We have shown previously that the severity of handling-induced convulsions during ethanol withdrawal was reduced in A2A receptor knock-out (A2A R−/−) mice. In the present report, we further characterize the role of adenosine A2A receptors in ethanol consumption and neurobiological responses to this drug of abuse. Male A2A R−/− mice showed increased consumption of solutions containing 6% (v/v) ethanol compared with wild-type (A2A R+/+) control mice; female A2A R−/− mice showed increased consumption of solutions containing 6% and 10% ethanol. This slightly higher ethanol consumption was also related to increased ethanol preference. In contrast, A2A R−/− mice showed normal consumption of solutions containing either sucrose or quinine. Relative to A2A R+/+ mice, A2A R−/− mice were found to be less sensitive to the sedative effect of 3.0 mg/kg ethanol, as measured by more rapid recovery from ethanol-induced loss of righting reflex, and to the hypothermic effects of 1.5, 3.0, and 4.0 mg/kg ethanol, although plasma ethanol levels did not differ significantly between the two genotypes. The selective adenosine A2A receptor antagonist ZM 241385 ([2,3-a][1,3,5]triazin-5-ylamino)ethyl)phenol) (10–30 mg/kg) significantly attenuated ethanol-induced (4.0 mg/kg) hypothermia in CD1 mice. To assess whether ethanol administration would induce differential tolerance in A2A R−/− and wild-type mice, we administered ethanol (3.0 mg/kg) over 4 consecutive days and found no difference in the development of tolerance; however, female A2A R−/− mice showed a lower tolerance-acquisition rate. These data suggest that activating the A2A receptors may play a role in suppressing alcohol-drinking behavior and is associated with the sensitivity to the intoxicating effects of acute ethanol administration.

Key words: adenosine; A2A receptor; ethanol; hypothermia; knock-out mice; sensitivity; tolerance; ZM 241385

Extracellular adenosine is an important signaling molecule that modulates diverse neuronal functions via four G-protein-coupled receptor subtypes: the A1, A2A, A2B, and A3 receptors (Fredholm et al., 2001). This neuromodulator can either inhibit or facilitate synaptic transmission via A1 and A2A receptor (A2A R) activation, respectively. In the brain, the distribution of A2A Rs is primarily restricted to the striatum and nucleus accumbens (Jarvis and Williams, 1989), which is consistent with the proposed role of these receptors in modulating dopaminergic neurotransmission. In these regions, A2A R activation has been shown to stimulate dopamine release and/or synthesis (Onali et al., 1988; Okada et al., 1996, 1997) [although this effect has not been replicated in the study by Jin and Fredholm (1997)] and negatively modulate the postsynaptic effects of dopamine (Ferré et al., 1991, 1993).

There is strong evidence for an involvement of the adenosinergic system in some of the central effects of ethanol at the cellular and molecular levels (Diamond and Gordon, 1994) and also at the behavioral level. In this regard, A1 and A2A receptors have been shown to be involved in mediating ethanol-induced motor incoordination in the rat, with a predominant role of the A1 receptor, by the use of agonists and antagonists (Meng and Dar, 1995) and antisense oligodeoxynucleotide specifically directed against the A1 receptor (Phan et al., 1997; Nyce, 1999). In mice, chronic ingestion of the nonselective antagonist caffeine has been shown to reduce the locomotor stimulant effects of ethanol (Daly et al., 1994). In addition, single and repeated episodes of ethanol withdrawal have been shown to increase A1, but not A2, receptor density in the mouse brain (Jarvis and Becker, 1998).

Recently, an A2A R knock-out mouse has been characterized as being hypertensive, aggressive, anxious, and hypalgesic (Ledent et al., 1997); we have shown that the severity of handling-induced convulsions during ethanol withdrawal was reduced in this A2A R knock-out mouse (El Yacoubi et al., 2001). This mouse model has also been shown to be characterized by a functional hypodopaminergic state corresponding to a 45% decrease in the extracellular concentration of dopamine in the striatum, associated with up-regulation of D1 and D2 dopamine receptor expression (Dassesse et al., 2001). Because the dopaminergic neurotransmission between the ventral tegmental area and the limbic forebrain is a critical neurobiological component of alcohol and drug self-administration, (Di Chiara and Imperato, 1988; Weiss and Porrino, 2002), brain A2A adenosine receptors may provide a novel target for the modulation of alcohol drinking behavior. Ethanol self-administration is decreased in D1- or D2-deficient mice (El-Ghundi et al., 1998; Raising et al., 2000), and the highly alcohol-prefering C57BL/6J mouse strain (Bellnap et al., 1993) has been shown to present low nigrostriatal mesolimbic dopaminergic activity (George et al., 1995), so that the low availability of synaptic dopamine has been postulated to increase ethanol preference.

Because A2A R knock-out mice have been characterized by a functional hypodopaminergic state, we postulated that these mice
would display increased alcohol drinking and altered sensitivity and tolerance to some ethanol effects.

To more clearly understand the role of the A2AR receptor in mediating the effects of ethanol, we studied ethanol consumption and the hyperthermic/sedative effects of ethanol in A2AR knockout mice. We also investigated the effect of a selective A2AR antagonist on ethanol-induced hypothermia in CD1 mice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. Adult female and male wild-type and A2AR−/− mice (8–14 weeks of age) generated on a CD1 background as described previously (Ledent et al., 1997) and weighing 20–30 g were used. The first-generation chimeric (129Sv × C57BL/6) mice were mated to generate A2AR−/− female and male wild-type and A2AR−/− heterozygotes were bred together to generate A2AR−/− mice. The ethanol concentration (v/v) was in- from two bottles containing plain water for 1 week. Mice were then given standard rodent chow and habituated in their home cage to drinking ad libitum.

Adult female and male wild-type and A2AR−/− mice (8–14 weeks of age) generated on a CD1 background as described previously (Ledent et al., 1997) and weighing 20–30 g were used. The first-generation chimeric (129Sv × C57BL/6) ). Mice were housed in groups of 10 in clear plastic cages and maintained in a temperature-controlled (22°C) and humidity-controlled room on a 12 hr light/dark cycle. The number of animals was kept to a minimum. All efforts were made to avoid making the animals suffer; the procedures described comply with ethical principles and guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals adopted by the European Community, law 86/609/European Economic Community.

Alcohol intake test. Data for the alcohol intake study were collected from two experiments. Throughout the experiments, fluid intake and body weight were assessed every 2 d. A2AR−/− mice (male, n = 34; female, n = 19) and A2AR+/+ mice (male, n = 29; female, n = 18) were individu- ally housed in plastic mouse cages with access to food and water. The number of animals was kept to a minimum. All efforts were made to avoid making the animals suffer; the procedures described comply with ethical principles and guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals adopted by the European Community, law 86/609/European Economic Community.

Alcohol intake test. Data for the alcohol intake study were collected from two experiments. Throughout the experiments, fluid intake and body weight were assessed every 2 d. A2AR−/− mice (male, n = 34; female, n = 19) and A2AR+/+ mice (male, n = 29; female, n = 18) were individually housed in plastic mouse cages with access to food and water. The number of animals was kept to a minimum. All efforts were made to avoid making the animals suffer; the procedures described comply with ethical principles and guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals adopted by the European Community, law 86/609/European Economic Community.

We also investigated the effect of a selective A2AR antagonist on ethanol-induced hypothermia in CD1 mice.

RESULTS

Alcohol, sucrose, and quinine consumption tests

Male A2AR−/− mice consumed significantly more ethanol (grams per kilogram per 24 hr) than wild-type mice (F(1,692) = 15.22; p < 0.001); their preference ratios were also significantly greater (F(1,692) = 5.97; p = 0.01) (Fig. 1a,b). Male A2AR−/− mice drank significantly more 6 and 20% ethanol solutions (p < 0.001 and p = 0.004, respectively).

Female A2AR−/− mice consumed significantly more ethanol than the wild-type mice (F(1,385) = 6.48; p = 0.01); their preference ratios were also significantly greater (F(1,385) = 3.87; p = 0.04) (Fig. 1a,b). Female A2AR−/− mice drank significantly more 6 and 10% ethanol solutions (p < 0.05).

To determine whether these differences might reflect a more global change in taste preferences, we tested A2AR−/− and wild-type mice with sucrose and quinine solutions, using the same protocol as above. No significant difference between the genotypes was observed for the consumption of either sucrose (male, F(1,56) = 2.89; p = 0.09; female, F(1,56) = 0.09; p = 0.76) or quinine (male, F(1,126) = 2.34; p = 0.12; female, F(1,136) = 0.58, p = 0.44) solutions, showing that the increased consumption of alcohol by A2AR−/− knock-out mice does not appear to be associated with an altered taste preference or caloric need (Fig. 2a,b). Preference ratios obtained in the present study are similar to values published previously (Thiele et al., 1998, Wand et al., 2001). Total fluid consumption (in milliliters) indicated that mutant and wild-type mice did not differ in terms of the volume of fluid consumed (data not shown), indicating that the increased ethanol
male mice were more sensitive to the hypnotic effects of ethanol than female mice at the 3.0 gm/kg dose (3.0 gm/kg, $F_{(1,48)} = 2.49, p = 0.12$; $A_{2A}R^{-/-}$, $F_{(1,225)} = 1.86, p = 0.17$). Male $A_{2A}R^{-/-}$ mice were less sensitive to the hypothermic effects of ethanol than their wild-type littermates at all doses (1.5 gm/kg, $F_{(1,50)} = 5.54, p = 0.02$; 3.0 gm/kg, $F_{(1,73)} = 8.94, p = 0.004$; 4.0 gm/kg, $F_{(1,252)} = 32.62, p < 0.001$). In contrast, for the females, a difference in sensitivity was observed only at the highest dose of ethanol (1.5 gm/kg, $F_{(1,19)} = 5.34, p = 0.03$; 4.0 gm/kg, $F_{(1,190)} = 18.24, p < 0.001$).

These differences in sensitivity to the sedative and hypnotic effects of ethanol (and ethanol consumption) do not appear to be secondary to differences in the acute clearance of ethanol, because plasma ethanol concentrations after 4 gm/kg ethanol administration did not differ between the genotypes (males, $F_{(1,18)} = 0.52, p = 0.47$; 3.0 gm/kg, $F_{(1,84)} = 1.06, p = 0.31$; 4.0 gm/kg, $F_{(1,100)} = 18.24, p < 0.001$).

**Effect of treatment with the selective antagonist (ZM 241385) on ethanol-induced hypothermia in male CD1 mice**

The effect of ZM 241385 was studied on male CD1 mice, corresponding to the genetic background used to generate the

---

**Figure 1.** Ethanol consumption and preference in $A_{2A}R^{-/-}$ (male, $n = 34$; female, $n = 19$) and $A_{2A}R^{+/+}$ (male, $n = 29$; female, $n = 18$) mice. a, Consumption (grams per kilogram per day) of each ethanol solution (average of 6 d). b, Ethanol preference ratios (volume of ethanol consumed/total volume of fluid consumed) as a measure of relative ethanol preference during the consumption of each ethanol solution. All values are means ± SEM. ANOVAs indicated that male $A_{2A}R^{-/-}$ mice drank significantly more 6 and 20% ethanol solutions, and that female $A_{2A}R^{-/-}$ mice drank significantly more 6 and 10% ethanol solutions than their wild-type littermate control mice; *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; ***$p < 0.001$.

**Figure 2.** a, b, Preference ratios for sucrose (Suc) and quinine (Qui) (volume of taste solution consumed/total volume of fluid consumed) in $A_{2A}R^{-/-}$ (male, $n = 8$; female, $n = 8$) and $A_{2A}R^{+/+}$ (male, $n = 8$; female, $n = 8$) mice. c, Plasma ethanol concentration after ethanol injection (4.0 gm/kg, i.p.). All values are means ± SEM ($n = 6$ mice in each group). ANOVAs indicated that there were no significant genotype differences for either sucrose and quinine preference ratios or ethanol metabolism.

---

**Figure 3.** A gender effect was also observed at the 3.0 gm/kg ethanol dose but not at the 4.0 gm/kg dose, revealing that consumption by the null mutants was not caused by an overall increase in the total amount of fluid consumed. There was also a significant effect of gender, with female mice consuming more ethanol than male mice ($A_{2A}R^{-/-}$, $F_{(1,586)} = 46.25, p < 0.001$; $A_{2A}R^{+/+}, F_{(1,401)} = 32.78, p < 0.001$); preference ratios were also significantly greater in the female mice ($A_{2A}R^{-/-}$, $F_{(1,586)} = 20.73, p < 0.001$; $A_{2A}R^{+/+}$, $F_{(1,401)} = 12.76, p < 0.001$).

**Ethanol-induced sedation and hypothermia and plasma ethanol levels**

Male and female $A_{2A}R^{-/-}$ mice were less sensitive to the sedative effects of ethanol, regaining their righting reflex sooner than $A_{2A}R^{+/+}$ mice after the injection of the 3.0 gm/kg ethanol dose ($F_{(1,386)} = 17.64, p < 0.001$); however, no difference was observed after the injection of the 4.0 gm/kg ethanol dose ($F_{(1,380)} = 0.01; p = 0.90$) (Fig. 3). A gender effect was also observed at the 3.0 gm/kg ethanol dose but not at the 4.0 gm/kg dose, revealing that

---

**Effect of treatment with the selective antagonist (ZM 241385) on ethanol-induced hypothermia in male CD1 mice**

The effect of ZM 241385 was studied on male CD1 mice, corresponding to the genetic background used to generate the

---
A$_{2A}$R$^{-/-}$ mice. The group of mice given ZM 241385 showed a significant decrease in sensitivity to acute ethanol-induced hypothermia at the 4.0 gm/kg dose (Fig. 5). The two-way ANOVA revealed a main effect of the ZM 241385 treatment ($F_{(3,152)} = 26.65; p < 0.001$) but no significant interaction ($F_{(3,152)} = 0.24; p = 0.98$) between the treatment and time factors. The difference between vehicle-treated mice and ZM 241385-treated mice was significant starting at the 20 mg/kg dose of ZM 241385 ($F_{(1,80)} = 14.41; p < 0.001$). In addition, the sedative effect induced by the injection of ethanol (4.0 gm/kg) was also significantly reduced in the group of mice treated with ZM 241385 (21 ± 4 min), but only at the highest dose (30 mg/kg) (data not shown; one-way ANOVA followed by Dunn’s post hoc test; $F_{(3,41)} = 4.87; p = 0.005$). A group of mice was also used to check that treatment with ZM 241385 had no effect on body temperature ($F_{(4,30)} = 0.18; p > 0.80$).

**Tolerance to ethanol-induced hypothermia**

Both A$_{2A}$R$^{-/-}$ and wild-type mice developed a tolerance to 3.0 gm/kg ethanol-induced hypothermia after repeated injections over 4 d (Fig. 6a,b). Two-way ANOVA showed a significant day effect at all times tested for males (30 min, $F_{(1,66)} = 5.27, p = 0.003$; 60 min, $F_{(1,59)} = 6.79, p < 0.001$; 90 min, $F_{(1,67)} = 5.36, p = 0.002$; 120 min, $F_{(1,64)} = 3.21, p = 0.03$) and at 60, 90, and 120 min for females (30 min, $F_{(1,81)} = 2.34, p = 0.08$; 60 min, $F_{(1,81)} = 5.65, p = 0.002$; 90 min, $F_{(1,81)} = 6.35, p < 0.001$; 120 min, $F_{(1,76)} = 3.80, p = 0.01$). There was a main effect of genotype in male mice at 30, 60, and 90 min (30 min, $F_{(1,66)} = 25.65, p < 0.001$; 60 min, $F_{(1,59)} = 7.57, p = 0.008$; 90 min, $F_{(1,67)} = 7.21, p = 0.009$; 120 min, $F_{(1,64)} = 3.12, p = 0.83$), whereas no genotype effect was observed in females at all times tested (30 min, $F_{(1,81)} = 0.23, p = 0.63$; 60 min, $F_{(1,81)} = 0.01, p = 0.91$; 90 min, $F_{(1,81)} = 0.06, p = 0.08$; 120 min, $F_{(1,76)} = 0.09, p = 0.75$), demonstrating a difference in the sensitivity to 3.0 gm/kg ethanol-induced hypothermia between males but not between females. No difference in the tolerance acquisition rate was observed between male A$_{2A}$R$^{-/-}$ and wild-type mice at all times tested ($p > 0.05$; Student’s $t$ test), whereas female wild-type mice had a greater tolerance acquisition rate at both 90 and 120 min ($p < 0.05$; Student’s $t$ test).

**DISCUSSION**

The overall finding in this set of studies is that mice lacking the A$_{2A}$ receptor are less sensitive to the acute effects of ethanol and consume more ethanol in a two-bottle choice paradigm compared with wild-type littermate control mice.

Females of both genotypes consumed more ethanol than males (Fig. 1), consistent with published data (Middaugh et al., 1999). Female A$_{2A}$R$^{-/-}$ mice consumed significantly more of the 6 and 10% ethanol solutions, but unlike male A$_{2A}$R$^{-/-}$ mice, they did not show significant altered consumption of the 20% ethanol solution, suggesting a possible interaction between gender and...
expression of phenotypes associated with the gene mutation (Fig. 1a). A similar interaction has been suggested in previous reports (Hall et al., 2001; Thiele et al., 2002). This increased ethanol consumption was also associated with an increased ethanol preference, but it is important to point out that the ethanol preference ratios were low. Therefore, the increased ethanol preference observed in the knock-out mice was not indicative of a high preference for ethanol. Both $A_{2A}$R$^{-/-}$ and $A_{2A}$R$^{+/+}$ mice preferred water to ethanol (preference ratios, <0.50); this phenotype may be dependent on the genetic background. In this regard, it has been shown previously that wild-type mice with a CD1 background had an approximately twofold decrease (0.29 vs 0.70) in the ethanol preference ratio compared with mice with a C57BL/6 background (Wand et al., 2001). Increased ethanol consumption in $A_{2A}$R$^{-/-}$ mice does not appear to be related to the taste of ethanol, because these mice showed normal consumption of solutions containing either sucrose or quinine (Fig. 2a,b). Furthermore, increased consumption and resistance to the acute effects of ethanol are not related to differences in ethanol metabolism, as demonstrated by the identical blood ethanol elimination curves after intraperitoneal administration in $A_{2A}$R$^{-/-}$ and $A_{2A}$R$^{+/+}$ mice (Fig. 2c). Importantly, these data present the first direct evidence that the adenosine $A_{2A}$R is involved in ethanol-drinking behavior.

Hypothermic and sedative effects after the acute administration of ethanol have been well documented in rodents (Erwin et al., 1990); studies in rodents have supported the idea that high levels of ethanol drinking are often associated with resistance to the intoxicating effects produced by this psychoactive substance. For example, rats that have been selectively bred for high ethanol consumption (alcohol-preferring rats) are more resistant to the impairing effects of acute ethanol injection compared with non-alcohol-preferring rats (Kurtz et al., 1996). Moreover, reduced initial sensitivity to alcohol has been demonstrated in at-risk populations (for review, see Schuckit, 1987). In the present study, we report that mice lacking the $A_{2A}$R receptor are less sensitive to acute ethanol-induced hypothermia (Fig. 4) and sedation (Fig. 3), and that this resistance is associated with increased ethanol consumption. It should be noted that at the highest dose of ethanol (4.0 gm/kg), this difference in sensitivity is observed for the hypothermic effects but not for the sedative effects. These two responses to acute ethanol injection can be dissociated, because animal studies have shown that ethanol-induced hypothermia and loss of the righting reflex are polygenic traits (Erwin et al., 1990). Our results demonstrate that the difference in the acute effects of ethanol is associated with a lack of $A_{2A}$R, because the effects of ethanol, both hypothermia and sedation, are reduced by acute treatment with the selective $A_{2A}$R antagonist ZM 241385 (20–30 mg/kg) (Fig. 5). Because the selective antagonist causes an attenuation of ethanol-induced hypothermia, it is possible to speculate that this antagonist would also increase voluntary ethanol drinking. This resistance to the acute effects of ethanol is also associated with a decrease in ethanol withdrawal-induced convulsions, because after chronic consumption of an ethanol diet, $A_{2A}$R$^{-/-}$ mice demonstrated less severe withdrawal signs than wild-type mice, and treatment of CD1 mice with the $A_{2A}$R receptor selective antagonist ZM 241385 (20 mg/kg) significantly reduced the handling-induced convulsion score after chronic alcohol exposure (El Yacoubi et al., 2001).

On the one hand, these differences in ethanol consumption might be related to the basal-level anxiety differences between $A_{2A}$R$^{-/-}$ and wild-type mice, because $A_{2A}$R$^{-/-}$ mice have more anxiety-related behavior, as indicated by the open-field test, the elevated plus-maze test, and the black-and-white compartments test (Ledent et al., 1997). Because ethanol has anxiolytic properties (Stewart et al., 1993), it is possible that $A_{2A}$R$^{-/-}$ mice consume more ethanol to modulate anxiety. In this regard, alcohol-preferring rats have been shown to be more anxious and/or emotional than alcohol-nonnpreference rats in some tests (Stewart et al., 1993). On the other hand, because a functional striatal hypodopaminergic state has been described in $A_{2A}$R$^{-/-}$ mice (Dassesse et al., 2001), it is possible that increased ethanol consumption is related to dysfunction of the dopaminergic system in the mesocorticolimbic reward pathway. Like most drugs of abuse, ethanol acutely elevates extracellular dopamine concentrations in the nucleus accumbens; this modulation of mesolimbic dopamine transmission represents a substrate for the positive reinforcing actions of ethanol (Di Chiara and Imperato, 1988; Koob, 1992). The threshold to the rewarding effects of ethanol could be altered in $A_{2A}$R$^{-/-}$ mice compared with their wild-type littermate controls. In this regard, selective $A_{2A}$R agonists have been found to attenuate the rewarding effects of brain stimulation, suggesting that adenosine, via $A_{2A}$R, may inhibit central reward processes (Baldo et al., 1999).

The neurochemical mechanism underlying this altered behavioral response to ethanol in $A_{2A}$R$^{-/-}$ mice is unknown. Adenosine regulates neurotransmitter release, often in a facilitatory manner, by acting via $A_{2A}$R; some effects on the release of GABA, dopamine, acetylcholine, and glutamate have been reported in the striatum (for review, see Svenningsson et al., 1999). Synaptosomal preparations from transgenic mice lacking functional $A_{2A}$R show decreased dopamine release compared with

![Figure 5. Effect of acute treatment with the selective $A_{2A}$R antagonist ZM 241385 (10–30 mg/kg) on ethanol-induced (4.0 gm/kg, i.p.) hypothermia in male CD1 mice. Mice were treated with the antagonist 15 min before ethanol injection; control mice were treated with the vehicle. All values are means ± SEM (n = 10 mice in each group). ANOVA indicated that the group of mice given ZM 241385 (20–30 mg/kg) showed a significant decrease in sensitivity to acute ethanol-induced hypothermia compared with the vehicle group.](image-url)
preparations from control animals (Chen et al., 1998). Therefore, $A_{2A}R$ may regulate dopamine release, but the evidence has not been consistently demonstrated (Jin and Fredholm, 1997); this regulation may be secondary to the effects on the release of other neurotransmitters. Another mechanism could involve the lack of functional interaction between $A_{2A}R$ and dopamine receptors in $A_{2A}R^{-/-}$ mice. In this regard, it has been shown that $A_{2A}R$ agonists exert their actions by decreasing the affinity of dopamine D2 receptors (Ferré et al., 1991).

Repeated exposure to ethanol results in decreased responsiveness to the effects of ethanol on the CNS. This adaptation, referred to as tolerance, is observed in animals and humans and is influenced by environmental factors and by genotype in rodents. Tolerance to ethanol is a complex phenomenon, appearing in chronic, rapid, and acute forms that are largely dependent on the amount and schedule of ethanol exposure and the behavioral paradigm used to measure tolerance (Le, 1990; Khanna et al., 1993). Numerous studies have shown this phenomenon in motor impairment and hypothermia tests. As for the decreased initial sensitivity to ethanol, increased tolerance development in humans has been associated with a risk for alcoholism (Newlin and Thomson, 1991). Our results show that the tolerance observed with our paradigm does not appear to be a good predictive factor for the high level of ethanol intake, because no clear difference in the development of tolerance was observed between $A_{2A}R^{-/-}$ and $A_{2A}R^{+/+}$ mice (Fig. 6). All mice developed tolerance to the hypothermic effects of acute injection of ethanol (3.0 gm/kg) over 4 consecutive days. However, the greater sensitivity to ethanol observed in female $A_{2A}R^{+/+}$ mice was associated with the more rapid acquisition of tolerance at 90 and 120 min after repeated ethanol injection (Fig. 6). This association has also been described in rats, because the M520 strain that is initially more sensitive to acute alcohol incoordinating effects becomes less sensitive than the MR strain after repeated alcohol exposure (Tabakoff and Culp, 1984). This relationship was not found to be significant for the male mice in our study. The sensitivity appears to be the response to ethanol most strongly associated with ethanol preference in $A_{2A}R^{-/-}$ and $A_{2A}R^{+/+}$ mice. Differences in initial sensitivity and/or acute tolerance have also been described in alcohol-prefering C57BL/6j and non-alcohol-prefering DBA mouse strains (Tabakoff and Ritzmann, 1979).

In summary, we show that the $A_{2A}R$ is involved in the sensitivity to the hypothermic and sedative effects of ethanol and may play a role in alcohol-drinking behavior. The present results further support that the sensitivity to ethanol is a good predictive parameter for the development of alcohol dependence. The exact role of $A_{2A}R$ in this relationship needs additional investigation. It is clear that the role of the $A_{2A}R$ in ethanol consumption is complex and may not be unitary but may possibly involve both interactions with the dopaminergic reward pathway and anxiety mechanisms.
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