Correlations between Visual Recognition Memory and Neocortical and Hippocampal Glucose Metabolism after Bilateral Rhinal Cortex Lesions in the Baboon: Implications for Alzheimer’s Disease
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In Alzheimer’s disease (AD), the rhinal cortex is the area earliest and most affected by neurofibrillary tangles, and the degree of temporoparietal glucose hypometabolism and rhinal cortex atrophy are both correlated with dementia severity. In monkeys, damage to the rhinal cortex leads to severe impairment in declarative memory, which is also affected preferentially in early AD. To investigate the contribution of rhinal alterations to the interrelationships between cerebral hypometabolism and declarative memory impairment observed in AD, we studied the effects of excitotoxic bilateral rhinal lesions in baboons on cerebral glucose consumption (CMRglc) as measured by positron emission tomography and performance on a visual recognition memory task as assessed in parallel by a delayed nonmatching-to-sample task. We reported previously that these rhinal lesions induce both a long-lasting hypometabolism in several remote brain regions (Meguro et al., 1999) and impaired memory performance (Chavoix et al., 2002). The present analysis indicates that across lesioned and sham baboons, memory scores were significantly positively correlated ($p < 0.05$; Spearman) with concomitant CMRglc values of several brain areas, such as neocortical associative and posterior hippocampal regions. These findings, reminiscent of those reported in AD, suggest that the neurodegenerative process that affects the rhinal cortex in early AD plays a crucial role in the pattern of brain hypometabolism and consequently in the declarative memory impairments characteristic of this disease.
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Alzheimer’s disease (AD) has characteristic neuropathological, clinical, and metabolic features, but their interrelationships are still unclear. Significant correlations have been reported between declarative memory impairment and declines in cerebral glucose consumption (CMRglc) in the hippocampal region (i.e., hippocampal formation plus parahippocampal gyrus), thalamus and cingulate, and prefrontal and posterior associative cortices (Perni et al., 1993; Meltzer et al., 1996; Desgranges et al., 1998), pointing to dysfunction in widespread neuronal networks. Furthermore, atrophy of the hippocampal region, and especially of the rhinal (Rh) cortex [which comprises the entorhinal (ERh) and perirhinal (PRh) cortices], the area affected earliest and most severely by the neurofibrillary tangles (Braak and Braak, 1991), is correlated with both the degree of dementia (Juottonen et al., 1998; Bobinski et al., 1999) and hypometabolism in the temporoparietal areas (Yamaguchi et al., 1997; Meguro et al., 2001). Interestingly, the Rh cortex, which is strongly interconnected with both the neocortex and the hippocampus (Van Hoesen and Pandya, 1975; Insasti et al., 1987a; Suzuki and Amaral, 1994; Insasti and Munoz, 2001), plays a major role in declarative memory, as shown by lesion (Meunier et al., 1993; Murray, 1996; Malkova et al., 2001; Chavoix et al., 2002) and recent activation (Blaizot et al., 2000; Davachi and Goldman-Rakic, 2001) studies in the non-human primate.

It has been suggested that in AD, both the brain hypometabolism and the memory impairment could be accounted for at least partially by disconnection of the hippocampal/rhinal complex (Mielke et al., 1996). Thus far, however, no study has attempted to relate these two features of AD with the neuropathological damage in the rhinal cortex. This is probably because of both the complexities involved and the difficulties in accounting for the histopathology already present in other structures, such as the hippocampus and neocortical regions.

To elucidate this issue and specifically to investigate whether Rh cortex lesions would mimic early AD in terms of both memory impairment and CMRglc declines, we developed a baboon model involving severe Rh cortex neuronal loss induced by excitotoxic lesion. We reported previously that this lesion leads to severe and sustained impairment in declarative memory (Chavoix et al., 2002) and significant CMRglc decreases in several areas, such as the posterior hippocampal region [including the hippocampal formation and the surrounding parahippocampal cortex (areas TH and TF)] and the inferior parietal, posterior temporal, post-
In the present study of declarative memory, we used performance on the list-lengths 3 and 6, because the list-length 1 reflects rule learning, and performance on the list-lengths 10 and 15 were at chance level for both groups (for detailed methodology and results, see Chavoix et al., 2002).

**CMRglc measurements.** As described in detail by Le Mestric et al. (1993, 1998) and Meguro et al. (1999), positron emission tomography (PET) was performed using the $^{18}$F-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG) method and a LETI TTV03 device (seven slices; intrinsic resolution, $5.5 \times 5.5 \times 9$ mm, x, y, z; after $^{18}$Ge transmission, FDG uptake images were obtained in the coronal plane (50–60 min after injection) under light dissociative anesthesia (phenycyclidine; N$_2$O/O; at a ratio of 2 to 1). Briefly, FDG images were transformed into parametric CMRglc (in milligrams per 100 gm per minute), and the CMRglc data set was sampled in eight geometrical regions of interest (ROIs) (see Tables 1 and 2 for complete list) defined on six individual coregistered MRI cuts. These ROIs were selected for their assumed involvement in declarative memory and/or their metabolic alteration in AD. Each baboon underwent sequential postsurgical PET (Meguro et al., 1999). The CMRglc values used for the correlations with memory scores were those from the PET study performed closest in time to the cognitive assessment (from 25 to 50 d after surgery).

**RESULTS**

Table 1 shows the DNMS performance and the CMRglc values in the eight regions used for the correlation analysis for each baboon. Note that (1) most RH baboons performed at chance level with lists of stimuli (i.e., <60% correct responses), and (2) the lowest CMRglc values were generally found in baboons RH3 and RH4 (i.e., those with the most extensive rhinal damage).

Table 2 shows that performance on the DNMS list-length subtest was significantly positively correlated (up to $p < 0.02$) with CMRglc values in five of the eight regions assessed on the basis of a priori hypotheses, namely, the inferior parietal, posterior hippocampal, posterior temporal, dorsolateral prefrontal, and posterior cingulate regions. Figure 2 shows illustrative scatterplots for the posterior hippocampal, inferior parietal, and prefrontal dorsolateral regions.

**DISCUSSION**

We found significant positive correlations (i.e., in the neurobiologically expected direction) between declarative memory performance and CMRglc in five of the eight brain regions known to be important in visual recognition memory and/or affected metabol-
ically in AD. Thus, the CMRglc decline observed in this limbic–neocortical network in the lesioned baboons appears to subtend their visual recognition memory impairment at least in part. This in turn suggests that the early neuropathological changes that affect the Rh cortex in AD may be responsible for the synaptic dysfunction in this network, and that this synaptic dysfunction is involved in the declarative memory deficits characteristic of this disorder.

Before we discuss further our findings with respect to the rhinal cortex and AD, some methodological issues need to be addressed. First, the correlations were run on the data from four lesioned and four sham-operated baboons (i.e., both groups contributed to the significant correlations observed). Thus, a relationship between memory performance and CMRglc values seems to exist even within the control animals (Fig. 2), which were included to enlarge the sample size and enhance the variance in the data. This is in agreement with the correlations reported between memory performance and resting-state metabolism across aging in healthy human subjects (Eustache et al., 1995). Second, to limit the risk of false-positives and false-negatives caused by our relatively small sample size, we used nonparametric correlations throughout, which lie on the conservative side. Third, the resting CMRglc measurements were performed under light dissociative anesthesia, in contrast to studies in conscious AD subjects. However, the effects of this anesthetic regimen in low doses on brain metabolism are assumed to be negligible (Fitch et al., 1978).

Our a priori hypotheses about which brain regions would be correlated with DNMS performance were based on their implications in AD and/or in visual recognition memory (see the introductory remarks). Among this set of selected regions, our significant memory–CMRglc correlations concerned the poste-

### Table 1. Individual DNMS performance and CMRglc values in the lesioned (RH; n = 4) and sham-operated (SH; n = 4) groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>RH1</th>
<th>RH3</th>
<th>RH4</th>
<th>RH5</th>
<th>SH2</th>
<th>SH3</th>
<th>SH4</th>
<th>SH5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNMS performance</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMRglc values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsolateral prefrontal</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior parietal</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior temporal</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior temporal</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior cingulate</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior hippocampal</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior hippocampal</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Performance is expressed in percentage of correct responses and CMRglc in milligrams per 100 mg per minute.

### Table 2. Spearman correlation coefficients (\(r\)) and \(p\) values (two-tailed) between CMRglc values and performance on the DNMS task (\(n = 8\) data pairs for all correlations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROIs</th>
<th>(r)</th>
<th>(p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorsolateral prefrontal</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.044*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior parietal</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.023*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior temporal</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior temporal</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>0.050*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior cingulate</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>0.050*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior hippocampal</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior hippocampal</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes the posterior part of the hippocampus and the posterior parahippocampal cortex.

*Significant correlation (\(p \leq 0.05\)).

Figure 2. Illustration of the correlations between CMRglc and performance on the DNMS task for the posterior hippocampal, inferior parietal, and prefrontal dorsolateral regions [\(n = 8\) baboons (4 lesioned and 4 sham-operated) for each correlation]. The correlation coefficients (Spearman’s \(r\)) and corresponding \(p\) (two-tailed) values are shown for each correlation.
rior hippocampal region and the posterior cingulate, inferior parietal, posterior temporal, and prefrontal dorsolateral regions. A trend of correlation, also in the expected direction, was found in the remaining three regions [i.e., the thalamus (p = 0.059), anterior hippocampal (p = 0.068), and anterior temporal (p = 0.078) regions]. Similar findings have been reported consistently in AD, although most studies concerned verbal declarative memory, in contrast to visual declarative memory in the present study. Consistent with our results, however, significant correlations have been reported in mild-to-moderate AD between visual declarative memory scores and resting CMRglc in the hippocampal region (Perani et al., 1993; Ohnishi et al., 1995; Ishii et al., 1996), posterior parietal cortex (O’Brien et al., 1992; Soininen et al., 1995; Meltzer et al., 1996), temporal cortex (Soininen et al., 1995; Sabbagh et al., 1997), and posterior cingulate cortex (Desgranges et al., 1998). Concerning the hippocampal region, our finding is also consistent with the decreased activation in the left hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus observed in patients with AD compared with elderly controls during visual memory encoding using functional MRI (Rombouts et al., 2000).

Our findings are also in agreement with lesional studies in monkeys that show the implications of the hippocampal formation (Alvarez et al., 1995) and thalamus (Aggleton and Mishkin, 1983; Zola-Morgan and Squire, 1985) in visual recognition memory, these two regions being connected directly with the RH cortex (Insausti et al., 1987a,b; Suzuki, 1996). That our correlations did not quite reach significance for the anterior hippocampal and anterior temporal regions, two structures also related to visual memory, is somewhat surprising. It should be noted, however, that (1) the rostral rhinal cortex, whose main connection is with the anterior hippocampal region (here including the anterior hippocampal formation and parts of the amygdaloid complex), was relatively spared from damage (Blaizot et al., 1999) and (2) partial volume effects may account for the weak correlation for the anterior temporal region, because this region encompassed both the superior and inferior temporal cortices, but only the latter has strong rhinal connections.

Finally, the visuospatial working memory processes required in our task, because of both the shape and complexity of the stimuli and interferences between encoding and recognition of series of stimuli, could explain the correlation seen with the inferior parietal and dorsolateral prefrontal cortices. Both regions have been shown to be involved in visuospatial working memory in the monkey (Goldman-Rakic, 1987; Chafee and Goldman-Rakic, 1998), and correlations between performance on visuospatial short-term memory tasks and metabolic activity in the inferior parietal and dorsolateral prefrontal cortices have been reported in patients with AD (Perani et al., 1993; Desgranges et al., 1998). Interestingly, performance on the visuospatial span in AD was also correlated significantly with resting CMRglc in the thalamus (Desgranges et al., 1998).

Although it could be argued that similar correlations between memory performance and CMRglc might occur after lesioning of any other part of this cognitive network, it is likely that the more the damaged region is involved in the functional network (which would apply to the RH cortex and visual recognition memory), the stronger the relationships with the cognitive performance. Although this hypothesis should be tested further, it has been shown, for instance, that damage to the cholinergic structures of the basal forebrain, known to play a modulatory role only in visual recognition memory, impairs DNMS performance only slightly (Aigner et al., 1991) and accordingly has marginal effects at best on CMRglc (Le Mestric et al., 1998).

In conclusion, our findings from memory—CMRglc correlations are in large part consistent with earlier findings in AD. They suggest that (1) the early and severe neuronal loss in the RH cortex that characterizes this neurodegenerative disorder plays an important part in both the declarative memory impairment and the hippocampal–neocortical hypometabolism typical of AD and (2) the latter are interrelated, so that the memory impairment in AD may reflect, at least in its early stages, functional disruption of a distributed large-scale network resulting from rhinal disconnections that may be amenable to therapeutic intervention, such as local neuron transplantation.
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