Although [Ca^{2+}]_{o} transients should be much larger and faster in the vicinity of Ca^{2+} channel clusters (Zucker, 1996; Neher, 1998), the volume-averaged fura-2 signal accurately reflects changes in the local concentration (Sinha et al., 1997). These results indicate that this is indeed the case for the calyx-type terminal and that ∆Ca appears to be nearly proportional to the Ca^{2+} influx into the nerve terminal in this range of [Ca^{2+}]_{o} (Yawo, 1999). If 8Br-cGMP increases the exocytotic fusion probability by increasing the Ca^{2+} influx during a presynaptic action potential, ∆Ca would be expected to increase to a value above the ∆Ca at 0.6 mm [Ca^{2+}]_{o}. Actually, 8Br-cGMP had no effect on ∆Ca (Fig. 5C,D). The effect of 8Br-cGMP on the resting [Ca^{2+}]_{o} was also negligible (Fig. 5C; 1.04 ± 0.01 of the control; n = 11; p > 0.2, paired t test between raw data).

By exclusion, PKG appears to be the most likely candidate. A previous study has demonstrated the presence of PKG in embryonic chick ciliary ganglia (Lengyel et al., 1996). Pretreatment of the ganglion with the membrane-permeable PKG inhibitor Rp-8PcP't-cGMPs (100 μM) reduced both the NE-induced potentiation and its enhancement by IBMX (Fig. 6A). Another selective PKG inhibitor, KT8823 (1 μM), which occupies the ATP-binding site of PKG (Kase et al., 1987), showed a tendency to suppress NE-induced potentiation. KT8823 evidently inhibited the enhanced adrenergic potentiation in the presence of IBMX (Fig. 6A). These observations indicate that PKG-dependent phosphorylation of some presynaptic proteins must be the major mechanism of the NE-induced potentiation.

In this calyx-type presynaptic terminal the activation of protein kinase C (PKC) also potentiates transmitter release by upregulating the Ca^{2+} sensitivity of exocytosis with negligible effects on the Ca^{2+} dynamics (Yawo, 1999). Could NE potentiate transmitter release by activating PKC? As reported previously (Yawo, 1999), the EPSC was potentiated by a phorbol ester (PMA, 0.1 μM) to a similar extent as by NE (Fig. 6B). The PMA-induced
potentiation was completely suppressed by the PKC-selective inhibitor BIS (10 μM), whereas the NE-induced potentiation was not antagonized by BIS (Fig. 6B). Therefore, activation of PKC does not seem to be involved in the NE-induced potentiation.

Subcellular mechanisms of cGMP-induced potentiation

As previously reported (Yawo, 1996), NE significantly reduced the ΔCa (n = 8; p < 0.02; paired t test), and this effect was completely reversed by the α₁- and α₂-adrenergic receptor antagonist phentolamine (PA) (Fig. 5D) or by the α₂-adrenergic receptor antagonist yohimbine. This leads to the conclusion that NE upregulates the exocytotic mechanism other than Ca²⁺ influx or Ca²⁺ buffering and removal. Because 8Br-cGMP exhibited negligible effects on both the ΔCa and the resting [Ca²⁺], it might potentiate EPSCs through the same mechanism as that of NE. When the presynaptic oculomotor nerve was stimulated by twin pulses at short intervals, the second EPSC was, on average, larger than the first EPSC (Figs. 1A, 3A). The mechanism of paired pulse facilitation (Martin and Pilar, 1964b; Yawo, 1999) has been attributed to the enhancement of the exocytotic fusion probability as a result of residual Ca²⁺ in the presynaptic terminal (Katz and Miledi, 1968; Zucker, 1996; Neher, 1998). In fact, the paired pulse facilitation was accompanied by an increase in m with a negligible change in q (H. Yawo, unpublished observation). With a pulse interval of 40 msec, the ratio of the second EPSC amplitude to the first one (paired pulse ratio) was 1.94 ± 0.12 at 1 mM [Ca²⁺], and 5 mM [Mg²⁺] (n = 5), whereas it was 1.07 ± 0.18 at 2 mM [Ca²⁺], and 4 mM [Mg²⁺] (n = 6). Because the size of the readily releasable pool of synaptic vesicles is limited, maneuvers that increase the probability of vesicular exocytosis would reduce the paired pulse ratio (Debanne et al., 1996; Schultz, 1997; Yawo, 1999). NE also reduced the facilitation ratio with a time course
Figure 5. Effects of 8Br-cGMP on the intraterminal Ca²⁺ concentration ([Ca²⁺]) of a calyx-type presynaptic terminal of the chick ciliary ganglion. A, Sample record of the [Ca²⁺], in response to a single oculomotor nerve stimulation in a solution containing 1 mM [Ca²⁺]. The [Ca²⁺], was 36 nM before stimulation. B, The same presynaptic terminal was stimulated by eight pulses at 100 Hz in the same solution. The [Ca²⁺], was 46 nM before stimulation. C, Effects of 8Br-cGMP on the [Ca²⁺]. The same presynaptic terminal was stimulated by eight pulses at 100 Hz in a solution containing 0.6 mM [Ca²⁺], before (solid line) and after treatment with 8Br-cGMP (shaded line). During both conditions, the [Ca²⁺], was 42 nM before stimulation. D, Summary of the effects of 8Br-cGMP on the nerve-evoked increment of the [Ca²⁺], (∆Ca). Each column represents the mean of the ∆Ca normalized to that at 1 mM [Ca²⁺], before the application of the drugs. Error bars indicate SEM. The columns are summaries of eight series of similar experiments in which the extracellular solution was changed (from left to right) from the control with 1 mM [Ca²⁺], to the 0.6 mM [Ca²⁺], solution, to the 0.6 mM [Ca²⁺], solution containing 100 μM 8Br-cGMP, to the 0.6 mM [Ca²⁺], solution containing 10 μM NE, and to the 0.6 mM [Ca²⁺], solution containing 10 μM NE plus 10 μM PA. Note that the effect of 8Br-cGMP was negligible (p > 0.8, paired t test), as was that of NE in the presence of PA (p > 0.7, paired t test), and that the difference was insignificant (p > 0.3, paired t test).

similar to that of EPSC potentiation (Fig. 1A). After the NE-induced potentiation, the paired pulse ratio was 1.52 ± 0.16 at 1 mM [Ca²⁺], and 5 mM [Mg²⁺], (n = 5; p < 0.01, paired t test) and 0.74 ± 0.16 at 2 mM [Ca²⁺], and 4 mM [Mg²⁺], (n = 6; p < 0.01, paired t test). Because 8Br-cGMP also decreased the paired pulse ratio (Fig. 3A; 0.81 ± 0.06 of the control; n = 13; p < 0.005, paired t test between raw data), cGMP appears to upregulate the exocytotic fusion probability of synaptic vesicles (Debanne et al., 1996; Schultz, 1997; Yawo, 1999). Therefore, with respect to the subcellular mechanism, the 8Br-cGMP-induced potentiation was indistinguishable from the NE-induced potentiation (Yawo, 1996).

**DISCUSSION**

**Intracellular signal transduction mechanisms of the NE-induced potentiation of transmitter release**

The data presented here revealed the following five main properties of the NE-induced potentiation: (1) NE potentiated the quantal transmitter release in a manner resistant to both α₁- and β-adrenergic receptors (Fig. 1); (2) the NE-induced potentiation was further enhanced by IBMX, a nonspecific PDE inhibitor or zaprinast, an inhibitor of cGMP-selective PDE, whereas it was unaffected by Ro-20-1724, an inhibitor of cAMP-selective PDE (Fig. 2); (3) exogenously applied cGMP potentiated the quantal transmitter release and occluded the NE-induced potentiation, whereas the effects of the cAMP analog were negligible (Fig. 3); (4) the NE-induced potentiation was resistant to both NO synthase inhibitor and NO scavenger, and the NO donors could not potentiate the transmitter release (Fig. 4); and (5) the NE-induced potentiation as well as its enhancement by IBMX was antagonized by two PKG inhibitors with different modes of action (Fig. 6). The negligible effects of IBMX and zaprinast in the absence of NE indicate that their effect was specific to PDE. Because the NE-induced potentiation was not occluded by the intracellular injection of high cGMP into the postsynaptic cell, cGMP appears to be generated in the presynaptic terminal. The negligible effects of cAMP on the transmitter release indicate that the indirect regulation of cAMP by cGMP is unlikely to be the mechanism of the NE-induced potentiation. Because both NE-induced potentiation and cGMP-dependent potentiation were not accompanied by a change in the resting [Ca²⁺], and ∆Ca, the activation of cGMP-gated channels appears not to be the mechanism of the potentiation. Experiments using posthatched chicks showed that LTP of the ciliary ganglion synapse was inhibited by L-NAME (100 μM) and induced by SNP (100 μM) (Lin and Bennett, 1994). It is unclear where this difference comes from.

In summary, all the present results indicate that NE drives the following series of reactions in the calyx-type presynaptic terminals of embryonic chick ciliary ganglion: (1) NE binds to the receptor, which activates NO-insensitive guanylyl cyclases; (2) accumulation of cGMP activates PKG; and (3) PKG phosphorylates a target protein, which may be involved in the exocytosis of synaptic vesicles. Therefore, the receptor involved in the presynaptic potentiation is different from any known catecholamine receptor subtype in terms of the signal transduction mechanisms as well as the pharmacological properties. Although this receptor pharmacologically resembles the “γ-adrenergic receptor” reported in arterial smooth muscle cells (Hirst et al., 1982; Benham and Tsien, 1988), the molecular identity is unclear. It also remains unknown how this receptor activates guanylyl cyclases.

**Mechanisms involved in NE-, cGMP-, and PKG-dependent potentiation**

In many synapses including the chick calyx-type synapse, the magnitude of the paired pulse ratio is negatively correlated with m of the first response (Debanne et al., 1996; Schultz, 1997). The most plausible mechanism seems to be a depletion of docked vesicles for the second release (Debanne et al., 1996; Doburunz and Stevens, 1997; O’Donovan and Rinzel, 1997; Yawo, 1999). In the present results, the NE-induced potentiation accompanied the reduction of the paired pulse ratio (Fig. 1A). It is likely that NE increased the exocytotic fusion probability in the first pre-
synaptic release, depleting the available vesicles for the second release. The results of the paired pulse experiments are consistent with the notion that NE enhances the Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitivity of the exocytotic fusion probability because it does not increase the Ca\(^{2+}\) influx (Yawo, 1996).

Because this report fills the missing link between NE and the potentiation of transmitter release, cGMP and PKG might up-regulate the Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitivity of exocytotic fusion probability. This notion is consistent with the observation that the membrane-permeable cGMP analog 8Br-cGMP enhanced m without increasing \(\Delta\text{Ca}\) (Fig. 5). Therefore, the cGMP-dependent potentiation was accompanied by no detectable changes in net Ca\(^{2+}\) influx, buffering, or removal. In addition, the reduction of the paired pulse ratio by 8Br-cGMP strongly suggests that the exocytotic fusion probability was enhanced. The same mechanism appears to be up-regulated by PKC, because NE was ineffective after PKC-dependent potentiation and vice versa (H. Yawo, unpublished observation). Although the precise molecular target is unknown, this modulation might involve phosphorylation of the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor molecule itself or a molecule interacting with the Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor (Yawo, 1999).
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