Presynaptic Mechanism for Phorbol Ester-Induced Synaptic Potentiation
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Phorbol ester facilitates transmitter release at a variety of synapses, and the phorbol ester-induced synaptic potentiation (PESP) is a model for presynaptic facilitation. To address the mechanism underlying PESP, we have made paired whole-cell recordings from the giant presynaptic terminal, the calyx of Held, and its postsynaptic target in the medial nucleus of the trapezoid body in rat brainstem slices. Phorbol ester potentiated EPSCs without affecting either presynaptic calcium currents or potassium currents. Protein kinase C inhibitors applied from outside or injected directly into the presynaptic terminal attenuated the PESP. Furthermore, presynaptic loading of a synthetic peptide with the sequence of the N-terminal domain of Doc2α interacting with Munc13–1 (Mid peptide) significantly attenuated PESP, whereas mutated Mid peptide had no effect. We conclude that the target of the presynaptic facilitatory effect of phorbol ester resides downstream of calcium influx and may involve both protein kinase C and Doc2α–Munc13–1 interaction.

Key words: phorbol ester; synaptic facilitation; Doc2α; Munc13–1; protein kinase C; the calyx of Held; presynaptic recording

Phorbol ester enhances synaptic efficacy by increasing transmitter release at a variety of synapses (Malenka et al., 1986; Shapiro et al., 1987). This presynaptic facilitatory effect of phorbol ester is thought to be mediated by protein kinase C (PKC) through (1) activation of calcium channels (Fossier et al., 1990; O’Dell and Alger, 1991; Parfitt and Madison, 1993; Swartz et al., 1993; Stea et al., 1995), (2) inhibition of potassium channels (Barban et al., 1985; Storm, 1987; Doerner et al., 1988; Hoffman and Johnston, 1998), or (3) activation of exocytotic machinery downstream of calcium influx (Capogna et al., 1995; Redman et al., 1997). However, there is no direct evidence to indicate which, if any, of the above targets are involved in the phorbol ester-induced synaptic potentiation (PESP). Furthermore, an involvement of PKC in the PESP has been questioned recently at some synapses, where certain PKC inhibitors had no effect on the PESP (Redman et al., 1997). It has been reported that Munc13–1, a mammalian homolog of Caenorhabditis elegans unc13p, has a diacylglycerol (DAG) receptor similar in affinity to PKC and is localized in the plasma membrane near the release site (Betz et al., 1998). Munc13–1 interacts with the vesicular protein Doc2α in a DAG- or phorbol ester-dependent manner (Orita et al., 1997). A possible involvement of Munc13–1 in PESP has been suggested at the amphibian neuromuscular junction in cell culture, where over-expression of Munc13–1 augmented the PESP (Betz et al., 1998).

The calyx of Held in the rodent auditory brainstem is a giant glutamatergic nerve terminal of anterior ventral cochlear neuron forming synapse onto the somata of principal cells of medial nucleus of trapezoid body (MNTB) (Barnes-Davies and Forsythe, 1995). Because of its large size, it is possible to make direct whole-cell recordings from the nerve terminal (Forsythe, 1994; Borst et al., 1995; Takahashi et al., 1996) and also to load molecules directly into it through a patch pipette (Takahashi et al., 1998). Taking advantage of this preparation, we have studied the mechanism underlying PESP. Our results indicate that neither calcium nor potassium conductances are involved in the presynaptic effect of phorbol ester, suggesting an involvement of the mechanism downstream of Ca2+ influx. By directly injecting the N-terminal peptide fragment of Doc2α or the PKC inhibitor peptide into the calyceal nerve terminal, we have demonstrated that the Doc2α-Munc13–1 interaction as well as the PKC activation may mediate the PESP.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation and solutions. Transverse slices of the superior olivary complex were prepared from 14–16-d-old Wistar rats killed by decapitation under halothane anesthesia. The MNTB neurons and calyces were viewed with a 60× (Olympus Optical, Tokyo, Japan) water immersion lens attached to an upright microscope (Axioskop; Zeiss). Each slice was superfused with artificial CSF (aCSF) containing (in mM): 120 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 26 NaHCO3, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 2 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 10 glucose, 0.5 mono-inositol, 2 sodium pyruvate, and 0.5 ascorbic acid, pH 7.4, with 5% CO2 and 95% O2. For recording EPSCs, the aCSF contained routinely 10 mM bicuculline methiodide (10 μM) and strychnine hydrochloride (0.5 μM) to block spontaneous inhibitory synaptic currents. Effect of phorbol ester on EPSCs was tested in the aCSF containing 1 mM [Ca2+]2 and 2 mM [Mg2+]2. For recording presynaptic Ca2+ currents, 10 mM tetrodoylamine (TEA) chloride and 1 μM tetrodotoxin (TTX) were included in the aCSF. For recording presynaptic K+ current or spontaneous mEPSCs, 1 μM TTX was included in the aCSF. For recording presynaptic action potentials, presynaptic pipettes were filled with the solution containing (in mM): 97.5 potassium gluconate, 32.5 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.2 EGTA, 1 MgCl2, 10 potassium glutamate, 2 ATP (Mg salt), 12 phosphocreatine, and 0.5 GTP, pH 7.4 adjusted with KOH. For recording presynaptic Ca2+ currents, potassium gluconate and KCl in the presynaptic pipette solution were replaced by 110 mM CsCl, 10 mM TEA chloride was added, and HEPES concentration was increased to 40 mM, pH 7.4 adjusted with CsOH. For post synaptic recordings, pipette solution contained (in mM): 110 CsF, 30 CsCl, 10 HEPES, 5 EGTA, and 1 MgCl2.
When the aCSF did not contain TTX, N-(2,6-diethylphenylcarbamoylmethyl)-triethylammonium bromide (QX314; 5 mM) was included in the postsynaptic pipette solution to suppress action potential generation.

Data recording and analysis. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were made from MNTB principal neurons, presynaptic calyces, or simultaneously from both structures. EPSCs were evoked at 0.1 Hz throughout by extracellular stimulation of presynaptic axons using a bipolar platinum electrode positioned near the midline of a relatively thick slice (200 μm) or by presynaptic action potentials elicited directly by a whole-cell pipette electrode. The resistance of patch pipette was 4–7 MΩ and was compensated by 70–90% in voltage-clamp experiments. Current or voltage recordings were made with a patch-clamp amplifier (Axopatch 200B; Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). Records were low-pass-filtered at 2.5–20 kHz and digitized at 5–50 kHz by a CED 1401 interface (Cambridge Electronic Design). Presynaptic voltage-gated currents were filtered at 2.5–20 kHz and digitized at 5–50 kHz by a CED 1401 interface (Cambridge Electronic Design). Peptides were injected into calyces through a superfusion tube. Experiments were carried out at room temperature (22–26°C).

RESULTS

Potentiation of EPSCs by phorbol esters

As illustrated in Figure 1A, phorbol ester markedly potentiated the calyx-MNTB EPSCs as reported at other synapses (Malenka et al., 1986; Shapira et al., 1987). During bath-application of phorbol 1,2-dibutrate (PDBu; 0.5 μM for 2 min), EPSCs became larger reaching a maximal size within 5 min after application. The mean magnitude of this potentiation was 162 ± 37% (± SEM; n = 6 cells, see also Fig. 5A). The potentiation by PDBu

Figure 1. Phorbol ester potentiated the calyx-MNTB EPSC's. A, PDBu (0.5 μM) bath-applied for 2 min (at a bar) potentiated the calyx-MNTB EPSCs evoked by extracellular stimulation. Six consecutive EPSCs before (a) and after (b) PDBu application are averaged and superimposed in inset. B, The inactive PDBu analog 4a-PDBu (0.5 μM) had no effect. a and b are as above. C, Dose-dependent potentiation of EPSCs by PDBu (5–2000 nM). Top column shows time plots of EPSC amplitude. Data from 4–6 experiments at each dose (10–500 nM) are normalized to the mean EPSC amplitude before PDBu application. PDBu was applied for 2 min (○) or continuously (50 nM; ●). Bottom column shows dose–response curve of PDBu obtained by 2 min (○) or continuous (●) applications. The magnitude of EPSCs 5–6 min after PDBu application was measured. Data points and error bars represent means and SEMs derived from 3–6 cells. Curves are fitted to the data points according to the following equation: magnitude of potentiation (%) = [maximal potentiation / (%)]/[1 + (EC50/PDBu concentration)1], where maximal potentiation was 194 and 195% each for 2 min (○) and continuous (●) application. EC50 (indicated by a horizontal bar) was 121 nM (○) and 75 nM (●), respectively. Hill coefficient was 1.2 (○) and 1.0 (●), respectively.
Effects of phorbol ester on quantal EPSCs

We subsequently examined the effect of PDBu on spontaneous miniature (m) EPSCs recorded in the presence of TTX (1 μM; Fig. 2). As shown in cumulative interval histograms, PDBu (0.5 μM) increased the mean frequency of mEPSCs (6.7 ± 0.8 mHz; n = 5; Fig. 2A). In contrast, neither the kinetics nor the amplitude of mEPSCs was significantly affected by PDBu (Fig. 2B). The mean amplitude of mEPSCs after PDBu application was 102 ± 2.9% (n = 6) of control before PDBu application, suggesting that this phorbol ester had no effect on postsynaptic glutamate receptor sensitivity. Thus, as reported at other synapses (Malenka et al., 1986; Shapiro et al., 1987), the site of its action must be purely presynaptic.

Lack of phorbol ester effect on presynaptic calcium currents

Previous studies on somatic or recombinant Ca2+ currents indicate that phorbol ester can enhance Ca2+ currents (Fossier et al., 1990; O’Dell and Alger, 1991; Parfitt et al., 1993; Stea et al., 1995). It was then speculated that a similar potentiation might occur at the presynaptic nerve terminals. We have directly tested this possibility by recording the presynaptic Ca2+ currents from the giant nerve terminal, the calyx of Held. The Ca2+ currents at the calyx have been pharmacologically identified as P-type (Fossier et al., 1990; Iwasaki and Takahashi, 1998), and they can be attenuated by agonists of metabotropic glutamate receptors (Takahashi et al., 1996) or GABAA receptors (Takahashi et al., 1998). As illustrated in Figure 3, PDBu (0.5 μM) had no effect on presynaptic Ca2+ currents at all membrane potential examined, with the mean magnitude of Ca2+ currents at −10 mV being 98 ± 3% of control (n = 5). These results indicate that the PESP is not mediated by presynaptic Ca2+ channels, at least at this mammalian brainstem synapse.

Presynaptic potassium currents are unaffected by phorbol ester

Voltage-gated potassium channel currents in hippocampal neurons are attenuated by phorbol esters (Barban et al., 1985; Storm, 1987; Doerner et al., 1988; Hoffman and Johnston, 1998). If phorbol ester attenuates presynaptic potassium channels, this would presumably lead to increased Ca2+ influx, thereby enhancing transmitter release. We have tested this possibility by recording presynaptic potassium currents. As shown in Figure 4, PDBu (0.5 μM) had no effect on the presynaptic voltage-dependent potassium currents. The mean amplitude of potassium current at 0 mV was 4.7 ± 0.4 nA in control and 4.9 ± 0.5 nA after PDBu application, suggesting that this phorbol ester had no effect on presynaptic potassium currents.

Effects of phorbol ester on quantal EPSCs

Figure 2. Phorbol ester increased the frequency of mEPSCs but had no effect on the amplitude of mEPSCs. A, Cumulative interval histograms of mEPSCs recorded from an MNTB principal cell under TTX. Each 200 events were sampled before (control) and 5 min after PDBu (0.5 μM) application. Ten consecutive records before and 6 min after PDBu application are superimposed in inset. B, Cumulative amplitude histogram of mEPSCs from the same cell. Superimposed records in inset are averaged EPSCs of 200 events each before and after PDBu application. No significant difference in the amplitude of mEPSCs between PDBu and control in Kolmogorov–Smirnov test.

Figure 3. Phorbol ester had no effect on presynaptic calcium currents. Voltage-dependent Ca2+ currents were evoked in calyceal presynaptic terminals by a depolarizing pulse from −80 mV holding potential to −10 mV before and after PDBu application (0.5 μM, two traces superimposed in inset). The Ca2+ current-voltage relationships before (○) and 6–9 min after (●) PDBu application. Data points and error bars are means and SEMs of Ca2+ current amplitude from five calyces. The mean amplitude of Ca2+ currents at −10 mV was 928 ± 9.4 pA in control and 904 ± 7.3 pA after PDBu application (n = 5). Lines are drawn by eyes in this and the next figure.

Figure 4. Presynaptic potassium currents were unaffected by phorbol ester.
application \((n = 5)\). It has been also reported that G-protein-coupled inward rectifying potassium (GIRK) conductance can be suppressed by PKC activation (Takano et al., 1995). Although any change in GIRK can be revealed as a change in holding current (Takahashi et al., 1998), PDBu had no effect on the holding current \((80.9 \pm 5.7\%; n = 5)\), suggesting that GIRK is not involved in the PESP. These results indicate that neither the calcium conductance nor potassium conductance in the presynaptic terminal is involved in the PESP at this synapse. Therefore, the target of phorbol ester must be downstream of \(\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\) influx as has been suggested for secretory cells (Gillis et al., 1996).

Involvement of PKC in phorbol ester-induced synaptic potentiation

To address whether PKC is involved in the effect of phorbol esters, we tested a number of PKC inhibitors on PESP. Bisindolylmaleimid (BIS; 1 \(\mu M\)), a competitive inhibitor for the ATP-binding site of PKC, partially but significantly attenuated the phorbol ester-induced synaptic facilitation (Fig. 5A). Potentiation of EPSCs 5–6 min after application of PDBu (0.5 \(\mu M\)) was 64 \(\pm\) 9.6\% \((n = 5)\) in the presence of BIS, whereas it was 162 \(\pm\) 37\% \((n = 6)\) in control (see above). Calphostin C (0.5 \(\mu M\)), a competitive inhibitor for the phorbol ester-binding site of PKC, also significantly suppressed the PESP with the potentiation being 48 \(\pm\) 24\% \((n = 3)\) in its presence (data not shown). A more specific test to see an involvement of PKC is the PKC inhibitor peptide (PKCI 19–36), which acts as a pseudosubstrate for PKC. We injected PKCI into the calycal presynaptic nerve terminals during paired presynaptic and postsynaptic whole-cell recordings. EPSCs were evoked by presynaptic action potentials elicited in calycal nerve terminals with a patch pipette (Takahashi et al., 1996; 1998). In control experiments, externally applied PDBu (0.5 \(\mu M\)) potentiated EPSCs (Fig. 5B) with a magnitude \((138 \pm 29\%; n = 5)\) comparable to that observed for the extracellularly evoked EPSCs (no significant difference). When PKCI was injected into the calyx, the peptide by itself had no effect on EPSCs (data not shown), but PESP was significantly attenuated, with the magnitude of potentiation being only 27 \(\pm\) 12\% \((n = 5; \text{Fig. 5B})\). Taken together, these results suggest that PKC is involved in the phorbol ester-induced synaptic potentiation.

Involvement of Doc2α-Munc13–1 interaction in phorbol ester-induced synaptic potentiation

We next examined the possibility that the Doc 2α-Munc13–1 interaction (Orita et al., 1997) underlies the PESP. For this purpose, we injected into the calyx a synthetic peptide corresponding to the N-terminal domain of Doc 2α, which interacts with Munc13–1 (the Mid domain: amino acid residues 13–37, see Materials and Methods). This Mid peptide alone blocks Doc 2α-Munc13–1 interaction in vitro (Orita et al., 1997) and also blocks synaptic transmission when injected into presynaptic neurons in culture (Mochida et al., 1998). In contrast, at the calyx of Held synapse, Mid peptide had no appreciable effect on EPSCs (Fig. 6A), with the amplitude of EPSCs remaining as 116 \(\pm\) 11\% \((n = 7)\) 10 min after injection. However, in the presence of Mid, potentiation of EPSCs by PDBu was significantly attenuated in amplitude and no longer sustained (Fig. 6A). The magnitude of PESP 5 min after Mid application was 64 \(\pm\) 6.2\% \((n = 7\) vs 138 \(\pm\) 5.7\% \(\text{Fig. 6B})\).
DISCUSSION

At the brainstem auditory synapse formed by the calyx of Held, we have studied the facilitatory effect of phorbol ester on synaptic transmission. As reported previously (Malenka et al., 1986; Shapira et al., 1987; but see Caroll et al., 1998), phorbol ester had no effect on the amplitude of spontaneous miniature EPSCs, confirming that the site of its action is predominantly presynaptic. Direct whole-cell recordings from the calyx of Held indicated that phorbol ester had no effect on presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) currents. It has been reported that phorbol ester has no effect on recombinant Ca\(^{2+}\) channels containing \(\alpha_{1A}\) subunit, but enhances Ca\(^{2+}\) channels containing \(\alpha_{1B}\) subunit (Stea et al., 1995). Since Ca\(^{2+}\) channels triggering transmitter release at the calyx of Held are predominantly P-type containing \(\alpha_{1A}\) subunits (Forsythe et al., 1998; Iwasaki and Takahashi, 1998), possible involvement of N (\(\alpha_{1B}\)) type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels in PESP at other synapses cannot be excluded from the present study (but see Yawo, 1999). Our results also indicate that phorbol ester has no effect on presynaptic K\(^{+}\) currents. Thus, the mechanism for PESP must reside at the downstream of Ca\(^{2+}\) influx as in secretory cells, where phorbol ester increases hormonal secretion without involving a change in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration (Gillis et al., 1996).

In chromaffin cells (Gillis et al., 1996), retinal bipolar cells (Minami et al., 1998), and hippocampal synapses in culture (Stevens and Sullivan, 1998), phorbol ester is postulated to increase the size of the releasable pool of synaptic vesicles by accelerating replenishment from a “reservoir pool” (but see Yawo, 1999). What then might be the molecular target of phorbol esters? Diacylglycerol (DAG) and phorbol esters bind to the regulatory C\(_1\)-domain of PKC and anchor the enzyme to the plasma membrane, thereby stabilizing its active conformation (Newton, 1997). The phorbol ester-induced synaptic facilitation was attenuated by bath-application of PKC inhibitors BIS or calphostin C and also by the PKC inhibitory peptide directly injected into the calyx through whole-cell recording pipette. These results suggest that PKC is involved, at least in part, in the PESP. However, in spite of relatively high concentrations, the blocking effect of PKC inhibitors was incomplete, implying that there may be an additional mechanism mediating the effect of phorbol ester. While an involvement of PKC in the PESP has been suspected (Scholfield and Smith, 1989; Redman et al., 1997), it was recently reported that phorbol esters or DAG stimulates the vesicular protein Doc2\(_{a}\) to interact with the plasma membrane-associated protein Munc13–1 (Orita et al., 1997). The N-terminal domain (Mid) of Doc2\(_{a}\) is involved in this interaction. We have demonstrated that the synthetic Mid peptide introduced into the calyx of Held attenuates PESP. This effect appears specific since the mutated Mid peptide had no effect. Therefore, we conclude that the Doc2\(_{a}\)-Munc13–1 interaction is also involved in the PESP. In line with our results, it has been reported that presynaptic over-expression of Munc13–1 enhanced phorbol ester-dependent synaptic potentiation at Xenopus neuromuscular junctions in culture (Betz et al., 1998).

Apart from interaction with Doc2\(_{a}\), Munc13–1 can also interact with N-terminal of syntaxin 1, a SNARE protein thought to be involved in synaptic vesicle fusion (Betz et al., 1997). On the other hand, PKC can phosphorylate another SNARE protein, SNAP-25 (Fujita et al., 1996; Shimazaki et al., 1996), thereby stimulating catecholamine release from PC12 cells (Shimazaki et al., 1996). Furthermore, PKC can phosphorylate Munc18, which interacts with SNARE proteins. Thus the SNARE protein may be a common effector downstream of PKC and Munc13–1 for the phorbol ester-induced synaptic potentiation.

Munc13–1 is a mammalian homolog of C. elegans unc-13p and, by analogy, is thought to contribute to vesicle docking and exocytosis. In support of this hypothesis, Mochida et al. (1998) showed that Mid peptide blocked synaptic transmission when injected into the presynaptic neuron in culture. However, this effect was not observed at the calyx of Held, where the peptide was directly injected into the nerve terminal through patch pipette perfusion. Since the blocking effect of Mid at cultured synapses appears slow and activity-dependent (Mochida et al., 1998), Munc13–1 might be involved in vesicular replenishing process rather than exocytotic process. It is also possible that exocytotic machineries are different between synapses.
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