The Basic Helix-Loop-Helix–PAS Protein MOP9 Is a Brain-Specific Heterodimeric Partner of Circadian and Hypoxia Factors
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Members of the PAS (PER, ARNT, SIM) superfamily of eukaryotic transcription factors regulate biological responses to light, oxygen, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Taylor and Zhulin, 1999). These proteins are distinguished by an ~275 amino acid motif termed the PAS domain, for PER, ARNT, and SIM homology domain (Reddy et al., 1986; Crews et al., 1988; Hoffman et al., 1991). The PAS domain functions as a dimerization surface for interactions between PAS proteins and as a docking site for cellular chaperones (Huang et al., 1993; Perdew and Bradfield 1996; Carver et al., 1998). In the case of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR), the PAS domain also functions as a binding surface for structurally related agonists (Burbach et al., 1992). The majority of PAS proteins also harbor a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) domain immediately N-terminal to PAS. This domain supports dimerization and provides a basic α-helix required for specific DNA contacts within target enhancer elements (Kadesch, 1993).

Members of the bHLH–PAS superfamily can be classified along phylogenetic and functional lines (Gu et al., 2000). Members of the α-class often function as “sensors” of environmental stimuli. The mammalian β-class members typically act as general partners for a broad array of α-class molecules. For example, α-class proteins such as the AHR are activated by the binding of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and dimerize with β-class molecules such as ARNT (Burbach et al., 1992; Reisz-Porszasz et al., 1994). Other α-class proteins such as HIF1α, HIF2α, and HIF3α are upregulated by low oxygen tension and can also dimerize with β-class molecules such as ARNT, ARNT2 and MOP3 (member of PAS superfamily) (Wang et al., 1995; Pugh et al., 1997; Gu et al., 1998; Hogenesch et al., 1998). Members of a third class we designate as the γ-class, have been shown to function as coactivator molecules. These proteins, SRC1, TIF2, and RAC3, interact with members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily and link them to the CBP/p300 complex (Hanstein et al., 1996; Chen and Li, 1998).

Recently, molecular and genetic data have provided strong evidence that a bHLH–PAS α-β heterodimer is central to the maintenance of circadian rhythms in species found throughout the animal kingdom (Dunlap, 1999). In mammalian systems, a combination of genetic and biochemical evidence points to the importance of a dimer of CLOCK and MOP3 (also known as bMAL1) in regulating rhythmicity (Gekakis et al., 1998; Hogenesch et al., 1998). In Drosophila, compelling genetic evidence supports a role for putative orthologs of these two proteins denoted CLOCK and CYCLE, respectively (Allada et al., 1998; Rutila et al., 1998). In this report, we provide evidence that regulation of the mammalian circadian rhythm may be more complicated than previously thought. In this regard, we present a novel homolog of MOP3 that we designate MOP9. The MOP9 protein meets the criteria of a biologically relevant partner of CLOCK in that it forms transcriptionally active complexes with CLOCK and is regionally coexpressed in the suprachiasmatic nucleus, the site of the central circadian pacemaker in mammals. In addition, like MOP3, MOP9 can also interact with HIF1α.
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Cloning of MOP9. The Drosophila CYCLE sequence was used to search the mammalian GenBank NR database using the tblastn algorithm (Altschul et al., 1990). This search uncovered an expressed sequence tag (EST), GBAA577389, with significant homology to human MOP3. Oligonucleotides based on this sequence were designed and used to amplify the 5’ end of this gene using the Marathon Ready cDNA system and modified human brain cDNA as template (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA). Oligonucleotides designed against the human MOP9 cDNA were used to amplify a fragment of the mouse MOP9 cDNA using the PCR and the Marathon Ready cDNA (Clontech). Finally, the PCR was used to amplify the entire open reading frame of the human MOP9. This fragment was then cloned into pTarget (PL1480) for expression in mammalian cells (Promega, Madison, WI).

Expression of MOP9. A 1.5 kb PCR fragment of MOP9 was random primed (Amersham, Cleveland, OH) and used as a probe in Northern blot analysis of various human tissues. Multiple-tissue Northern blots from fetal sources and two adult brain region blots were screened (Clontech) (Hogenesch et al., 1997). As a hybridization control, a human actin probe was subsequently used to screen both the multiple tissue and brain-specific Northern blots. For in situ analysis of MOP9 mRNA in mouse brain, a mouse cDNA probe was isolated by the PCR using mouse brain cDNA as template. The T5 and T7 primer sites were introduced on the 5’ and 3’ ends of the probe by PCR and used to prepare the riboprobe. The in situ analysis was performed on 17-week-old male mice, [(BALB/c × C57BL/6J)F1 × C57BL/6J]F2, as previously described (Sangoram et al., 1998).

Functional analysis. Transient transfection experiments were performed in Hep3B cells, and extracts were analyzed for luciferase and β-galactosidase activity as previously described (Hogenesch et al., 1997). Expression constructs harboring MOP3 (PL333), MOP4 (PL343), CLOCK (PL1386), HIF1α (PL611), a reporter construct containing three M34 elements (sequence, 5’ GGACACGTGACC 3’ ) upstream of luciferase (PL881), and a reporter construct harboring six hypoxia response elements (HREs; GGATACGGACG) upstream of luciferase (PL949), have been previously described (Hogenesch et al., 1997; Hogenesch et al., 1998). Briefly, mammalian expression plasmids expressing hCLOCK, hMOP4, or hMOP9 were transfected with the MOP3, MOP4, or MOP9 reporter, respectively, and the luciferase reporter in Hep3B cells. In addition, MOP9, ARNT, HIF1α, and a luciferase reporter driven by six HREs were transfected in Hep3B cells in the presence or absence of cobalt chloride (to mimic hypoxia). Transfections were performed using LipofectAMINE according to manufacturer’s instructions (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD). In all experiments, a β-galactosidase expression plasmid was cotransfected to control for transfection efficiency. After transfection, cells were incubated for 20 hr before harvest, and luciferase and β-galactosidase activities were determined using a luciferase assay (Promega) and β-galactosidase activity as previously described (Hogenesch et al., 1997).
cortex, and also in the hippocampus of the adult mouse (Fig. 2). To determine whether MOP9 was expressed in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN), the site of the central circadian oscillator in mammals, we turned to the mouse model and performed in situ analysis of MOP9. Sense and antisense riboprobes were created using a fragment of the mouse MOP9 cDNA. Hybridization with the antisense probe (A) revealed specific signal in the SCN, hippocampus, and piriform cortex, whereas the sense probe (B) did not produce a significant signal in these regions. The suprachiasmatic nucleus (Scn), hippocampus (H), and piriform cortex (Pir) are indicated by arrows.

Figure 2. Expression analyses. Left, Northern blots containing organ- and brain-specific mRNAs were probed with a fragment of the MOP9 cDNA. These blots were all reprobed with an actin control probe to assure similar sample loading (data not shown). Right, In situ analysis of MOP9. Sens and antisense riboprobes were created using a fragment of the mouse MOP9 cDNA. Hybridization with the antisense probe (A) revealed specific signal in the SCN, hippocampus, and piriform cortex, whereas the sense probe (B) did not produce a significant signal in these regions. The suprachiasmatic nucleus (Scn), hippocampus (H), and piriform cortex (Pir) are indicated by arrows.

respectively (Fig. 1A). A phylogenetic comparison among other members of the bHLH–PAS family revealed that MOP9 is most homologous to MOP3 and CYCLE (Fig. 1B). This observation suggested that MOP9 was a β-class PAS protein and that it would form transcriptionally active complexes with CLOCK, MOP4, and the HIFαs.

To determine the expression pattern of MOP9 in human tissues, an adult multiple-tissue Northern blot containing heart, brain, placenta, lung, liver, skeletal muscle, kidney, and pancreas was probed with a fragment of the MOP9 cDNA. This analysis revealed that MOP9 was represented as a relatively low-abundance mRNA that ran as a doublet of ~7.0 and 8.0 kb in the brain and placenta, respectively, but was absent in most other tissues (Fig. 2A). A Northern blot containing fetal tissues was also probed, and this blot did not detect MOP9 message in brain, lung, liver, or kidney (data not shown). We also analyzed two Northern blots containing various human brain regions. We found that MOP9 was enriched in the human thalamus, hippocampus, and amygdala but present at lower levels in most other brain regions (Fig. 2A) (Hogenesch et al., 1997; Zhou et al., 1997). Finally, to determine whether MOP9 was expressed in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN), the site of the central circadian oscillator in mammals, we turned to the mouse model and performed in situ hybridization on sections of murine brain. This analysis revealed specific signal in the SCN, hippocampus, and piriform cortex, and also in the hippocampus of the adult mouse (Fig. 2B).

To determine whether MOP9 could form functional interactions with circadian and hypoxia factors, transient cell transfection experiments were performed using reporter constructs driven by M34 elements and HREs. The M34 element is a synthetic enhancer generated in our laboratory and has been shown to be similar to elements present in a number of Drosophila and mouse structural genes that are regulated in a circadian manner (Hao et al., 1997; Darlington et al., 1998; Hogenesch et al., 1998). These experiments revealed that MOP9 formed transcriptionally active complexes with CLOCK and its close homolog MOP4, whereas it was incapable of driving expression from the M34 element by itself (Fig. 3A). In addition, MOP9 appeared to interact more vigorously with CLOCK than MOP3 and its partner, MOP4 (Fig. 3A). When cotransfected with HIF1α and a luciferase reporter construct driven by HREs, MOP9 was able to form a transcriptionally active heterodimeric partnership (Fig. 3B). In addition, this heterodimeric complex was responsive to cobalt chloride, a mimic of cellular hypoxia (Fig. 3B). In additional control experiments (data not shown), we observed that the MOP9–CLOCK dimer is ~10-fold more active at the M34RE compared with the HRE reporter. In keeping with our previous results, the MOP9–HIF1α dimer has some degree of affinity for E-box elements and is only approximately twice as active at the HRE compared with the M34RE drive reporter (Hogenesch et al., 1997).

Chromosomal localization of hMOP9 was determined by obtaining a BAC clone and performing FISH. hMOP9 was found to map to chromosome 12p11.22–11.23, a position near the potassium inward rectifier channel KCNJ8 and AD5, an Alzheimer’s disease locus (Inagaki et al., 1995; Pericak-Vance et al., 1997).

**DISCUSSION**

Prominent roles in the circadian clock are played by proteins harboring the PAS domain, a signature domain found in proteins that regulate other environmental signaling pathways such as responses to both polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and hypoxia. Interestingly, it has been observed that there are commonly multiple mammalian orthologs for each *Drosophila* member (Hahn, 1998; Taylor and Zhulin, 1999). This observation prompted a search of the GenBank database for a MOP3 paralog. This search uncovered MOP9, a BHLH–PAS orphan with extensive homology to hMOP3 and dCYCLE. Because of this extensive sequence homology, we hypothesized that MOP9 might share similar partners with MOP3 as well as play a role in the regulation of circadian rhythms and also the response to hypoxic stress (Gekakis et al., 1998; Hogenesch et al., 1998).

**The circadian response pathway**

Regulation of eukaryotic circadian rhythms involves the use of negative feedback loops and a central circadian oscillator that regulates global rhythms. In *Drosophila* and mammals, a number of components of this central oscillator have been independently identified by both genetic and biochemical methods (Dunlap, 1999). These components include the transcriptionally active heterodimers (e.g., CLOCK and MOP3), the negative repressors (e.g., PER1, PER2, and PER3) and those proteins involved in facilitating repression (e.g., TIM and DBT) (Dunlap, 1999). The transcriptional activators interact with circadian enhancer sequences present in the structural genes of proteins that are directly regulated by the clock (Hao et al., 1997; Darlington et al., 1998; Gekakis et al., 1998). This enhancer sequence, CACGTGA, is also found in a number of the component genes, such as dTIM, dPER, and mPER clock (Hao et al., 1997; Darlington et al., 1998; Gekakis et al., 1998). Before this report, it was predicted that CLOCK–MOP3 heterodimers upregulate the transcription of the negative repressors producing a feedback inhibitory loop and the generation of circadian oscillation (Darlington et al., 1998). In flies, the blue light photoreceptor CRY can alleviate the repressor activities of the PER and TIM proteins, mediating photic input to the clock and providing a mechanism for light entrainment (Ceriani et al., 1999). In mammals, the CRY genes appear to have evolved a different role and are able to repress CLOCK–MOP3 activation directly (Griffin et al., 1999).

In previous work, a role for BHLH–PAS proteins in the maintenance of biological rhythms has been established by multiple methods. In *Drosophila*, powerful genetics supported by biochemical data have provided compelling proof for a physiological role of CYCLE and CLOCK in regulation of behavioral rhythms (Allada et al., 1998; Darlington et al., 1998; Rutila et al., 1998). However, in mammals, only one screen for circadian phenotypes has been successfully used to reveal a component of the murine clock (Antoch et al., 1997; King et al., 1997). Therefore, our laboratory and others have turned to biochemical methods to...
Figure 3. Interaction of MOP9 with CLOCK and HIF1α. A, Interaction of MOP9 with CLOCK and MOP4 in transient transfection experiments. Hep3B cells were cotransfected with 1 μg of each expression plasmid and a luciferase reporter driven by three circadian response elements (M34 elements). Relative light units were measured as luciferase units corrected by the β-galactosidase units as described in Materials and Methods. The data represent the mean ± SE of triplicate analyses. B, Interaction of MOP9 and ARNT with HIF1α. Hep3B cells were cotransfected with 1 μg of each expression plasmid and a luciferase reporter driven by six hypoxia response elements. Relative light units were measured as above, and the data represent the mean ± SE of triplicate analyses. Insets, Sequences of the responsive elements used in each assay and denote that a minimal SV40 promoter drives the luciferase reporter.

Tissue-specific expression of MOP9

As a result of our previous work, we predicted that determining the expression pattern of MOP9 would also be important step in determining which bHLH–PAS proteins were important physiological partners. Our initial experiments revealed that MOP9 was not highly expressed in fetal tissues but was expressed as two predominant species of 7 and 8 kb in brain and placenta mRNA derived from adult human tissue sources (Fig. 2A). Because many bHLH–PAS proteins have specific expression in different brain regions, we performed another Northern analysis on mRNA derived from various adult human brain regions. In this study, MOP9 mRNA appeared to be enriched in the thalamus, hippocampus, and amygdala (Fig. 2A). This could be because these areas are enriched in neurons or more likely that MOP9 has a specific function that is critical in these tissues. Interestingly, the expression pattern of the predicted β-class MOP9 overlaps with that of the α-class MOP4 in the thalamus. This overlapping expression leads us to propose that the thalamus is a tissue where this partnership has biological relevance.

To explore the brain expression pattern of MOP9 in more detail, in situ analysis was performed using a fragment of the mouse MOP9 cDNA on adult mouse brain sections optimized for the SCN. Low-level MOP9 signal was seen as diffuse staining throughout these brain sections, although enhanced signal was seen in specific sections (Fig. 2). Taken in consideration with the Northern data above, this suggests that MOP9 may be present at lower levels in many neurons, with higher levels in particular brain regions. Importantly, specific MOP9 signal was present in two areas where CLOCK is also expressed, the piriform cortex and SCN (King et al., 1997; Steeves et al., 1999). In addition, specific signal was also seen in the adult hippocampus, a region where CLOCK and MOP4 expression has not been reported. Such an observation is evidence that additional, novel partners for MOP9 exist in these cell populations.

Conclusion

A number of lines of evidence point to a potential role for MOP9 in circadian gene regulation. First, MOP9 displays extensive sequence identity with MOP3/bMAL1 and CYCLE. CYCLE has been implicated in circadian regulation by genetic and biochemical studies, whereas MOP3 has been demonstrated to be a potential partner for CLOCK in a number of biochemical studies (Gekakis et al., 1998; Hogenesch et al., 1998; Rutila et al., 1998). Second, the brain-specific expression pattern of MOP9 and its presence in the SCN suggests that it has a role in regulation of locomotor activity. Finally, MOP9 is the most potent CLOCK partner described to date, interacting with response elements...
present in structural genes of known clock components. An interesting question that remains unanswered is why multiple CLOCK partners exist in mammals? The observation that circadian rhythmicity of the PER genes occurs in peripheral tissues (and indeed the existence of peripheral clocks) could be related to the evolution of multiple mammalian paralogs of the Drosophila antecedent (Plautz et al., 1997; Zylka et al., 1998). Ultimately, it will take mouse gain and loss of function MOP3 and MOP9 models to discriminate their individual contributions to physiology.
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