Synaptic plasticity can be induced through mechanisms intrinsic to a synapse or through extrinsic modulatory mechanisms. In this study, we investigated the relationship between these two forms of plasticity at the excitatory synapse between L29 interneurons and siphon motor neurons (MNs) in Aplysia. Using isolated ganglia, we confirmed that the L29–MN synapses exhibit a form of intrinsic facilitation: post-tetanic potentiation (PTP). We also found that L29–MN synapses are modulated by exogenous application of 5-HT: they are depressed after 5-HT exposure. We next investigated the functional relationship between an intrinsic facilitatory process (PTP) and extrinsic inhibitory modulation (5-HT-induced depression). First, we found that application of 5-HT just before L29 activation results in a reduction of PTP. Second, using semi-intact preparations, we found that tail shock (TS) mimics the effect of 5-HT by both depressing L29 synaptic transmission and by reducing L29 PTP. Third, we observed a significant correlation between L29 activity during TS and subsequent synaptic change: low-responding L29s showed synaptic depression after TS, whereas high-responding L29s showed synaptic facilitation. Finally, we found that we could directly manipulate the sign and magnitude of TS-induced synaptic plasticity by controlling L29 activity during TS. Collectively, our results show that the L29–MN synapses exhibit intrinsic facilitation and extrinsic modulation and that the sign and magnitude of L29–MN plasticity induced by TS is governed by the combined effects of these two processes. This circuit architecture, which combines network inhibition with cell-specific facilitation, can enhance the signal value of a specific stimulus within a neural network.
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Synaptic plasticity is fundamental to neural processing. Essential elements of synaptic plasticity can be captured by three features: mechanism, sign, and time scale. In considering mechanism, plasticity can arise from cellular events either intrinsic or extrinsic to a synapse (Krasne, 1978). For example, intrinsic properties of a synapse allow the expression of different forms of plasticity associated with different rates of presynaptic activity. In contrast, plasticity may be induced by the activation of modulatory cells or circuits extrinsic to a synapse. In considering the sign of plasticity, synaptic change can reflect either the facilitation or inhibition of transmission. Thus, there are facilitatory forms of intrinsic plasticity, such as post-tetanic potentiation (PTP; Zucker, 1989; Fisher et al., 1997), as well as inhibitory forms, such as homosynaptic depression (Armitage and Siegelbaum, 1998; Bear, 1999). Similarly, extrinsic modulation can be facilitatory (e.g., heterosynaptic facilitation; Castellucci and Kandel, 1976; Empetage and Carew, 1993; Katz and Frost, 1995) or inhibitory (e.g., presynaptic inhibition; Ryall, 1978; Montarolo et al., 1988). Finally, different forms of synaptic plasticity are manifest over different temporal domains. Some forms last from seconds to minutes (e.g., PTP), whereas others persist for hours and days (e.g., long-term potentiation (LTP)) (for review, see Malenka and Nicoll, 1999). If different forms of plasticity share overlapping temporal domains, then intrinsic and extrinsic forms of plasticity can interact. For example, hippocampal LTP is disrupted by extrinsic corticosteroids (Kim and Yoon, 1998), endogenous opioids (Terman et al., 1994), or serotonergic modulation (5-HT; Corradetti et al., 1992; Villani and Johnston, 1993). Mechanistically, when intrinsic and extrinsic plasticities occur in parallel, the result may be attributable to an interaction between the underlying mechanisms (Hawkins et al., 1983; Walters and Byrne, 1983) or a summation of independent mechanisms (Buonomano and Merzenich, 1998).

The siphon withdrawal reflex (SWR) in *Aplysia* allows the examination of the functional significance of synaptic plasticity in a behaviorally relevant neural circuit. One class of interneurons in the SWR circuit, the L29s (approximately five in number; Hawkins et al., 1981a), provides a major source of excitation to siphon motor neurons (MNs), and this synapse exhibits PTP, a form of facilitatory intrinsic plasticity (Hawkins et al., 1981a; Fischer and Carew, 1995; Frost and Kandel, 1995). Tail shock (TS) enhances the SWR and is thought to evoke the release of extrinsic modulators, including 5-HT, in the SWR circuit (Hawkins, 1989; Mackey et al., 1989; Fischer et al., 1997) (see Marinesco and Carew, 2000 for TS-elicited 5-HT release in the tail withdrawal circuit). TS activates some L29s more effectively than others, presumably because of differing receptive fields (Hawkins and Schacher, 1989), allowing the examination of the...
combined effects of extrinsic and intrinsic plasticity at an identified synapse.

Here we report that L29–MN synapses are depressed immediately after exposure to 5-HT and that 5-HT-induced depression reduces the effectiveness with which L29s drive follower MNs. By reducing baseline synaptic transmission, 5-HT also reduces the magnitude of L29–MN PTP. TS mimics the effects of 5-HT by depressing both synaptic transmission and intrinsic plasticity in the L29s. When we examined the relationship between L29 responsiveness to TS and subsequent change in the synaptic strength, we found a significant positive correlation: low-responding L29s showed synaptic depression after TS, whereas high-responding L29s showed synaptic facilitation. Finally, we found that the magnitude and sign of L29–MN synaptic plasticity could be controlled by manipulating L29 activity during TS. Collectively, these results show that intrinsic facilitation and extrinsic modulation of the L29–MN synapse co-occur after TS. They further show that the ultimate sign of plasticity at this synapse is governed by the summation of these two processes. This functional architecture, in turn, produces a form of “centersurround” processing at the interneuronal level of the SWR circuitry: intrinsic facilitation induced by high responding of L29s is superimposed on a modulatory background of general inhibition, thus providing enhanced contrast for information processing within the network.

Some of these results have been reported previously in abstract form (Bristol et al., 1998, 1999).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Adult *Aplysia californica* (100–300 gm) were acquired commercially (Marinus, Long Beach, CA; Marine Specimens Unlimited, Long Beach, CA; *Aplysia* Resource Center, Coral Gables, FL) and housed individually in a 600 l aquarium with continuously circulating artificial seawater (Instant Ocean, Aquarium Systems, Mentor, OH) at ~15°C. Animals were fed dried seaweed twice weekly.

**Experimental preparations.** Animals were anesthetized with an injection of isotonic MgCl₂ into the body cavity. All peripheral nerves were cut except the P9 nerves, which innervate the tail. The CNS (abdominal ganglion and ring ganglia) and the tail were then excised from the animal and transferred to a recording dish coated with silicone elastomer (Sylgard; Dow-Corning, Midland, MI). The abdominal ganglion was briefly fixed (40 sec immersion in 0.04% gluteraldehyde) to facilitate desheathing and the connections of the evansive tissue. The recording dish was fitted with two separate chambers: one contained the CNS, and the other contained the tail. The P9 nerves were passed between the chambers via a small open slit in the separating barrier. The slit was then sealed using petroleum jelly to improve electrical isolation and to allow for independent manipulation of bath levels. The tail was cannulated and continuously perfused with artificial seawater (ASW; in mM: 460 NaCl, 55 MgCl₂, 11 CaCl₂, 10 KCl, and 10 Trisma, pH 7.6) throughout the experiment. In some experiments, (isolated ganglion), an abdominal ganglion was removed, briefly fixed, and then pinned ventral side up in a 2 ml volume Petri dish coated with a thin layer of silicone elastomer.

In all preparations, the left abdominal hemiganglion was desheathed in a 1:1 mixture of ASW and isotonic MgCl₂ to prevent synaptic transmission. Throughout the experiment, ganglia were continuously perfused with ASW at room temperature (20°C) at ~2 ml/min (isolated ganglion preparations) or 6 ml/min (semi-intact preparations) and illuminated from below through a dark-field condenser. The perfusion system also delivered bath applications of 10 or 50 µM 5-HT in ASW.

**Intracellular recordings.** Ganglia were visualized with a Zeiss dissecting microscope fitted with a recording stage. Standard intracellular recording techniques were used. Neurons were impaled with glass microelectrodes (resistance 6–15 MΩ) filled with 3 M KCl. Electrical potentials were amplified on a Gating 5-A amplifier (Getting Instruments, 1A City, IA) and then digitized (Medical Systems Corp., Greenvale, NY) for tape storage and computer analysis. Data analysis was conducted using AXOSCOPE data acquisition software (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA) and the POWERLAB data acquisition unit (ADInstruments, Milford, MA) and accompanying Chart 3.6 software.

Individual L29 interneurons were identified on the basis of their size, position, action potential, and by their characteristic “stuttering” response to depolarizing pulses or cutaneous stimulation (Hawkins et al., 1981a; Fischer and Carew, 1995; Frost and Kandel, 1995). Siphon MNs were identified by their size, location, and by their tonic firing activity (Hickie and Walters, 1995; Belkin and Abrams, 1998). For the duration of the experiment, MNs were hyperpolarized to ~70 mV to prevent spiking.

**Experimental procedures.** Tests of L29–MN synaptic strength (EPSP) tests were conducted by measuring the peak voltage of the MN EPSP generated by a single spike in the L29 (2–6 nA; 20–50 msec). In some experiments, the area under the complex EPSP in the MN (expressed as millivolts · second) was integrated to provide a quantitative measure of the efficacy with which the L29 activated the MN during a tetanus. PTP tests were used to measure intrinsic enhancement of the L29–MN EPSP after tetanus. A PTP test consisted of: (1) a baseline EPSP test, followed 1 sec later by (2) intracellular activation of an L29 at 20 Hz for 5 sec (current pulses 20 msec at 1.5 times the intensity to elicit a single action potential to ensure firing over the course of the tetanus; intensity range, 5–9 nA), and (3) EPSP tests conducted every 10 sec for 1 min immediately after the tetanus, with an additional EPSP test taken at 5 min after tetanus.

In experiments examining the effects of 5-HT on L29 synaptic transmission, two baseline EPSP tests were taken [5 min intertrial interval (ITI)] followed 3 min later by a 2 min perfusion of 5-HT. Three post-5-HT EPSP tests were taken at a 5 min ITI starting ~30 sec after the 5-HT. In experiments examining the effects of 5-HT on L29–MN PTP, multiple PTP tests were conducted in the same preparation: (1) before 5-HT perfusion, (2) 30 sec after 5-HT, and/or (3) 10 min after 5-HT (see Fig. 5).

In some experiments using TS, a PTP test was administered before TS to insure that the synapse under study was capable of exhibiting intrinsic enhancement. Four experiments (7% of cases) were discarded for failing to show enhancement 10 sec after tetanization. In the remaining experiments, the tetanus was 1 sec in duration to match the duration of TS. An additional EPSP test was administered 5 min after the initial PTP test to serve as a baseline measure for the first TS. Immediately after this EPSP test, a 1 sec TS was administered and the amplitude of the L29–MN synapse was measured at 10 sec intervals for 1 min and again at 5 min after shock. In these experiments, the L29 was hyperpolarized during the second TS if it fired >10 spikes during the first TS (tail-responsive L29). Conversely, it was activated during the second TS if it fired fewer than 10 spikes during the first TS (tail-unresponsive L29). L29 activity during TS was manipulated by either passing constant hyperpolarizing current (5–9 nA) by activating the cell at 20 Hz for the duration of the TS. Tail shocks (45–60 mA, 1 sec) were delivered to the dorsal surface of the tail using a bipolar electrode. The electrode covered a surface area of ~2 cm² and was lowered onto the tail immediately before the shock and retracted from the tail immediately after shock.

**Statistical analysis.** All summary data are presented graphically as means ± SEM. N values indicate number of preparations. Repeated-measures ANOVA, paired t tests, and linear contrasts (a fixed effect of the difference between the mean of one condition with the combined means of several conditions) were used as tests for differences between means (Howell, 1997). An α level of 0.05 was adopted for all tests of statistical significance.

RESULTS

**Intrinsic facilitation of L29: PTP**

Intrinsic enhancement (PTP) at the L29 during a tetanus was demonstrated previously (Frost et al., 1988). Confirming this observation, as shown in Figure 1, we found that intracellular activation of the L29 at 20 Hz for 5 sec led to a significant enhancement of synaptic transmission that was greatest at the first test 10 sec after tetanus (~250% enhancement; mean difference between baseline test and 10 sec after test = 9.34 mV; t(13) = 5.59; p < 0.01). Synaptic strength was still significantly elevated above baseline when tested 5 min after tetanus (mean difference = 2.46 mV; t(11) = 2.95; p < 0.01) but returned to baseline at 10 min after tetanus (mean difference = 1.35 mV; t(12) = 1.94; NS). The time
Figure 1. Post-tetanic potentiation (PTP) of the L29–MN synapse after intracellular activation. After measuring the baseline EPSP amplitude, L29 was activated intracellularly at 20 Hz for 5 sec. A, Simultaneous intracellular recordings from a siphon MN and an L29 interneuron. Tetanus symbol indicates L29 activation. B, Summary data from synapses both before and after tetanus (N = 35). Synaptic enhancement was greatest when measured 10 sec after activation.

The course of L29–MN synaptic enhancement after tetanus is consistent with previous reports (Frost et al., 1988; Frost and Kandel, 1995). Our data, taken with previous reports, show that the L29 synapse exhibits a robust form of intrinsic enhancement, PTP.

Extrinsic modulation of L29: 5-HT-induced synaptic depression

5-HT modulates synaptic transmission at several sites in the SWR circuit (Frost et al., 1988; Trudeau and Castellucci, 1993). Therefore, we asked whether exposure to 5-HT would have a modulatory effect on the L29–MN synapse. We tested two concentrations of 5-HT (10 and 50 μM), both of which had qualitatively similar effects. An example using 50 μM is shown in Figure 2A. Summary data (shown in Fig. 2B) revealed that a brief, 2 min exposure to 5-HT led to a transient depression of the L29–MN EPSP that was greatest when tested immediately after 5-HT perfusion (mean reduction after 10 μM = 74%; t(53) = 12.87, p < 0.05; after 50 μM 5-HT = 80%; t(53) = 12.27, p < 0.05). EPSP tests at 5 and 10 min after 5-HT washout revealed a gradual recovery, although the EPSP was still modestly (and significantly) reduced at the 10 min test (mean reduction after 10 μM = 26%; t(53) = 10.13, p < 0.05; after 50 μM 5-HT = 46%; t(53) = 3.49, p < 0.05).

These data are consistent with previous results by Trudeau and Castellucci (1993) who found that 5-HT depressed synaptic transmission from various unidentified excitatory interneurons in the abdominal ganglion.

To examine whether changes in presynaptic or postsynaptic input resistance may contribute to the 5-HT-induced modulation of the L29–MN EPSP, we injected pulses of hyperpolarizing current into the L29 and MN before each EPSP test. Similar to results obtained by Frost et al. (1988), we found that 5-HT reduced L29 input resistance (Fig. 3) (mean reduction 30 sec after 5-HT = −1.97 MΩ; t(11) = 2.35, p < 0.05). Consistent with the decrease in L29 input resistance, we found that more current was required to elicit a single L29 spike after 5-HT exposure. In addition, 5-HT reduced MN input resistance (Fig. 3) (mean change 30 sec after 5-HT = −5.90 MΩ; t(11) = 4.70, p < 0.05). We also noted, as did Frost et al. (1988), that 5-HT triggered a slow hyperpolarization of L29 and a slow depolarization of the MN. One possible interpretation of these results is that 5-HT activates a persistent conductance in L29 that partially shunts the action potential, thereby reducing transmitter release. The reduction in MN input resistance could also contribute to synaptic depression, but temporal dissociation between recovery in synaptic transmission and MN input resistance suggests a predominately presynaptic mechanism. However, further experiments are necessary to determine the relative contributions of presynaptic and postsynaptic mechanisms to 5-HT-induced depression at this synapse.

We reasoned that if 5-HT reduced the L29–MN EPSP, then after 5-HT exposure, L29 should be less effective in driving the MN during prolonged synaptic activation. To examine this question, we measured the area under the complex EPSP in the MN recorded during the tetanus of L29 (1) before 5-HT, (2) 30 sec after 5-HT, and (3) 10 min after 5-HT. Our results are shown in Figure 4. We found that tetanization of L29 administered 30 sec after 5-HT resulted in a significantly reduced complex EPSP in the MN relative to baseline (mean difference = 25.42 mV·sec; t(53) = 4.54; p < 0.01). The complex EPSP measured during the tetanus
administered 10 min after 5-HT application did not differ from pre-5-HT levels (mean difference = 3.96 mV · sec; $t_{(12)} = 0.55$; NS). These data show that the effectiveness of the L29–MN synapse can be significantly reduced by 5-HT exposure.

**Combined effects of intrinsic facilitation and extrinsic modulation: 5-HT reduces the magnitude of L29 PTP**

Having established that direct activation of L29 induces synaptic facilitation and that 5-HT exposure induces synaptic depression, we next examined whether these processes could interact by determining whether L29 retained its capacity for PTP during 5-HT modulation. Two types of experiments were conducted to address this question. In the first experiment, L29 was tetanized twice: once before 5-HT exposure and again either 30 sec or 10 min after 5-HT washout. These time intervals were determined by the results of our earlier experiments showing that the maximal effect of 5-HT on the EPSP occurred at 30 sec after treatment, with recovery after 10 min washout (Fig. 2). As before, 5-HT exposure attenuated the L29–MN EPSP (compare pre-EPSPs before and after 5-HT). Activation of L29 30 sec after 5-HT application resulted in significantly less PTP measured 10 sec after tetanus than did activation administered before 5-HT (Fig. 5A) ($t_{(0)} = 12.48$; $p < 0.05$). In contrast, PTP induced 10 min after 5-HT exposure did not differ from pre-5-HT levels (Fig. 5B) ($t_{(0)} = 0.41$; NS).

Because 5-HT depresses the baseline EPSP, the reduction in L29 PTP could simply reflect a scaling down of PTP to the reduced baseline. Alternatively, the reduction in PTP could be attributable to 5-HT affecting the processes underlying PTP. 5-HT-induced “metaplasticity,” where 5-HT modifies the ability of a synapse to undergo plasticity, has previously been described at other synapses in Aplysia (Fischer et al., 1997). To distinguish between these two alternatives, we normalized the data to control for the change in baseline that occurred at the second PTP test. We found that when the data were expressed as the percentage change from pre-tetanus baseline, there was no significant difference in the magnitude of PTP when tested either 30 sec (Fig. 5A) ($t_{(0)} = 0.96$; NS) or 10 min (Fig. 5B) ($t_{(0)} = 1.03$; NS) after 5-HT, suggesting that the processes underlying PTP are intact, yet scaled down by 5-HT-induced inhibition.

To investigate the recovery of PTP from 5-HT-induced inhibition, we conducted additional experiments in which the L29 was tetanized three times: once before 5-HT exposure, again 30 sec after 5-HT, and a third time 10 min after 5-HT. Similar to the previous experiments, there was a significant difference between the amount of PTP induced by the three test tetani ($F_{(2,8)} = 6.24$; $p < 0.05$; data not shown). A linear contrast (see Materials and Methods) indicated that the amount of PTP induced 30 sec after 5-HT was significantly less than the PTP induced before and 10 min after 5-HT ($F_{(1,8)} = 11.13$; $p = 0.01$). However, we found that when the data were expressed as the percentage change from pre-tetanus baseline, there was no difference in PTP induced in all three tests ($F_{(2,8)} = 1.27$; $p = 1.27$; data not shown). Collectively, these experiments show that 5-HT reduces the effectiveness of L29 PTP and that this form of plasticity is transient, recovering ~10 min after washout. These results also suggest that the process of PTP is not affected by 5-HT. Rather, it appears that the reduction in the magnitude of PTP induced by 5-HT is
attributable in large part to the effects of 5-HT on baseline synaptic transmission from L29 to the MN. In this manner, the magnitude of the potentiated synaptic input to the MN is transiently and significantly reduced after 5-HT exposure.

Combined effects of intrinsic facilitation and extrinsic modulation: tail shock mimics 5-HT-induced inhibition of L29 PTP

Many studies of learning in Aplysia have used TS as a reinforcing stimulus (Carew et al., 1981; Marcus et al., 1988; Hawkins et al., 1998). The modulatory effects of TS observed at the synaptic level can often be mimicked by 5-HT (for review, see Byrne and Kandel, 1996). Serotonergic projections have been observed within the abdominal ganglion (Kistler et al., 1985; Longley and Longley, 1986) and in the vicinity of L29 processes (Hawkins, 1989). Moreover, TS has been shown to be capable of activating serotonergic neurons that extend processes into the abdominal ganglion (Mackey et al., 1989). To determine whether TS was capable of mimicking 5-HT-induced inhibition (Fig. 2), we used a preparation consisting of the intact tail connected to the CNS (see Materials and Methods). This preparation allowed us to address three interrelated questions: (1) does TS induce inhibition of the L29–MN EPSP? (2) How do TS and L29 activation interact? (3) Can plasticity at the L29–MN synapse be altered by controlling L29 activity during TS? Briefly, the L29s were first activated using intracellular current injection and then, after recovery of PTP, activated by successive TSs. During the second TS, L29 activation was controlled experimentally (see Materials and Methods). The results of these experiments are shown in Figures 6–10.

First, we asked whether TS depresses the L29–MN synapse. We found that the L29–MN EPSP was significantly reduced 10 min after the first TS (Fig. 6B) (mean reduction at 10 min after shock = 25%; t(28) = 2.52; p < 0.05), indicating that TS, like 5-HT, is capable of inhibiting this synapse. Preparations not receiving TS showed no change in L29–MN EPSP amplitude over a comparable time period (F(2,18) = 0.676; NS). These results provide evidence for an inhibitory effect of TS on the L29–MN synapse.

Although both TS and 5-HT induce inhibition of the L29–MN synapse, the temporal dynamics of TS-induced inhibition of L29 (Fig. 6) do not directly correspond with 5-HT-induced inhibition (Fig. 2). For example, depression was observed immediately after 5-HT, but showed a more gradual onset after TS (Fig. 7B) (for data collected 10 sec after first TS; mean change, +138%). An important difference between the two types of experiments is that TS induces activation of the L29s, whereas 5-HT does not. Thus, TS-induced activation of L29 could induce PTP at the L29–MN synapse, which could mask the immediate expression of inhibition. This interpretation is consistent with the results of Frost et al. (1988) and Fang and Clark (1996). Both groups observed enhancement of the L29–MN synapse after TS or tail nerve shock, but in both studies the L29 was strongly activated in response to TS, favoring the induction of PTP. To directly evaluate this possibility, we next examined the relationship between activity induced in the L29s during TS and inhibition induced by the same TS.
The degree of L29 activation during TS determines the sign of synaptic plasticity

The L29s vary in their responsiveness to TS. Hawkins and Schacher (1989) and Fischer and Carew (1995) both noted that L29s that were preferentially activated by either siphon or tail stimulation could be identified within the same preparation, suggesting that there are subsets of L29s that have varying degrees of responsiveness to tail stimulation. Given our previous results, we predicted that synaptic change should favor net facilitation for tail-responsive L29s, because their strong response to TS should produce a high level of intrinsic facilitation (PTP). In contrast, tail-unresponsive L29s, which are only weakly activated by TS, should show less facilitation, or even net depression, because the extrinsic inhibitory modulation (presumably mediated through 5-HT, although other modulators released by TS may also contribute; see Discussion) should outweigh the intrinsic facilitation. Furthermore, for comparable firing frequencies, any inhibitory effect of TS should be revealed as a reduction in PTP induced by TS activation compared with PTP produced by intracellular activation.

To test these predictions, we compared PTP induced by intracellular activation of L29 to that produced by activation of L29 in response to the first TS (N = 21). These experiments were supplemented by a subset of experiments in which L29s were only tetanized (N = 4) or only activated using TS (N = 4) to ensure that all frequencies of L29 activation were represented in the composite data set. As shown in Figure 7A, PTP induced by intracellular activation of L29 gave rise to a significant positive correlation between L29 firing frequency and change in synaptic strength at 10 sec after tetanus (R^2 = 0.58; t_{(23)} = 4.79; p < 0.05). Analysis of PTP induced by TS activation (Fig. 7B) also revealed a positive correlation between L29 firing frequency and change in synaptic strength (R^2 = 0.25; t_{(23)} = 2.76; p < 0.05). However, consistent with our predictions, the slope of the regression line fit to the TS activation data were significantly reduced compared with that for the intracellular activation data (t_{(40)} = 4.59; p < 0.05). This reduction in slope presumably reflects the competition of TS-induced inhibitory modulation with PTP.

A second, independent reflection of the inhibitory effects of TS was that, after TS, ~25% of L29s that fired modestly in response to TS (~25 Hz or less) showed net synaptic depression (Fig. 7B, shaded area). In contrast, after intracellular activation at comparable rates as induced by TS, there was no case in which an L29 showed synaptic depression, although many L29s (N = 12) were activated in the same lower range (Fig. 7A). Moreover, despite similar ranges in firing frequencies, 7 of 21 (33%) of L29s driven intracellularly attained 300% enhancement, whereas no TS-activated L29s attained this level of PTP. Finally, considering all experiments, the average synaptic enhancement after TS (mean = 138%) was significantly less than after intracellular activation (mean = 228%; t_{(40)} = 4.42; p < 0.01). Collectively, these results indicate that, although TS is capable of activating L29 sufficiently to induce PTP, the facilitation is less than would occur with comparable intracellular activation. This effect is presumably attributable to the concurrent inhibitory effects of TS at the L29–MN synapse.

If the relative strength of intrinsic facilitation determines whether L29–MN synapses show facilitation or inhibition after TS, then it should be possible to control the direction of synaptic change by manipulating L29 activity during TS. To investigate this possibility, we assessed the responsiveness of the L29 to the first TS and measured the subsequent change in synaptic strength 10 sec thereafter. During the second TS, the response of the L29 to the TS was manipulated, such that for those L29s that fired weakly (<10 spikes; see Materials and Methods) during the first TS, we administered a tetanus (20 Hz, 1 sec) during the second TS to increase L29 activity. For those L29s that fired strongly (>10 spikes) during the first TS, we injected strong (5–9 nA) hyperpolarizing current during the second TS to reduce L29 activity.

As shown in Figure 8A, for initially low responding L29s, tetanization during the second TS resulted in a significant increase in the amount of synaptic enhancement of the L29–MN EPSP after TS (t_{(7)} = 2.84; p < 0.05). Conversely, hyperpolarization of initially high responding L29s significantly attenuated the facilitation exhibited in response to TS (Fig. 8B) (t_{(8)} = 3.82; p < 0.05). Figure 9 provides summary data comparing both types of experiments. The EPSP amplitude before and after TS is shown for both low- and high-responding L29s. In the low-responding group (Fig. 9A), little net change is observed after the first TS. This is because this group includes the lower range of L29 responses, ranging from those that showed inhibition after TS, to those that show no change, to some that showed facilitation (Fig. 7B, lower firing frequencies). Nonetheless, during the second TS, tetanization induced a clear increase in EPSP amplitude. Conversely, in the high-responding group (Fig. 9A) facilitation is observed after the first TS and is clearly attenuated after hyperpolarization of the L29 during the second TS. A quantitative
comparison of these effects is shown in Figure 9B, which reveals a significant difference between the groups in synaptic change after the second TS ($t_{12} = 4.10; p < 0.05$). Because of the strength with which TS activates tail-responsive L29s, we found that hyperpolarization of the L29 during the second TS was usually unable to completely prevent L29 spiking (average reduction = ~30%), thus the profiles for facilitation and depression are not symmetrical.
Our prediction that strongly activated L29s during TS should show net facilitation whereas weakly activated L29s should show net depression is based on the assumption that TS-induced inhibition is relatively uniform for all L29s. If this were not the case, some of the differences in net facilitation we observe (Figs. 8, 9) may not be caused by differences in the degree of intrinsic activation among subsets of L29s, but rather to differences in the magnitude of inhibition they receive from TS. To directly address this question, we compared the magnitude of 5-HT-induced inhibition of high and low responding L29s 10 min after TS, a time point when PTP has diminished (Fig. 10A). We found no difference in the amount of TS-induced inhibition exerted on the two subpopulations of L29s (Fig. 10B) \( t_{18} = -0.539; NS \). Thus, TS appears to inhibit tail-responsive and tail-unresponsive L29s with equal effectiveness. Taken together, these experiments show that TS is capable of uniformly depressing the L29–MN synapses, but that the responsiveness of the L29 to TS (and the resulting degree of intrinsic facilitation) plays a pivotal role in determining the ultimate sign of synaptic plasticity.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we investigated how intrinsic facilitation and extrinsic inhibitory modulation combine in the induction of synaptic change at an excitatory synapse within the SWR circuit of Aplysia. We examined the identified excitatory synapse between a class of interneurons, the L29s, and siphon MNs and found that this synapse exhibits a clear form of intrinsic facilitation, PTP; brief tetanus of L29 results in a significant enhancement of the L29–MN synapse that lasts \( \sim \) 10 min. In addition, we found that this same synapse shows marked inhibitory modulation: a brief (2 min) exposure to 5-HT depresses the L29–MN synapse, an effect that is maximal immediately after 5-HT treatment. These opposing forms of plasticity can overlap. Thus, the magnitude of both baseline synaptic transmission and PTP at the L29–MN synapse is reduced 30 sec after 5-HT application. Similarly, the complex EPSP measured in the MN during L29 activation is also reduced by 5-HT. In parallel experiments, we found that TS has a comparable inhibitory effect on the L29–MN EPSP. The effect of TS could be observed as both a general depression of L29–MN synaptic transmission observed at 10 min after TS and as a reduction in PTP induced by TS activation of L29. Tail and body wall shocks are known to evoke the release of 5-HT in Aplysia (Mackey et al., 1989; Fitzgerald and Carew, 1991; Fischer et al., 1997; Levenson et al., 1999; Marinesco and Carew, 2000). Moreover, considerable evidence supports the view that TS gives rise to 5-HT-mediated plasticity in both siphon and tail withdrawal systems (Castellucci et al., 1970; Glanzman et al., 1989; Fitzgerald and Carew, 1991; Mercer et al., 1991; Fischer et al., 1997). These results strongly suggest that the inhibitory effect of TS is mediated, at least in part, by 5-HT. Finally, we found that we could influence the sign and magnitude of TS-induced plasticity at the L29–MN synapse by directly manipulating L29 activity during TS. When we tetanized normally tail-unresponsive L29s during TS, we observed a significant enhancement of synaptic strength. When we hyperpolarized tail-responsive L29s during TS, we reduced or abolished the synaptic enhancement observed after TS.

Our results shed light on an interesting paradox that can be seen in the study of L29 modulation. On the one hand, TS can evoke strong activation of the L29s, thereby inducing intrinsic facilitation at the L29–MN synapses. Both Frost et al. (1988) and Fang and Clark (1996) previously reported an enhancement of the L29–MN synapse after TS and tail nerve shock, respectively, and attributed the synaptic change to PTP. On the other hand, TS (and 5-HT) also induces inhibitory modulation at the same set of
but when L29 activity is low, inhibitory modulation predominates. When L29 activity is high, PTP outweighs inhibitory modulation. Figure 11. L29 PTP and 5-HT-inhibition can overlap in time: synapse by TS. A general model illustrating this point is shown in Figure 11. L29 PTP and 5-HT-inhibition can overlap in time: when L29 activity is high, PTP outweighs inhibitory modulation, but when L29 activity is low, inhibitory modulation predominates.

Modulation of synaptic plasticity within the SWR neural circuit
Some of the effects of 5-HT we report here are similar to the effects of 5-HT reported by Fischer et al. (1997), who examined another identified synapse within the SWR network, that between the inhibitory L30 interneurons and the L29s. They also observed an inhibitory effect of 5-HT and TS on both basal transmission (see also, Frost et al., 1988) and PTP. However, 5-HT had no effect on frequency facilitation (FF), a form of intrinsic enhancement expressed during tetanus (Zucker, 1989; Fisher et al., 1997; Fischer et al., 1997). Thus, at the L30–L29 synapse, 5-HT and TS differentially modulated two forms of intrinsic plasticity (reducing PTP but leaving FF intact), suggesting that TS-induced release of 5-HT affected a process underlying PTP. In contrast, our results show that 5-HT has a more generalized effect on L29 plasticity. Consistent with this view, when we normalized the PTP data to account for the reduction in baseline synaptic transmission, we found that it was similar before and after 5-HT. Thus, it appears that 5-HT-induced reduction of PTP at the L29–MN synapse is attributable primarily to the effect of 5-HT of reducing baseline synaptic transmission. Regardless of the underlying mechanism, the functional consequence of 5-HT modulation is a reduction in both baseline and facilitated synaptic input from the L29 onto the MN.

Contribution of L29 modulation to TS-induced changes in the SWR
TS-induced sensitization is a well studied form of learning in the SWR. Sensitization is typically measured as an increase in the strength of the SWR after TS. However, before the onset of TS-induced sensitization there is a brief period of response inhibition (Mackey et al., 1987; Marcus et al., 1988; Fitzgerald and Carew, 1991). Moreover, during this period of inhibition there is a change in response topography such that head-directed contractions of the siphon are inhibited and a tail-directed flaring of the siphon emerges (Erickson and Walters, 1988; Illich et al., 1994). The L29s have generally been thought to contribute to behavioral sensitization: (1) via modulatory (facilitatory) actions on siphon SNs (Hawkins et al., 1981b) and (2) via intrinsic enhancement of their synaptic input onto siphon MNs (Cleary et al., 1995; Frost and Kandel, 1995). Our results, taken with previous findings (Mackey et al., 1987; Marcus et al., 1988; Fitzgerald and Carew, 1991; Wright et al., 1991; Trudeau and Castellucci, 1993) raise the possibility that the 5-HT-induced inhibitory modulation of the L29–MN synapse we observe may contribute to TS-induced inhibition in the SWR.

Information gating in neural networks
Our findings address a general issue concerning the manner in which modulation of information processing can occur within a neural network. The differential effect of TS on tail-responsive and tail-unresponsive L29s illustrates how a general modulatory signal is still capable of permitting cell-specific effects by acting in parallel with processes affecting intrinsic neuronal properties. Similar forms of network-level modulation have been found in neural circuits responsible for generating rhythmic motor patterns, such as stomatogastric ganglion (STG; Harris-Warrick et al., 1992), locust flight systems (Pierson and Ramirez, 1992), and vertebrate spinal cord (Barbeau and Rossignol, 1991). In Aplysia, TS-induced inhibition (presumably acting, at least in part, through the release of 5-HT) appears to be exerted uniformly on all L29s within the SWR circuit. However, L29 activity, which can counteract the inhibitory effect, can be specific, dictated by the individual receptive fields of the L29s and their intrinsic circuit connectivity.

Such a functional organization, which combines network inhibition and cell-specific facilitation, could be used to increase the signal value of a specific stimulus in a complex neural network. Moreover, given the differences in L29 receptive fields, the functional organization of general modulatory inhibition coupled with cell-specific facilitation gives rise to a modulation-derived form of “center-surround” information processing. Center-surround organization of sensory receptive fields, where regions peripheral to a central excitatory region are inhibitory (“on-center” organization), is a hallmark of sensory processing (Martin, 1991). Center-surround antagonism has been most extensively studies in the...
visual system, in particular within the retina (Kuffler, 1953; Sterling, 1998), where the mechanism underlying this organization can be traced to the actions of inhibitory input from interneurons activated by neighboring regions of the visual field. Thus, in the vertebrate visual system, the pattern of connectivity is responsible for the surround inhibition. Our data show that L29s activated strongly by TS (the “center”) are facilitated, whereas L29 weakly activated by TS (the “surround”) are inhibited. For several minutes after TS, sensory input mediated through L29s in the center region will maintain high throughput, whereas input mediated by L29s outside the center will be attenuated by inhibition. For this model to be effective, different L29s would have to have differentially weighted synaptic output onto their follower cells. We are currently exploring this important question. If such center-surround information processing does occur, it would be derived not from the pattern of connectivity (as is the case in the retina), but rather from the pattern of modulation in the SWR circuitry. This general organizational feature, in which restricted intrinsic facilitation is superimposed on a modulatory background of general inhibition, can provide a mechanism for enhanced contrast for information processing within a neural network.

REFERENCES


