Activation of Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor 5 Has Direct Excitatory Effects and Potentiates NMDA Receptor Currents in Neurons of the Subthalamic Nucleus
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The subthalamic nucleus (STN) is a key nucleus in the basal ganglia motor circuit that provides the major glutamatergic excitatory input to the basal ganglia output nuclei. The STN plays an important role in normal motor function, as well as in pathological conditions such as Parkinson’s disease (PD) and related disorders. Development of a complete understanding of the roles of the STN in motor control and the pathophysiological changes in STN that underlie PD will require a detailed understanding of the mechanisms involved in regulation of excitability of STN neurons. Here, we report that activation of group I metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) induces a direct excitation of STN neurons that is characterized by depolarization, increased firing frequency, and increased burst-firing activity. In addition, activation of group I mGluRs induces a selective potentiation of NMDA-evoked currents. Immunohistochemical studies at the light and electron microscopic levels indicate that both subtypes of group I mGluRs (mGluR1a and mGluR5) are localized postsynaptically in the dendrites of STN neurons. Interestingly, pharmacological studies suggest that each of the mGluR-mediated effects is attributable to activation of mGluR5, not mGluR1, despite the presence of both subtypes in STN neurons. These results suggest that mGluR5 may play an important role in the net excitatory drive to the STN from glutamatergic afferents. Furthermore, these studies raise the exciting possibility that selective ligands for mGluR5 may provide a novel approach for the treatment of a variety of movement disorders that involve changes in STN activity.
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The basal ganglia (BG) are a set of subcortical nuclei that play a critical role in motor control and are a primary site of pathology in a number of movement disorders, including Parkinson’s disease (PD), Tourette’s syndrome, and Huntington’s disease. Recent studies reveal that a key nucleus in the BG motor circuit, the subthalamic nucleus (STN), plays an especially important role in BG function. The STN is an excitatory glutamatergic nucleus in the BG and provides the major excitatory input to the BG output nuclei, the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr) and the internal globus pallidus. Normal motor function requires an intricate balance between excitation of the output nuclei by glutamatergic neurons from the STN and inhibition of the output nuclei by GABAergic projections from the striatum (for review, see Wichmann and DeLong, 1997).

Interestingly, recent studies suggest that the major pathophysiological change that occurs in response to loss of nigrostriatal dopamine neurons in PD patients is an increase in activity of STN neurons. The resultant increase in synaptic excitation of GABAergic projection neurons in the output nuclei leads to a “shutdown” of thalamocortical projections and produces the motor impairment characteristic of PD (DeLong, 1990). Conversely, hyperkinetic disorders such as Huntington’s disease (Reiner et al., 1988; Albin et al., 1990) and Tourette’s syndrome (Albin et al., 1989; Leckman et al., 1997) are associated with decreases in STN activity. These discoveries have led to a major interest in development of novel strategies to treat these disorders by altering neuronal STN activity or STN-induced excitation of BG output nuclei. Interestingly, surgical lesions (Bergman et al., 1990; Aziz et al., 1991; GuirGD et al., 1996) and high-frequency stimulation of the STN (Benazzouz et al., 1993; Limousin et al., 1995a,b) are highly effective in treatment of PD. Development of a detailed understanding of the mechanisms involved in regulation of STN activity could lead to development of novel therapeutic agents that alter STN activity without surgical intervention.

Recent studies suggest that metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) play an important role in regulating excitability of neurons in a wide variety of brain regions, including BG structures (Conn and Pin, 1997). If mGluRs are involved in regulating excitation of STN neurons, this could provide a critical component of regulation of STN activity by glutamatergic afferents. Thus, it will be important to determine whether mGluRs are postsynaptically localized in these neurons and whether activation of mGluRs alters STN activity. To date, eight mGluR subtypes have been cloned from mammalian brain and are classified into three major groups based on sequence homologies, second messenger coupling, and pharmacological profiles (for review, see Conn and Pin, 1997). Group I mGluRs (mGluR1 and mGluR5) couple primarily to Gαi, whereas group II (mGluR2 and mGluR3) and group III mGluRs (mGluRs 4, 6, 7, and 8) couple to Gαs. We now report that activation of the group I mGluR mGluR5 has a dramatic excitatory effect and selectively increases NMDA receptor currents in STN neurons.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Slice preparation for electrophysiology. Experiments were performed in STN neurons from 10- to 14-d-old Sprague Dawley rats. Rats were decapitated, the brains were removed, and a block of tissue containing the STN was isolated on ice. The tissue was mounted and immersed in an oxygenated sucrose-artificial CSF (ACSF) solution containing (in mM): 3 KCl, 1.9 MgSO4, 1.2 KH2PO4, 2 CaCl2, 187 sucrose, 20 glucose, 26 NaHCO3, 0.5 pyruvate, and 0.005 glutathione, equilibrated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2, pH 7.4. Sagittal slices (300 μm) were prepared using a manual VibroSlice (Stoelting, Chicago, IL) and then incubated at room temperature in ACSF containing (in mM): 124 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.3 MgSO4, 1 NaH2PO4, 2 CaCl2, 20 glucose, 26 NaHCO3, 0.5 pyruvate, and 0.005 glutathione, equilibrated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2, pH 7.4. In experiments requiring potassium...
channel blockade the ACSF had the following composition (in mM): 105.4 NaCl, 19.6 NaOAc, 2.5 KCl, 1.3 MgCl₂, 0.1 CaCl₂, 0.1 CdCl₂, 2 BaCl₂, 6 CsCl, 20 glucose, 26 NaHCO₃, 3 4-aminoipyridine (4-AP), and 25 tetrathylammonium-Cl.

Electrophysiological recordings. After a 2 hr incubation, the slices were transferred to a recording chamber mounted on the stage of an Olympus Optical (Tokyo, Japan) microscope and continuously perfused at 1–2 ml/min via a low-resistance pipette containing ACSF containing 50 μM picrotoxin and 0.5 μM tetrodotoxin (except when firing was studied). Recordings were made with visualized patch-clamp techniques using Noma optics with a water immersion 40× objective. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were made using patch electrodes pulled from borosilicate glass on a Narishige (Tokyo, Japan) vertical puller. Electrodes were filled with (in mM): 140 potassium glutonate, 10 HEPES, 10 NaCl, 0.2 EGTA, 2 MgATP, and 0.2 NaGTP. Internal solutions used in experiments requiring potassium channel block contained 140 m mol cesium methylsulfonate in place of potassium gluconate of imidazole, 0.0005% sodium 2,3-dihydroxy-6,2-aminobicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-2,6-dicarboxylate monohydrate (LY354740) was a gift from D. Schoepp and J. Monn (Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, IN), methylphenyldihydrolypudrine (MPEP) and 7-hydroxy-

Figure 1. Group I mGluR-mediated depolarization of STN neurons. A, Representative current-clamp traces of membrane potential changes in response to DHPG (100 μM), LY354740 (100 μM), and L-AP4-1 (1 mM) from a holding potential of −60 mV. B, Corresponding change in membrane input resistance accompanying the change in membrane potential. C, Mean data ± SEM of membrane potential changes, showing a significant depolarization by the group I-selective agonist DHPG (***p < 0.001). D, Dose–response curve of DHPG-mediated changes in membrane potential.

RESULTS

Group I mGlurS mediate depolarization of STN neurons

Previous studies suggest that STN neurons express multiple mGlur subtypes, including receptors belonging to each of the major groups of mGlurS (groups I–III) (Testa et al., 1994, 1998; Bradley et al., 1999, 1999). We took advantage of highly selective agonists of each of the mGlur groups to determine whether activation of these receptors has effects on membrane properties of STN neurons. Unless otherwise stated, all studies were performed in the presence of tetrodotoxin (TTX; 0.5 μM) to block action potential firing. The group I mGlur-selective agonist 3,5-dihydroxyphenylglycine (DHPG) (Schoepp et al., 1994) (100 μM) induced a robust depolarization of STN neurons (17.1 ± 1.1 mV; p < 0.001; Fig. 1A,C) that was accompanied by an increase in membrane input resistance (Fig. 1B). In voltage-clamp mode, this could be seen as an inward current with an accompanying decrease in membrane conductance (data not shown). DHPG-induced depolarization was seen in 23 of 24 cells, indicating a relatively homogeneous population of neurons. The dose–response relationship for DHPG-induced depolarization of STN neurons revealed an EC₅₀ of ~30 μM (Fig. 1D), which is consistent with the EC₅₀ value of DHPG at activation of group I mGlurS (Schoepp et al., 1994).

In contrast with DHPG, the group II selective mGlur agonist
LY354740 (100 nM) (Monn et al., 1997) had no effect on membrane potential (Fig. 1A,C) or input resistance (Fig. 1B). Likewise, the group III-selective agonist t(+)-2-amino-4-phosphonobutyric acid (L-AP-4) (1 mm) (Conn and Pin, 1997) had no effect on the membrane potential or input resistance of STN neurons when examined in the presence of the NMDA receptor antagonists D-AP-5 (20 μM) and MK801 (10 μM) (Fig. 1A-C). L-AP-4 (1 mm) did induce a slight depolarization of STN neurons when applied in the absence of NMDA receptor antagonists (data not shown). This is consistent with previous reports that L-AP-4 is a weak NMDA receptor agonist (Davies and Watkins, 1982).

In other neuronal populations, activation of group I mGluRs can induce cell depolarization by inhibiting a leak potassium current (Guérineau et al., 1994) or by increasing an inward cation current (Crepel et al., 1994; Guérineau et al., 1995; Pozzo Miller et al., 1995). The finding that the DHPG-induced depolarization or inward current in STN neurons is accompanied by a decrease in membrane conductance suggests that this effect is more likely mediated by inhibition of a leak potassium current. To determine whether the DHPG-induced current has a reversal potential consistent with mediation by inhibition of a potassium current, we performed an analysis of the I–V relationship of the DHPG-induced current in voltage-clamp mode. I–V relationships were determined in the presence and absence of 100 μM DHPG by a series of voltage steps ranging from -120 to -30 mV in increments of 10 mV. I–V plots were determined in a total of five cells. A representative leak-subtracted I–V plot in the presence and absence of DHPG (100 μM) is shown in Figure 2A. A subtraction of the predrug I–V plot from that in the presence of DHPG yielded an I–V plot of the DHPG-induced current alone (Fig. 2B). DHPG induced a net outward current at hyperpolarized potentials (greater than -80 mV) and an inward current at potentials in the range of the resting potential (Fig. 2B). The reversal potential of the DHPG-induced current is approximately -80 mV.

A reversal potential in a hyperpolarized range coupled with the reduction in membrane conductance is consistent with the hypothesis that DHPG-induced membrane depolarization is partially attributable to inhibition of a leak potassium current. However, the reversal potential is somewhat more depolarized than the predicted equilibrium potential for potassium (~105 mV). This, coupled with the nonlinear I–V curve of the DHPG-induced current, suggests that other factors may also play a role in this membrane depolarization. In some other systems, group I mGluR activation can also activate inward cation currents (Crepel et al., 1994; Guérineau et al., 1995; Pozzo Miller et al., 1995). It is possible that a similar effect occurs in STN neurons. To determine whether the DHPG-induced current is solely a potassium current, we performed ion substitution experiments. Voltage-clamp experiments were performed under conditions of potassium channel block by replacement of a K+ ion with a Cs+ ion and inclusion of 4-AP and tetraethylammonium in the external bathing solution. DHPG-induced inward current amplitude at -60 mV was significantly reduced (10.8 ± 2.3 pA; n = 6) compared with that in control conditions (36.2 ± 8.1 pA; n = 4; p < 0.01; Fig. 2E). However, the current was not completely blocked, and the residual current is probably mediated by ions other than potassium. Consistent with this, the leak-subtracted I–V plot in the presence of potassium channel block has a reversal potential of ~30 mV and shows that DHPG causes an inward current at potentials more negative than -30 mV and outward current at potentials more positive than ~30 mV (n = 3; Fig. 2C,D).

The simplest interpretation of the data presented above is that DHPG induces depolarization of STN neurons by actions on postsynaptically localized group I mGluRs. However, it is possible that DHPG acts by increasing release of another neurotransmitter that then depolarizes STN neurons. Because all of the studies presented above were performed in the presence of TTX, it is unlikely that DHPG acts by increasing cell firing and thereby increasing neurotransmitter release. However, this does not rule out the possibility that DHPG directly depolarizes presynaptic terminals, which could lead to calcium influx and neurotransmitter release. To rule out this possibility, we performed experiments in the presence of cadmium (100 μM) in the bathing solution to block Ca2+ channel activity. Consistent with an effective block of neurotransmitter release, this concentration of cadmium completely eliminated EPSCs elicited in the STN by stimulation of the internal capsule (data not shown). However, the amplitude of the DHPG-induced current was not significantly different than that seen in control (31.1 ± 6.9 pA; n = 4; Fig. 2E).

When measured in the absence of TTX, the DHPG-induced depolarization was accompanied by a dramatic increase in action potential firing (Fig. 3A). This is consistent with a recent report that group I mGluR agonists increase extracellular single unit firing of STN neurons (Abbott et al., 1997). This increase in cell firing was completely eliminated by hyperpolarizing current injection to hold the membrane potential at the predrug level (Fig. 3A). This suggests that the increase in firing frequency was strictly attributable to the DHPG-induced depolarization rather than being partially mediated by other changes in membrane properties that allow the cells to fire at a higher frequency. There was no effect of DHPG on other membrane properties of the cell, including spike width, spike amplitude, and the shape or amplitude of after-hyperpolarizations (data not shown). However, DHPG did increase an increase in the incidence of burst firing, a property of STN neurons.

Figure 2. Ionic basis of DHPG-induced current. A, Leak-subtracted I–V plot in normal K+ conditions in the presence and absence of DHPG (100 μM). B, Subtracted I–V plot representing DHPG-induced current alone, showing reversal potential of ~30 mV. C, Representative leak-subtracted I–V plot in the presence of potassium channel block and cesium. D, Subtracted I–V plot representing DHPG-induced current alone, showing reversal potential of ~30 mV. E, Mean data ± SEM of DHPG-induced inward current amplitude (picoamperes) in voltage-clamp mode in normal potassium conditions, potassium block and cesium, and in the presence of cadmium (100 μM) (**p < 0.01).
neurons previously described by Beurrier et al. (1999) (Fig. 3B). Oscillatory activity underlying burst firing was not seen in any of 15 cells examined at a resting potential of $-60 \text{ mV}$ before DHPG treatment but was seen in 7 of 26 cells ($27\%$) during the DHPG-induced depolarization. In the absence of TTX, oscillatory activity was accompanied by burst firing (Fig. 3B). When studied in the presence of TTX, the DHPG-induced oscillatory activity underlying burst firing was seen, indicating that such activity may not be dependent on synaptic transmission and may be an intrinsic membrane property of STN neurons (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, burst firing was not seen in any cells treated with the group II agonist LY354740 ($n = 6$) or the group III mGluR agonist L-AP-4 ($n = 9$) when the cell membrane was held at $-60 \text{ mV}$ before drug application.

**Group I mGluRs potentiate NMDA-evoked currents**

The data presented above suggest that activation of group I mGluRs can exert a direct excitatory effect on STN neurons that could contribute to the overall excitatory drive to this important nucleus in the BG motor circuit. In some other brain regions, activation of mGluRs can also potentiate excitatory synaptic responses by potentiating currents through glutamate-gated cation channels. To determine the effects of mGluR agonists on the responses of STN neurons to activation of ionotropic glutamate receptors, we used pressure-evoked application of constant amounts of NMDA or kainate onto the cell. Stable baseline NMDA- or kainate-evoked currents were obtained before perfusion of the slice with mGluR agonists. Representative NMDA-evoked current traces are shown before, during, and after mGluR agonist application (Fig. 4A). DHPG (100 $\mu\text{M}$) caused a reversible potentiation of NMDA-evoked currents ($39.1 \pm 9.2\%$; $n = 10$; $p < 0.05$; Fig. 4B). In contrast, the group II- and group III-selective mGluR agonists LY354740 and L-AP-4 had no effect.
on NMDA-evoked currents (Fig. 4A,B). None of the group-selective mGluR agonists had any effects on kainate-evoked currents in STN neurons (Fig. 4C).

One concern that should be considered with studies of modulation of NMDA-evoked currents in brain slices is that it is impossible to obtain complete voltage control of the entire dendritic region of the STN neurons. Thus, it is possible that DHPG-induced potentiation of the NMDA-evoked current is attributable to depolarization of dendritic regions in which we have not achieved adequate voltage control. If so, the DHPG-induced depolarization may relieve the voltage-dependent magnesium block of the NMDA receptor and thereby cause NMDA-evoked currents to be potentiated. To test for this possibility, we performed a series of experiments to determine the effect of DHPG on NMDA-evoked currents under conditions in which the DHPG-induced depolarization is blocked. First, experiments were performed in normal K+ concentrations when holding at the reversal potential of the DHPG-induced current (~80 mV). Also, we determined the effect of DHPG in the presence of conditions that block voltage-dependent potassium channels and thereby reduce the DHPG-induced depolarization. Under both conditions, DHPG-induced inward current was either reduced or absent. In contrast, neither manipulation significantly altered DHPG-induced potentiation of NMDA-evoked currents (Fig. 4D). In addition, experiments were performed in the presence of cadmium (100 μM) to ensure that the response was not caused by calcium-dependent release of another neurotransmitter from presynaptic terminals. As with the studies of DHPG-induced inward currents, cadmium had no significant effect on DHPG-induced potentiation of NMDA-evoked currents (Fig. 4D).

**mGluR1 and mGluR5 are postsynaptically localized in STN neurons**

DHPG is an agonist at both mGluR1 and mGluR5, suggesting that either of these mGluR subtypes could mediate the responses described above. We performed immunocytochemical studies with mGluR1a and mGluR5 antibodies at the electron microscopic level to determine whether both of these receptors are localized at postsynaptic sites in STN neurons.

At the light microscopic level, the STN showed strong neuropil labeling for mGluR1a and mGluR5 (Figs. 5A, 6A). In general, the immunoreactivity was found predominantly in dendritic processes, whereas the level of labeling in cell bodies was very low. In sections immunostained for mGluR1a, labeled dendrites were found in the cerebral peduncle (Fig. 5A), which is consistent with previous Golgi studies showing that the dendrites of neurons located along the ventral border of the STN extend ventrally into the cerebral peduncle (Iwahori, 1978; Afsharpour, 1985).

To determine the exact nature of the immunoreactive neuronal elements, we performed further analysis at the electron microscopic level. Both antibodies primarily labeled dendritic processes, which formed symmetric and asymmetric synapses with unlabeled terminals (Figs. 5B–D, 6B–D). In general, the labeling was seen throughout the dendrites, instead of being associated selectively with the plasma membrane (Figs. 5B–D, 6B–D).
noting that such a pattern of labeling was also detected for mGluR5 in the SNr using both immunogold and immunoperoxidase techniques (Hubert and Smith, 1999). In addition to dendrites, mGluR1a immunoreactivity was occasionally seen in a few myelinated and unmyelinated axonal segments as well as a few axon terminals (Fig. 5D). Cell bodies displayed light intracytoplasmic labeling with either antibody.

Immunohistochemical studies were repeated with additional anti-mGluR1a (Romano et al., 1996) and anti-mGluR5 (Reid et al., 1995; Romano et al., 1995) antibodies and showed similar results confirming our findings of the postsynaptic localization of both of these receptor subtypes in the STN (data not shown). This is consistent with previous in situ hybridization studies (Testa et al., 1994) as well as studies of mGluR localization at the light level (Testa et al., 1998).

**Effects of DHPG in STN neurons are mediated by mGluR5**

The postsynaptic localization of both mGluR1a and mGluR5 in STN neurons suggests that either or both of these receptors could be involved in mediating the effects of DHPG. We used newly available pharmacological tools that distinguish between these two group I mGluR subtypes to further characterize the group I-mediated effects in STN. Interestingly MPEP (10 μM), a highly selective noncompetitive antagonist at mGluR5 (Bowes et al., 1999; Gasparini et al., 1999), blocked DHPG-induced membrane depolarization (4.2 ± 0.27 mV; p < 0.001; n = 3; Fig. 7A,B). In contrast, the mGluR1-selective noncompetitive antagonist CPCCOEt (100 μM) (Annoura et al., 1996; Casabona et al., 1997; Litschig et al., 1999), had no effect on DHPG-mediated depolarization of STN neurons (18.8 ± 3.0 mV; n = 3; Fig. 7A,B) at concentrations that have been shown to be effective at blocking mGluR1a in recombinant (Litschig et al., 1999) and native (Casabona et al., 1997) systems. These data suggest that DHPG-induced depolarization of STN neurons is mediated by mGluR5 rather than mGluR1. Consistent with this, CBPG (100 μM), a partial agonist of mGluR5 with mGluR1 antagonist activity (Mannaioni et al., 1999), mimics DHPG-induced depolarization of STN neurons. As with DHPG, the response to CBPG is blocked by MPEP but not by CPCCOEt (Fig. 7B).

Pharmacological analysis of mGluR-mediated potentiation of NMDA receptor currents suggests that this response is also mediated by mGluR5. As with the depolarization, MPEP blocks
DHPG-induced potentiation of NMDA-evoked currents (2.3 ± 3.2%; *p < 0.05; n = 5), whereas CPCCOEt is without effect on this potentiation (44.2 ± 18.9%; n = 6; Fig. 8 A,B).

DISCUSSION

The data presented reveal that group I mGluRs are postsynaptically localized on neurons in the STN and that activation of these receptors leads to a direct depolarization of STN neurons. In most cells, the DHPG-induced depolarization was accompanied by an increase in firing frequency with no obvious effects on the recently characterized stable oscillations of STN neuronal firing (Bevan and Wilson, 1999). However, in approximately one-third of the cells examined, DHPG induced a switch in the firing pattern from the characteristic single-spike firing mode to a burst-firing mode that was recently characterized in detail by Beurrier et al. (1999). In addition, DHPG induced a selective increase in NMDA receptor currents in STN neurons. These combined effects of group I mGluR activation could provide an important component of the net excitatory drive elicited by activity of the major excitatory afferents to the STN from the cortex or thalamus. However, it is important to note that activation of group I mGluRs has also been shown to induce presynaptic effects on glutamate release in some brain regions (Gereau and Conn, 1995; Manzoni and Bockaert, 1995; Rodriguez-Moreno et al., 1998). If group I mGluRs have similar effects in the STN, the net effect of group I mGluR activation in this region will ultimately depend on a combination of presynaptic and postsynaptic effects.

Immunohistochemistry studies revealed that both mGluR1a and mGluR5 are postsynaptically localized in STN neurons. Interestingly, pharmacological analysis suggested that each of the responses studied was mediated by mGluR5, with little or no contribution of mGluR1. This finding suggests that although STN neurons contain both group I mGluR subtypes, there is a segregation of function of these two receptors. It is possible that mGluR1 also plays important roles in regulating STN functions that were not measured in the present studies. Future studies of the roles of mGluR1 in these cells may shed important light on the functions of expression of multiple subtypes of closely related receptors by a single neuronal population.

Implications of mGluR5 actions for treatment of PD

One of the most interesting implications of the finding that mGluR5 is involved in regulating activity and NMDA receptor currents in STN neurons is the possibility that antagonists of this receptor could provide novel therapeutic agents that could be useful for treatment of PD. Traditional dopamine replacement strategies for PD treatment tend to lose efficacy over time, and patients begin to experience serious adverse effects, including motor fluctuations (Poewe and Granata, 1997). Because of this, a great deal of effort has been focused on developing a detailed understanding of the circuitry and function of the BG in the hopes of developing novel therapeutic approaches for the treatment of PD. Interestingly, a large number of animal and clinical studies reveal that loss of nigrostriatal dopamine neurons results in an increase in activity of the STN and that an increase in STN-induced excitation of the output nuclei is ultimately responsible for the motor symptoms of PD (for review, see DeLong, 1990; Wichmann and DeLong, 1997). These findings suggest that pharmacological agents that reduce the excitatory drive to the STN or otherwise reduce STN activity could provide a therapeutic effect in PD patients.

The data reported here suggest that mGluR5 may be a particularly interesting candidate as a receptor that could regulate STN output. Of particular interest is the finding that mGluR5 activation increases burst firing of STN neurons. For instance, previous studies suggest that a transition of STN neurons from single-spike
activity to a burst-firing mode is one of the characteristics of parkinsonian states in rats and nonhuman primates (Hollerman and Grace, 1992; Bergman et al., 1994; Hassani et al., 1996) as well as parkinsonian patients (Benazzouz et al., 1996; Rodriguez et al., 1997). The finding that membrane oscillations underlying burst firing occur in the presence of TTX is consistent with the findings of Beurrier et al. (1999) and suggests that burst firing is, in part, an intrinsic property of STN neurons. However, these data do not rule out the possibility that synaptic mechanisms also participate in induction of burst firing (Plenz and Kitai, 1999). Consistent with the hypothesis that group I mGluRs can increase the output of the STN in vivo, Kaatz and Albin (1995) recently reported that injection of group I mGluR agonists into the STN induces rotational behavior. Furthermore, Kronthaler and Schmidt reported that injection of group I mGluR agonists into the STN induces rotational behavior. In addition to severing afferent projections from the caudate nucleus, except those in which there is a laminar or other organizational feature, slow EPSPs have also been difficult to measure in other brain regions, except those in which there is a laminar or other organization in which glutamatergic afferent pathways are not severed by slice preparation. In addition to severing afferent projections during slice preparation, mGluR-mediated slow EPSPs are often difficult to measure because of the small size of the events as well as the distance between the recording site in the soma and the distal dendrites where the slow EPSP is likely generated. Because of this, failure to measure a slow EPSP does not imply that mGluRs are not synaptically activated in vivo. Also, we often elicited slow EPSPs that were not blocked by antagonists of known receptors and could have occluded an mGluR-mediated slow EPSP (our unpublished findings). Ultimately, the question of whether mGluR5 in the STN is activated by endogenous glutamate may require in vivo electrophysiological and/or behavioral studies in which mGluR5 antagonists are injected into this structure.

Potential therapeutic effects of mGluR5 agonist actions in the STN

In addition to the potential utility of mGluR5 antagonists in treatment of PD, mGluR5 must be physiologically activated by endogenous glutamate release onto STN neurons from various glutamatergic afferents. We made several attempts to elicit slow mGluR-mediated synaptic responses using single-pulse stimuli as well as stimulus trains of varying frequencies and durations. Unfortunately, we were unable to reliably elicit mGluR-mediated EPSPs or EPSCs in our slices (our unpublished findings). Although disappointing, this is not surprising, because mGluR-mediated slow EPSPs have also been difficult to measure in other brain regions, except those in which there is a laminar or other organization in which glutamatergic afferent pathways are not severed by slice preparation. In addition to severing afferent projections during slice preparation, mGluR-mediated slow EPSPs are often difficult to measure because of the small size of the events as well as the distance between the recording site in the soma and the distal dendrites where the slow EPSP is likely generated. Because of this, failure to measure a slow EPSP does not imply that mGluRs are not synaptically activated in vivo. Also, we often elicited slow EPSPs that were not blocked by antagonists of known receptors and could have occluded an mGluR-mediated slow EPSP (our unpublished findings). Ultimately, the question of whether mGluR5 in the STN is activated by endogenous glutamate may require in vivo electrophysiological and/or behavioral studies in which mGluR5 antagonists are injected into this structure.

Roles of group I mGluRs in other basal ganglia nuclei

Interestingly, agonists of group I mGluRs have actions in other areas of the basal ganglia motor circuit that could complement their actions in the STN. For instance, group I mGluRs, and especially mGluR5, are heavily localized in the striatum (Shigemoto et al., 1993; Tallaksen-Greene et al., 1998), where agonists of these receptors induce excitatory effects similar to those described here in the STN (Calabresi et al., 1993; Colwell and Levine, 1994; Pisani et al., 1997). Furthermore, recent behavioral studies reveal that injection of group I mGluR agonists into the striatum induces turning behavior that is accompanied by an increase in activity of neurons in the STN and BG output nuclei (Sacaan et al., 1991, 1992; Kaatz and Albin, 1995; Kearney et al., 1997). Group I mGluRs are also present in the SNr (Hubert and Smith, 1999). Recent physiological studies from our laboratory suggest that activation of these receptors has direct excitatory effects and decreases evoked IPSCs (Marino et al., 1999a) in SNr neurons. Taken together, these results suggest that group I mGluRs function at multiple levels of the BG circuit to lead to a net increase in activity of neurons in the output nuclei. Thus, in addition to the STN, both antagonists and agonists of group I mGluRs could act at the levels of the stratum and SNr to provide a therapeutic benefit in the treatment of PD or hyperkinetic disorders, respectively.
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