GABA Enhances Transmission at an Excitatory Glutamatergic Synapse
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GABA mediates both presynaptic and postsynaptic inhibition at many synapses. In contrast, we show that GABA enhances transmission at excitatory synapses between the lateral gastric and medial gastric motor neurons and the gastric mill 6a and 9 (gm6a, gm9) muscles and between the lateral pyloric motor neuron and pyloric 1 (p1) muscles in the stomach of the lobster Homarus americanus. Two-electrode current-clamp or voltage-clamp techniques were used to record from muscle fibers. The innervating nerves were stimulated to evoke excitatory junctional potentials (EJPs) or excitatory junctional currents. Bath application of GABA first decreased the amplitude of evoked EJPs in gm6a and gm9 muscles, but not the p1 muscle, by activating a postsynaptic conductance increase that was blocked by picrotoxin. After longer GABA applications (5–15 min), the amplitudes of evoked EJPs increased in all three muscles. This increase persisted in the presence of picrotoxin.

Many synapses are influenced by substances that act directly on the presynaptic terminal to enhance or decrease the amount of neurotransmitter release. The mechanisms underlying this modulation of neurotransmitter release have been studied in numerous preparations and include modulation of voltage-dependent ion channels in presynaptic terminals, direct influences on secretion, and/or relatively direct modifications of presynaptic membrane potential (Delaney et al., 1991; Eliot et al., 1993; Hawkins et al., 1993; Wu and Saggau, 1997; MacDermott et al., 1999; Beaumont and Zucker, 2000).

GABA is among the substances that are well known to have presynaptic effects on neurotransmitter release in both vertebrate (Holz et al., 1989; Gage, 1992; Matthews et al., 1994; Isaacson and Hille, 1997; Lim et al., 2000) and invertebrate (Dudel and Kuffler, 1961; el Manira and Clarac, 1994; Fischer and Parnas, 1996; Rathmayer and Djokaj, 2000) preparations. In many cases, GABA is thought to inhibit transmitter release by direct actions on presynaptic Ca2+ channels, mediated by GABA\(_B\) receptors (Dunlap and Fischbach, 1981).

GABA has both presynaptic and postsynaptic actions at many crustacean nerve terminals (Dudel and Kuffler, 1961). At the crayfish opener synapses, GABA released from the inhibitory nerve terminal opens postsynaptic Cl\(^-\) channels. Additionally, GABA decreases the quantal content of the excitatory synapse (Dudel and Kuffler, 1961) onto the same fibers. Intracellular recordings from crayfish neuromuscular junctions showed that presynaptic inhibition is mediated by hyperpolarization of the terminals (Fuchs and Getting, 1980) and that GABA\(_B\) agonists decrease the release of transmitter from individual boutons at some crayfish neuromuscular junctions (Fischer and Parnas, 1996). Intracellular recordings made in presynaptic terminals at the stretcher muscle neuromuscular junction in spiny lobsters demonstrated a \(\beta\)-aminomethyl)-4-chlorobenzene propanoic acid (baclofen)-evoked membrane hyperpolarization that was blocked by application of pertussis toxin, suggesting the involvement of a GABA\(_B\) receptor (Miwa et al., 1990).

Until recently, it was thought that the muscles of the crustacean stomach receive only excitatory innervation, although a few stomach muscles show a picrotoxin-sensitive increase in Cl\(^-\) conductance in response to GABA (Albert et al., 1986). New anatomical evidence has suggested that there may be GABAergic innervation to some of the crustacean stomach muscles (Sharman et al., 2000; Swensen et al., 2000). This prompted us to reinvestigate the possible role of GABA at some of the neuromuscular junctions of the lobster Homarus americanus. Surprisingly, we found that GABA significantly enhances the amplitude of the excitatory synaptic potentials and currents recorded from fibers of some of the stomach muscles, by what appears to be a presynaptic mechanism mediated by GABA\(_B\)-like receptors. These data strongly suggest that GABA can act presynaptically to enhance transmitter release.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and solutions. Lobsters, H. americanus, of both sexes were obtained from local seafood suppliers in Boston, MA, and kept in aerated aquaria at 10–12°C. Physiological saline with the following composition (in mM) was used: 479.2 NaCl, 12.74 KCl, 13.67 CaCl2, 10 mgSO4, 3.91 Na2SO4, and 5 HEPES, pH 7.45 (Richards et al., 1999). GABA, l-glutamate, picotrixin (PTX), and muscimol were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Baclofen and 3-amino-2-(4-chlorophenyl)propylphosphonic acid (phadofen) were purchased from Research Biochemicals (Natick, MA). Tetrodotoxin (TTX) was purchased from Alomone Laboratories (Jerusalem, Israel). The GABA A antagonists (3-aminopropyl)cyclohexylmethyl)phosphonic acid (CGP 46381), (3-aminopropyl)dithioether)methylphosphonic acid (CGP 35348), and (3-[[3,4-dichlorophenyl)methyl]amino]propyl)dithioether)methyl phosphonic acid (CGP 52432) were purchased from Torcis Cookson, Inc. (Ballwin, MO).

The motor neuron somata of the stomatogastric ganglion (STG) make excitatory connections to the stomach muscles (Maynard and Dando, 1974). The gastric mill 9 (gm9) muscle is innervated solely by the medial gastric (MG) neuron via the lateral ventricular nerve (mnv) and from the MG neuron via the lvn. The pyloric 1 (pl) muscle is innervated solely by the lateral pyloric (LP) neuron via the lateral pyloric nerve. Nerve–muscle preparations were isolated from the animal and pinned flat in Sylgard-coated (Dow Corning, Midland, MI) 35 mm Petri dishes. The preparations were continuously superfused with saline (~10 ml/min) by a gravity-fed system. Pharmacological agents were dissolved in saline immediately before use and then bath applied to the preparation by means of a switching port at the inflow of the superfusion system, which had a dead time of ~1 min. The bath volume was ~3 ml and, after the dead time, exchanged in ~1 min as determined by application of 1 mM l-glutamate. The saline was cooled to 10–12°C by means of a Peltier system.

Recordings. Intracellular recordings were made with microelectrodes filled with 0.6 M K2SO4 containing 20 mM KCI or with 3 M KCl in either two-electrode current-clamp or voltage-clamp mode using an Axoclamp-2A ampliﬁer (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). Recording electrodes were 15–20 MΩ; current-passing electrodes sometimes were of lower resistance. Motor nerves were stimulated extracellularly with a stainless steel bipolar pin electrode driven by an A-M System (Carlsborg, WA) pulse stimulator, model 2100. Glutamate was applied iontophoretically with hyperpolarizing current pulses (~200 nA; 200–500 msec) from a 60 MΩ electrode filled with 10−4 M glutamate, pH 9. Miniature excitatory junctional potentials (mEJPs) were recorded in 10 min stretches for each preparation in each condition. The mEJPs were counted by eye, and the amplitude was measured using the pClamp 8 software suite (Axon Instruments). Events were accepted if the amplitude exceeded peak-to-peak noise and had the fast rise time and slower decay characteristic of an excitatory junctional potential.

Data analysis. Statistical analyses were done with the SigmaStat software package (Jandel Scientific Software, San Rafael, CA). Data are reported as means ± SEs.

RESULTS

The gm6a EJP increases in the presence of GABA

Albert et al. (1986) showed that bath application of 5 × 10−4 m GABA decreased the input resistance of gm6 muscle fibers in H. americanus. The decrease was blocked by PTX, indicating that a GABA A-like receptor was responsible for the resistance change. Figure 1A shows a simultaneous measurement of muscle input resistance and nerve-evoked EJPs in the gm6a muscle. A hyperpolarizing current pulse (~50 nA; 2 sec) was injected into the muscle fiber every 10 sec, and the change in membrane potential was recorded. Between each current pulse, the lvn was stimulated for 2 sec at 5 Hz to evoke EJPs. In Figure 1A the dark line is the muscle fiber membrane potential, the negative deflections are hyperpolarizations resulting from the current injections, and the positive deflections are EJP trains compressed on this time base. At time 0, the inflow stopcock was turned, starting flow of 10−4 m GABA into the bath system. Approximately 1 min after bath application was started, the muscle resistance began to decrease, accompanied by a small depolarization (~2 mV) of the resting potential. The conductance peaked 2 min into the GABA application, and the effect partially desensitized during the next 13 min. Full I–V curves (data not shown) in control saline and after 2 min in 10−4 m GABA conﬁrmed that the input resistance clearly decreased in GABA.

The expanded traces at the top of Figure 1A show EJP trains at time 0 and after 2 and 15 min in GABA. Two points should be noted. First, within each train there was substantial quantal variability in the EJP amplitude, although facilitation during the train was comparable with that of the control but decayed more quickly than did that of the control, consistent with a decreased muscle resistance. The EJP amplitude after 15 min was larger than that in control saline. Thirty minutes after switching back to control saline, the EJP amplitude returned to its initial value (data not shown). Figure 1C summarizes the average peak gm6a EJP amplitude across preparations (n = 9) in control saline, 2 min after beginning bath application of 10−4 m GABA, and after 15 min in 10−4 m GABA. For each condition we averaged the response of five EJPs made at 10 sec intervals. The increase after 15 min was significant (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.001).

Figure 1D summarizes the dependence of the EJP amplitude on GABA concentration (n = 4 experiments). During each experiment the concentration of GABA was varied from 10−6 to 10−3 m. A concentration of GABA was applied for 15 min, the measurement was made, and then the preparation was rinsed in control saline for 30–40 min before the next application of GABA. The change in EJP amplitude with respect to control was significant in 10−2 and 10−3 m GABA (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.01 and p < 0.001, respectively).

Muscimol mimics the GABA actions on muscle fiber input impedance

Previous work reported by Albert et al. (1986) showed that picotrixin blocked the postsynaptic conductance change evoked by GABA, and we replicated this effect (data not shown). This suggested that a GABA A-like receptor could mediate the postjunctional effect of GABA. To characterize the pharmacology of this receptor further, we bath applied 10−3 m muscimol and measured gm6a muscle fiber input impedance and EJP amplitude. In data from seven preparations, muscimol evoked a modest increase in conductance from 27.5 ± 9.2 to 30.9 ± 10.0 μS (paired t test, p < 0.03), but no significant change in EJP amplitude was seen (data not shown).

PTX does not block the GABA enhancement of EJP amplitude

To determine whether the increase in EJP amplitude seen in GABA was PTX-sensitive, we repeated the experiments summarized in Figure 1C in the presence of 10−4 m GABA and 10−3 m PTX. The average EJP amplitude (n = 5) was 1.56 ± 0.33 mV in PTX and was 2.30 ± 0.29 mV after 15 min in GABA and PTX. The difference in the amplitude was signiﬁcant (paired t test, p < 0.05).
The gm6a excitatory junctional current increases in the presence of GABA

To determine whether the increase in EJP amplitude resulted from an increase in postsynaptic current, we voltage clamped fibers to −110 mV and measured MG-evoked excitatory junctional currents (EJCs) in gm6a in the absence and presence of GABA. All measurements were done in 5 mM PTX. Figure 2A shows examples of nerve-evoked EJCs measured in a gm6a muscle fiber in PTX and after 15 min of bath application of 4 mM GABA and PTX. Each trace is an average of five EJCs taken at 10 sec intervals. Figure 2B shows the average peak EJC amplitude in PTX and after 15 min in GABA and PTX (n = 5). The increase in amplitude was significant (paired t test, ***p < 0.001).

The presynaptic and postsynaptic effects of GABA have different time courses

The presence of the postsynaptic conductance change elicited by GABA confounded the estimation of the time course of the EJP enhancement. To gain a better estimate of the time course of the enhancement of the EJPs by GABA under our experimental conditions, we first measured the change in conductance evoked by bath application of glutamate, the neurotransmitter at this synapse (Lingle, 1980), or muscimol, which mimics only the postsynaptic action of GABA. Under our flow conditions, in the gm6a muscle we found that the peak changes in conductance evoked by bath application of 3 mM glutamate and 4 mM muscimol occurred in ~90 sec after the agonists entered the bath (i.e., after the 1 min bath system dead time) (Fig. 3). However, the peak changes in EJP amplitude evoked by GABA in the presence of PTX did not occur until ~3–4 min after GABA and PTX entered the bath (Fig. 3). The glutamate and muscimol time courses were statistically indistinguishable, but the time to peak of the GABA-evoked EJP enhancement was statistically longer (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.05).

GABA enhances the p1 EJP amplitude without eliciting a postsynaptic conductance change

Unlike in the gm6a and gm9 muscles, bath application of 10−4 M GABA increased the nerve-evoked EJP in the p1 muscle but did
This enhancement peaked would be expected to generate a peak postsynaptic response (2\times 10^{-3} \text{ M} \text{ GABA}) and 10^{-5} \text{ M} \text{ PTX} (217 \pm 14.36 \text{ sec}; n = 4; one-way ANOVA, *p < 0.05). However, the time-to-peak response for glutamate or muscimol did not statistically differ from that of the other.

not cause a postsynaptic conductance change. Figure 4A shows a current-clamp trace recorded in a p1 fiber. Downward deflections represent hyperpolarizing current injections (−50 nA; 5 sec), and upward deflections represent nerve-evoked EJPs (stimulated at 1 Hz for 15 sec). This preparation responded to GABA application (inflow stopcock turned at time 0) with no significant change in postsynaptic conductance but with an increase in the EJP amplitude after ~15 min (6.95 \pm 0.10 \text{ mV} in control vs 7.27 \pm 0.11 \text{ mV} in GABA; average of 15 traces; Student’s t test, *p < 0.05). In contrast to observations in the gm6a muscle, GABA application resulted in no significant postsynaptic conductance increase (3.10 \pm 0.48 \mu\text{S} in control vs 3.12 \pm 0.51 \mu\text{S} in 10^{-3} \text{ M} \text{ GABA}, measured 2 min after turning inflow stopcock; n = 4; Fig. 4B). Figure 4C shows that EJP amplitude increased after long GABA applications (3.76 \pm 0.81 \text{ mV} in control vs 4.49 \pm 0.81 \text{ mV} in 10^{-4} \text{ M} \text{ GABA}; n = 6; Wilcoxon signed rank test, *p < 0.05). This enhancement peaked ~12 min after GABA entered the bath. Nerve-evoked EJPs recorded from a single preparation (average of 30 traces) are shown in the inset.

The EJP amplitude increases in the presence of the GABA\(_A\) agonist baclofen

The relatively slow time course of the GABA effect on EJP enhancement suggested that this action of GABA could be mediated by a GABA\(_A\)-like receptor. In a recent study of GABA responses in the neurons in the STG of the crab Cancer borealis, it was observed that the GABA\(_A\) agonist baclofen affected membrane conductances (Swensen et al., 2000). We applied 10^{-4} \text{ M} baclofen to see whether it mimicked the slow GABA effect on the MG-gm6a EJP amplitude. Bath application of baclofen had no significant postsynaptic effect on membrane conductance (n = 4; 22.3 \pm 0.02 \mu\text{S} in control saline vs 22.6 \pm 0.02 \mu\text{S} in baclofen; paired t test). Membrane conductance measurements in baclofen were made at a time when application of L-glutamate or GABA would be expected to generate a peak postsynaptic response (2 min after inflow stopcock was turned). Figure 5 shows a PTX-insensitive increase in EJP amplitude. Traces were recorded from a gm6a fiber (n = 5; 2.06 \pm 0.42 \text{ mV} in PTX vs 2.92 \pm 0.18 \text{ mV} after 15 min in baclofen and PTX). The difference in the amplitude was significant (paired t test, *p < 0.05).

Baclofen (10^{-4} \text{ M}) also caused an increase in EJP amplitude in six of seven LP-p1 nerve–muscle preparations (5.06 \pm 0.54 \text{ mV} in control saline vs 5.60 \pm 0.57 \text{ mV} in baclofen; paired t test, *p < 0.05) but had no apparent effect on postsynaptic conductance (data not shown).

Previous work on crustacean GABA responses showed that although agonists of different classes were often effective, antagonists to vertebrate GABA receptors are often ineffective (Swensen et al., 2000). We tried a number of different GABA antagonists including phaclofen (Kerr et al., 1987), CGP 46381 (Olpe et al., 1993), CGP 35348 (Olpe et al., 1990, 1993), and CGP 52432 (Lanza et al., 1993). None of these blocked the EJP enhancement evoked by GABA (phaclofen, 10^{-4} \text{ M}; n = 5; CGP 46381, 10^{-5} \text{ M} to 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}; n = 4; CGP 35348, 7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}; n = 1; CGP 54626, 1.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}; n = 2).

The response to iontophoretically applied glutamate does not increase in GABA

The LG, MG, and LP neurons release glutamate as their neurotransmitter (Lingle, 1980). To ask whether GABA application
altered the postjunctural actions of glutamate, we measured the postsynaptic potential obtained in response to iontophoretically applied glutamate in the absence and presence of GABA. The measurements were done in the presence of 10^{-5} M PTX. Figure 6A shows a typical response to iontophoretically applied glutamate in PTX and after 15 min of bath application of 10^{-4} M baclofen and 10^{-5} M PTX (paired t test, *p < 0.05).

GABA and PTX. Each trace is an average of five pulses taken 20 sec apart. Very little difference is seen in the two conditions. To check that GABA increased EJP amplitude in these preparations, a nerve-evoked EJP was stimulated between each iontophoretic pulse and measured using the same electrode. The effects of GABA on the average peak response to applied glutamate and on the average EJP amplitude are shown in Figure 6B (n = 6). The iontophoretic response after a 15 min application of GABA was not significantly different from the control, although the nerve-evoked EJP amplitude was significantly increased (paired t test, *p < 0.01).

**Figure 5.** Baclofen mimics the effect of GABA on the EJP amplitude. A. The nerve-evoked EJP recorded in a gm6a muscle fiber was increased by ~40% in 10^{-4} M baclofen compared with control. This increase was not blocked by 10^{-5} M PTX. B. A bar graph plot shows that the average nerve-evoked EJP was increased in five preparations after a 15 min bath application of 10^{-4} M baclofen and 10^{-5} M PTX (paired t test, *p < 0.05).

**Figure 6.** GABA has no effect on the response to iontophoretically applied glutamate. A. The gm6a response to iontophoretic application of 10^{-4} M glutamate was not changed after a 15 min application of 10^{-4} M GABA. PTX (10^{-5} M) was present in both measurements. Each trace is an average of five glutamate responses taken 20 sec apart. B. A bar graph plot is shown of average EJP amplitude and the response to iontophoretically applied glutamate in 10^{-5} M PTX (black bars) and after 15 min in 10^{-4} M GABA (gray bars) (n = 6). The iontophoretic response after a 15 min application of GABA was not significantly different from the control, although the nerve-evoked EJP amplitude was significantly increased (paired t test, *p < 0.05).

**Figure 7.** GABA decreases the coefficient of variation of the EJP size
Repetitive stimulations of the lvn (Fig. 7A) show considerable variation in gm6a EJP amplitude, presumably because of quantal fluctuations in the number of released vesicles. Analysis of trial-to-trial fluctuations in EJP amplitude can yield information about the mean quantal content of an EJP and, indirectly, the probability of release. If the probability of vesicle release can be described by Poisson statistics, the coefficient of variation (CV) of the EJP amplitude, defined as the SD divided by the mean, should decrease with increased probability of release.

We calculated the CV for MG–gm6a EJPs in control saline and after 15 min in 10^{-4} M GABA. We computed the CV in each condition from the amplitude of 100 EJPs, stimulated at 3 sec intervals. The mean and CV were 1.13 mV and 0.26 for control and 1.45 mV and 0.23 in GABA, respectively. C. A bar graph plot showing that the average CV significantly decreased after 15 min in GABA compared with control (n = 4; paired t test, **p < 0.01).
DISCUSSION

GABA<sub>B</sub>-like receptor increases synaptic efficacy

The data presented in this paper are all consistent with the interpretation that GABA acts presynaptically via a GABA<sub>B</sub>-like receptor to increase the amount of neurotransmitter released by the MG, LG, and LP motor neurons onto the gm6a, gm9, and p1 muscles of the lobster stomach. We found that GABA caused two effects on neuromuscular junctions in the lobster stomach: a rapid postsynaptic conductance increase and a slower enhancement of EJP amplitude. The first effect seems to occur via activation of postsynaptic GABA<sub>A</sub>-like channels because it rapidly increased conductance of the muscle fiber, was blocked by picrotoxin (Albert et al., 1986), and was mimicked by muscimol. The second effect is likely caused by activation of GABA<sub>B</sub>-like receptors because it occurred more slowly, suggesting a potential metabolotropic mechanism, and was mimicked by application of baclofen, but not muscimol. In further support that these two effects occur via separate mechanisms, GABA caused a slow enhancement of the EJP without eliciting a significant postsynaptic effect at the p1 neuromuscular junction.

Phacolfen and other vertebrate GABA<sub>B</sub> antagonists were ineffective at blocking the GABA-evoked EJP enhancement. However, this is similar to their lack of actions on GABA responses in the crab STG (Swensen et al., 2000) and is consistent with the observation that agonists effective on vertebrate receptors often retain activity in crustacean species, whereas antagonists are often less effective (Marder and Paupardin-Tritsch, 1980). This is understandable, because a single amino acid change can alter the pharmacological profile of *Drosophila* GABA receptors (Zhang et al., 1994, 1995; Hosie et al., 1997). Additionally, GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors have been reported in vertebrate species that are insensitive to phacolfen (Bonanno et al., 1997) and to CGP 35348 (Bonanno and Raiteri, 1992), suggesting that there may be pharmacologically distinct classes of GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors (Bonanno and Raiteri, 1993).

Three observations support the argument that the slow enhancement of EJPs occurs via a presynaptic mechanism that increases the probability of vesicle release. First, the response to iontophoretically applied glutamate recorded in the muscle fiber was unchanged, but nerve-evoked responses were potentiated by GABA. Second, the coefficient of variation of nerve-evoked EJPs decreased in GABA. Third, the frequency, but not the amplitude, of mEJPs increased in a reversible manner with GABA applications, and this effect persisted in TTX.

Possible mechanisms for GABA enhancement of EJPs

In many preparations GABA causes an excitatory effect mediated by GABA<sub>A</sub> chloride channels (Alger and Nicoll, 1979; Andersen et al., 1980; Thalman et al., 1981; Nistri and Sivilotti, 1985; Arakawa and Okada, 1988; Staley and Proctor, 1999). The depolarization probably occurs via changes in the chloride reversal potential and/or efflux of bicarboxylate anions via the GABA<sub>A</sub> channels. There is ample precedent for GABA<sub>B</sub>-mediated actions on both Ca<sup>2+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> currents (Dunlap and Fischbach, 1981; Gahwiler and Brown, 1985; Dolphin and Scott, 1987; Saint et al., 1990; Gage, 1992; Mintz and Bean, 1993), although in most cases the net effect of these actions is inhibitory, because GABA decreases Ca<sup>2+</sup> currents and/or enhances K<sup>+</sup> currents. Despite these findings there is no a priori reason why GABA cannot have an excitatory effect. Neurotransmitters are known to act in opposite directions in different target neurons (Licata et al., 1993;
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Zhou and Hablitz, 1999) or can elicit multiple responses from the same neuron (Kehoe, 1972).

Figure 9 is a diagram consistent with our physiological data that shows how GABA might influence neuromuscular junctions in the lobster stomach. It shows the presence of pharmacologically different classes of GABA receptors on the muscle and on the excitatory nerve terminal. In this scheme the postsynaptic increase in conductance is mediated by activation of GABAA-like receptors (open symbols) located in the muscle membrane, and GABAB-like receptors (squiggles) located presynaptically in the motor neuron terminal enhance transmitter release.

The possible presence of a GABAergic fiber that makes presynaptic connections onto the terminal of the excitatory motor neuron raises the logical possibility that the GABA-evoked en-

Figure 8. GABA or baclofen increases the frequency but not the amplitude of miniature excitatory junctional potentials in the gm6a muscle. A. Typical mEJPs recorded in normal saline in a gm6a muscle fiber are shown in two consecutive intervals. B, A histogram plot of mEJPs recorded in a single preparation shows that after a 15 min application of $10^{-4}$ M GABA and $10^{-5}$ M PTX (gray bars), frequency increased compared with control (black bars), whereas the relative distribution of amplitudes remained the same. Inset, The nerve-evoked EJP also increased in the same preparation after drug application. Both effects washed out after ~50 min (data not shown). C, A histogram plot of the amplitude of the first 22 mEJPs recorded in 13 preparations shows that application of GABA and PTX or baclofen (gray bars) had no significant effect on the distribution of mEJP amplitudes. D, A plot of mEJP frequency in control saline versus mEJP frequency in drug shows that in 12 of 13 preparations GABA and PTX or baclofen increased frequency in the absence (circles) or presence (triangles) of TTX. A reference line with a slope of 1 is plotted (solid line).
hancement of EJP amplitude could occur if the GABAergic fiber had conventional inhibitory autoreceptors, and if GABA were being continuously released. In this model, the enhancement could occur as disinhibition; the application of exogenous GABA results in a decrease in the release of GABA onto the presynaptic glutamatergic fiber. In this case, the cellular actions of GABA could be quite conventional, but the net effect would be excitatory. We believe that this interpretation is unlikely because it is not consistent with the effects of GABA on mEJP frequency, especially those seen in the presence of TTX. TTX would suppress all action potential-evoked release of GABA from any presynaptic fiber. In the presence of TTX, the effects on the mEJPs should be uninfluenced by the presence of the GABAergic fiber, and therefore the effects on mEJP frequency must be caused by the direct actions of GABA on the excitatory terminal. Therefore, the increased frequency of mEJPs seen in GABA would argue that GABA is acting directly to enhance transmitter release from the presynaptic terminal.

There are other reports that suggest that GABA may enhance synaptic release by activating depolarizing GABA_\text{A} receptors or via network interactions (Nistri and Sivilotti, 1985; Arakawa and Okada, 1988). Brenowitz et al. (1998) showed that GABA activation of presynaptic GABA_\text{B} receptors decreases the amount of synaptic depression at auditory glutamatergic synapses. Because of this, the EPSCs evoked by high-frequency trains of stimuli are larger in baclofen than in the control. The authors argue that this occurs precisely because baclofen decreases transmitter release early in the train, and therefore there is more residual transmitter available for release by subsequent pulses (Brenowitz et al., 1998). Alternatively, it is possible that those terminals might also express a second GABA_\text{B} action analogous to the one we report here, by which GABA directly enhances transmitter release.

Anatomical evidence consistent with the presynaptic peripheral role of GABA

A relatively high concentration of bath-applied GABA (>10^{-5} \text{m}) is required for either the muscle fiber input resistance decrease or the increase in EJP amplitude (Fig. 1D). What might be the source of the GABA? Studies of the distribution of GABA immunoreactivity in a variety of crustacean species, including lobsters, show that none of the STG motor neuron somata stain for GABA (Cournil et al., 1990; Mulloney and Hall, 1990; Swensen et al., 2000). However, GABA is found in inputs to the stomatogastric ganglion (Cournil et al., 1990; Blitz and Nusbaum, 1999), some of which project through the STG into the motor nerves (Swensen et al., 2000), and may be responsible for recent observations of inhibitory synapses onto stomach muscles (Sharman et al., 2000). Particularly relevant for this work, Sharman et al. (2000) found ultrastructural evidence of inhibitory synapses onto the axons of excitatory motor neurons in crabs.

Possible physiological significance of the opposing effects of GABA

The opposing presynaptic enhancement and postsynaptic inhibition evoked by GABA appear at first to be paradoxical. Nonetheless, similar phenomena have been described in other neuromuscular systems. In _Aplysia_ a motor neuron innervating the radula closer muscle coreleases neuromodulatory substances that have opposing effects: a presynaptically mediated decrease in neurotransmitter release and a postsynaptically mediated increase in muscle contraction strength and relaxation time (Vilim et al., 1996a,b). When feeding, _Aplysia_ move food into the mouth by rhythmic opening and closing of the radula. It is hypothesized that as the animal eats more quickly, or with stronger force of contraction, the closer muscle will not completely relax before the opener muscle contracts, and functional feeding cannot occur. Neuromodulatory substances are preferentially released from the motor neuron during higher frequency firing and cause the radula closer muscle to contract less forcefully and relax more quickly and may allow the radula to open and close at a faster rate (Vilim et al., 1996a,b, 2000). Similarly, in the locust, release of octopamine at some wing and leg muscle neuromuscular junctions increases the muscle relaxation rate, allowing the muscles to respond to more rapid input during flight corrections or walking (Evans and Siegfler, 1982; Stevenson and Meuser, 1997; Baudoux et al., 1998).

A similar situation may be occurring in the lobster stomach muscles. Release of GABA at the neuromuscular junction may decrease EJP duration and increase the muscle relaxation rate by increasing postsynaptic conductance. Also, GABA may increase EJP amplitude and contraction strength by enhancing transmitter release. In principle, these two effects may allow stomach muscles to follow faster rhythmic input by increasing the muscle relaxation rate, while maintaining a similar peak contraction strength. The presence of a GABAergic neuromodulatory network in the lobster could allow rhythmic stomatogastric muscle contraction, and therefore feeding behavior, over a wider range of frequencies and strengths than otherwise possible. This may represent a mechanism by which the animal adapts to variability in its natural environment.
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