Endothelin-1 (ET-1) Selectively Enhances the Activation Gating of Slowly Inactivating Tetrodotoxin-Resistant Sodium Currents in Rat Sensory Neurons: A Mechanism for the Pain-Inducing Actions of ET-1
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Endothelin-1 (ET-1) causes pain through activation of nociceptors, by either direct depolarization or increased excitability. Here we examined the effect of ET-1 on nociceptor-associated tetrodotoxin-resistant (TTX-R) sodium currents using whole-cell voltage clamp of acutely dissociated rat dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons. DRG neurons that responded had enhanced activation gating when exposed to 10 nM ET-1, as determined by significant shifts in their average activation midpoint potentials ($\Delta E_{0.5} = -8.0 \pm 0.5$ mV) when compared with control ($\Delta E_{0.5} = -2.2 \pm 0.4$ mV; $n = 6$) and ET-1 unresponsive cells ($\Delta E_{0.5} = -3.2 \pm 0.2$ mV). ET-1 also modified the availability of TTX-R channels, as determined by negative shifts in the average midpoint potential for inactivation of ET-1 responsive cells when compared with controls. These actions of ET-1 occurred predominantly in cells with more slowly inactivating TTX-R currents. Both time-to-peak current and inactivation time constants were shortened by ET-1 in responsive cells. Previous exposure of cells to the endothelin-A (ET$_A$) receptor antagonist BQ-123 (1 $\mu$m) prevented ET-1-induced shifts in TTX-R activation. In contrast to changes in TTX-R, ET-1 did not modify tetrodotoxin-sensitive currents recorded from DRG neurons. These results demonstrate that the algogenic peptide ET-1 induces ET$_A$ receptor-mediated, hyperpolarizing shifts in the voltage-dependent activation of TTX-R Na$^+$ channels, a potential mechanism for selective excitation by ET-1 of nociceptors that we observed in vivo.
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Endothelin-1 (ET-1) is a potent vasoconstrictor peptide that has been implicated in the pathogenesis of tissue inflammation and pain (Ferreira et al., 1989; Piovezan et al., 1997; Davar et al., 1998; De-Melo et al., 1998; Graido-Gonzalez et al., 1998; Griswold et al., 1999; Fareed et al., 2000; Jarvis et al., 2000; Wacnik et al., 2001). Recent results from our laboratory suggest that the pain-inducing actions of ET-1, which include the induction of prostaglandin E2, adenosine, and serotonin (Gold et al., 1998; Cardenas et al., 2001) has been shown to depend on neuronal protein kinases, which produce long-lasting changes that might underlie the prolonged firing that we induce in nociceptors with ET-1.

The possible contribution of enhanced TTX-R currents to ET-1-induced nociception has not been examined. Therefore, in these experiments, we examined the effect of ET-1 on early and late TTX-R and tetrodotoxin-sensitive (TTX-S) sodium currents in acutely dissociated rat dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons using whole-cell voltage clamp. We also determined the receptor-dependence of the actions of ET-1 on TTX-R currents and analyzed the TTX-R activation and inactivation gating.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell preparation.** DRGs were harvested from male Sprague Dawley rats (150 gm; Charles River, Wilmington, MA) as described previously (Gold et al., 1998). Rats were anesthetized with Na pentobarbital (Nembutal; 60 mg/kg, i.p.; Parke-Davis Courbevoie, France). Lumbar DRGs (L1-L6) were removed and treated with 0.125–0.25% collagenase (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN) and 0.25% (w/v) trypsin (Worthington, Freehold, NJ) in ice-cold complete modified Eagle’s medium (MEM) composed of 90% MEM (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) and 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen). Cells were plated and incubated at 37°C with 5% CO$_2$, and then transferred to a 27°C incubator with 5% CO$_2$. Cells were used between 2 and 24 hr after this final plating.

**Electrophysiology.** The whole-cell voltage-clamp technique was used to measure Na$^+$ currents, using borosilicate glass electrodes (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL). The resistance of electrodes pulled by a
P-87 Electrode Puller (Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA) ranged from 0.8 to 1.5 MΩ. Voltage clamp was controlled by an Axopatch-200 (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA), and currents, initially filtered at 10 kHz, were collected using pClamp8 software (Axon Instruments). Series resistance (1–4 MΩ) and capacitance were partially compensated electronically. Leak and residual capacity currents were subtracted using the P/4 procedure (Armstrong and Bezanilla, 1977).

**Solutions and drugs.** Synthetic ET-1 (98% pure peptide content) and BQ-123 were both obtained from American Peptides (Sunnyvale, CA) and were dissolved in PBS (Invitrogen), pH 7.4, before use. TTX was obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). The patch electrodes were filled with the following (in mM): 130 CsF, 3 MgATP, 0.5 LiGTP, 3 MgCl₂, 5 NaCl, 1.1 EGTA, 0.1 CaCl₂, and 10 HEPES, pH 7.2. The bath solution for recording TTX-R currents contained the following (in mM): 35 NaCl, 75 choline-Cl, 30 TEA-Cl, 5 KCl, 1 CaCl₂, 10 glucose, 1 MgCl₂, and 10 HEPES, pH 7.4. To isolate TTX-R Na currents, TTX (0.1 µM) was used in all experiments, except those used to measure TTX-S. In these latter experiments, low Na⁺ (5 mM) was used (with the difference substituted by equimolar choline chloride) to avoid saturation of the amplifier with the large TTX-sensitive currents found primarily in large-diameter cells.

**RESULTS**

**ET-1 modifies peak activation of TTX-R currents in a subpopulation of sensory neurons**

Tetrodotoxin-resistant sodium currents were recorded in 17 DRG cells exposed to 10 nM ET-1. These currents had voltage-dependent kinetics nearly identical to those reported previously for TTX-R in sensory neurons (Bosau and Feltz, 1984; Gold et al., 1996; Rush et al., 1998), and the peak current showed a reversal potential (+40.2 ± 1.7 mV) that was close to the calculated equilibrium potential for sodium ions under these conditions. In 10 of these small cells (capacitance, 23.8 ± 1.3 pF), the “activation gating” of peak Na⁺ current was enhanced, as determined by negative shifts along the voltage axis of the peak conductance versus test potential (Fig. 1). In seven cells of similar size, activation gating was unchanged compared with changes in control cells (see below; Table 1). Such gating represents the voltage-dependent probability that Na⁺ channels will open in response to rapid membrane depolarization and is closely linked to membrane excitability. Gating modifications developed over 2–5 min, consistent with Na⁺ channel modifications initiated by other G-protein-coupled receptors in DRG neurons (Gold and Levine, 1996), and reached a steady-state by 10 min, when the shifts were analyzed. The criteria for a real change in such gating was based on comparison with the spontaneous changes that occurred in the absence of ET-1; activation midpoint potentials (E_o,5) and slopes (k) calculated from fits of the Boltzmann equation to the conduc-

tance data (Fig. 1D) (cf. Hille, 2001) in these control cells had initial values that were not changed after 10 or 20 min (n = 4) in control medium. Thus, the seven cells with insignificantly different changes produced by ET-1 were classified as “unresponsive” (range, −1.9 to −3.9 mV; p > 0.05; unpaired t test) (Fig. 2). In contrast, the 10 ET-1 “responsive” cells had highly significant average shifts of E_o,5 (range, −4.1 to −11.7 mV) when compared with baseline values (p = 0.0003; paired t test) and with the control group (p = 0.0004; unpaired Student’s t test). All 10 responsive cells had ΔE_o,5 that were greater than −4 mV (Fig. 2), whereas none of the unresponsive cells had changes of that magnitude (Fig. 2). Changes of the activation slope, k, that occurred spontaneously or during exposure to ET-1 were not significant (p > 0.46). ET-1 also shifted the reversal potential (E_v,0) slightly but significantly (from +38.7 ± 1.7 to +34.9 ± 1.9 mV; p = 0.03) in responsive but not in unresponsive or control cells, possibly consistent with actions of ET-1 on other, likely outward, ionic currents flowing at these positive voltages.

**ET-1 also changes inactivation of TTX-R in sensory neurons**

Steady-state inactivation (availability) of TTX-R Na⁺ channels was also modified by ET-1. This parameter indicates the fraction of Na⁺ channels that is poised to open in response to a rapid depolarization, a key index to the resting excitability of the membrane that is regulated through the resting potential. In 10 ET-1 responsive cells, all showing shifts of activation gating (see above), the midpoint potential for inactivation, h_o,5 (determined from the amplitudes of currents during a test pulse to −10 mV after 100-msec pre-pulses ranging from −120 to +30 mV), was negatively shifted by 5 mV after a 10 min exposure to 10 nM ET-1 (Table 1, Fig. 1D). Four control cells, untreated by ET-1, had spontaneous changes over this same time course in h_o,5 of −0.92 ± 0.09, significantly less than the changes with ET-1 in responsive cells (p = 0.0011). In ET-1 unresponsive cells, there was a smaller inactivation shift of −2.2 mV compared with control (p = 0.0017) and responsive (p = 0.019) cells. Treatment by ET-1 did not alter the fraction of TTX-R channels that did not inactivate in 100 msec, which remained at ~25% (Fig. 1, A_o), nor did it change the slope factor, k_h, of inactivation. The kinetics of this remaining, non-inactivatable current showed the same acti-
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Table 1. Effect of ET-1 on activation and inactivation of TTX-R and TTX-S sodium channels in rat DRG neurons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel Type</th>
<th>Control (n = 6)</th>
<th>ET-1 Responsive (n = 10)</th>
<th>ET-1 Non-Responsive (n = 7)</th>
<th>BQ-123 plus ET-1-treated (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TTX-R</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>−14.49 ± 1.29</td>
<td>−18.94 ± 1.42</td>
<td>−13.33 ± 2.20</td>
<td>−10.27 ± 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>−16.67 ± 1.35</td>
<td>−26.98 ± 1.34</td>
<td>−15.58 ± 2.34</td>
<td>−12.34 ± 1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>−14.11 ± 0.87</td>
<td>−8.04 ± 0.54</td>
<td>−3.25 ± 0.18</td>
<td>−13.93 ± 1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆[10 min−baseline]</td>
<td>−2.17 ± 0.42</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.27</td>
<td>−0.07 ± 0.17</td>
<td>−2.07 ± 0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>−18.49 ± 1.42</td>
<td>−26.98 ± 1.34</td>
<td>−15.58 ± 2.34</td>
<td>−12.34 ± 1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET-1</td>
<td>−15.58 ± 2.34</td>
<td>−10.27 ± 1.85</td>
<td>−12.34 ± 1.84</td>
<td>−13.93 ± 1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆[ET-1−baseline]</td>
<td>−0.54 ± 0.54</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.17</td>
<td>−0.07 ± 0.17</td>
<td>−0.07 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BQ-123 plus ET-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>−10.27 ± 1.85</td>
<td>−6.67 ± 0.36</td>
<td>−6.67 ± 0.36</td>
<td>−6.67 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BQ-123 plus ET-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>−12.34 ± 1.84</td>
<td>−6.67 ± 0.36</td>
<td>−6.67 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BQ-123 plus ET-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>−13.93 ± 1.90</td>
<td>−6.67 ± 0.36</td>
<td>−6.67 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆[BQ-123−ET-1]</td>
<td>−2.07 ± 0.61</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.45</td>
<td>−0.17 ± 0.17</td>
<td>−0.17 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆[BQ-123 + ET-1 − BQ-123]</td>
<td>−1.54 ± 0.45</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.17</td>
<td>−0.07 ± 0.03</td>
<td>−0.07 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TTX-S</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>−14.49 ± 1.29</td>
<td>−14.67 ± 1.35</td>
<td>−16.67 ± 1.5</td>
<td>−10.67 ± 1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆[ET-1−baseline]</td>
<td>−2.17 ± 0.42</td>
<td>−0.04 ± 0.04</td>
<td>−0.04 ± 0.04</td>
<td>−0.04 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values listed are means ± SEM. *Boltzmann equation for fitting the activation curve is as follows: \(G/G_{max} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[\frac{(E - E_{0.5})}{k_a}]^{-1}\). **Boltzmann equation for fitting the availability curve is as follows: \(I/I_{max} = \frac{(A_{max} - A_b)}{(1 + \exp(\frac{h_{0.5} - E}{h_b})) + A_b}\).

* \(n = 4\).

* \(n = 4\).

**Figure 2.** Scattergram showing the distribution of changes in activation midpoint potentials (ΔE_{0.5}) of individual DRG neurons unexposed to ET-1 (Control; changes after 10 min), exposed to ET-1 but with ΔE_{0.5} < −4 mV after 10 min (ET-1 Non-Responsive), and exposed to ET-1 and having ΔE_{0.5} > −4 mV after 10 min (ET-1 Responsive).

**Sensitivity to ET-1 is related to Na\(^+\) current kinetics**

The degree of change of Na\(^+\) current by ET-1 in responsive cells depended on the baseline gating kinetics of the Na\(^+\) currents, and these kinetics, in turn, were modified by ET-1. Currents that were predominantly transient, fast inactivating (with a decay time constant, \(\tau\), of ~10 msec or less at ~20 mV) were altered less by ET-1; peak current amplitude at ~20 mV was increased 1.17 ± 0.11-fold in three such cells. In contrast, currents that inactivated more slowly (\(\tau > 14\) msec) were amplified more strongly by ET-1 (5.3 ± 3.5-fold). In fact, there was a significant correlation (\(r^2 = 0.81; p < 0.002\)) between the control inactivation \(\tau\) and the degree of ET-1-induced amplification of peak current.

The “late” Na\(^+\) currents, measured at the end of the 40-msec-long depolarization, had a reversal potential of 3.9 ± 2.5 mV, indicating that they are a mixture of Na\(^+\) currents and another outward current. The contributions from \(I_{Na}\) represent the open probability of subpopulations of channels that either close very slowly or maintain a low-level, persistent activation during sustained depolarization (Cummins et al., 1999). Activation functions for the late components of TTX-R, analyzed from currents averaged over the 35–40 msec at the end of the test depolarizations (Fig. 1A,C), were fit by the Boltzmann equation with \(E_{0.5} = −27.4 ± 7.4\) mV and \(k = 3.5 ± 0.6\) mV before ET-1; the same respective parameters were changed by –6.43 ± 0.04 (\(p = 0.002\)) and –0.49 ± 1.5 mV (\(p = 0.4\)) after ET-1 treatment in the responsive cells, very similar to the –8 mV shift of the peak Na\(^+\) conductance (see above). These results are consistent with a selective action of ET-1 on the non-inactivated Na\(^+\) currents at this time rather than an alteration of other “contaminating” currents.

Although there was a difference in the baseline midpoint po-
tentials for both activation and availability gating, measured before ET-1 exposure, between the 10 responsive cells and the seven unresponsive cells, this difference did not achieve significance (Table 1) \((p = 0.07;\) unpaired \(t\) test). These data, together with the similarity in membrane capacitances for these groups of cells, argue against any relevant phenotypic differences in these two populations of neurons.

**Gating kinetics are altered by ET-1**

ET-1-induced shifts in Na\(^+\) conductance were accompanied by a general acceleration of gating kinetics (Fig. 1B). Currents during a \(-20\) mV depolarization (near \(E_{\text{Na}}\)) in all responsive cells had a shortened time-to-peak current, to \(0.70 \pm 0.06\) of control \((p = 0.01)\), and a decreased \(\tau\) to \(0.66 \pm 0.05\) of control \((p = 0.02)\). The currents in cells with faster inactivation rates at the baseline, preexposure condition \((\tau > 14\) msec\)) had this rate increased significantly, by a factor of \(1.28 \pm 0.16\) after ET-1 exposure \((p = 0.10)\), whereas in those with the slower baseline decay rate \((\tau > 14\) msec\)) inactivation was significantly accelerated, by a factor of \(2.22 \geq 0.69\) \((p = 0.02)\). Interestingly, the maximum Na\(^+\) conductance, determined by the limiting slope of the \(I_{\text{Na}}\) versus \(E_{\text{m}}\) relation at large depolarizations (analysis not shown), was not changed by ET-1; the maximum conductance ratio between treated and control cells equaled \(1.043 \pm 0.002\) in 10 responsive cells. This implies that the maximum number of activatable Na\(^+\) channels is unchanged by ET-1, although the rapid gating kinetics are altered. In the seven unresponsive cells, the gating kinetics were unchanged by ET-1.

**Changes in activation gating are mediated through endothelin-A receptors**

Ten minute treatment of cells modified previously by ET-1 with an antagonist of the endothelin-A (ET\(_A\)) receptor BQ-123 (1 \(\mu\)M), which prevents ET-1-induced behavioral responses and excitation of nociceptive afferents in vivo (Davar et al., 1998; Gokin et al., 2001), did not alter the shift, which grew even more negative during the treatment period (Fig. 3D) \((\Delta E_{\text{m,0.5}} = -11.6 \pm 1.3\) mV from pre-ET-1 baseline; \(n = 4)\). Subsequent washing with control media for 10 min was also ineffective \((\Delta E_{\text{m,0.5}} = -11.9 \pm 2.5\); \(n = 3\)). In contrast, pretreatment with BQ-123 (1 \(\mu\)M), followed by coexposure with ET-1 (10 \(nM\)), effectively prevented the shift of activation (Table 1, Fig. 3). For these procedures, \(\Delta E_{\text{m,0.5}}\) after BQ-123 alone and after BQ-123 plus ET-1 were insignificantly different from spontaneous shifts (see above).

**TTX-sensitive Na\(^+\) currents are not modified by ET-1**

In seven larger cells, which have almost exclusively TTX-S sodium currents and minimal TTX-R, ET-1 had no effect on gating (Table 1). Activation midpoint potentials were \(-17.3 \pm 0.2\) mV before ET-1, \(-18.3 \pm 0.2\) mV after 5 min, and \(-18.8 \pm 0.2\) mV after 20 min exposures to ET-1 \((p > 0.15)\) for the latter two values compared with pre-ET-1). \(\chi^2\) analysis showed that the absence of a change in any of these seven cells is significantly different \((p < 0.025)\) than the presence of a shift in 10 of the 17 cells expressing TTX-R current.

**DISCUSSION**

**Relevance of this action to the pain-inducing behavior of ET-1**

These results define actions of ET-1 on sensory neurons that may explain the pain behavior and selective excitation of nociceptors that we observed in vivo (Davar et al., 1998; Fareed et al., 2000; Gokin et al., 2001). The dominant action of ET-1 is an ET\(_A\) receptor-dependent shift in the hyperpolarizing direction for the voltage-dependent activation of TTX-R Na\(^+\) channels, without any changes in TTX-S channels. Such shifts of TTX-R in the hyperpolarizing direction have also been described for other treatments that either sensitize nociceptors or induce pain (England et al., 1996; Gold et al., 1996; Kral et al., 1999; Cardenas et al., 2001). Because TTX-R currents represent several Na\(^+\) channel subtypes, e.g., Na\(_{\text{V},1.8}\) and Na\(_{\text{V},1.9}\) (for alternate nomenclature, see Golden, 2001), found exclusively in small-diameter soma with nociceptor properties (Elliott and Elliott, 1993; Rush et al., 1998; Amaya et al., 2000; Fjell et al., 2000), these results are consistent with the specific activation by ET-1 of nociceptive afferents in vivo (Gokin et al., 2001). Differences in the response to ET-1 probably reflect heterogeneity in the distribution or availability of ET\(_A\) receptors, or their downstream signals, rather than differences in the levels of or type of TTX-R currents (Rush et al., 1998). Although ET-1 has been shown to modulate ionic conductances in other excitable tissues (e.g., heart) (Lauer et al., 1992; Xie et al., 1996) through ET\(_A\) and ET\(_B\) receptors (Ono et al., 1994; Held et al., 1998; Boixel et al., 2001), this is the first report of an action of ET-1 on ion channels in the peripheral sensory nervous system.

**Importance of the shifts in TTX-R activation gating for sensory neuronal excitability**

These results raise the question of whether shifts in the activation gating of TTX-R produced by ET-1 are sufficient to induce impulses in responsive cells. In small-diameter DRG neurons with resting potentials of \(-50\) to \(-60\) mV (Wang et al., 1994; Study and Kral, 1996), the ET-1-induced changes in activation gating, approximately \(-8\) mV, would result in a modest additional Na\(^+\) current. Given the known values of the resting resistance and capacitance of the soma, this seems unlikely to lead by itself to impulse generation. However, shifts in Na\(^+\) activation can be
at least twice as large in “intact” cells versus cells with modified intracellular content, as studied by the whole-cell method used here (Gold et al., 1996). Also, the “resting” membrane potential in cell soma may oscillate by several millivolts in amplitude; oscillations that are increased as the potential becomes less negative

in cell soma may oscillate by several millivolts in amplitude; here (Gold et al., 1996). Also, the intracellular content, as studied by the whole-cell method used

approximately

in vivo to induce spontaneous firing, so that even larger shifts may be possible in vivo. Together, these factors could result in ET-1-induced shifts of the resting potential of 15–20 mV (Herzog et al., 2001) and possible enhanced oscillations that alone could account for ET-1-induced spike responses in cutaneous nociceptors (Gokin et al., 2001). ET-1 may also augment the responsiveness of neurons or sensory afferent terminals that are already receiving excitatory or even subthreshold stimuli.

Identification of the Na+ channel subtype involved

At least two channels carry the TTX-R Na+ current in sensory neurons. These have been characterized variously by their activation midpoint potentials (E0.5), inactivation midpoint potentials (h0.5), and inactivation rates, although there is no consensus on these gating parameters. Here the peak Na+ currents had control E0.5 values of approximately −14 mV and h0.5 values of approximately −27 mV, identical to the values reported for so-called “TTX-R1” currents by Rush et al. (1998) and to the lumped TTX-R currents studied by others (Bosson and Feltz, 1984; Englund et al., 1996; Gold et al., 1996; Kral et al., 1999). Our analyses show that slowly inactivating TTX-R currents are altered much more by ET-1 than rapidly inactivating currents. This may reflect a differential sensitivity of channel isoforms, although we found no systematic difference in the E0.5 or h0.5 parameters between these more and less sensitive peak currents nor, for that matter, between currents in cells that responded to ET-1 and those that did not. Alternatively, the more rapidly inactivating currents may appear in cells with elevated pre-ET-1 exposure levels of a second messenger (e.g., cAMP), which leads to relatively faster channel inactivation as well as insensitivity to ET-1, as observed for 5-HT-induced changes in TTX-R (Cardenas et al., 2001).

Although we cannot discriminate in these experiments between the actions of ET-1 on different TTX-R channel subtypes, both of the described sensory neuron-specific TTX-R TTX-R channels, Na1.8 and Na1.9, have been shown to play a critical role in neuronal excitability (Herzog et al., 2001; Renganathan et al., 2001). At this time, however, the unequivocal assignment we can make is that more slowly inactivating TTX-R currents are the forms that respond strongly to ET-1 and that TTX-S currents are totally unaffected.
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