The Native Rat Olfactory Cyclic Nucleotide-Gated Channel Is Composed of Three Distinct Subunits
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Cyclic nucleotide-gated (CNG) channels play central roles in visual and olfactory signal transduction. In the retina, rod photoreceptors express the subunits CNCA1 and CNB1a. In cone photoreceptors, only CNCA2 expression has been demonstrated so far. Rat olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) express two homologous subunits, here designated CNCA3 and CNCA4. This paper describes the characterization of CNB1b, a third subunit expressed in OSNs and establishes it as a component of the native channel. CNB1b is an alternate splice form of the rod photoreceptor CNB1a subunit. Analysis of mRNA and protein expression together suggest co-expression of all three subunits in sensory cilia of OSNs. From single-channel analyses of native olfactory channels and of channels expressed heterologously from all possible combinations of the CNCA3, -a4, and -b1b subunits, we conclude that the native CNG channel in OSNs is composed of all three subunits. Thus, CNG channels in both rod photoreceptors and olfactory sensory neurons result from coassembly of specific α subunits with various forms of an alternatively spliced β subunit.
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Sensory transduction in retinal photoreceptors and olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) is mediated by ion channels that are gated open by the intracellular messengers cGMP and cAMP, respectively. Cyclic nucleotide-gated (CNG) channels can be composed of several distinct subunits in unknown stoichiometry (for review, see Kaupp, 1995; Finn et al., 1996; Zagotta and Siegelbaum, 1996). Rod and cone photoreceptors, as well as OSNs, each express a specific principal subunit, commonly referred to as α subunit, which is responsible for many of the key channel characteristics. When heterologously expressed, α subunits form functional channels, probably by assembling into homotetrameric complexes (Gordon et al., 1996; Liu et al., 1996). We have adopted the following nomenclature for the vertebrate CNG channel α subunits expressed in sensory tissue: CNCA1 in rod photoreceptors (Kaupp et al., 1989), CNCA2 in cone photoreceptors (Bönigk et al., 1993; Weyand et al., 1994), and CNCA3 in OSNs (Dhallan et al., 1990; Ludwig et al., 1990). These homologous α subunits share common structural features such as an S4-like voltage-sensor motif (Jan and Jan, 1990), a pore region (Goulding et al., 1992; Bönigk et al., 1993), a cyclic nucleotide-binding fold (Kaupp et al., 1989; Dhallan et al., 1990; Ludwig et al., 1990), and a similar transmembrane topology (Henn et al., 1995).

Additional subunits have been discovered for the rod (Chen et al., 1994; Körschen et al., 1995) and olfactory (Bradley et al., 1994; Liman and Buck, 1994; Sautter et al., 1998) CNG channels. These subunits have the same structural features as described for the α subunits, with some added diversity. The second subunit of rod photoreceptors, CNB1a, does not form functional channels on its own, but when coexpressed with CNCA1 it bestows the hetero-oligomeric channel with properties characteristic of the native rod channel: in particular, flickery gating, sensitivity to blockage by l-cis-diltiazem (Chen et al., 1993), and modulation by Ca(2+)/calmodulin (CaM) (Hsu and Molday, 1993; Chen et al., 1994; Körschen et al., 1995). Electrophysiological analyses along with extensive biochemical characterization (Cook et al., 1987; Molday et al., 1990; Hsu and Molday, 1993; Körschen et al., 1995) suggest that the native rod channel is built exclusively from these two subunits.

The second subunit of the olfactory CNG channel has a much shorter N terminus than rod CNB1a (Bradley et al., 1994; Liman and Buck, 1994) and phylogenetically is more closely related to α subunits than to CNB1a (Kaupp, 1995). We therefore refer to this subunit as CNCA4. Like CNB1a from rod photoreceptors, CNCA4 does not form functional CNG channels on its own, and when coexpressed with the CNCA3 subunit it imparts flickery gating and increased cAMP sensitivity to the resultant hetero-oligomeric channel (Bradley et al., 1994; Liman and Buck, 1994). One caveat made clear in these papers concerns the cAMP sensitivity of the native olfactory channel (Frings et al., 1992),
which still is more than twofold higher than that of CNCa3/CNCa4 heteromers.

In a recent report, another subunit mRNA was identified that is expressed in rat olfactory epithelium (Sautter et al., 1998). This subunit represents an alternative splice form of the rod CNCl8a subunit and is referred to here as CNCl8b. Coexpression of the CNCl8b-, -α3, and -α4 subunits produced channels that display a $K_{\text{1/2}}$ value for activation by cAMP similar to that of the native channel. Despite this similarity, it could not be concluded unequivocally that these subunits form the native channel in sensory cilia. For example, some subunits might be differentially targeted to the axon terminals in the olfactory bulb and may not be present in sensory cilia. Moreover, information on the properties of the native rat channel is sparse; in particular, no single-channel recordings are available. Here we examine the expression patterns of subunit mRNAs as well as the localization and association of the channel polypeptides in the rat olfactory epithelium. We find that all three subunit mRNAs are expressed in OSNs and that the three channel polypeptides are colocalized in the sensory cilia. For a functional assay of subunit composition, we performed a detailed single-channel analysis of the native CNG channel in rat OSNs. We then coexpressed the CNCa3-, -α4, and -β1b subunits in all possible combinations, looking for one combination that would resemble the native channel in all experimental parameters. The best match with respect to gating kinetics, single-channel conductance, ion selectivity, and cAMP sensitivity was observed with channels containing all three subunits. Together these findings suggest that the native CNG channel in mature olfactory neurons is built from the CNCa3-, -α4, and -β1b subunits.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Reverse transcription PCR and construction of complete cDNA clone.** Cloning of cDNA encoding a CNG channel β subunit from olfactory neurons was accomplished in two steps. Degenerate PCR primers were designed corresponding to segments in the N-terminal region, the transmembrane regions (S1–S6), and the cyclic nucleotide-binding region of the β subunit from bovine rod photoreceptors. These primers were used in PCR reactions together with first-strand cDNA transcribed from poly(A)⁺ RNA from rat olfactory epithelium (OE). Primer pair 476/477 (AARTAYATGGCNTTHTYT, positions 1147–1163; CATRTGCAADATCATYTG, positions 1750–1767) amplified a segment between S4 and the beginning of the CGMP-binding site; primer pair 1451/1452 (AARGARCNGACNARAAART, positions 571–590; CCARCTTCCARTTCCCA, positions 844–862) amplified a segment between a putative CaM-binding site in the N-terminal region and S1; and primer pair 1477/1476 (TGAGCCTCACTCCGATGAGG, positions 612–631; TGAGCGTTGCCTGAGTATT, positions 1187–1206) amplified a segment between the CaM-binding site and S5. The 5’ and 3’ ends of the cDNA were obtained by the rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) technique (Frohman et al., 1988) using gene-specific primers 1489 and 1490 (TTCTACAAGATCTCCCAAGTC, positions 1556–1580, and GTGTCAGCTTCCGGACAGATGGC, positions 1655–1689) for the 3’ extension, and primers 1494 and 1495 (ACACCGAAGAAGCGCAGGATG, positions 831–836, and TGAGGTTGCTGACGGTTGCTGATGC, positions 785–808) for the 5’ extension. The final clone, CNCl8b, was constructed from overlapping PCR fragments.

To distinguish in retinal cDNA between the photoreceptor and olfactory splice variants CNCl8a and CNCl8b, we used two primer pairs corresponding to sequences directly 5’ (primer pair 2066/1526; GCTC-CATCCGTGTCGCT, positions 206–222 and 813–829) and directly 3’ (primer pair 2067/1526; GTACGACGACGAAAGAGG, positions 223–240; AGAACAGCCACGATG, positions 813–829) to the splice site. In situ hybridization. In situ hybridization was performed with sections from 3-week-old Sprague Dawley rats. The procedure, a modification of the protocol of Scharen-Wiemers and Germin-Moser (1993), as well as the RNA probes against CNCa1, CNCa3, CNCa4, SCG10, and I7, have been described previously (Bradley et al., 1997). The probe directed against the 3’ region in common between retinal CNCl8a and olfactory CNCl8b (608 nucleotides), contains both 3’ untranslated sequences and sequences encoding the 129 C-terminal residues of the channel. The probe specific for olfactory CNCl8b corresponds to the first 362 5’ untranslated nucleotides of the short form of the CNCl8b cDNA. There is no significant homology between any of the channel subunit probes used. We showed directly by in situ hybridization to HEK 293 cells, transiently expressing high levels of each of the channel mRNAs, that the probes did not cross-hybridize under our hybridization conditions (data not shown). A positive signal is indicated by the purple enzymatic reaction product of the alkaline phosphatase (AP) reaction on the substrate nitro-blue tetrazolium. Development times were kept equal for all the probes relative to a given tissue (30 hr for olfactory epithelium and 4 hr for retina). To ascertain that the patterns observed for retinal and olfactory channel mRNAs are bona fide, we used, in parallel, antisense probes directed against the transcript encoding CNCl8a and the olfactory receptor I7, respectively. The SCG10 probe specifically labeled ganglion cells, whereas the 17 signal was restricted to a subset of OSNs in a discrete ventral zone of the sensory epithelium (data not shown) (cf. Vasser et al., 1993), confirming the validity of our in situ hybridization procedure.

**RNA protection assay.** Total RNA was isolated from freshly dissected olfactory turbinates or eyes (postnatal day 18 male Wistar rat) by extraction with TRIzol (Life Technologies/BRL). The levels of the various CNG channel transcripts were determined by RNA protection (Ambion, HybSpeed RPA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, 32P-labeled antisense RNA probes were synthesized in the presence of [α-32P]-UTP by transcription in vitro, from subcloned PCR products (Invitrogen). Mixtures of ~90 ng of a CNG probe (~30,000 cpm, specific activity 5 x 10⁶ cpm/μg) and ~50 ng of a control probe (~2.5 x 10⁵ cpm/μg) were hybridized to yeast RNA (50 μg), with or without added olfactory or eye target RNA (15 μg). After hybridization, the unhybridized sequences were digested with a mixture of RNases A and T1, then separated by electrophoresis on a 5% polyacrylamide/7 M urea sequencing gel. For the controls of yeast RNA alone and no RNase digestion (lanes 11–15), only one-tenth of the total reaction was loaded. The gel was then dried and exposed to x-ray film without an intensifying screen. The probes used for detection of the various CNG subunit mRNAs were as follows. The 195 nucleotide CNCa4 probe protects a band of 126 nucleotides and spans base pairs 2125–2253 (GenBank U12628); the 282 nucleotide CNCl8b probe protects a band of 213 nucleotides and spans base pairs 642–855 (GenBank AF068572); the 271 nucleotide CNCa1 probe protects a band of 200 nucleotides and spans base pairs 2415–2577 (GenBank X55519); the 328 nucleotide pan-β probe protects a band of 260 nucleotides and spans base pairs 3066–3326 (GenBank AF068572); and the 102 nucleotide rat GAPDH probe protects a band of 68 nucleotides and spans base pairs 575–644 (GenBank M17701). For quantifying the bands, the dried gel was exposed to a phosphorimager plate and analyzed with the TINA image analysis software (Raytest). Reader series of Fuji Image Plate scanners (BAS1000). After quantifying, the bands representing protection of channel probes were corrected for uridine content and normalized to the loading control, GAPDH.

**Membrane protein preparation.** Rat olfactory epithelium was homogenized in a glass/Teflon homogenizer in ice-cold 10 mm HEPES, 0.1 mm EGTA, 1 mm DTT, 5 μg/ml aprotinin, 5 μg/ml leupeptin, 1 μg/ml pepstatin, 500 μg/ml Pefabloc SC (Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany), 10 mm benzamidine, pH 7.5. The suspension was washed twice by centrifugation at 100 x g for 7 min (4°C) to separate the membranes from nuclei. Membranes were collected by centrifugation at 21,000 x g for 30 min (4°C). The membrane pellet was resuspended in 10 mm HEPES, 500 mm NaCl, 0.1 mm EGTA, 1 mm DTT, pH 7.5 (plus protease inhibitors), washed by centrifugation, and resuspended in cold 10 mm HEPES, 100 mm NaCl, 0.1 mm EGTA, 1 mm DTT, pH 7.5. Membrane proteins from transfected and nontransfected HEK 293 cells were isolated by the same procedure.

**Isolation of olfactory cilia.** Olfactory cilia preparations were obtained using the calcium-shock method (Anholt et al., 1986; Chen et al., 1986). Briefly, after a short wash of the olfactory epithelium in ice-cold saline solution (120 mm NaCl, 5 mm KCl, 1.6 mm K-HPO₄, 25 mm NaHCO₃, 7.5 mm glucose, pH 7.4), a 10-mM solution containing 10 mm calcium and gently stirred for 5 min at 4°C. Detached cilia were isolated by three sequential centrifugation steps for 5 min at 7000 x g. The supernatants containing the cilia were collected, and pellets were resuspended in Ringer’s solution containing 10 mm CaCl₂ as...
described above. The cilia preparation was obtained after a final centrifugation step of all the pooled supernatants for 15 min at 27,000 × g. The pellet containing the cilia was resuspended in hypotonic buffer (10 mM Tris, 3 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM EGTA, pH 7.4) and stored at −70°C. The yield of cilia was ~0.5 mg per rat.

Western blot analysis. Protein amounts for ciliary and epithelial preparations were determined in parallel on one filter according to Schäffer and Weissmann (1973). From each preparation, 15 μg were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to Immobilon-P membrane (Millipore), which was blocked with 0.5% milk powder in PBS, and sequentially probed with the following purified primary antibodies: mouse anti-α1 1:30 [mAB7B11, directed against residues 392–575 of CNCb (Bradley et al., 1997)]; rabbit anti-β1a 1:500 [polyonal FPC21K, directed against residues 574–763 representing the N-terminal domain of the β part of retinal CNCb (Körschen et al., 1995; Wiesner et al., 1998)]; rabbit anti-α3 1:150, polyclonal antibody directed against residues 574–763 (Bradley et al., 1997)]; and rabbit anti-ACHII 1:500 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) directed against the olfactory adenyl cyclase type III. Appropriate secondary antibodies were HRP-coupled and detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham).

For deglycosylation, membrane proteins were first denatured in the presence of 0.5% SDS/1% 2-mercaptoethanol for 10 min at room temperature and then resuspended in 50 mM Tris, 3 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM EGTA adjusted to pH 7.4 with NaOH. After dissection into small pieces, the tissue was incubated for 40 min in the same solution containing 0.2 mg/ml trypsin (Cal, Life Science Resources, Cambridge, UK); Macroscopic I–Vₐₐₙ rela-
tions were sampled at 1 kHz (PhoClamp, Life Science Resources). After currents were measured at various cAMP concentrations, leak currents were subtracted. Dose–response relations were constructed for each patch by fitting to the data a Hill-type function, \( I_{\text{max}} = c \cdot I_{\text{sat}} / (K + I_{\text{sat}}) \), where \( I_{\text{sat}} \) is the current at saturating concentrations of the ligand, \( c \) is the ligand concentration, \( K \) is the Hill coefficient, and \( I_{\text{max}} \) is the concentra-
tion for half-maximal channel activation. The mean values for \( K_{1/2} \) and \( n \) from all patches were used to construct the solid lines in the dose–response plots. The Figures also show the mean values of \( I_{\text{max}} \) for each concentration with SDs. Results in the text, are given as means ± SD, with numbers of experiments in parentheses. Single-channel currents were recorded with a filter frequency of 5 kHz (eight-pole Bessel filter) on a DAT recorder (DTR-1202, Biological). The data were digitized at 3 kHz and filtered at 1 kHz for analysis (PAT, Life Science Resources). Single-channel current and open probability were determined from all-point amplitude histograms obtained from single-channel recordings of 50–60 s duration. Single-channel currents were obtained from the difference in open and closed peaks, whereas open probability was derived from the fractional area of the open peak. Amplitude histograms in the Figures display on the ordinate the percentage of the total recorded time spent in each current level indicated on the abscissa.

RESULTS

Cloning of CNCb1b, a CNG channel β subunit from rat olfactory neurons

The cDNA encoding rat CNCb1b was cloned by RT-PCR using degenerate primers derived from sequences of CNCb1a, the β subunit of rod photoreceptors (Chen et al., 1994; Körschen et al., 1995). The 5′ and 3′ ends of the cDNA were obtained by the RACE technique. Overlapping PCR fragments were used to generate the full recombinant clone encoding the rat olfactory β subunit, termed CNCb1b. As shown in Figure 1, CNCb1b codes for a protein of 858 amino acid residues with a calculated molecular mass of 96.4 kDa and high sequence similarity to the β subunit from rod photoreceptors (CNCb1a). The CNCb1b sequence from residues 75–858 shares 87.5% amino acid identity with bovine rod CNCb1a and 100% with rat CNCb1a, whereas the N-terminal sequence from residues 1–74 is entirely different. One obvious implication of such a large domain of identity is that olfactory CNCb1b and retinal CNCb1a are derived from the same gene by alternative splicing.

The CNCb1a polypeptide is much larger than CNCb1b (cal-
culated molecular mass: 155 kDa vs 96.4 kDa) and is character-
ized by an unusual bipartite structure (Körschen et al., 1995). The C-termi-nal half (referred to as the β′ part) shows significant structural similarity to α subunits, whereas the large N-terminal half is nearly identical to a glutamic acid-rich protein (referred to as the GARP part). It has been shown that residues G57I/V572 (corresponding to V75 in CNCb1b) mark the boundary between the GARP part and the β′ part of bovine CNCb1a (Fig. 1, arrowhead) (Körschen et al., 1995). In addition, an intron interrupts the genomic sequence in the human CNCb gene at this site (Ardell et al., 1996). In accordance with the gene-structure analysis of CNCb1 presented by Sautter et al. (1998) and our own RNase protection assays (see Fig. 3), we conclude that olfactory CNCb1b and rod CNCb1a are derived from alternatively spliced CNCb transcripts.
Detection of CNCα3, -α4, and -β1b mRNA in olfactory neurons

We performed in situ hybridization of digoxigenin-labeled antisense RNA probes to 20 μm cryostat sections. Probes were directed against nonconserved regions (mostly nontranslated sequences) of the subunits and detected with an AP-conjugated anti-digoxigenin antibody. Expression of the olfactory CNCβ1b subunit message in sections of olfactory epithelium is clearly detected in the sensory neurons using a probe directed against the olfactory-specific 5' region of CNCβ1b mRNA (Fig. 2A), and by a probe that hybridizes to the common 3' region of olfactory and retinal β messages (Fig. 2B). The AP reaction product respects the respiratory/sensory epithelial border (Fig. 2A, arrow), indicating specific expression in OSNs. As a control, expression of CNCα1 mRNA was not detected in OSNs, but in the retina (Fig. 2, compare C, H). As judged by the intensity of the AP product, the level of expression of CNCβ1b in OSNs is similar to that of CNCα3 (Figs. 2, compare A, B, and E). The expression levels of CNCα3 and CNCβ1b message appeared to be higher than that of CNCα4 (Figs. 2, compare E, A, and D) (cf. Bradley et al., 1994). For direct comparison, the color reactions in Figure 2A–E have been developed for the same time. Five times longer development time of the CNCα4 signal resulted in a staining intensity similar to that seen with CNCβ1b- or CNCα3-specific probes (data not shown). The very weak signal of the CNCα4 probe possibly reflects a lower expression level of CNCα4 mRNA. We have confirmed this observation by performing quantitative RNase protection assays (see below).

To distinguish between olfactory and retinal splice variants of β subunit mRNA, we performed hybridizations to sections of rat retina with both the olfactory CNCβ1b-specific 5' probe, and the probe directed against the common 3' region of CNCβ1a and CNCβ1b. As expected, the common 3' probe, detected expression of CNCβ1a in retinal photoreceptors as indicated by the reaction product localized to the inner segments of these cells (Fig. 2G). The 5' probe did not produce any signal in the retina (Fig. 2F), confirming that the β subunit mRNA exists in at least two splice forms, one in retinal rod photoreceptors (CNCβ1a) and one in olfactory sensory neurons (CNCβ1b).

Quantitative analysis of CNC α and β mRNA expression

The expression levels of the various subunit transcripts were analyzed by quantitative RNase protection assay to answer the following questions. We sought to clarify the nature of the weak CNCα4 signal seen in our in situ hybridization, distinguishing whether—relative to the other CNC subunits—this signal represents a lower level of expression of CNCα4 mRNA or is just an example of the nonquantitative nature of in situ hybridizations. We also wished to analyze the olfactory-specific use of the exon encoding the 74 amino acids unique to the N terminus of CNCβ1b. Primers were designed for amplification of five short amplicons (126–260 bp in length) from the cDNA clones for CNCα1, -α3, -α4, and -β1b (see Materials and Methods). These were subcloned and used as templates for transcribing [α-32P]-UTP-labeled antisense probes against the CNC mRNA transcripts. For CNCβ1b, two probe templates were amplified. One amplicon was directed against the 3' end of the cDNA to generate a pan-β probe, which should detect all β gene transcripts. The second amplicon spans the position of divergence between CNCβ1b and CNCβ1a in their respective 5' coding regions. Therefore, the antisense probe generated from this second β1b template has 100 of 213 complementary bases in common with CNCβ1a and is entirely complementary to CNCβ1b.

The result of an RNase protection assay with these probes is shown in Figure 3. Each reaction contained two labeled probes, one complementary to a CNC subunit and a second used as a loading control complementary to GAPDH. The signals in lanes 11–15 (each one-tenth of a reaction with no RNase digestion) are stronger than or equal to those in lanes 1–10. Thus, we can be assured that the probes were in excess during the hybridization and that our results were quantitative. In lane 1, the band migrating at 126 nucleotides represents the expression level of CNCα4 mRNA in olfactory turbinates. The results confirm that the expression level of CNCα4 mRNA in the olfactory turbinates is 6- to 14-fold lower than that of either CNCα3 or CNCβ1b (compare lane 1 with lanes 4 and 5). This result may explain the weak signal seen for CNCα4 mRNA expression in the in situ hybridization (Fig. 2D). Corroborative support for a lower level of CNCα4 mRNA expression comes from results of screening an olfactory cDNA library for CNCα3 and CNCα4. The abundance of CNCα3 clones was found to be ~1 in 10⁵, whereas CNCα4 clones were 1 in 10⁷ (Bradley, 1996).

Turning to CNCβ expression, lane 2 shows that 213 nucleotides of the CNCβ1b probe are protected when hybridized to the olfactory RNA. When hybridized to RNA from the eye (lane 7), the same probe protected a band of 100 nucleotides, as expected for detection of β1a expression in this tissue. Protection is also observed for a band of 120 nucleotides, suggesting that perhaps a short (~20 bp) sequence of CNCβ1b (5' of the divergent point between CNCβ1b and CNCβ1a) is expressed as part of an mRNA in the eye. If both the 100 and 120 nucleotide bands in lane 7 represent β gene mRNAs expressed in the eye, then their signals should sum to the signal of the 260 nucleotide band seen with the pan-β probe (lane 10). After correction for the amount loaded (GAPDH signal) and the difference in length (i.e., uridine content), the signal of the 100 nucleotide band alone accounts for the 260 nucleotide pan-β probe signal in lane 10. Furthermore, in RT-PCR experiments with primers against the CNCβ1b sequence—5' primer either just upstream or just downstream of the CNCβ1b/β1a divergence point, and a 3' primer downstream in CNCβ1b—we were never able to detect expression of the CNCβ1b-specific sequences in the eye (data not shown; and see Materials and Methods). Therefore, we conclude that the 120 nucleotide band protected with the CNCβ1b probe in lanes 7 and 2 is an artifact of the assay. Lane 3 shows that there is no expression of CNCα1 in the olfactory turbinates. Likewise, lanes 6 and 9 show that there is no expression of CNCα3 or CNCα4 in the eye. These reactions also serve as negative controls for our demonstration of β expression in both the eye and olfactory tissue (lanes 5 and 10, respectively).

Expression of all three subunits in sensory cilia

The presence in OSNs of transcripts encoding all three channel subunits provides suggestive evidence but does not prove that the subunit polypeptides are expressed in sensory cilia. In particular, the low expression level of CNCα4 message in OSNs raises the question to what extent the CNCα4 protein contributes to the native channel in the sensory cilia. To examine this, we compared membrane protein extracts from whole OE (including cilia) to preparations of isolated cilia. Using the same amount of membrane protein from each preparation, proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by Western blotting and probing with subunit-specific antibodies. As control for the purity of our cilia
preparation, we used an antibody directed against adenyl cyclase type III (ACIII). ACIII has been proposed to mediate the odorant-induced rise of cAMP in OSNs and is localized to cilia (Pace et al., 1985; Pfeuffer et al., 1989; Bakalyar and Reed, 1990; Menco et al., 1992). Figure 4 demonstrates that ACIII, in fact, is highly enriched in purified cilia (lane 2) compared with whole OE (lane 1). In membranes from whole OE, a polyclonal antibody raised against the rat CNCα3 subunit (Bradley et al., 1997) recognized a faint band of ~75 kDa and a diffuse "smear" at 110–145 kDa (Fig. 4B, lane 1). In purified cilia, both the smear and the 75 kDa band were greatly enriched (Fig. 4B, lane 2). The CNCα3 polypeptide, expressed in HEK 293 cells, had almost the same size as the 75 kDa signal in cilia (Fig. 4B, compare lanes 2 and 5), suggesting that this band indeed represents the CNCα3 subunit. With some cilia preparations, however, the 75 kDa band was not enriched or was even less intensely labeled compared with whole OE preparations. On the other hand, the "smear" was always enriched in cilia membranes over whole OE membranes. We were concerned about this result because (1) it would indicate that the majority of the CNCα3 protein is not in cilia, and (2) the pronounced smear, if caused by unspecific cross-reactivity, would render suspect any immunohis-

Figure 1. Alignment of the deduced amino acid sequence of rat olfactory CNCβ1b with bovine rod CNCβ1a. Numbers indicate positions of amino acid residues in the polypeptide. The sequence of CNCβ1a is presented starting at residue 498. **Columns** and **periods** between the two sequences indicate identical residues and conservative substitutions, respectively. Structural features similar to those of α subunits are represented by **lines** above the sequence. S1–S6. Membrane-spanning segments; S4, voltage sensor-like motif; P, the pore motif that lines the cavity of the channel; CaM, a nonconventional calmodulin-binding site (Weitz et al., 1998). **Arrowhead** indicates an exon boundary identified in human rod CNCβ1a (Ardell et al., 1996).
We used immunohistochemistry to localize CNG channel subunits expressed in rat olfactory epithelium (A–E) and retina (F–H). Expression of channel mRNA was examined in 20-μm-thick sections using digoxigenin-labeled antisense RNA probes against nonconserved regions of each subunit. Visualization was achieved with an AP-conjugated anti-digoxigenin antibody. A positive signal is indicated by a purple AP reaction product. Shown are signals with probes against (A, F) the olfactory specific 5' region of CNCβ1b, (B, G) the 3' region in common with CNCβ1a and CNCβ1b, (C, H) CNCα1, (D) CNCα4, and (E) CNCα3. Arrows in A mark the transition zone between olfactory epithelium (OE) and respiratory epithelium (RE). Positive signals correspond to expression in olfactory sensory neurons (OSN), not supporting cells (SC) or basal cells (BC). In F–H, positive signals are restricted to the inner segment (IS) layer of photoreceptors; other layers are outer segments (OS), outer nuclear layer (ONL), outer plexiform layer (OPL), inner nuclear layer (INL), inner plexiform layer (IPL), and ganglion cell layer (GCL). Scale bars, 50 μm.

Enrichment of subunit polypeptide is also observed using monoclonal antibody mAB7B11 directed against the CNCα4 protein (Fig. 4C) (Bradley et al., 1997). We observed a much stronger signal with isolated cilia than with whole OE membranes (Fig. 4C, lanes 1 and 2). The apparent molecular mass of CNCα4 (~62 kDa) in cilia (lane 2) is identical with the apparent molecular mass of the subunit expressed in HEK 293 cells (lane 3) and matches well the calculated molecular mass (65.7 kDa). No other proteins were stained by this antibody. These data show that CNCα4 is expressed in olfactory cilia and that the molar concentration of this subunit (relative to the total protein content) is higher in cilia than in nonciliary membranes.

Polyclonal antibody FPc21K, directed against CNCβ1b, also demonstrated enrichment of the β subunit in ciliary membranes (Fig. 4D, lanes 2 and 3). The apparent molecular mass of CNCβ1b is significantly larger than predicted from the amino acid sequence (~116 kDa vs 96.4 kDa). A similar difference was reported for the β’ part of bovine CNCβ1a, which comprises the region common to both CNCβ1a and CNCβ1b (apparent: 110 kDa; calculated: 92.7 kDa) as well as for the complete retinal CNCβ1a subunit (apparent: 240 kDa; calculated: 155 kDa) (Körshen et al., 1995). The olfactory CNCβ1b, like the retinal CNCβ1a subunit, is susceptible to proteolytic degradation (W. Bönigk, unpublished observations). The smaller size of CNCβ1b expressed in HEK 293 cells is probably caused by proteolysis (Fig. 4D, lane 3). Glycosidase treatment had no effect on the electrophoretic mobility of CNCα4 and CNCβ1b, demonstrating that these subunits are not glycosylated (data not shown).

We used immunohistochemistry to localize CNG channel sub-
served in the somata of OSNs, which appeared mostly of cytoplasmic origin (data not shown). In D, a section stained with the antibody mAB7B11 against the CNCα subunit is shown. In the ciliary layer, no staining above background is found. The staining in the submucosal layer reflects unspecific binding of the secondary antibody. We were concerned about the negative result with anti-α4, all the more because Western blot and electrophysiological analyses unequivocally show that CNCα is enriched in cilia and is present in native channels, respectively (Fig. 4 and see below). Therefore, we repeated the stainings with mAB7B11 on tissue specimens that were fixed in different ways (conditions included fixation in 2% or 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 or 60 min, or in 1% 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylamino-propyl)carbodiimid, or in methanol at −20°C; some specimens were sectioned unfixed, and sections were slightly post-fixed with paraformaldehyde, paraformaldehyde/picric acid mixtures, or methanol). In none of these cases was staining of olfactory cilia observed (F. Müller, data not shown). These negative results do not necessarily indicate the absence of the CNCα subunit from cilia membranes. It is known that some antibodies reliably detect an antigen in Western blots, but fail to stain the fixed antigen in the native tissue because of epitope masking (Kaprielian et al., 1995). Although mAB7B11 did not stain cilia in sections, it unequivocally demonstrated the enrichment of CNCα in cilia preparations by Western blot (Fig. 4). The antiserum FPc21K against the β subunit strongly stained cilia of OSNs (Fig. 5E).

To investigate which of the three channel polypeptides co-assemble in the ciliary membrane to form a protein complex, we performed immunoprecipitation experiments under non-denaturing conditions. Using any of the three subunit-specific antibodies to precipitate the native channel protein from a solubilized cilia preparation, we found that each of the three subunits could be identified after SDS-PAGE and Western blotting (data not shown). In light of these results it is plausible that all three subunits co-assemble in one protein complex, probably the native CNG channel of the sensory cilia. As we will show below, however, CNG channel subunits can co-assemble into several different channel species. If different channel species do coexist, then it is not possible to distinguish among CNCαβ1b, CNCαβ1b, and CNCα3β1b by immunoprecipitation unless performed in a quantitative and subtractive manner (Tretter et al., 1997; Shamotoienko et al., 1997; Jechlinger et al., 1998). Therefore, we have instead investigated the functional properties of the various subunit combinations expressed heterologously, while in parallel characterizing the functional properties of native channels from OSNs.

**Functional analysis of subunit composition: macroscopic currents**

As a further analysis of subunit composition, we compared the electrophysiological properties of native channels with channels composed of the cloned subunits. Activity of the native channel was recorded in inside-out patches excised from somatic and dendritic membranes of rat olfactory neurons. Cloned channel subunits were studied in excised inside-out patches of HEK 293 cells transfected with all possible combinations of the cDNAs encoding CNCα3, CNCα4, or CNCβ1b. Transection with the cDNA encoding CNCα3 alone, as well as the subunit combinations CNCα3/CNCβ1b, CNCα3/CNCα4, and CNCα3/CNCα4/CNCβ1b, produced functional channels (for simplicity referred to in the following as α3, α3β1b, α3ε4, and α3ε4β1b channels, respectively). Expression of CNCα4 or CNCβ1b either alone or co-transfected did not produce functional CNG channels.

Figure 3. Analysis of CNCα and β mRNA expression by RNase protection. 32P-labeled antisense RNA probes were hybridized to total RNA from olfactory or eye tissue, subjected to RNase digestion, and resolved on a sequencing gel. Left panel, lanes 1–5, Protection of probes for GAPDH and CNC subunits α4, β1b, α1, α3, and β by olfactory RNA. Center panel, lanes 6–10, Protection of probes for GAPDH and CNC subunits α4, β1b, α1, α3, and β by eye RNA. Right panel, lanes 11–15, Probes for GAPDH and CNC subunits α4, β1b, α1, α3, and β. Numbers along right side indicate length of undigested probe. Numbers along left side indicate length of protected products obtained with olfactory or eye RNA. See Materials and Methods for complete description of probes and protected products. Exposure shown was for 70 hr at room temperature.
Ligand sensitivity was determined by recording macroscopic currents at different concentrations of cAMP. Figure 6A shows data for the two extreme cases, α3 and native channels. Figure 6B compares the cAMP dose–response relations derived from these patches. Fitting the Hill equation to the data from each experiment gave values for the concentration of half-maximal activation (\(K_{1/2}\)) and the Hill coefficient (n) listed in Table 1. In agreement with Sautter et al. (1998), the \(K_{1/2}\) values of native and α3β1β1b channels are similar (4.1 and 4.8 μM, respectively), whereas the \(K_{1/2}\) values of all other channels are significantly larger, indicating that all three subunits are necessary for the high cAMP sensitivity of native channels.

\(K_{1/2}\) values derived from macroscopic currents are only meaningful if the channel population in the patch is homogeneous. If
different kinds of channels are expressed by a cell, the measured $K_{1/2}$ value represents the arithmetic mean of the weighted contributions from each channel population. Two observations demonstrate that mixed populations rarely occur with olfactory subunits. First, single-channel recordings show that each combination of olfactory subunits produced a single type of channel in almost all experiments (see below). Second, the cAMP sensitivities derived from macroscopic currents for each channel type (Table 1) and those obtained from open probability analysis of single-channel recordings (Table 2) do not differ significantly, indicating virtually homogeneous channel populations (see below). In conclusion, the co-expression of three subunits produces channels with cAMP sensitivity similar to the native olfactory channel, whereas the $\alpha_3\beta_1b$ and $\alpha_3\alpha_4\beta_1b$ combinations result in channels with significantly lower cAMP sensitivity.

The native channel from rat OSNs conducts Na$^+$ better than K$^+$. In macroscopic current recordings from the apical membrane of rat OSNs, K$^+$ ions produced only half the current amplitude carried by Na$^+$ ions ($I_{cAMP} / I_{Na} = 0.5$) (Frings et al., 1992). We used this characteristic feature as an additional indicator of subunit composition of the native olfactory CNG channel. Figure 6C compares the Na$^+$ and K$^+$ ion selectivity of the native channel with that of several subunit combinations. With 140 mM extracellular Na$^+$ and 140 mM K$^+$ on the cytosolic side of inside-out patches, the $I-V_m$ relations of $\alpha_3$ and $\alpha_3\beta_1b$ channels are linear or even slightly outwardly rectifying (Fig. 6C, top panels). In contrast, under identical conditions the $I-V_m$ relations of native and $\alpha_3\alpha_4\beta_1b$ channels become slightly inwardly rectifying (Fig. 6C, bottom panels). The rectification ratios under bi-ionic conditions ($I_{+50}/I_{-50}$) of 0.66 ± 0.05 (3) for native and 0.73 ± 0.03 (2) for $\alpha_3\alpha_4\beta_1b$ channels indicate a similar degree of current rectification, distinctly more pronounced than for $\alpha_3$ [1.05 ± 0.02 (2)] and $\alpha_3\beta_1b$ [1.13 ± 0.03 (2)] channels. Thus, current rectification, as displayed by the native channel with Na$^+$ on the outside and K$^+$ on the inside of the membrane, is only observed with the combination of all three subunits.

**Functional analysis of subunit composition: single-channel recordings**

A detailed analysis of channel properties requires single-channel recording. Channel conductance, open probability, and kinetics of gating transitions represent characteristic features that distinguish ion channels from each other. Single-channel recordings can be obtained from the membranes of dendrites and somata of OSNs where the channel density is much lower than in the ciliary membrane. This raises the concern that channels investigated in the soma membrane may be different from channels expressed in sensory cilia. However, we will present data indicating that rat OSNs express only a single type of cAMP-gated channel that is expressed both in cilia and somata.

**Native channels of rat OSNs**

In all 46 single-channel patches excised from somata, we observed a channel described in Figure 7, suggesting that all cAMP-gated channels in the soma membrane are of the same type. Figure 7A

---

**Figure 6.** Ligand sensitivity and ion selectivity of native and heterologously expressed olfactory CNG channels. A, Macroscopic current recordings from inside-out patches of HEK 293 cells transfected with CNCA3 and from a patch excised from a dendritic knob of a rat OSN containing native CNG channels. Current was recorded at +40 mV and the indicated cAMP concentrations. B, Dose–response relations for the activation of macroscopic currents by cAMP at +40 mV. Lines were constructed by fitting a Hill-type function to the normalized current (see Materials and Methods). Fitting parameters are given in Table 1. C, Macroscopic currents recorded from inside-out patches with the indicated cAMP concentrations. The main permeable ions were Na$^+$ (extracellular) and K$^+$ (intracellular). The current shows inward rectification only with $\alpha_3\alpha_4\beta_1b$ and native channels, indicating that these channels conduct Na$^+$ better than K$^+$.
Table 1. Activation of macroscopic currents by cAMP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>( K_{1/2} ) (µM)</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>Patches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha 3 )</td>
<td>45.3 ± 10.6</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha 3 \beta 1 b )</td>
<td>29.9 ± 6.0</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha 3 \omega 4 )</td>
<td>10.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha 3 \omega 4 \beta 1 b )</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.9</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentrations for half-maximal activation, \( K_{1/2} \), of macroscopic currents at +40 mV, together with Hill coefficients, \( n \), and numbers of patches.

Table 2. Single-channel parameters of olfactory CNG channels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>( K_{1/2} ) (µM)</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>Rectification ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symmetrical</td>
<td>Bi-ionic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha 3 )</td>
<td>40 (4)</td>
<td>2.5 (4)</td>
<td>1.05 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha 3 \beta 1 b )</td>
<td>28 (3)</td>
<td>1.9 (3)</td>
<td>1.0 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha 3 \omega 4 \beta 1 b )</td>
<td>4.0 (3)</td>
<td>2.0 (3)</td>
<td>1.28 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>3.4 (4)</td>
<td>2.3 (4)</td>
<td>1.30 (14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentrations of cAMP, \( K_{1/2} \), that induced an open probability of 0.5 at +50 mV (native at −50 mV), together with Hill coefficients, \( n \), and numbers of experiments in parentheses. Rectification ratios represent \( i (+50 \text{ mV})/i (−50 \text{ mV}) \) with symmetrical Na\(^+\) or with extracellular Na\(^+\) and intracellular K\(^+\) (bi-ionic condition).

shows current recordings obtained from a native channel activated with 1 µM cAMP at various membrane voltages in symmetrical Na\(^+\) solutions. The channel shows a sizeable voltage-dependence of \( P_o \), displaying prolonged dwell periods in the open state at positive potentials and only brief openings at negative potentials. This voltage dependence is more pronounced at low compared with high CAMP concentrations. The ratio of open probabilities \([P_o(−60 \text{ mV})/P_o(+60 \text{ mV})]\) is 0.17 ± 0.06 (3) at 1 µM CAMP and increases to 0.76 ± 0.13 (3) at 3 µM CAMP. At 3 µM CAMP (Fig. 7B), the channel is mostly open at positive \( V_m \), whereas negative \( V_m \) induces frequent transitions between open and closed states. Single-channel currents were derived from amplitude histograms; the two distinct peaks at 0 pA and −1.95 pA represent the closed and open states of the channel, respectively, at −70 mV (Fig. 7D). Single-channel currents were measured between −80 and +80 mV (Fig. 7E); the apparent slope conductance is 27 pS at negative voltages for both symmetrical (Na\(^+\)) and bi-ionic (Na\(^+\)/K\(^+\)) conditions (14 patches). At positive \( V_m \), the conductance is 35 pS (five patches) in symmetrical Na\(^+\) and 14.5 pS (nine patches) in bi-ionic conditions. These values represent an underestimate of the channel conductance because brief opening and closing events are only partially resolved under our recording conditions (see also Torre et al., 1992; Sesti et al., 1994; Bucossi et al., 1997). The particularly high frequency of brief opening and closing events at negative voltages may fully account for the slight outward rectification of single-channel current at symmetrical solution. Rectification ratios under bi-ionic conditions are consistent between single-channel data from the soma \((I_{−50}/I_{+50} = 0.55)\) and macroscopic recordings from the apical membrane \((I_{−50}/I_{+50} = 0.66)\), indicating that CNG channels with similar Na\(^+\)/K\(^+\) selectivity are expressed in apical and basolateral membranes of OSNs.

Occasionally, the native channel displayed a state of reduced conductance. In this state, channels conduct −45% of the current in the main open state (Fig. 7C). This substate was observed infrequently, could not be correlated with any particular CAMP concentration or membrane voltage, and was typically stable for 10 sec to well over 30 sec.

Ligand sensitivity of native channels was analyzed by measuring \( P_o \) at various CAMP concentrations (using amplitude histograms derived from recordings of 20–50 sec duration; −50 mV) and yielded a \( K_{1/2} \) of 3.4 µM (\( n = 2.3; \) four patches) (Fig. 7F).

Thus, the single-channel analysis is in good agreement with the values obtained from macroscopic recordings (4.1 ± 1.9 µM; +40 mV; Table 1), although they were recorded at different voltages. The small difference between activation constants could be explained by the voltage dependence of \( P_o \) illustrated above. For \( P_o \) analysis of native channels, it was necessary to record at negative potentials to obtain sufficiently stable patches. This almost perfect match of CAMP sensitivity between channels in the apical membrane and the membrane of somata strongly suggests that the same CAMP-gated channels are expressed in both membranes, probably with the same subunit composition.

\( \alpha 3, \alpha 3 \beta 1 b, \) and \( \alpha 3 \omega 4 \) channels

We performed a similar single-channel analysis of \( \alpha 3 \) homomeric channels recorded under bi-ionic conditions. The channel opened for relatively long durations (Fig. 8A); however, it required higher CAMP concentrations than the native channel. Amplitude histograms yielded a linear \( i−V_r \) relation (Fig. 8E; ○) from which a mean conductance of 34.3 ± 1.4 pS (nine patches) was calculated. Outward (K\(^+\)) conductance was not significantly different from the inward (Na\(^+\)) conductance (Table 2). A plot of the open probability versus CAMP concentration, using data obtained from four single-channel recordings at +50 mV (Fig. 8F; ○), was fitted with \( K_{1/2} \) = 40 µM and \( n = 2.5 \) (four patches). \( P_o \) was weakly voltage dependent, with \( P_o(−60 \text{ mV})/P_o(+60 \text{ mV}) = 0.66 ± 0.16 \) (two patches) at 30 µM CAMP.

Co-expression of CNCA3 with CNCA3β1b increased the CAMP affinity and dramatically altered the gating properties of the \( \alpha 3 \) channel. As illustrated in Figure 8B, the \( \alpha 3 \beta 1 b \) channel displayed a slightly increased CAMP affinity (\( K_{1/2} = 28 \) µM, \( n = 1.9 \)) (Fig. 8F, ○) but lost the ability to adopt an open state for prolonged periods. Even at the saturating CAMP concentration of 300 µM, the channel showed frequent brief closing events, something rarely seen in \( \alpha 3 \) or native channels at saturating ligand concentrations. Amplitude histograms of the \( \alpha 3 \beta 1 b \) channel produced two partially overlapping peaks (Fig. 8D, solid line) that could be used to calculate the single-channel current and \( P_o \). Thus, similar to the heteromeric rod photoreceptor channel (CNCA3/CNCA3β1a) (Körschen et al., 1995; Bucossi et al., 1997), the olfactory β subunit imparts flickery gating to the heteromeric channel. The \( \alpha 3 \beta 1 b \) channel, like the \( \alpha 3 \) channel described above, conducts K\(^+\) just as well as Na\(^+\) (Fig. 8E; ○; 12 patches) (Table 2). Analysis of \( P_o \) revealed a very weak voltage dependence with \( P_o(−60 \text{ mV})/P_o(+60 \text{ mV}) = 0.87 ± 0.09 \) (2 at 30 µM CAMP). Macroscopic and single-channel data are consistent, indicating that macroscopic \( \alpha 3 \beta 1 b \) currents shown in Figure 6C are determined almost exclusively by the 34 pS channel species. Taken together, we have defined three distinguishing characteristics that set the \( \alpha 3 \) and \( \alpha 3 \beta 1 b \) channels apart from the native channel. These include CAMP sensitivity, cation selectivity, and gating kinetics.

Channels consisting of CNCA3 and CNCA3β1a subunits were readily identified by extremely rapid flickering (Fig. 8C) that produced a single skewed peak in the amplitude histogram (Fig. 8D, dotted line), as reported previously (Bradley et al., 1994; Liman and Buck, 1994; Broillet and Firestein, 1997). These rapid kinetics set the \( \alpha 3 \omega 4 \) channels apart from the native channel and...
Figure 7. Single-channel analysis of native CNG channels from rat OSNs. A, Recording from an inside-out patch with 1 μM cAMP at the indicated membrane voltages. Arrows indicate the closed state. The channel shows a significant voltage dependence of open probability. B, The same channel as in A at 3 μM cAMP. Positive voltages favor the open state, whereas negative voltages induce continuous flickering. Single-channel recordings were obtained in symmetrical Na⁺ solutions, sampled at 3 kHz and filtered at 1 kHz. C, Transition of the native channel from a state of low conductance (14–16 pS) to the conductance level that is observed most of the time (35 pS); 1 μM cAMP, -60 mV. D, All-point amplitude histograms of four 40 sec recordings from a native channel at -70 mV and the indicated cAMP concentrations. Although brief, unresolved closing events result in a comparably broad current distribution in the open state (approximately -1.9 pA), the two peaks reflecting open and closed states can be clearly distinguished. The ordinate indicates the percentage of total time spent at each current level plotted. E, Voltage dependence of the single-channel current recorded in symmetrical (○, means of 5 channels), and bi-ionic conditions (●, means of 9 channels). The conductance at negative voltages (fitted to the mean values) was 27 pS in both symmetrical and bi-ionic (intracellular K⁺, extracellular Na⁺) conditions. At positive voltages the conductance was 35 pS in symmetrical and 14.5 pS in bi-ionic conditions. F, Dependence of open probability on cAMP concentration. Single-channel analysis from four patches at -50 mV yielded $K_{1/2} = 3.4$ μM, $n = 2.3$. 
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all other subunit combinations. Opening events were so brief that we could not measure the open-channel current; consequently, single-channel analysis was not feasible with $a_3a_4$ channels.

Channels containing all three subunits

When all three subunits were coexpressed, one distinct channel type was observed in almost all of the 54 patches that were analyzed (Fig. 9A), and three single-channel patches permitted analysis of $P_\mathrm{o}$. The resulting $K_{1/2}$ for activation by cAMP was 4.0 $\mu$M, with a Hill coefficient of 2.0 (three patches) (Fig. 9B), not significantly different from the native channel. The voltage dependence of single-channel currents is shown in Figure 9C. While the $i-V_m$ relation was almost linear in symmetrical Na$^+$ solutions, replacement of Na$^+$ by K$^+$ on the cytosolic side of the patches...
decreased the apparent single-channel conductance for outward currents from 27 pS (Na\(^+\)) to 12 pS (K\(^-\)) (13 patches). Similar to the native and α3β1b channels, these values are underestimates of the channel conductance because of the brief, unresolved opening and closing events. Thus, the apparent conductance of the α3α4β1b channel is slightly smaller than in native channels, but both channels discriminate between Na\(^+\) and K\(^-\) to a similar extent, in contrast to the other heterologously expressed combinations of subunits. A further similarity to native channels is the ability of α3α4β1b to adopt a subconductance state for extended periods of time. Figure 9D shows a 20 sec record of an α3α4β1b channel at 3 μM cAMP that switches for 9.5 sec (arrows) from the predominant gating mode into a state that displays a smaller conductance, and a more vigorous gating activity. Transitions to and from the subconductance state are shown with better resolution for another α3α4β1b channel in Figure 9E.

Thus, strong similarities are observed between native and α3α4β1b channels. Closer inspection of single-channel traces, however, do reveal subtle differences in the kinetics of open-closed transitions. Furthermore, the apparent single-channel conductance of the α3α4β1b channel is slightly smaller than observed in the native channel. However, all other subunit combinations result in channels that show profound functional differences compared with the native olfactory channel. Although we did not find a perfect match between native and α3α4β1b channels, the similarity of cAMP sensitivity and relative Na\(^+\)/K\(^-\) conductance...
and the ability to adopt a subconductance state, together with the
evidence of colocalization and co-assembly of all three subunits in
the sensory cilia, strongly suggest that the native channel is composed of the CNCa3, CNCa4, and CNCβ1b subunits.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we report the molecular identification of a CNG
channel β subunit, CNCβ1b, which represents an alternatively
spliced variant of the previously described CNCβ1a subunit ex-
pressed in rod photoreceptors. In accordance with a previous
report (Sautter et al., 1998), we find that 74 amino acid residues in the CNCβ1b subunit replace the large, glutamic acid-rich
intracellular domain (GARP) that forms the N-terminal part of
CNCβ1a (Körshen et al., 1995). Because CNCβ1b is the third
CNG channel polypeptide identified in rat olfactory epithelium
(CNCα3, CNCα4, and CNCβ1b), we examined which of the
three subunits contribute to the formation of the native CNG
channel that mediates olfactory transduction.

**Subunit composition of the olfactory CNG channel**

We find expression of mRNA coding for all three subunits in
OSNs, although the message for CNCα4 is less abundant than for
CNCα3 and CNCβ1b. Using subunit-specific antibodies, we find
expression of the three channel polypeptides in the sensory cilia,
the site of chemoelectrical signal transduction in OSNs. Further-
more, immunoprecipitation experiments are consistent with the
notion that the three polypeptides form a common protein com-
plex in the ciliary membrane. Because the CNCα4 protein ap-
ppears to be expressed at a level comparable to CNCα3
and CNCβ1b, the much lower level of CNCα4 mRNA perhaps indi-
cates differential regulation of translation of these channel-
encoding messages.

To functionally assess CNG channel subunit composition, we
performed a biophysical analysis of the native rat olfactory CNG
channel. Five functional criteria were used to compare native
channels with heterologously expressed channels of various sub-
unit composition: (1) the sensitivity for activation by cAMP, (2)
the discrimination between Na⁺ and K⁺, (3) the single-channel
conductance, (4) the kinetics of open–closed transitions, and (5)
the ability to adopt a subconductance state. Homomeric α3 chan-
nels as well as α3ε4 and α3β1b channels display clear differences in all of these criteria relative to the native channel, ruling out
that these combinations represent the native subunit composition.
In contrast, α3α4β1b channels resemble the native channel in
each of the five criteria tested, strongly supporting the idea that
the native olfactory channel consists of the CNCα3, CNCα4, and
CNCβ1b subunits. The subtle differences between native and
α3α4β1b channels with respect to the apparent single-channel
conductance and the kinetics of open–closed transitions may be
the consequence of expression in OSNs versus HEK 293 cells,
respectively. In fact, previous studies have shown that the kinetic
properties of α3ε4 channels are influenced by the expression
system used. The channels display desensitization when ex-
pressed in *Xenopus* oocytes (Liman and Buck, 1994) but not in
HEK 293 cells (Bradley et al., 1994). Even more important,
glycosylation of the α3 subunit may affect gating and permeation
of the native channel in subtle ways that are absent in the
unglycosylated recombinant channels.

Because of the small size of cilia and the large channel density
(Kurahashi and Kaneko, 1991; Kleene et al., 1994; Kleene, 1997),
it is not feasible to obtain single-channel data from patches of
olfactory cilia or the dendritic knob; instead we resorted to
properties of single channels in membranes of the soma and
dendrite. This inherent difficulty provokes the question regarding
whether somatic and ciliary CNG channels are the same. For
example, Torre and coworkers (Torre et al., 1992; Sesti et al.,
1994) observed a subpopulation of CNG channels in the inner
segment of rod photoreceptors that differs from the channel in the
outer segment. However, we consistently observed only a single
type of channel in membrane patches of the soma or dendrite of
OSNs. Moreover, the cAMP sensitivity and the conductance ratio
for Na⁺ and K⁺ measured in channels from the soma of OSNs,
and from recombinant α3ε4β1b channels, are very similar to the
respective channel properties measured in the membrane patches
from the dendritic knob. Because dendritic knob and sensory cilia
together form the apical membrane of OSNs, it is reasonable to
assume that they contain the same membrane proteins and have
the same CNG channels. Although these observations do not
tirely rule out the existence of mixed channel populations, they
strongly suggest that α3ε4β1b is the predominant channel species
in cilia, the dendrite, and the soma.

**Implication for olfactory transduction**

The specific contributions of CNCα3, CNCα4, and CNCβ1b to the
properties of the native channel have important ramifications
for several functional aspects of olfactory transduction. This is
because certain key structural features are distinctively different
between the three subunits (cAMP-binding site, pore motif, and
N terminus). Some of the implications of channel subunit com-
position are discussed below.

Olfactory CNG channels are highly permeable to Ca²⁺ (Frings et
al., 1995; Dzeja et al., 1999). A pronounced Ca²⁺ influx through
the CNG channels during odor stimulation causes a rapid increase of the Ca²⁺ concentration in the lumen of sensory
cilia (Leinders-Zufall et al., 1997, 1998). This Ca²⁺ signal con-
-trols both excitation and adaptation of the sensory neuron. Ciliary
Ca²⁺-activated Cl⁻ channels conduct a depolarizing Cl⁻ cur-
rent that probably represents a large fraction of the receptor
current (Kleene and Gesteland, 1991; Kurahashi and Yau, 1993;
Lowe and Gold, 1993; Hallani et al., 1998; Reuter et al., 1998).
The Ca²⁺ signal also terminates the sensory response through a
CaM-mediated decrease of CNG channel activity (Chen and
Yau, 1994; Kurahashi and Menini, 1997). Because of the promi-
nent role of Ca²⁺ in olfactory signal transduction, it is important
to understand how the CNCα3, α4-, and β1b subunits contribute
to the Ca²⁺ conductance of the native channel. All known α
subunits contain a negatively charged residue in the pore motif
(Glu³⁴² in CNCα3, Asp³³⁴ in CNCα4). These residues form an
intrapore Ca²⁺-binding site of high affinity (Frings et al., 1995;
Seifert et al., 1999), which is crucial for ion selectivity, gating,
and blockage by extracellular Ca²⁺ ions (Root and MacKinnon,
1993; Eismann et al., 1994; Sesti et al., 1995; Bucossi et al., 1996).
The olfactory CNCβ1b subunit carries an uncharged glycine residue
(Gly⁴⁶⁸) at the homologous position of its pore motif. As dis-
ussed in Dzeja et al. (1999) and Seifert et al. (1999), pores
containing β subunit(s) are less “sticky” than pores consisting
only of α subunits. Consequently, Ca²⁺ is expected to pass more
readily through heterooligomeric (α + β) compared with homo-
meric (only α) channels. Therefore, the heterooligomeric chan-
nels containing this β subunit should have different Ca²⁺ perme-
ability from that of channels consisting of α subunits alone.

Adaptation in OSNs is a Ca²⁺-dependent process, driven by
the binding of Ca²⁺/CaM to the channel. A CaM-binding site in
the N-terminal region of the CNCα3 subunit (Liu et al., 1994)
binds CaM with high affinity in a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent manner, thereby reducing the apparent ligand sensitivity. The N terminus of CNCa4 is shorter than that of CNCa3 by 108 amino acid residues, and it lacks the CaM-binding site. Recently, a CaM-binding site was identified in the N-terminal region of the retinal CNCa1a subunit and was shown to bestow CaM sensitivity to heterologously expressed a1b1a channels (Grunwald et al., 1998; Weitz et al., 1998). This site is also present in the olfactory CNCa1b subunit. Recent studies have shown that native olfactory CNG channels show characteristic differences in response to CaM compared with a3 homomeric channels. The \(K_{\text{d}}\) for CAMP of the native channel can increase by 20- to 60-fold (compared with only tenfold in CNCa3 homomers), and in contrast to CNCa3 homomers, the open probability at saturating CAMP concentrations is not suppressed (Chen and Yau, 1994; Balasubramanian et al., 1996). These differences may indicate that the CNCa4 and CNCa1b subunits participate in the CaM effect on the native channel. Therefore, investigation of a3a4b1b channels should further our understanding of the negative feedback regulation that leads to adaptation in OSNs.

Finally, we have recently shown that the ligand sensitivity of a3 channels can be increased tenfold by protein phosphorylation (Müller et al., 1998). When a single serine residue in the N terminus (Ser\(^{35}\)) is phosphorylated by protein kinase C (PKC), the channel is activated at lower CAMP concentrations. This serine residue is conserved in all CNCa3 orthologs but is missing in CNCa4. The N terminus of CNCa1b contains several consensus sequences for PKC, but any functional roles of these sites await assessment. Future coexpression studies with the CNCa3, -a4, and -b1b subunits should yield important insights into the regulation of the native olfactory CNG channel. This will promote our understanding not only of olfactory signal transduction, but also of other sensory and nonsensory signal transduction systems that use these CNG channel subunits.
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