Different Subthreshold Mechanisms Underlie Song Selectivity in Identified HVc Neurons of the Zebra Finch
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Songbirds learn and maintain their songs via auditory experience. Neurons in many telencephalic nuclei important to song production and development are song selective, firing more to forward auditory playback of the bird's own song (BOS) than to reverse BOS or conspecific songs. Elucidating circuits that generate these responses can localize where auditory experience influences vocalization, bridging cellular and systems analyses of song learning. Song-selective responses in many song nuclei, including the vocal premotor nucleus robustus archistriatalis (RA) and the basal ganglia homolog area X, are thought to originate in nucleus HVc (used as a proper name), which contains interneurons and relay cells that innervate either RA or area X. Previous studies indicated that only X-projecting neurons have auditory responses, leaving open the source of RA's auditory input and the degree to which song selectivity may be refined in HVc. Here, in vivo intracellular recordings from morphologically and electrophysiologically identified HVc neurons revealed that both relay cell types fire song-selectively. However, their firing arises via markedly different subthreshold processes, and only X-projecting neurons appear to be sites for auditory refinement. RA-projecting neurons exhibited purely depolarizing subthreshold responses that were highly song selective and that were excitatory. In contrast, subthreshold responses of X-projecting neurons included less-selective depolarizing and highly selective hyperpolarizing components. Within individual birds, these BOS-evoked hyperpolarizations closely matched interneuronal firing, suggesting that HVc interneurons make restricted inputs onto X-projecting neurons. Because of the two relay cell types' subthreshold differences, factors affecting their resting membrane potentials could enable them to transmit distinct song representations to their targets.
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subthreshold differences, enabling distinct auditory representations of song to be transmitted by HVc.

**Materials and Methods**

**Subjects.** Experiments were performed using 31 adult [85–440 posthatch days (PHD)] male zebra finches (Taeniopygia guttata) in accordance with a protocol approved by the Duke University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Stimuli.** Before the experiment, songs were recorded from a male zebra finch placed with an adult female zebra finch in a recording chamber (Industrial Acoustics, Bronx, NY). Songs were amplified and low-pass filtered at 10 kHz, digitized at 20 kHz, and stored on a personal computer hard drive. HVc neurons were identified on-line by their firing properties in response to injected currents (see Results) and usually by spontaneous, intermittent, high-frequency bursts of action potentials and were verified histologically after the recording was completed with auditory stimuli if their resting potentials were negative of ~50 mV. Ten to thirty iterations of each auditory stimulus, delivered at intervals ranging from 6 to 10 sec, were presented at ~70 dB (rms; A-weighting) through a speaker positioned 5 cm directly in front of the bird. Prestimuli time paired with 5 sec baseline positive area during the stimulus (measured from the baseline period). Resting membrane potential was determined by subtracting any DC offset observed after electrode withdrawal from the membrane potential recorded during the 5–10 min before the end of the recording. All values are reported as the means ± SEM; statistics and tests for statistical significance (other than those described in Data analysis) are reported in the figure legend. Table 1, and/or the Results.

**Data analysis.** The suprathreshold responsiveness ($R_{sup}$) of cells with spiking activity was calculated by $R_{sup} = S_{FR} - B_{FR}$, where $S_{FR}$ and $B_{FR}$ are the firing rates during each stimulus presentation and during a 1.5 sec baseline period before each stimulus presentation, respectively. To assess subthreshold responsiveness in spiking and nonspiking cells, raw traces first were median-filtered (each point was replaced by the median value of the surrounding 50 points, equivalent to 5 msec at the 10 kHz sampling rate used here). Median-filtering removed the action potential (which was typically ~1 msec in duration) and yet did not distort slower membrane potential movements [for an example, see Jagadeesh et al. (1997), their Fig. 1]. The subthreshold depolarizing responsiveness ($R_{s}$) of these cells was measured by $R_{s} = X_{max} - X_{baseline}$, where $X_{max}$ and $X_{baseline}$ are the integrals of the positive-going deviations in membrane potential either during (i.e., $S_{area}$) or before (i.e., $B_{area}$) stimulus presentation relative to the membrane potential measured during the baseline period. That is, the total positive area during the baseline (measured from the mode) was subtracted from the total positive area during the stimulus (measured from the mode; see Fig. 9 for examples of how these subthreshold values were measured). The mode membrane potential was calculated for the baseline data array with an automated LabView routine and was used instead of the mean or median of the baseline data array because we observed that it gave the most reliable measure of the central tendency of the baseline membrane potential. Similar calculations were made for the subthreshold hyperpolarizing area, using the negative-going deviations in membrane potential from the baseline mode value. The net subthreshold hyperpolarization was multiplied by ~1, so that a net increase in subthreshold hyperpolarization relative to the baseline would be represented by a positive number. It was possible for single cells to respond to a stimulus with an increase in both negative and positive area relative to baseline. Furthermore, strong hyperpolarizing responses to a stimulus could yield negative values for positive and negative area (e.g., when the baseline positive area was greater than the positive area evoked by the

**Table 1. In vivo electrophysiological properties of morphologically identified HVc neurons**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type (n)*</th>
<th>Resting potential, mV</th>
<th>$R_{input}$, MΩ</th>
<th>Spontaneous firing rate, Hz</th>
<th>Evoked firing rate, Hz/nA</th>
<th>Spike width, msec</th>
<th>AHP, mV</th>
<th>Latency to first spike, msec (number of spike onsets; +400 and +600 pA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X-projecting (16)</td>
<td>−76 ± 2</td>
<td>48 ± 6</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.4 (14)</td>
<td>42 ± 2</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>14.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>28 ± 10 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA-projecting (10)</td>
<td>−78 ± 3</td>
<td>50 ± 10 (9)</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.4 (8)</td>
<td>24 ± 6 (9)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.4 (9)</td>
<td>13.4 ± 1.9 (9)</td>
<td>172 ± 67 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interneuron (11)</td>
<td>−66 ± 2</td>
<td>44 ± 6</td>
<td>12.0 ± 4.3** (6)</td>
<td>172 ± 22</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.06</td>
<td>17.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>67 (14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*pValues in bold are significantly different from similar values in the other cell types.

**Data analysis**

*Numbers in this column apply to all other columns, except as noted in parentheses.

**RESULTS**

**Auditory sensitivity.** In the resting state, the magnitude of the subthreshold depolarizing responsiveness of HVc cells is strongly correlated with their spontaneous firing rate. HVc neurons were classified into two broad categories on the basis of their spontaneous firing rate: high-firing (HFR, >10 Hz) and low-firing (LFR, <10 Hz). HFR neurons were hyperpolarized by ~38 ± 12 mV per spike, whereas LFR neurons were hyperpolarized by ~11 ± 2 mV per spike. In contrast, the magnitude of the subthreshold hyperpolarizing responsiveness of HVc cells is weakly correlated with their spontaneous firing rate. HVc cells with a spontaneous firing rate of >10 Hz (HFR) were hyperpolarized by ~17 ± 5 mV per spike, whereas those with a spontaneous firing rate of <10 Hz (LFR) were hyperpolarized by ~9 ± 2 mV per spike. These results are consistent with the idea that the magnitude of the subthreshold depolarizing responsiveness of HVc cells is strongly correlated with their spontaneous firing rate, whereas the magnitude of the subthreshold hyperpolarizing responsiveness of HVc cells is weakly correlated with their spontaneous firing rate.

**Auditory-driven tuning.** In the resting state, the magnitude of the subthreshold depolarizing responsiveness of HVc cells is strongly correlated with their spontaneous firing rate. HVc neurons were classified into two broad categories on the basis of their spontaneous firing rate: high-firing (HFR, >10 Hz) and low-firing (LFR, <10 Hz). HFR neurons were hyperpolarized by ~38 ± 12 mV per spike, whereas LFR neurons were hyperpolarized by ~11 ± 2 mV per spike. In contrast, the magnitude of the subthreshold hyperpolarizing responsiveness of HVc cells is weakly correlated with their spontaneous firing rate. HVc cells with a spontaneous firing rate of >10 Hz (HFR) were hyperpolarized by ~17 ± 5 mV per spike, whereas those with a spontaneous firing rate of <10 Hz (LFR) were hyperpolarized by ~9 ± 2 mV per spike. These results are consistent with the idea that the magnitude of the subthreshold depolarizing responsiveness of HVc cells is strongly correlated with their spontaneous firing rate, whereas the magnitude of the subthreshold hyperpolarizing responsiveness of HVc cells is weakly correlated with their spontaneous firing rate.
Figure 1. The intrinsic properties of morphologically identified HVc cell types studied using in vivo intracellular techniques (see also Table 1). A1–A3, Typical membrane potential responses (top, upper, and middle traces) of each of the three morphologically distinct HVc neuron types to hyperpolarizing (~400 pA) and depolarizing (+600 pA) current pulses (bottom trace; 1 sec duration) applied via the recording electrode. Note the high-frequency firing of the interneuron and the highly irregular firing of the RA-projecting cell.

B1–B3, Frequency histograms of instantaneous firing frequencies in response to a +600 pA pulse (1 sec duration) for each cell type. Histograms were generated from all interspike frequencies measured for a given cell class (n = 16 X-projecting, 9 RA-projecting, and 11 interneurons). B4, The cumulative distribution of these instantaneous spike frequencies (Figure legend continues.)
stimulus. Average $R_{supr}$ or $R_{subb}$ were computed for 10–30 stimulus iterations. Significance was determined with paired $t$ tests comparing stimulus-evoked suprathreshold, subthreshold depolarizing or subthreshold hyperpolarizing responses to corresponding baseline measures.

To compare suprathreshold and subthreshold responses, response strengths were expressed as $z$-scores. The suprathreshold $z$-score ($Z_{supr}$) is given by the difference between the average firing rate during stimulus presentation and $R$ during a 1.5-s prebaseline period before stimulus presentation, divided by the SD of this difference:

$$Z_{supr} = \frac{R_{FR} - \mu_{FR}}{\sigma_{FR}}$$

where $R_{FR}$ is the mean firing rate during the stimulus, $\mu_{FR}$ is the mean firing rate during the baseline period, and the denominator is the SD of $R_{FR}$. For nonspiking cells and median-filtered spiking cells, the subthreshold $z$-score ($Z_{subb}$) is given by the difference between the average area during stimulus presentation and that during baseline, divided by the SD of this difference. The $Z_{supr}$ formula is the same as that for $Z_{supr}$, with substitutions of $R_{subb}$ for $R_{FR}$, where $R_{subb}$ is the mean deviation in $V_m$ (from the baseline mode, calculated separately for negative or positive area, as stated above) during some presentation and $R$ is the mean deviation in $V_m$ during baseline; the denominator is the SD of $R_{subb} - R_{baseline}$.

The selectivity of a given neuron for forward over reverse BOS playback was measured using the psychophysical metric $d'$ (Green and Swets, 1966), which estimates discriminability between two stimuli. A neuron's responsiveness to forward and reverse BOS stimuli was calculated using $d'$, where $d'$ is the mean deviation in $V_m$ of HVc neurons had distinct intrinsic properties (Dutar et al., 1998). The intrinsic electrophysiological properties $d'$ of HVc neurons (morphologically divided into sequential segments 250 points in length (25 ms at the 10 kHz sampling rate), and the average of these 250 points was calculated to yield a single point every 25 ms, equaling the temporal resolution of the PSTH). In each case, the cross-correlation analysis was performed on data collected during forward BOS playback. Each cross-correlation was performed using data collected from a single-cell pair within a single bird, each resulting cross-correlation was normalized to its peak value, and the normalized values from a given pair type (e.g., RA-projecting vs X-projecting) were then averaged across all pairs that were obtained (i.e., across birds).

**Histology.** Cells were filled with neurobiotin using positive currents (+0.5 to +1 nA; 500 msec at 1 Hz). After the recording session, birds were deeply anesthetized with equithesin and transectionally perfused with 0.9% saline for 3 min, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 25 mM sodium phosphate buffer for 30 min. Brains were removed and post-fixed in 4% PFA with 30% sucrose overnight, blocked sagittally, and sectioned on a freezing microtome at 60 µm. Sections either were processed using a standard HRP-DAB reaction technique (see Kettlerberger and Mooney, 1999) or occasionally were visualized using avidin–Oregon green (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR; sections were incubated overnight at 4°C in a 1:500 dilution of avidin–Oregon green and 0.4% Triton X-100 in 0.2 M PBS, rinsed for four times for 20 min each in PBS, mounted, and coverslipped). Camera lucida drawings were made using both 10× and 63× (Zeiss; 1.3 NA) objectives. Cell bodies were traced under the 63× objective, and their diameters were measured along the major axis of the cell body using an eyepiece reticle. A neuron's dendritic extent also was estimated using the eyepiece reticle and was measured from the middle of the cell body to the tip of the longest dendrite in the same section. Only DAB-stained BOS were used for dendritic measurements; because of this, as well as some incomplete fills, only a subset of labeled cells were included for this analysis.

## RESULTS

Intracellular recordings were made in the HVc of urethane-anesthetized adult male zebra finches to (1) establish the relationship between an HVc neuron's intrinsic electrophysiological properties and its morphological type, (2) use this information to determine which HVc neuron types are auditory, (3) examine the subthreshold events that contribute to song-selective action potential responses in different HVc neurons, and (4) enable within-bird comparisons between the song-evoked responses in different HVc neuron types.

**Intrinsic properties of morphologically identified HVc neurons.**

Previous *in vitro* studies indicated that different morphological classes of HVc neurons in adult male zebra finches possess distinct intrinsic electrophysiological properties (Dutar et al., 1998). The existence of similar distinctions *in vivo* would enable sorting of the cells to class on the basis of their intrinsic properties alone, especially advantageous when intracellular recordings are too brief to afford good staining (<15 min) or when many cells are impaled and filled in a single nucleus, making an unambiguous assignment of an electrophysiological record to a dye-filled cell difficult. Therefore, the *in vivo* intrinsic properties of HVc neurons (morphologically identified post hoc via intracellular neurobiotin staining; see below) were first characterized by collecting spontaneous membrane potential records and by injecting them with hyperpolarizing and depolarizing currents (Fig. 1A; $n = 16$ X-projecting, 10 RA-projecting, and 11 interneurons). The three morphological classes of HVc neurons had distinct intrinsic properties *in vivo*, differing in the distributions of their instantaneous spike frequencies in response to similar injected currents (Fig. 1A–C), their average firing frequencies in response to depolarizing current pulses (Fig. 1D), their spontaneous firing rates, their action potential widths, the amplitude of their individual spike afterhyperpolarizations (AHPs), and the onset latencies to their first action potential during a depolarizing pulse (Table 1). Interneurons were readily distinguished from the two relay cell types by their extremely high-frequency, nonadapting action potential trains in response to depolarizing pulses (Fig. 1A–C), their markedly steeper average firing frequencies in response to these injected currents (Fig. 1D, Table 1), their narrower action potential widths, their larger spike...
AHPs, and their higher rates of spontaneous firing (Table 1). X-projecting neurons also fired relatively regular action potential trains when depolarized (Fig. 1A), but with moderate spike frequency adaptation over the first 5–10 spikes (Fig. 1C), a shallower current-to-spike-frequency relationship (Fig. 1D, Table 1), broader action potentials, and lower rates of spontaneous firing (Table 1). Finally, unlike X-projecting neurons, RA-projecting neurons exhibited highly variable (Fig. 1A,C) spike timing during depolarization.

Figure 2. Schematic of the song system, the connectivity of HVc, and the morphology of HVc relay cell types revealed via in vivo intracellular staining with neurobiotin. A, A sagittal view of the song system, as well as the ascending auditory pathway (shown in highly abbreviated form by the dashed line) that provides auditory input to HVc. HVc contains two relay cell populations: the first (shown in red) projects to the vocal premotor nucleus RA, which innervates the hypoglossal motor neurons and respiratory premotor neurons used for singing, and the second (shown in blue) projects to the basal ganglia homolog area X, giving rise to the anterior forebrain pathway, which includes the thalamic nucleus DLM and the anterior telencephalic nucleus LMAN, which in turn innervates RA. Besides the two relay cell types, HVc contains local interneurons (shown as a small, dark blue cell in the inset). Field L, the primary telencephalic thalamorecipient auditory area, is the likely source of auditory input to HVc, either directly or indirectly via NIf, a sensorimotor structure that is a major afferent to HVc (see Janata and Margoliash, 1999), and/or via an interposed area (the "shelf") that is contiguous to the ventral border of HVc. B, A flowchart depicting the major functional divisions in the forebrain song control circuitry that arise from the two relay cell populations in HVc, including the vocal motor pathway (red) and the anterior forebrain pathway (blue). C, Representative examples of the HVc relay cell types that served as the focus of this study. Camera lucida reconstructions of RA-projecting and X-projecting neurons that were stained with neurobiotin using in vivo intracellular techniques are shown. These reconstructions (drawn under a 63× objective, 1.3 nA) show the cell body and dendrites in black and the main axon and local axon collaterals in red. The low-power (2.5× objective) drawings show the path of the main axon of the given relay cell type traveling from the parent cell body to the postsynaptic target (i.e., nucleus RA or area X). Both cell types had spinous dendrites and extensive local axon collaterals. Scale bar: high power, 30 μm; low power, 750 μm; sections are in the sagittal orientation. DLM, medial nucleus of the dorsolateral thalamus; HVc (used as a proper name); L, field L; LMAN, lateral magnocellular nucleus of the anterior neostriatum; nAM, nucleus ambiguus; NIf, nucleus interfacialis; nRAm, nucleus retroambigualis; nXIIts, tracheosyringeal portion of the hypoglossal nucleus; Ov, nucleus ovoidalis; RA, robust nucleus of the archistriatum; X, area X.
ing current injection; these evoked responses could include very high-frequency bursts (>200 Hz; Fig. 1A,B). In further contrast to both X-projecting neurons and interneurons, RA-projecting HVc neurons displayed firing behavior that could be described as “sluggish,” as reflected in their significantly longer and more variable latencies to first spike onset when injected with positive current (Table 1) and the trends these cells manifested toward both the lowest average current-to-frequency relationship (Fig. 1D, Table 1) and the lowest mean rates of spontaneous firing (Table 1). In practice, this sluggish behavior along with the highly irregular action potential discharge of RA-projecting neurons in response to moderate positive currents (approximately 1500 pA) was the most useful feature for distinguishing them from X-projecting neurons on-line, whereas the fast-spiking behavior of interneurons enabled them to be readily distinguished from the two relay cell classes. Input resistances, as well as resting potentials, were not significantly different for the three cell classes (Table 1).

**Morphology**

In vivo intracellular neurobiotin staining revealed that the two HVc relay cell types differed morphologically from one another, as well as from interneurons (see Figs. 2–4). Differences among all three cell types included the presence or absence of a projection axon, the projection axon’s termination, cell body size, dendritic extent, and the relative abundance or absence of dendritic spines. These differences are very similar to those that have been noted in previous morphological analyses of HVc neurons (Nixdorf et al., 1989; Fortune and Margoliash, 1995; Benton et al., 1998).

Figure 3. A camera lucida reconstruction of the two types of HVc interneurons studied here. A. The most common interneuron encountered here had varicose processes, without a distinct axon, and a small cell body (10/11 cells). B. This cell is an HVc interneuron with a morphology distinct from that of the more common type; it had smooth rather than beaded dendrites and fine axon collaterals that were restricted to HVc. Both were fast-spiking cells and had otherwise similar electrophysiological properties (see Results). Scale bar, 30 μm.
ventrally into the archistriatum after leaving HVC and then ran rostrally along the ventral floor of the telencephalon toward area X. Both of these ventral-going axons followed a more medial trajectory in their descent from HVC and were medial to RA at the point they initiated their rostral turn toward area X. In all RA-projecting neurons (10/10 cells), the main axon traveled ballistically in a caudal and ventral direction via the tractus dorsalis archistriatalis to RA (Fig. 2C). Both relay cell types elaborated extensive axon collaterals within HVC, indicating that they are likely to function as local circuit neurons in HVC as well as serving as relay cells to other song nuclei (Fig. 2C). In contrast to the two relay cell types, HVC interneurons had processes entirely restricted to HVC; usually, an interneuron’s dendrites and axons were difficult to distinguish from one another (Figs. 3, 4).

In agreement with previous studies indicating that X-projecting neurons have larger cell bodies than other HVC neuron types (Paton et al., 1985; Alvarez-Buylla et al., 1998), the cell body diameters of X-projecting neurons stained here were larger than those of interneurons and RA-projecting neurons (soma diameters: X-projecting, 23.0 ± 0.9 μm; RA-projecting, 16.8 ± 0.8 μm; n = 10; Xp > Int, p < 0.005; Xp > RAp, p < 0.0001; unpaired t test). Qualitatively, the cell bodies of RA-projecting neurons had more rounded profiles than those of both X-projecting neurons and interneurons, which tended to be polyhedral or fusiform in shape. Both relay cell types had spinous dendrites, but the dendrites of RA-projecting neurons were more compact (Fig. 2; mean of greatest radial dendritic extent: X-projecting, 168 ± 4 μm; RA-projecting, 123 ± 0.9 μm; n = 6 cells; p < 0.001, unpaired t test) and were thinner and more sparsely spined (Figs. 2, 4). The RA-projecting neurons stained here strongly resemble the short dendrite class of HVC neurons described in the canary HVC, which were tentatively classified from Golgi-impregnated material as RA-projecting on the basis of their small soma sizes (Nixdorf et al., 1989). In contrast to the two relay cell types, all 11 HVC interneurons had aspiny processes. The processes of 10 of the 11 interneurons had swellings, or varicosities, along their lengths (Figs. 3A, 4C). Swellings on some interneuronal processes could be seen in close apposition to other cell bodies, suggestive of axosomatic synapses (Fig. 4D). The one remaining interneuron had smooth dendrites, which were readily distinguished from a thinner axon and its very fine collaterals (Fig. 3B). This cell had the highest average firing-frequency-to-current relationship of any interneuron measured (~390 Hz/μA), although its action potential width, AHP height, input resistance, and resting potential fell within the range described for the other 10 interneurons. The combination of fastspiking electrophysiological properties and varicose, aspiny dendrites seen in HVC interneurons is reminiscent of inhibitory interneurons that have been described in other song areas (Spiro et al., 1994; Radiy, 1999) and in the mammalian telencephalon (Azouz et al., 1997; Thomson and Deuchard, 1997).

Auditory responses of identified HVC neurons

In vivo intracellular recordings were made from a total of 172 neurons situated within and around HVC. Many of these cells were held too briefly to characterize their auditory responses or to identify the cell type. Sixty neurons were sorted unambiguously to type relying on both morphological and electrophysiological criteria, and their auditory responses were characterized using forward and reverse playback of the BOS. These identified HVC neurons included 20 X-projecting neurons (all confirmed morphologically), 23 RA-projecting neurons (11 confirmed morphologically), and 17 interneurons (7 confirmed morphologically).

Previous extracellular studies have shown that the BOS is a potent auditory stimulus for many HVC neurons (Sutter and Margoliash, 1994; Volman, 1996). Here, all identified HVC neuron types were found to respond robustly to forward playback of the BOS, firing significantly above the baseline rate during presentation of the stimulus (firing rate during BOS > baseline firing rate, p < 0.05, 17/20 X-projecting neurons; 15/23 RA-projecting neurons; 14/17 interneurons). Representative responses to BOS playback are shown for each cell type in Figure 5, which includes individual raw current-clamp records collected during five consecutive playbacks of the BOS. These individual traces were used to construct both the action potential PSTHs as well as the median-filtered, averaged membrane potential records to quantify a neuron’s subthreshold and suprathreshold responses to song playback (see Materials and Methods).

Several features distinguished the song-evoked auditory responses of the three HVC cell types (Fig. 5). First, the majority of BOS-evoked action potential responses in both relay cell classes were phasic, occurring at restricted points during a motif (see Figs. 5, 6, 8A,B, top; 10/17 X-projecting neurons and 9/15 RA-projecting neurons that fired significantly to forward BOS did so in a phasic manner). In contrast, almost all interneurons exhibited BOS playback-evoked action potential responses that were sustained throughout the stimulus, or throughout each motif (see Figs. 5, 6; 12/14 interneurons that responded significantly to forward BOS fired in a sustained manner to the stimulus). Second, all RA-projecting neurons encountered here showed robust subthreshold responses to BOS playback (i.e., the BOS-evoked depolarizing response > spontaneous depolarizations before playback; p < 0.05; 23/23 cells). Even the eight RA-projecting neurons that did not show elevated firing to BOS playback (including three cells that evinced neither spontaneous nor stimulus-evoked firing) still exhibited significant subthreshold depolarizing responses to BOS. Third, the subthreshold responses of RA-projecting neurons were always strongly depolarizing from rest (23/23 cells), in contrast to those of X-projecting cells, which could include hyperpolarizing (7/20 cells; p < 0.05) or depolarizing (8/20 cells; p < 0.05) components (see Materials and Methods and below). These recordings demonstrate that all three HVC cell types have auditory responses
Figure 5. Representative responses of identified HVc neurons to auditory presentation (playback) of the BOS. For each cell type (X-projecting, RA-projecting, and interneuron), the top five traces in each panel are the individual current-clamp records collected during five consecutive BOS playbacks (shown as an oscillogram at the bottom of each panel and preceded by a 1.5 sec silent period). Immediately below the current-clamp records are the PSTHs (spikes) of spiking activity and the median-filtered, average membrane potential generated from the raw traces ($V_m$; see Materials and Methods). For both the X-projecting and RA-projecting neurons shown here, song-evoked action potential responses were highly phasic, consisting of short bursts of action potentials, whereas the accompanying subthreshold changes in membrane potential were distinctly different; spiking in the X-projecting neuron was preceded by membrane hyperpolarization below the resting membrane potential, whereas spiking in the RA-projecting neuron was preceded by depolarization above its resting membrane potential. In contrast to the phasic responses of the two relay cells, the interneuron displayed more sustained action potential discharge throughout BOS playback (spike height in this example was truncated because of capacitive filtering, which more greatly attenuated action potential height in these fast-spiking cells than in relay cells). All of these cells were morphologically identified after the recording session using intracellular neurobiotin staining and were collected from three different animals.
ward song, with little subthreshold responsiveness to reverse BOS playback. In contrast, the RA-projecting neuron displayed substantial subthreshold depolarizations to reverse as well as forward BOS playback.

**Relationships between subthreshold and suprathreshold selectivity**

As a first step toward identifying differences in the subthreshold auditory responsiveness of the three HVc neuron types, their subthreshold depolarizations and firing-rate responses to forward and reverse BOS playback were measured. Z-scores were calculated for depolarizing (positive) subthreshold areas (see Materials and Methods) and firing-rate responses to forward and reverse BOS for individual neurons of each HVc neuron class. For individual cells, either subthreshold area or firing-rate z-scores for forward BOS responses were plotted against the same measures of reverse BOS responses. These comparisons revealed that subthreshold versus suprathreshold responsiveness varied systematically according to HVc cell type (Fig. 7A). Both X-projecting neurons and interneurons exhibited firing-rate responses biased to forward BOS, without a similar bias in their depolarizing subthreshold responses. In contrast, RA-projecting neurons displayed firing-rate and depolarizing subthreshold responses biased to forward over reverse BOS playback (see Fig. 7 and legend for statistical tests of significance).

The different patterns of subthreshold and suprathreshold responsiveness of the three HVc neuron types to forward and reverse BOS playback suggest that X-projecting neurons and interneurons produce more selective action potential output than would be predicted from their depolarizing subthreshold responses alone. To confirm that this was indeed the case, subthreshold and firing-rate $d'$ statistics (see Materials and Methods) were computed to allow direct comparisons of subthreshold versus firing-rate selectivity within single HVc neurons of a given class. Plotting $d'$ values for depolarizing subthreshold responses (area) against those for firing rate revealed that selectivity was greater at a firing-rate level than at a subthreshold level for X-projecting neurons and interneurons but lower at a firing-rate than subthreshold level for RA-projecting neurons (Fig. 7B; see figure and legend for relevant statistics). At a population level, mean $d'$ values for firing rate in the three HVc cell types greatly exceeded 0.7 (i.e., were selective for forward over reverse BOS playback), with the two relay cell classes displaying almost identical absolute levels of firing-rate selectivity (mean $d'$, X-projecting, 1.35 ± 0.26; RA-projecting, 1.34 ± 0.17; interneuron, 3.05 ± 0.44). In almost every case, selectivity stemmed from an augmentation of firing rate in response to forward BOS either alone or in combination with firing-rate suppression to reverse BOS, rather than only a suppression of firing rate in response to a change in song type. These selective responses were plotted against absolute-peak-to-peak differences in the stimulus content (i.e., forward BOS, 18/18 X-projecting neurons; 15/15 RA-projecting neurons; 14/15 interneurons). In contrast, significantly elevated firing-rate responses to reverse BOS playback were rare in all song-selective HVc neurons ($p < 0.05$; 0/18 X-projecting neurons; 1/15 RA-projecting neurons; 3/14 interneurons).

**Membrane potential effects on BOS-evoked subthreshold and action potential responses**

BOS playback could elicit hyperpolarizing responses in some X-projecting neurons (Figs. 5, 6) (see also Lewicki, 1996), while evoking exclusively depolarizing responses in RA-projecting neurons (Figs. 5, 6). To test whether different patterns of song-evoked inhibition and excitation distinguish song-selective responses in the two HVc relay cell types, tonic-positive current was injected via the recording electrode to depolarize the resting membrane potential of individual HVc neurons during song playback (Fig. 8). These membrane potential manipulations were used to unmask or augment potentially latent song-evoked inhibition and to determine whether subthreshold depolarizations that occurred at the cell's normal resting potential were excitatory or reversed inhibitory events. BOS-evoked excitation and inhibition were assessed by

---

**Song selectivity**

Birdsongs vary in their temporal as well as spectral organization. Previous extracellular studies have shown that many HVc neurons are sensitive to time-varying acoustical features of the bird's own song, firing selectively for forward over reverse BOS playback (these two stimuli contain the same spectral energy but differ in their temporal organization) (Margoliash, 1986; Lewicki and Arthur, 1996; Volman, 1996; Theunissen and Doupe, 1998). Here, intracellular recordings confirmed that all three HVc neuron types could fire selectively for forward over reverse BOS playback [Fig. 6; $d' > 0.7$, 18/20 X-projecting neurons; 15/23 RA-projecting neurons; 15/17 interneurons (one interneuron that did not display significant firing-rate elevation to forward BOS did display significant firing-rate suppression to reverse BOS)].

The median-filtered average membrane potential traces in the three cells shown in Figure 6 illustrate that distinct subthreshold membrane potential responses accompanied their song-selective firing. For example, the interneuron and the X-projecting cell underwent depolarizing and hyperpolarizing movements to forward over reverse BOS playback, shown as oscillograms at the bottom of the figure. For the X-projecting neuron, slight membrane hyperpolarization and highly phasic subthreshold depolarizations were evoked by forward BOS playback, with only minor subthreshold depolarizations accompanying reverse BOS playback. For the RA-projecting neuron, both forward and reverse BOS playback elicited subthreshold depolarizations, although only forward BOS evoked a significantly elevated action potential response. For the interneuron, only forward BOS playback elicited subthreshold or suprathreshold responses. The X-projecting neuron and interneuron were identified morphologically, whereas the RA-projecting cell was identified on the basis of its intrinsic electrophysiological properties.

---

**Figure 6.** In HVc, X-projecting and RA-projecting neurons as well as interneurons are song selective, displaying enhanced action potential firing to forward over reverse BOS playback. For each cell type, the PSTHs (spikes/bin) and median-filtered averaged membrane potential traces (V m (mV)) are shown. These three cells were collected from a single bird; responses are to 20 iterations each of the forward and reverse BOS playback, shown as oscillograms at the bottom of the figure. For the X-projecting neuron, slight membrane hyperpolarization and highly phasic subthreshold depolarizations were evoked by forward BOS playback, with only minor subthreshold depolarizations accompanying reverse BOS playback. For the RA-projecting neuron, both forward and reverse BOS playback elicited subthreshold depolarizations, although only forward BOS evoked a significantly elevated action potential response. For the interneuron, only forward BOS playback elicited subthreshold or suprathreshold responses. The X-projecting neuron and interneuron were identified morphologically, whereas the RA-projecting cell was identified on the basis of its intrinsic electrophysiological properties.
measuring both subthreshold and firing-rate responses for the two types of relay cells at their normal resting potentials and when the cells were tonically depolarized. To measure subthreshold depolarizations and hyperpolarizations, positive and negative areas between the median-filtered average membrane potential traces and the prestimulus baseline mode potential were estimated before and during playback, and the positive or negative prestimulus area was then subtracted from the stimulus-evoked area of the same sign to yield net subthreshold responses (see Materials and Methods; Fig. 9). In parallel to these subthreshold measurements, firing rates to forward and reverse BOS playback relative to baseline rates were calculated at the two different membrane potentials. Manipulating membrane potential in this way revealed different patterns of subthreshold activity accompanied by firing-rate suppression or augmentation in the two cell types (Figs. 8, 9).

Tonic depolarization of X-projecting neurons resulted in periods of pronounced membrane hyperpolarization to forward BOS playback that were paralleled by action potential suppression, suggestive of an inhibitory synaptic mechanism (Fig. 8A). For X-projecting neurons at their normal resting potentials, forward BOS playback could evoke either net depolarizing (4/11 cells; \( p < 0.05 \)) or net hyperpolarizing (3/11 cells; \( p < 0.05 \)) subthreshold responses relative to baseline fluctuations in membrane potential (Fig. 9A). Tonically depolarizing these cells (\( V_{\text{normal}} = -76.5 \pm 2.1 \) mV vs \( V_{\text{depolarized}} = -57.6 \pm 3.5 \) mV; \( p < 0.001 \); \( n = 11 \) cells) strongly augmented BOS-evoked hyperpolarization, such that all 11 cells displayed only net hyperpolarizing responses to forward BOS playback (Fig. 9A; net hyperpolarizing, \( p < 0.05 \); 11/11 cells; net depolarizing, \( p < 0.05 \); 0/11 cells; see Fig. 9 legend for mean \( z \)-scores for negative and positive areas). Although highly phasic depolarizing responses to forward BOS could still persist during tonic-positive current injection (Fig. 8A; \( \pm 2.7 \) sec), they failed to exceed spontaneous baseline depolarizations in total area. Subthreshold responses of any kind to reverse BOS were rare, and tonic depolarization did not unmask latent inhibition (or excitation) evoked by this stimulus (Fig. 8A; population data not shown; net depolarizing, \( p < 0.05 \); 2/11 cells at normal \( V_m \) and 1/11 cells at positive \( V_m \); net hyperpolarizing, \( p < 0.05 \); 2/11 cells at normal \( V_m \) and 1/11 cells at positive \( V_m \)).

In X-projecting neurons, the subthreshold effects of altering membrane potential were paralleled by changes in BOS-evoked firing (Figs. 8A, 9B). At normal resting membrane potentials, significant firing-rate increases above baseline accompanied forward BOS playback in all 11 cells, whereas only one of these cells displayed a significant suprathreshold response to reverse BOS, which consisted of firing-rate suppression. During tonic depolarization, forward BOS playback evoked either firing-rate suppression (BOS-evoked firing rate < baseline firing rate; \( p < 0.05 \); 5/11
Figure 8. Tonic depolarization unmasked latent inhibition evoked by forward BOS playback in an X-projecting neuron and latent excitation to forward and reverse BOS playback in a RA-projecting neuron. The PSTHs and median-filtered average membrane potential traces were generated from current-clamp records obtained during 20 iterations of forward and reverse BOS playback (oscillograms at bottom of each panel), presented either at the cell’s unmanipulated resting membrane potential (control) or with tonic depolarizing current applied via the recording electrode to positively shift the cell’s membrane potential (depolarized). 4. For an X-projecting neuron at its normal resting potential, forward BOS playback evoked a highly phasic action potential response that was paralleled by a similarly phasic subthreshold depolarization. In the tonically depolarized state, forward BOS playback evoked firing-rate suppression paralleled by membrane hyperpolarization, suggestive of a previously latent inhibitory mechanism. Reverse BOS playback did not evoke subthreshold or suprathreshold responses to playback at either the control or depolarized membrane potentials. 5. For a RA-projecting neuron observed at its unmanipulated resting potential, highly phasic action potential responses occurred only in response to forward BOS playback, but pronounced and sustained subthreshold depolarizations were evoked by both forward and reverse BOS. Tonic depolarization resulted in elevated action potential discharge to both forward and reverse BOS playback, indicating that the subthreshold depolarizations seen at the normal resting potential were latent excitatory events. Note that the subthreshold traces in the depolarized state do not reveal the BOS-evoked hyperpolarization seen in the X-projecting neuron. Population data for these effects are shown below (see Fig. 9).
Figure 9. Population analyses of the effects of tonic depolarization on subthreshold responses in the two relay cell types indicate that X-projecting neurons display a mixture of inhibition and excitation evoked primarily by forward BOS, whereas RA-projecting neurons display predominantly excitatory responses to both forward and reverse BOS. A, Top, An example of how the positive and negative subthreshold responses to forward and reverse BOS playback were measured is shown. Positive or negative z-scores were generated from positive or negative integrals calculated relative to a baseline mode value, either before or during stimulus presentation; the prestimulus positive area was then subtracted from the BOS-evoked positive area to generate a positive area score (lightly shaded; values < 0 correspond to a net increase in positivity during stimulation). A similar subtraction was performed with the negative integrals, which were then multiplied by -1 to generate a negative area score (darkly shaded; values > 0 correspond to a net increase in negativity during playback). Bottom Left, The means (± SEM) of the subthreshold positive and negative area z-scores for X-projecting neurons in their control and tonically depolarized states (Vcontrol = -76.5 ± 2.1 mV vs Vdepolarized = -57.6 ± 3.5 mV; p < 0.001; n = 11 cells) are shown. Depolarization altered the responses of X-projecting neurons so that they were net negative. Positive area: ZareaBOScontrol = 0.42 ± 0.27; ZareaBOSdepolarized = -1.25 ± 0.35; p < 0.01, paired t test. Negative areas: ZareaBOScontrol = -0.41 ± 0.38; ZareaBOSdepolarized = -1.58 ± 0.20; p < 0.05, paired t test. Bottom Right, Subthreshold positive and negative area z-scores (± SEM) for RA-projecting neurons in their control and tonically depolarized states (Vcontrol = -79.6 ± 2.8 mV vs Vdepolarized = -57.4 ± 3.2 mV; p < 0.0001; n = 8 cells) are shown. Mean responses were highly positive in either state. Positive areas: ZareaBOScontrol = 3.1 ± 0.55; ZareaBOSdepolarized = 1.87 ± 0.38; p < 0.05, paired t test. Negative areas: ZareaBOScontrol = 1.23 ± 0.13; ZareaBOSdepolarized = -0.11 ± 0.76; p = 0.16, paired t test. B, Top, In X-projecting neurons, tonic depolarization significantly depressed firing rates to forward BOS playback, without affecting firing-rate responses to reverse BOS playback. Mean firing rates: ZcontrolBOS = 0.97 ± 0.10 versus ZdepolarizedBOS = -0.73 ± 0.34; p < 0.001, paired t test; ZcontrolBOSrev = -0.09 ± 0.12 versus ZdepolarizedBOSrev = 0.12 ± 0.19; p = 0.24; n = 11 cells. Bottom, In RA-projecting neurons, tonic depolarization resulted in a significantly elevated firing-rate response to reverse BOS playback and a trend toward elevated firing to forward BOS playback. Mean firing rates: ZcontrolBOS = 1.01 ± 0.15; ZcontrolBOSrev = 2.34 ± 0.60; p = 0.12, paired t test; ZdepolarizedBOS = 0.14 ± 0.19; ZdepolarizedBOSrev = 1.47 ± 0.29; p < 0.01; n = 6 cells (1 of the 7 cells was depolarized strongly enough to inactivate spiking totally and thus is not included here; also note that only a significant effect on reverse BOS was observed but that the mean response to forward BOS more than doubled in the depolarized state).
mean firing-rate selectivity ($d'_{\text{normal}} = 1.65 \pm 0.22$ vs $d'_{\text{depolarized}} = -1.21 \pm 0.52; p < 0.005$, paired $t$ test), whereas in RA-projecting neurons, mean firing-rate selectivity did not vary with membrane potential ($d'_{\text{normal}} = 1.47 \pm 0.21; d'_{\text{depolarized}} = 1.54 \pm 0.27; p = 0.85$, paired $t$ test; membrane potential values in the two states are those given in the previous section and are in the legend for Fig. 10). On a single-cell level, membrane potential manipulations decreased the $d'$ values of all X-projecting neurons. At their normal membrane potentials, 11/11 X-projecting neurons had $d'$ values $>0.7$, whereas in the tonically depolarized state, 9/11 cells had $d'$ values $<0.7$ (6/9 cells; $d' < -0.7$), and the two other cells that maintained $d'$ values $>0.7$ still exhibited lower $d'$ values when depolarized. In contrast, the six RA-projecting neurons that were (positively) selective at their normal resting membrane potentials maintained selectivity during depolarization ($d'_{\text{normal}} = 0.54; d'_{\text{depolarized}} = 2.1$). At a population level, these different effects on selectivity were caused by the distinctly different effects that depolarization had on firing rate to forward or reverse BOS playback, as noted previously (Figs. 8, 9). For X-projecting neurons, the specific membrane potential-dependent changes to forward but not reverse BOS responses resulted in altered selectivity, whereas for RA-projecting neurons, the parallel changes in forward and reverse BOS responses resulted in equivalent selectivity at the two membrane potentials.

**Hyperpolarizing subthreshold and firing-rate responses in X-projecting neurons**

In tonically depolarized X-projecting neurons, the pronounced hyperpolarizations evoked by forward but not reverse BOS playback suggest that these cells receive highly song-selective inhibitory
Figure 12. Within-bird comparisons of action potential responses and subthreshold responses in different HVc neuron types to forward BOS playback. A, The action potential PSTHs (top) and median-filtered averaged membrane potential responses (middle) aligned to forward BOS playback (oscillogram at bottom) of an X-projecting neuron and interneuron that were recorded sequentially from a single bird. Note that the periods of greatest firing-rate elevation in the interneuron (marked by asterisks) coincide with maximal firing-rate suppression and membrane hyperpolarization in the X-projecting neuron and that the subthreshold responses of the two cell types move in a mirror-symmetrical manner. B, The action potential PSTHs (top, second from bottom) and median-filtered averaged membrane potential responses (second from top) aligned to forward BOS playback of an X-projecting neuron, an RA-projecting neuron, and an interneuron that were recorded sequentially from a single bird. Note that (1) BOS-evoked firing of the RA-projecting neuron (marked by asterisks) alternates with firing of the X-projecting neuron, (2) the subthreshold responses of the two relay cells move in opposite directions relative to their resting potential (i.e., depolarizing for the RA-projecting cell and hyperpolarizing for the X-projecting cell), and (3) the song-evoked elevation in the interneuron firing closely coincides with when the RA-projecting cell is firing and when the period of maximal firing-rate suppression and membrane hyperpolarization occurs in the X-projecting neuron. PSTHs were generated using a 25 msec bin width; all responses shown are to 20 iterations of the stimulus.

Figure 13. Cross-correlation analysis shows that, during BOS playback, peak interneuron firing closely coincides with the maximum hyperpolarization of X-projecting neurons, whereas the firing of RA-projecting and X-projecting neurons is separated by long time intervals, and the firing of RA-projecting neurons and interneurons is separated by shorter intervals. The relative spike timing and/or membrane polarity during BOS playback was quantified by generating cross-correlograms from the action potential PSTHs of RA-projecting and X-projecting HVc neurons (open columns), the action potential PSTHs of RA-projecting neurons and interneurons (shaded columns), or the interneuron action potential PSTHs and X-projecting neuronal membrane potential (V_m, averaged over 25 msec intervals; joined circles). Cross-correlation values were generated from pairwise comparisons between two cells recorded sequentially within a single bird and then normalized to peak and averaged across all pairs of a given type (i.e., across birds; RA-projecting vs X-projecting, 6 pairs in 6 birds; RA-projecting vs interneurons, 4 pairs in 4 birds; interneurons vs X-projecting V_m, 6 pairs in 6 birds; see Materials and Methods). Time values are expressed in the bin widths of the PSTHs (i.e., 25 msec); note that the different scales of the two y-axes refer to different pairwise comparisons.

input. To explore this idea further, the relationship between subthreshold hyperpolarizing responses and firing-rate selectivity was examined for X-projecting neurons. First, as performed previously for depolarizing responses, subthreshold hyperpolarizing responses to forward and reverse BOS were compared using z-scores (Fig. 11A). These comparisons revealed that hyperpolarizing responses were biased toward forward BOS (mean z-score for hyperpolarization, BOS, −0.49 ± 0.21; BOSrev, 0.16 ± 0.12; p < 0.005, paired t test). Second, direct comparisons of subthreshold hyperpolarizing selectivity (d*p for negative area) and firing-rate selectivity for individual X-projecting neurons were made (Fig. 11B). Unlike the mismatch between depolarizing subthreshold selectivity and firing-rate selectivity (see Fig. 6), hyperpolarizing subthreshold responses were as selective as firing-rate measures in these cells (d*p negative area = 1.05 ± 0.28; d*p firing rate = 1.35 ± 0.26; p = 0.46, paired t test). Taken together with the effects of membrane potential manipulations on forward (but not reverse) BOS-evoked hyperpolarizations, these results are consistent with the idea that X-projecting neurons receive highly song-selective inhibition.

Within-bird comparisons of the timing of song-evoked responses

HVc interneurons are one possible source of the highly song-selective inhibition seen in X-projecting neurons. To study this possibility further, sequential recordings from two or more different HVc neuron types were obtained within a single bird. Comparisons of the timing of forward BOS-evoked action potential firing and subthreshold responsiveness in these different cells were made by directly superimposing PSTHs and/or membrane potential traces collected from within birds (Fig. 12). In addition, cross-correlation analyses were performed on cell pairs to examine during BOS playback the relative spike timing of RA-projecting neurons with that of either X-projecting neurons or interneurons and to compare interneuron spike timing with X-projecting neuro-
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nal membrane polarity (Fig. 13; Materials and Methods). These comparisons reveal that the timing of song-evoked responses varies systematically according to cell class, with the closest temporal coincidence between interneuron firing and X-projecting neuronal hyperpolarization. In six animals, recordings were obtained from at least one interneuron and one X-projecting neuron. In every case, song-evoked interneuron firing closely coincided with when hyperpolarization and firing-rate suppression occurred in the X-projecting neuron (examples in Fig. 12). Cross-correlation analysis of interneuron firing and X-projecting neuronal membrane hyperpolarization during playback confirmed a maximum correlation at zero offset (Fig. 13; n = 6 pairs in 6 birds). Although recordings obtained from both interneurons and RA-projecting neurons (Katz and Gurney, 1981; Lewicki, 1996) were injected and the interneuron firing can be seen to occur after the X-projecting neuron's spikes (Fig. 12B, compare bottom, top PSTHs), cross-correlating their spike times revealed a slight offset, with RA-projecting neuronal firing leading that of interneurons by ~25 msec (the resolution of the analysis; see Fig. 13; n = 4 pairs in 4 animals). Finally, recordings from the two relay cell types in single birds showed that they fired reciprocally during BOS playback and that the BOS-evoked changes in membrane potential described an antiparallel motion, with the RA-projecting neuron exhibiting a prolonged depolarization during the same period when the X-projecting neuron was sustaining a net hyperpolarization (Fig. 12B). Cross-correlation analysis confirmed a large temporal offset in song-evoked firing between X-projecting and RA-projecting neurons, with a maximum of ~75 msec (Fig. 13; n = 4 pairs in 4 animals). These analyses indicate that, during forward BOS playback, interneuron firing closely coincides with X-projecting neuronal hyperpolarization, RA-projecting neuron firing and interneuron firing are separated by short delays, and RA- and X-projecting neurons fire reciprocally at relatively long time intervals.

**DISCUSSION**

All HVc neuron types fire selectively for forward over reverse BOS playback. Relay cells innervating either area X or nucleus RA generate remarkably similar patterns of selective firing via different subthreshold mechanisms, likely because of segregated inhibitory input from interneurons onto X-projecting cells. Ultimately, factors that modulate the relay cells’ membrane potentials may enable them to transmit distinct song representations, providing the potential for auditory comparisons used in song learning, maintenance, and perception.

Different morphological classes of HVc neurons examined *in vivo* display distinct intrinsic electrophysiological properties, extending previous *in vitro* studies (Dutar et al., 1998; Kubota and Taniguchi, 1998). One difference *in vivo* was that all cell types had lower input resistances than seen in brain slices, likely because of higher spontaneous synaptic activity in the intact brain. *In vivo*, as *in vitro*, positive currents drove interneurons to fire “fast” action potentials, often at high rates, while causing X-projecting neurons to fire regular action potential trains, although with a lower current–frequency relationship than for interneurons. Although RA-projecting neurons could fire repetitively *in vivo*, their DC-evoked spiking was characterized by an unusually prolonged and variable spike onset. Their firing remained intermittent and highly variable even with large currents (~1 nA), perhaps because of spontaneous synaptic activity interacting with injected currents. If these RA-projecting neurons are the same that become highly active during singing, then they undergo dramatic state-dependent modulation.

All three HVc neuron types are highly song selective. Previous intracellular studies concluded that X- but not RA-projecting neurons had auditory responses and left the auditory properties of HVc interneurons undetermined (Katz and Gurney, 1981; Lewicki, 1996). Here, interneurons fired tonically and selectively to BOS, whereas both relay cells fired more phasically. Such tonic responses, detected in previous intracellular studies (Lewicki, 1996), were not linked with a cell type; the present study shows they arise from HVc interneurons with fast spikes and varicose dendrites, two features of inhibitory interneurons in RA and in other systems (Azouz et al., 1997; Thomson and Deuchard, 1997; Spiro et al., 1999). If these HVc interneurons are also inhibitory, then they likely provide song-selective inhibition to X-projecting neurons. Previous reports classifying RA-projecting neurons as nonauditory (Katz and Gurney, 1981; Lewicki, 1996) are surprising because here they usually fired song-selectively and they always displayed robust song-selective subthreshold responses. Perhaps previous studies failed to detect auditory responses because song stimuli were not used (Katz and Gurney, 1981) or because these cells’ relatively refractory behavior caused them to be disregarded.

The existence of song-responsive RA-projecting neurons, like those described here, has been inferred by reversibly inactivating HVc, which transiently abolishes RA’s auditory responsiveness (Margoliash and Fortune, 1993). Furthermore, the auditory responses persist even when LMAN (RA’s other likely auditory afferent) is lesioned (Doupe and Konishi, 1991; Vicario and Yohay, 1993). A confound of understanding the genesis of RA’s auditory responses in *control* birds is that inactivating HVc also silences X-projecting neurons, ultimately abolishing auditory responses in LMAN. Therefore, detecting auditory responses in RA-projecting neurons reveals a direct path from HVc to RA by which auditory information could influence vocalization. A parsimonious model is one in which the same RA-projecting HVc neurons that convey elaborate premotor patterns for singing (McCasland, 1987; Yu and Margoliash, 1996) also respond selectively to the resulting vocalizations, providing a cellular substrate for auditory feedback. Separate auditory and vocal projections from HVc to RA may be less likely to exist, because here RA-projecting neurons always responded to the BOS. In either case, HVc is the source of two song-selective auditory pathways.

Song-evoked subthreshold responses partly reflect the firing of neurons presynaptic to the impaled cell and thus can illuminate the origins of song selectivity. One caveat is that signal averaging measures *net* excitation and inhibition, and stronger elements may mask weaker components. Another qualification is that excitatory and inhibitory contributions may differ in states other than those studied here, perhaps via voltage-dependent effects (e.g., NMDA receptor-mediated currents). Nonetheless, one striking feature of RA-projecting neurons is that their song-evoked subthreshold responses appear exclusively (if latently) excitatory and, although biased toward forward song, can be quite pronounced to reverse BOS. The elevated and sustained firing in tonically depolarized RA-projecting neurons evoked by either stimulus (Fig. 8B, top) suggests that these cells receive little or no song-evoked inhibition. Instead, such sustained depolarizations indicate that cells presynaptic to RA-projecting neurons are excitatory and fire throughout forward and reverse BOS playback, although with a forward song bias. Most neurons in NIf, an HVc afferent, display sustained, song-selective firing (Janata and Margoliash, 1999), but the nature of their connections to different HVc neurons is unknown. In addition, a minority of neurons in the primary avian auditory telencephalon (field L) fire selectively to BOS (Lewicki and Arthur, 1996; Janata and Margoliash, 1999), but it is unknown whether they innervate HVc. A necessary step will be to determine the auditory nature and synaptic sign of extrinsic inputs onto various HVc neurons.

Unlike RA-projecting neurons, X-projecting neurons exhibited pronounced forward BOS-evoked hyperpolarizations and lack reverse BOS responses altogether. The contrasting song-evoked inhibition in the two relay cell types suggests that X-projecting neurons are privileged targets of HVc interneurons. Indeed, within birds, elevated interneuron firing closely coincides with X-projecting neuronal hyperpolarization during forward BOS playback. In the songbird HVc, BOS-evoked hyperpolarizations in a subset of X-projecting neurons may be critical to generating their supralinear sensitivity to syllable sequences (Lewicki and Konishi, 1995; Lewicki, 1996). Because such combination-sensitive neurons are rare (~15–25% of HVc neurons [Margoliash and Fortune, 1992; Lewicki and Arthur, 1996]), yet BOS-evoked hyperpolarizations were detected in all X-projecting neurons (at least when
sufficiently depolarized), song-evoked inhibition alone may not suffice for this form of temporal sensitivity. Finally, the absence of reverse BOS responses in X-projecting neurons suggests that most RA-projecting neurons, raising the possibility that the two relay cell types receive distinct extrinsic auditory inputs and/or form a serial or circular hierarchy in HVc.

The function of BOS-evoked inhibition on X-projecting neurons could be to sculpt excitatory responses to BOS, generate more precise spike timing (Mainen and Sejnowski, 1995), elevate signal-to-noise levels (Lewicki, 1996), or facilitate excitatory responses by either priming the postsynaptic membrane (e.g., by deinactivating voltage-gated conductances) or synchronizing the firing of excitatory presynaptic inputs. If X- and RA-projecting neurons receive similar decision-making inputs, then inhibition in X-projecting neurons could partially suppress subthreshold depolarizing responses to forward BOS, causing them to appear nonselective. However, postsynaptic inhibition is unlikely to account for the lack of reverse BOS responses in X-projecting neurons, because hyperpolarizing responses to this stimulus were not detected even when cells were held tonically depolarized. Support for inhibitory maintenance of signal-to-noise levels and against postsynaptic priming comes from finding that artificially depolarizing X-projecting neurons suppresses their mean firing below baseline during forward BOS playback, even though highly phasic firing persists (Fig. 8A; ~2.7 sec). Distinguishing between these various roles will require selectively blocking inhibition and assessing any effects on BOS-evoked responses in X-projecting neurons. Finally, these results underscore that highly similar action potential responses can arise from distinct subthreshold processes, even within a single brain area; both RA- and X-projecting neurons fired phasically and with almost identical song selectivity, yet only X-projecting neurons displayed BOS-evoked hyperpolarizations.

Chronic recordings in nonsinging, awake zebra finches show that BOS playback evokes responses in HVc (Dave et al., 1998; but see Schmidt and Konishi, 1998), whereas after singing, HVc's auditory responsiveness is transiently suppressed (McCasland and Konishi, 1981). Because of HVc's functional heterogeneity, it will be necessary to distinguish whether auditory suppression also occurs during singing and in all HVc cell types and whether suppression varies in different developmental or attentional states, any of which could profoundly impact auditory–vocal interactions. One speculation is that the same circuitry used for singing is co-opted for the auditory processing of song. Specifically RA-projecting neurons could act via local interneurons to drive feedforward inhibition onto X-projecting cells both during singing and passive listening. In the singing state, vocal premotor-coupled inhibition may define a time window for auditory feedback, suppressing auditory responses when they fail to coincide closely with the vocal efference. During passive listening, auditory-evoked activity in RA-projecting neurons may then drive feedforward inhibition onto X-projecting neurons, shaping but not suppressing their responses. This model predicts that NIF neurons, which are likely to provide auditory and premotor drive to HVc, innervate RA-projecting neurons, which in turn excite interneurons providing segregated input to X-projecting neurons.

Dual auditory representations of song emerge from HVc, potentially facilitating comparisons important to song learning and maintenance. The subthreshold events underlying BOS-evoked firing in the two relay cell types enable them to transmit different auditory representations of song, at least under certain conditions. When sufficiently depolarized, RA-projecting neurons fire continuously to forward BOS, and even to reverse BOS, normally a weak stimulus for HVc neurons (Margoliash, 1986; Lewicki and Arthur, 1996; Volman, 1996; Theunissen and Doupe, 1998). Therefore, the HVc-to-RA pathway may be able to convey information about song quality even when notes are distorted or sung out of sequence, as occurs in juveniles during song learning and in adults of those species that seasonally reexpress vocal plasticity. In contrast, inhibitory interactions may restrict X-projecting neuronal firing to certain times during the song, perhaps after distinct note sequences.

Coincident activation of the two pathways, perhaps when vocalizations include these specific sequences, could then reinforce motor patterns in HVc and/or in RA, the direct and indirect target, respectively, of RA- and X-projecting cells. Furthermore X- and not RA-projecting neurons are likely sites for auditory refinement; varying the membrane potential of single X-projecting neurons altered their firing-rate selectivity, and as a whole these neurons displayed a marked increase in firing rate over subthreshold depolarizing selectivity. Because song selectivity arises via auditory experience (Volman, 1993), synapses onto X-projecting neurons may be especially sensitive to this experience. Future work can gauge the functional significance of multiple song representations, how HVc's local circuits refine song selectivity relative to HVc's extrinsic auditory afferents, and the sources of these extrinsic afferents.
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