On the Contribution of the First Transmembrane Domain to Whole-Cell Current through an ATP-Gated Ionotropic P2X Receptor
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Scanning cysteine mutagenesis was used to identify potential pore-forming residues in and around the first transmembrane domains of ionotropic P2X<sub>2</sub> receptor subunits. Twenty-eight unique cysteine-substituted mutants (R28C-Y55C) were individually expressed in HEK293 cells by lipofection. Twenty-three of these were functional as assayed by application of ATP to transfected voltage-clamped cells. Individual mutants varied in their sensitivity to ATP; otherwise, currents through functional mutant receptors resembled those of the homomeric wild-type (WT) receptor. In five (H33C, R34C, I50C, K53C, and S54C) of 23 functional mutants, coapplication of 30 μM ATP and 500 nm Ag<sup>+</sup> irreversibly inhibited inward current evoked by subsequent applications of ATP alone. These inhibitions did not result in a lateral shift in the agonist concentration–response curve and are unlikely to involve a modification of the agonist binding site. Two (K53C and S54C) of the five residues modified by Ag<sup>+</sup> applied in the presence of ATP when the channels were gating were also modified by 1 μM (2-aminoethyl)methanethiosulfonate applied in the absence of ATP when the channels were closed. These data suggest that domains near either end of the first transmembrane domain influence ion conduction through the pore of the P2X<sub>2</sub> receptor.
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ATP is unusual in its ability to influence cell activity from both the intracellular and extracellular compartments. Intracellular hydrolysis of ATP to adenosine 5′-diphosphate and inorganic phosphate provides the energy needed to drive a wide range of energetically unfavorable chemical reactions and is an important source of phosphate in many biosynthetic reactions (Alberts et al., 1998). Extracellular ATP modulates cell excitability by activating membrane-bound P2 purinoceptors (Ralevic and Burnstock, 1998). One branch of this family, the P2X receptors, is a class of ligand-gated ion channels that conduct the flow of cations across the cell surface membranes of a wide variety of tissues (Khakh et al., 2001). Conduction occurs when the ion channel opens as a result of agonist occupation of an extracellular binding site. The molecular mechanism by which occupation evokes channel gating remains a mystery, attributable in part to an incomplete mapping of the functional domains of the receptor complex, including the agonist binding site and the channel pore. The general location of the ion-conducting pore can be inferred from recent experiments that examined the secondary structure of individual isoforms. P2X receptors incorporate at least three equivalent subunits (Kim et al., 1997; Nicke et al., 1998) and are homomeric or heteromeric in composition (Torres et al., 1999). Each subunit within a complex crosses the membrane twice in such a way that the intracellular N and C termini are linked by a large ectodomain (Torres et al., 1998), and one or both of the short intramembrane domains probably line the ion-conducting pore. At least part of the pore wall comes from the second transmembrane domain (TMD2) that runs from approximately I331 to L353. This is implied from studies that show that hydrophilic sulfhydryl-specific ligands modify currents through some but not all cysteine-substituted mutants of TMD2 of P2X<sub>2</sub> receptors (Rasendren et al., 1997; Egan et al., 1998). Furthermore, point mutations of some polar residues within TMD2 of homomeric P2X<sub>2</sub> receptors alter monovalent cation and Ca<sup>2+</sup> permeability in a manner consistent with an effect on the ion selectivity filter of the channel pore (Migita et al., 2001). However, the fact that TMD2 lines the pore does not rule out a contribution from the other putative intramembranous region, and the role of the first transmembrane domain (TMD1) has not been studied. In the present study, we investigated the role of TMD1 in ion conduction using the same techniques we used previously to probe TMD2 (Egan et al., 1998). We made 28 unique cysteine-substituted mutations in or around TMD1 of the P2X<sub>2</sub> isoform. ATP-gated currents through wild-type (WT) and mutant P2X<sub>2</sub> receptors transiently expressed in human embryonic kidney-293 (HEK293) cells were measured under voltage-clamp before and after covalent modification by 500 nm Ag<sup>+</sup> or 1 mM (2-aminoethyl)methanethiosulfonate (MTSEA). Ag<sup>+</sup> and/or MTSEA irreversibly inhibited current through five mutants. The data suggest that TMD1 makes a measurable contribution to ion conduction through the P2X<sub>2</sub> receptor and may form part of the wall of the pore.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The methods used in this study were described in detail previously (Egan et al., 1998); a concise description is presented here. The P2X<sub>2</sub> isoform was chosen as the experimental model because it shows less desensitization than other family members.

Preparation and handling of cDNAs. Site-directed mutagenesis of the P2X<sub>2</sub> receptor was performed using the overlap-primer extension method (Ausubel et al., 1995). Mutations were verified by sequencing using the de-azaGTP Sequenase kit from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech.
HEK293 cells were transfected by lipofection using 1 μg of cDNA and 6 μl of LipoFectamine (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD) per 3.0 × 10^5 cells plated on 35 mm culture dishes. Transfected cells were incubated in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO_2 at 37°C for 24–48 hr before electrophysiological analysis. Transfection efficiency varied among the WT and mutant receptors, although it typically was not difficult to find cells that expressed robust currents in response to short applications of ATP.

Electrophysiology. Single HEK293 cells were obtained by mechanical dispersion of a population of cells obtained from a single culture dish. Whole-cell current was recorded using AxoPatch 200 series amplifiers (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA) and low-resistance electrodes (1–2 MΩ). The holding current (I_h) was −40 mV in most experiments, although a smaller driving force (−40 > K_eq > −20 mV) was used in a few experiments to keep the current amplitude within the limits of the recording apparatus. We saw no obvious differences in the ability of Ag^+ or MTSEA to modify cysteine-substituted mutant P2X_2 receptors in a voltage-dependent manner in this limited range of membrane voltage. Recording pipettes were filled with the following intracellular solution (in mM): 150 CsCl, 10 tetraethylammonium-Cl, 10 EGTA, and 10 HEPES, pH 7.3 with NaOH. When MTSEA was used, the extracellular solution was (in mM): 150 NaNO_3, 1 Ca(NO_3)_2, 1 Mg(NO_3)_2, 10 glucose, and 10 HEPES, pH 7.3 with NaOH. When MTSEA was used, the extracellular solution was (in mM): 150 NaCl, 1 CaCl_2, 1 MgCl_2, 10 glucose, and 20 HEPES, pH 7.0 with NaOH; this relatively low pH was used to slow hydrolisis of MTSEA (Karlin and Akabas, 1998) (see also Egan et al., 1998). Drugs were applied by manually moving the electrode and attached cell into the line of flow of solutions exiting one of an array of inlet tubes. Each application lasted −3 sec, and successive applications were separated by at least 2 min to minimize receptor desensitization. MTSEA was purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals Inc. (Toronto, Canada). All other reagents were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

Data analysis. The effects of Ag^+ and MTSEA on current amplitudes were plotted as percentage of change from control measured from the averages of an equal number (three or more) of steady-state responses. Differences between groups were determined by one-way ANOVA, and statistical significance was calculated using StatView 5.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Values of p = 0.01 were considered to be statistically significant.

Concentration–response curves were generated by measuring the currents elicited by a range of concentrations of ATP in single cells. These currents were then normalized to those evoked by 100 μM ATP in the same cell, and the data were fit using the Hill equation algorithm of IgorPro 4.0 (WaveMetrics Inc., Lake Oswego, OR) to determine the EC_50 of ATP. The currents evoked after Ag^+ modification were normalized to the effect of 100 μM ATP applied after modification had occurred. The EC_50 values from individual experiments were grouped according to mutation and drug treatment from which the mean ± SEM for each group (n = 3–11) was determined. Statistical significance (p = 0.01) was estimated using Student’s t test.

RESULTS

Hydropathy plots predict that each subunit of a multimeric P2X_2 receptor complex crosses the membrane twice (Brake and Julius, 1996), and this hypothesis is supported by empirical data (Torres et al., 1998). In a previous report, we used scanning cysteine mutagenesis to demonstrate that TMD2 lines a part of the channel pore (Egan et al., 1998). In the present report, we applied this technique to TMD1 to determine whether this domain plays a role in ion conduction. TMD1 is thought to traverse the membrane from approximately F31 to V51 (Newbolt et al., 1998). Twenty-eight different P2X_2 receptor mutants (designated R28C, L29C, . . . , Y55C) were constructed in such a way that each mutant had a single cysteine substitution at a different position in or around TMD1 (Fig. 1). These mutant receptors were transiently expressed in HEK293 cells and studied under voltage clamp at a membrane potential (usually −40 mV) at which ATP (30 μM) was expected to evoke inward currents if the mutant receptors resembled the WT receptor in their nonselective permeability to cations. Five (R28C, L29C, Y43C, Q52C, and Y55C) of the 28 mutant receptors failed to respond to ATP, even when this agonist was applied at a concentration (1 mM) >60 times larger than its EC_50 (16 ± 3; n = 11) at the WT receptor. The remaining 23 mutants were functional and responded to ATP with inward currents that resembled the WT receptor in rate of onset, offset, desensitization, and resensitization. The accessibility of the functional cysteine-substituted receptors were then tested using Ag^+ to probe gating channels and MTSEA to probe closed channels.

Application of scanning cysteine mutagenesis to the identification of pore-lining residues is based on certain assumptions (Karlin and Akabas, 1998). We assume the following: (1) the ion channel pore is formed in part by the transmembrane domains; (2) engineered cysteine substitutions within these domains do not lead to dramatic changes in channel structure; (3) thio-reactive reagents (such as Ag^+ and MTSEA) react more readily with the ionized -SH of cysteines projecting into the membrane; and (4) modification of some hydrated cysteine side chains projecting into the pore cause an irreversible change in current flow through the channel.

The effect of Ag^+ on gating channels

The effect of a short (5 sec) coapplication of 500 nM Ag^+ and 30 μM ATP on subsequent applications of ATP alone was measured to determine the effect of Ag^+ on both the open and closed states of the channel. Ag^+ resembles K^+ in atomic radius and dehydratation energy and might be expected to interact with hydrated residues of the P2X_2 receptor in a manner approximating that of the physiologically relevant monovalent cations. In addition, Ag^+ reacts in a strong and irreversible manner with thiolates to form a stable S–Ag bond (Dance, 1986); this reaction has been shown to alter current through ion channels if it occurs at a cysteine lining the channel pore (Lü and Miller, 1995; Sun et al., 1996; Egan et al., 1998; Kriegler et al., 1999). We applied ATP once every 3 min until a stable baseline response was established. Then, 500 nM Ag^+ was coapplied with ATP for 5 sec, after which ATP was again applied alone at 3 min intervals to reestablish a stable current response. Average peak current amplitudes were compared before and after modification to determine accessibility.

As reported previously, a short (less than −10 sec) coadministration of Ag^+ and ATP had no sustained effect on the WT

Figure 1. Placement of cysteine substitutions in and around the stretch of amino acids thought to traverse the membrane as TMD1. Amino acid alignment is indicated using single letter codes for individual amino acids of the wild-type P2X_2 receptor. Each native residue mutated to cysteine is marked beneath the changed amino acid with a asterisk; failed to respond to applications of up to 1 mM ATP. The absolute limits of the TMD1 of P2X_2 are unknown; the solid line indicates its approximate location based on hydropathy plots of primary structure (Brake et al., 1994) and glycosylation scanning mutagenesis (Newbolt et al., 1998).
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Coapplication of Ag⁺ and ATP had one of four effects on functional mutant receptors. First, Ag⁺ transiently potentiated ATP-gated current through 17 of 23 mutants but did not significantly alter currents evoked by subsequent applications of ATP alone (Fig. 2, middle). These transient poteniations resembled those of the WT receptor. Second, Ag⁺ transiently inhibited ATP-gated current through the V32C receptor in a reversible manner (Fig. 2, bottom). The mechanism of this transient inhibition is unknown. Third, Ag⁺ caused an immediate and irreversible inhibition of four mutants (R34C, I50C, K53C, and S54C). These inhibitions occurred soon after the start of application of Ag⁺ and were seen as a progressive decrease in current amplitude during the 5 sec coadministrations of Ag⁺ and ATP (Fig. 3). Subsequent applications of ATP evoked stable currents that were significantly smaller than their premodification controls, and, in all cases, these inhibitions did not reverse during the lifetime of the giga seal between the recording electrode and the cell membrane. Fourth, Ag⁺ caused an irreversible inhibition of ATP-gated current through H33C receptors that was preceded by a long-lasting potentiation. Like the WT receptor, coapplication of Ag⁺ and ATP resulted in a larger inward current than did application of ATP alone (Fig. 4a). However, unlike the effect on WT or any other mutant receptor (Egan et al., 1998), the potentiation of ATP-gated current outlasted the Ag⁺ application by several minutes (Fig. 4b). Typically, the first post-Ag⁺ application of ATP alone was larger than control but slightly smaller than that evoked by the preceding concurrent administration of both drugs. Subsequent applications of ATP continued to evoke supranormal currents for tens of minutes, often outlasting the life span of the giga seal, although the size of the current became progressively smaller with each pulse of ATP. The amplitude of the ATP-gated current eventually became significantly smaller than the pre-Ag⁺ application controls, resolving to a steady-state current that was on average ~40% smaller than that of the control response. A second coapplication of Ag⁺ and ATP neither transiently potentiated nor further inhibited ATP-gated current (data not shown), indicating that the first application of Ag⁺ had produced a near complete covalent modification of the accessible residue. A summary of the sustained effects of Ag⁺ on all functional TMD1 mutants is presented in Figure 5.
A tenet of the scanning cysteine mutagenesis method is that sulfhydryl modifications that alter current amplitudes indicate an effect on a pore-forming residue (Karlin and Akabas, 1998). This is difficult to prove empirically using a functional assay, and other explanations are possible. For example, modification of part of a signal transduction pathway that links the ligand binding site to the channel gate may shift the agonist concentration–response curve to the right, causing submaximal concentrations of agonist to evoke smaller currents after modification than before (Jiang et al., 2000). To determine whether shifts in agonist potency caused the changes in current amplitudes observed for the five reactive mutants, we constructed ATP concentration–response curves before and after application of Ag⁺ for the five mutants shown to be susceptible to modification. Two results are worth noting. First, three (H33C, R34C, and K53C) of the five unmodified cysteine-substituted TMD1 mutants were significantly less sensitive to ATP than was the wild-type receptor (Fig. 6a, Table 1). The average EC₅₀ values measured before applications of Ag⁺ were as follows: WT, 16 ± 3 μM; H33C, 59 ± 8 μM; R34C, 30 ± 2 μM; I50C, 22 ± 4 μM; K53C, 52 ± 3 μM; and S54C, 19 ± 4 μM. Although the mechanism(s) of the changes in potencies is unknown, the fact that mutations in or very near TMD1 change agonist potency suggests that this domain plays an active role in gating or transduction. Second, Ag⁺ irreversibly inhibited peak current through the five accessible mutant receptors at all concentrations of ATP tested, and the inhibitions at the highest ATP concentrations were not overcome by increasing concentrations of ATP. Furthermore, there was no statistically significant difference (even when estimated at p < 0.05) in the potency of ATP before and after modification by Ag⁺ for any of the susceptible mutants (Fig. 6b–f). The normalized data of R34C, I50C, K53C, and S54C are relatively straightforward, and the lack of significant shifts in the concentration–response curves of these mutants after Ag⁺ modification are clear in the graphs of Figure 6c–f. The EC₅₀ values determined after application by Ag⁺ were as follows: R34C, 28 ± 5 μM; I50C, 34 ± 8 μM; K53C, 44 ± 3 μM; and S54C, 24 ± 7 μM. These data demonstrate that a change in agonist potency does not underlie the decrease in current amplitude caused by Ag⁺ modification of these four mutants.

The steady-state attenuation of H33C was preceded by a long-lasting potentiation that made quantification of these data more problematic (Fig. 4). To determine how Ag⁺ augmented current, concentration–response curves were also generated during the potentiation phase. As shown in Figure 6b, Ag⁺ caused a shift to the left in the ATP concentration–response curve for H33C during potentiation. The EC₅₀ (5 ± 1 μM) for ATP measured at this time was significantly different (p < 0.01) than that of the premodified control. Although this EC₅₀ should be considered an estimate of the actual potency of ATP because the steady decline of current during potentiation introduced an error into the construction of concentration–response curves, the data nonetheless suggest that an early effect on signal transduction had occurred. In contrast, the EC₅₀ (45 ± 10 μM) measured during the late steady-state inhibition of H33C was not different from the unmodified control, even when tested at p < 0.05, indicating that a change in agonist potency does not underlie the persistent inhibition by Ag⁺. Together, the data suggest that H33C plays an important role in P₂X₂ channel function as a pore forming residue, a component of the transduction system that links receptor occupation to channel gating, or both.

The effect of MTSEA on closed channels
Some residues accessible during gating may also be accessible when the channel is closed. To test this hypothesis, we incubated cells expressing one of the five Ag⁺-accessible mutants in 1 mM
H33C receptor measured during the potentiation phase of the effect of Ag+/H11001

The modiﬁed values are averaged data for each concentration of applied ATP. Solid lines are the best fit of the averaged data to the Hill equation. The dotted line indicates the level of the half-maximal response. b, ATP concentration–response curves for H33C were generated before (Δ) and after (○, ▽) by MTSEA applied after modiﬁcation had occurred. Symbols are averaged data for the remainder of the recording (up to ~30 min). It is particularly interesting to note that the average inhibition of S54C (80 ± 5%; n = 4) by MTSEA was far larger than that seen on any other TMD1 (Fig. 7c) or TMD2 cysteine-substituted mutant (Egan et al., 1998). The fact that some but not all of the residues modiﬁed by Ag+ were also modiﬁed by MTSEA suggests that either the pattern of accessibility changes when the channel opens or Ag+ experiences less steric hindrance than MTSEA because it is smaller. It should be possible to distinguish between these possibilities if a single modifying reagent could be applied both when the channels are gating (e.g., in the presence of ATP) and when these channels are always closed (e.g., in the absence of ATP). This experiment was not possible using the methods described here because of the following: (1) longer applications of Ag+ were necessary to probe accessibility of closed channels, and these long (≥10 sec) applications activated nonspeciﬁc currents; and (2) coapplication of MTSEA and ATP to gating channels lead to nonspeciﬁc effects unrelated to covalent modiﬁcations of engineered cysteines. These problems are discussed in more detail by Egan et al. (1998). Regardless, the present experiments indicate that gating is not required to expose at least some residues in TMD1 to MTSEA.

MTSEA for 5 min between applications of ATP. MTSEA readily crosses cell lipid membranes because both the protonated and deprotonated forms of MTSEA are present at neutral pH and can modify residues on both sides of the membrane, even when the channel is closed (Holmgren et al., 1996; Karlin and Akabas, 1998). The protonated MTSEA reacts with cysteine by forming a disulfide bond that attaches −SC2H2NH4+ to thiolates exposed to water (Jakes et al., 1990; Akabas et al., 1992).

Long (5 min) applications of 1 mM MTSEA had no transient or irreversible effects on the ATP-gated currents of the WT receptor using the protocols deployed in these experiments (Egan et al., 1998). Of the five mutants that were modiﬁed by Ag+ coapplied with ATP, only K53C (Fig. 7a) and S54C (Fig. 7b) were signiﬁcantly inhibited by MTSEA applied in the absence of ATP. These inhibitions were large, apparent during the ﬁrst post-MTSEA application of ATP, and did not reverse over the time course of the remainder of the recording (up to ~30 min). It is particularly interesting to note that the average inhibition of S54C (80 ± 5%; n = 4) by MTSEA was far larger than that seen on any other TMD1 (Fig. 7c) or TMD2 cysteine-substituted mutant (Egan et al., 1998). The fact that some but not all of the residues modiﬁed by Ag+ were also modiﬁed by MTSEA suggests that either the pattern of accessibility changes when the channel opens or Ag+ experiences less steric hindrance than MTSEA because it is smaller. It should be possible to distinguish between these possibilities if a single modifying reagent could be applied both when the channels are gating (e.g., in the presence of ATP) and when these channels are always closed (e.g., in the absence of ATP).

This experiment was not possible using the methods described here because of the following: (1) longer applications of Ag+ were necessary to probe accessibility of closed channels, and these long (≥10 sec) applications activated nonspeciﬁc currents; and (2) coapplication of MTSEA and ATP to gating channels lead to nonspeciﬁc effects unrelated to covalent modiﬁcations of engineered cysteines. These problems are discussed in more detail by Egan et al. (1998). Regardless, the present experiments indicate that gating is not required to expose at least some residues in TMD1 to MTSEA.

His33 is not responsible for the transient potentiation of wild-type P2X2 by Ag+

Silver causes a long-lasting potentiation of ATP-gated current through mutant H33C-P2X2 receptors that is the result of a leftward shift in the ATP concentration–response curve (Fig. 6). We wondered whether the transient potentiation of the WT receptor, like the longer-lasting transient potentiation of the H33C mutant, also involved a shift in ATP responsiveness and whether this shift was the result of a transient modiﬁcation of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>EC50 (μM)</th>
<th>nH</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>16 ± 3</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H33C</td>
<td>59 ± 8</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H33C-Ag+</td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H33C-Ag+</td>
<td>45 ± 10</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R34C</td>
<td>30 ± 2</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R34C-Ag+</td>
<td>28 ± 5</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I50C</td>
<td>22 ± 4</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I50C-Ag+</td>
<td>34 ± 8</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K53C</td>
<td>52 ± 3</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K53C-Ag+</td>
<td>44 ± 3</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S54C</td>
<td>19 ± 4</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S54C-Ag+</td>
<td>24 ± 7</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The EC50 and nH values from individual experiments were grouped according to mutation and drug treatment from which the mean ± SEM for each group was determined. WT, H33C, R34C, I50C, K53C, and S54C indicate the unmodiﬁed receptor. Modiﬁed residues are sufﬁxed with -Ag+. H33C-Ag+ early. The modiﬁed H33C receptor measured during the potentiation phase of the effect of Ag+; the EC50 of this receptor is an estimate of the true EC50 (see Results). H33C-Ag+ late. The modiﬁed receptor measured during the late steady-state inhibition. Data are given as the mean ± SEM of the individual measurements determined in single cells.
endogenous H33 of the WT receptor. To test the first part of this hypothesis, ATP concentration–response curves were generated before and after application of 500 nM Ag +/H11001. Again, as expected, ATP was more potent in the presence of Ag +/H11001 than in its absence (Fig. 8a, b). ATP concentration–response curves determined before and during application of 500 nM Ag + to a stable HEK239 cell line expressing WT receptor. c. ATP-gated current through the H33L mutant is potentiated by concurrent application of 500 nM Ag +. Same conditions as in a.

Likewise, both acidification (pH 6.0) and Zn 2+ potentiated ATP-gated current in cells expressing H33L (data not shown), suggesting that H33 is not critical for these effects to occur.

**DISCUSSION**

We used scanning cysteine mutagenesis to identify residues in and around TMD1 that react covalently with sulfhydryl-specific reagents. This method assumes that the reagents react only with sulfhydryls exposed to aqueous environments and that modifications of hydrated residues within the water-filled channel pore sometimes lead to a change in current (Karlin and Akabas, 1998). It does not explicitly demonstrate that a residue lines the pore, and the possibility that the current changes as the result of modification of nonpore-forming residues cannot be ignored. We measured covalent modification of accessible residues by recording whole-cell current before and after applications of sulfhydryl-specific reagents; this current is equal to the product of the number of functional channels (n) times the single-channel conductance (i) times the probability that the channel is open (P o).

ATP concentration–response curves were constructed before and after applications of Ag + to determine whether the decreases in
current resulted from changes in agonist potency; we saw no such changes. Specifically, although cysteine substitutions at some residues within TMD1 themselves change agonist potency, covalent modifications of these cysteines do not change potency further. This lack of effect on agonist potency suggests either of the following: (1) \( P_o \) is unchanged after modification of exposed thiols, and the change in current results from a change in \( n \) or \( i \); or (2) \( P_o \) approaches zero for some but not all receptors, an option that is functionally equivalent to a change in \( n \). If \( n \) decreases during drug exposure, then we would expect that repeated applications of sulfhydryls-reactive reagents would eventually lead to a complete loss of the response to ATP. We did not find this to be true. Instead, repeated applications of \( Ag^+ \) or MTSEA lead to a steady-state inhibition that was less than complete (data not shown). Thus, although these receptors may play a role in gating (see below), the apparent explanation for the change in current amplitude that we measured here remains a change in \( i \). The most likely target underlying such a change would be expected to occupy a site within the pore.

Although \( Ag^+ \) may reduce current by altering \( i \), our data do not necessarily support a role for susceptible residues of the wild-type receptor in ionic permeability. Indeed, the relative positions of the most accessible residues near the inner and outer mouths of the channel make it unlikely that these amino acids contribute to ion selection. Rings of negative charge have been shown to promote conduction through other types of ligand-gated ion channels by concentrating cations and repelling anions in the vicinity of the pore (Unwin, 1993). Then, by analogy, the positioning of positive charge supplied by H33 and R34 at the outer limits of the cation-selective pore of the P2X\(_2\) receptor is counterintuitive, suggesting that they are not involved in selectivity. Likewise, intrinsic rectification is a voltage-dependent property of the P2X\(_2\) receptor that requires a charged voltage sensor to sit within the transmembrane electric field, and it is possible that the basic side chains of H33, R34, and K53 provide this charge. Again, our data show that these residues are at the extremes of the channel and are not well situated to sample the electric field. In support of this hypothesis, preliminary data suggest that neutralization of R34 does not change the slope of the current-voltage curve and therefore is not a voltage sensor for rectification of homomeric P2X\(_2\) receptors (Zhou and Hume, 1998). It is worth noting, however, that currents through a number of residues in the middle of TMD1 also were reduced by application of \( Ag^+ \) (Fig. 5). Although these reductions did not meet the 1% confidence level that we used to judge accessibility, it is possible that some of these residues would pass a less stringent measure of significance and may therefore be active players in control of ionic conduction through the pore. Additional experiments are needed to test this hypothesis.

Some of our data do suggest a role for TMD1 in gating. First, ATP is less potent at three TMD1 mutants (H33C, R34C, and K53C) than at the WT receptor. Second, \( Ag^+ \) causes a temporary but long-lasting potentiation of ATP-gated current through H33C that is the result of an increase in agonist potency. A change in potency could reflect altered binding of ATP to an extracellular binding site. That \( Ag^+ \) changes ion conduction by directly modifying the ligand binding site seems unlikely because the mutations detailed in this study occur in a part of the protein that lies in or near the membrane (Brake et al., 1994; Newbolt et al., 1998; Torres et al., 1998). However, we cannot rule out the possibility that modification of an amino acid in the wall of the pore indirectly alters agonist binding because such changes do occur in other receptors (Wang et al., 1997). If we assume that the effect of \( Ag^+ \) occur downstream of the binding site, then the change in agonist potency probably reflects a change in gating kinetics. We favor the hypothesis that TMD1 and TMD2 interact as suggested by a recent study that showed that the rate of desensitization of chimeric proteins of the rapidly desensitizing P2X\(_1\) receptor and the slowly desensitizing P2X\(_2\) receptor depends in part on the origin of the donated transmembrane domains (Werner et al., 1996). Specifically, complete substitution of both transmembrane domains of the P2X\(_1\) receptor into the P2X\(_2\) receptor backbone was required to gain desensitization in a chimeric protein. Furthermore, substitution of either one of the transmembrane domains of the P2X\(_2\) isoform into P2X\(_1\) backbone resulted in loss of rapid desensitization. Together, the results suggest but do not prove that a significant interaction occurs between the TMD1 and TMD2 as the channel gates and that this interaction occurs at the level of the ion channel pore. In support of this hypothesis, we find that both TMD1 (this study) and TMD2 (Egan et al., 1998) line the channel pore and therefore are in close enough proximity to allow an interaction to occur.

Finally, an interaction of separate domains in the control of gating is also suggested by the prolonged potentiation of H33C by \( Ag^+ \) that resulted from a temporary change in agonist potency. If we assume again that the effect of \( Ag^+ \) originates downstream of the binding site, then the change in potency reflects a change in gating kinetics. However, H33 does not seem to be solely responsible for the transient potentiation of ATP-gated current through the WT receptor by \( Ag^+ \) because substitution of leucine at this position did not abolish the effect. This suggests the possibility that the potentiation by \( Ag^+ \) results from the coordinated effort of several different protein domains and that mutation of any one domain may alter the response but not necessarily eliminate it.

In conclusion, our data demonstrate for the first time that TMD1 plays an active role in conduction through the pore of ionotropic purinergic receptors and may constitute a part of the ion channel pore. However, although it is clear that this domain influences whole-cell current, perhaps by an effect on channel gating, the data do not necessarily prove that TMD1 lines the pore. A recent study suggests that it is possible to obtain meaningful data from a single-channel analysis of homomeric P2X\(_2\) receptors expressed in a stable cell line (Ding and Sachs, 1999). Application of this technique to the cysteine-substituted mutants described here may help to resolve the role TMD1 plays in ion conduction.
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