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Altering neurotransmitter levels within the nervous system can cause profound changes in behavior and neuronal function. Neurotransmitter transporters play important roles in regulating neurotransmitter levels by performing neurotransmitter re-uptake. It was previously shown that mutations in the Drosophila inebriated (ine) -encoded neurotransmitter transporter cause increased neuronal excitability. Here we report a further functional characterization of Ine. First we show that Ine functions in the short-term (time scale of minutes to a few hours) to regulate neuronal excitability. Second, we show that Ine is able to control excitability from either neurons or glia cells. Third, we show that overexpression of Ine reduces neuronal excitability. Overexpression phenotypes of ine include: delayed onset of long-term facilitation and increased failure rate of transmitter release at the larval neuromuscular junction, reduced amplitude of larval nerve compound action potentials, suppression of the leg-shaking behavior of mutants defective in the Shaker-encoded potassium channel, and temperature-sensitive paralysis. Each of these overexpression phenotypes closely resembles those of loss of function mutants in the para-encoded sodium channel. These data raise the possibility that Ine negatively regulates neuronal sodium channels, and thus that the substrate neurotransmitter of Ine positively regulates sodium channels.
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Regulation of neuronal ion channels by neurotransmitters is often mediated, directly or via second messengers, by G-proteins and G-protein-coupled neurotransmitter receptors (for review, see Hille, 1994; Wickman and Clapham, 1995). Unanswered questions remain as to the mechanisms by which altered neurotransmitter levels lead to changes in neuronal excitability and ultimately behavior. The cloning of inebriated (ine), which encodes a neurotransmitter transporter in Drosophila (Burg et al., 1996; Soehnge et al., 1996), enables the in vivo manipulation of transporter levels, and thus presumably the extracellular level of its substrate neurotransmitter. This provides a unique opportunity for in vivo dissection of the mechanisms by which neurotransmitters and their transporters regulate behavior and neuronal excitability.

The ine mutation was originally identified on the basis of increased neuronal excitability (Stern and Ganetzky, 1992). Double mutants defective in both ine and Shaker (Sh), which encodes a potassium channel α subunit channel (Baumann et al., 1987; Kamb et al., 1987; Tempel et al., 1987; Papazian et al., 1987), display a “downturned wings and indented thorax phenotype.” This appearance is identical to Sh mutants carrying either an additional mutation in eag, which encodes a potassium channel α subunit, or carrying a duplication of the para gene (termed Dp para +), which encodes a sodium channel (Loughney et al., 1989; Stern et al., 1990; Warmke et al., 1991; Zhong and Wu, 1991). Mutants defective in ine exhibit a second phenotype resulting from increased neuronal excitability: an increased rate of onset of a phenomenon termed long-term facilitation (Jan and Jan, 1978) at the larval neuromuscular junction (NMJ). This phenotype is also exhibited by several additional mutants in which neuronal excitability is increased (Stern and Ganetzky, 1989; Stern et al., 1990; Mallart et al., 1991; Poulain et al., 1994). These phenotypes suggest that ine mutations increase neuronal excitability by reducing K currents or increasing Na currents. The ine gene encodes two protein isoforms, Ine-P1 and Ine-P2, which share high homology to members of the Na+/Ca2+-dependent neurotransmitter transporter family (Burg et al., 1996; Soehnge et al., 1996). Ine-P1 is identical to Ine-P2, except that it contains 300 additional amino acids in the N-terminal intracellular domain. However, it is not clear how mutations in a neurotransmitter transporter would cause increased neuronal excitability.

We report the use of transgenic Drosophila to dissect the mechanisms by which Ine and its substrate neurotransmitter control neuronal excitability. We show that ine can at least partially restore proper neuronal excitability when expressed in either glia or neurons, that ine can confer normal neuronal excitability within hours of expression, and that each ine isoform is functional in the absence of the other (although ine-P1 functions with greater efficiency). We also find that overexpression of ine causes phenotypes that are the opposite of those displayed by ine loss-of-function mutants: these overexpression phenotypes closely resemble those conferred by loss of function mutations in the para sodium channel gene. These results suggest that the substrate neurotransmitter of Ine is an activator of sodium channels.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Drosophila stocks. Sh*533 is a dominant Shaker allele described previously (Kaplan and Trout, 1969; Jan et al., 1977) that causes rapid leg
shaking while under ether anesthesia. All experiments in this paper involving Sh mutations use the Sh(+)/UAS-ine-R A; gli-GAL4 genotype (kindly provided by the Andrea Brand lab (University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK). gliotactin is an insertion on the X chromosome of a P element transposon that carries the yeast GAL4 gene. This line expresses GAL4 in most embryonic glial cells (Hidalgo et al., 1995) and was kindly provided by Vanessa Auld (University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada). elav-gal4 is a P element insertion, provided by Kate Beckingham (Rice University) that carries the yeast GAL4 gene driven transcriptionally by the promoter of the embryonic lethal abnormal visual system (elav) gene. ine1bw carries ine, which is a transcript null mutation (Soehnige et al., 1996) and a brown mutation as an eye color marker. Wild type (wt) represents the isogenic ine-R A; gli-GAL4 line, and the ine-RB genotype (Purdue University) and is an insertion on the X chromosome of a P element transposon (kindly provided by Martin Burg and William Pak, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK). MZ1580 is a third chromosome balancer that carries the Tubby (Tb) marker, which was used as a dominant larval marker for selection of third instar larvae of the desired genotype.

Construction of the ine rescue lines. The ine gene expresses two transcripts: ine-R, which encodes Ine-P1, and ine-RB, which encodes Ine-P2. For rescue experiments, we used the ine-R cDNA, which was placed under the control of a heat shock promoter to form hs-ine-R (Burg et al., 1996). Transgenic flies carrying hs-ine-R A were generously provided by Martin Burg and William Pak (Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN). The hs-ine-R A chromosome was then crossed onto the ine background to form ine-R; hs-ine-R A. Female Sh; ine-R A were crossed to male ine-R A; hs-ine-R A; sons of this cross were ine-R A, and carried a single copy of hs-ine-R A. For the UAS-GAL4 rescue experiments, full-length ine-R A (kindly provided by Martin Burg and William Pak, Purdue University) and ine-RB cDNAs were subcloned into the pUAST vector (Brand et al., 1994) via the EcoRI (by blunt-end ligation), and EcoRI/XhoI sites, respectively. Then, the pUAST-ine-R and pUAST-ine-RB plasmids were injected into yw, w+ embryos for P-element mediated germline transformation following standard protocols (Spradling, 1986). One transformant carrying the UAS-ine-R B on the third chromosome was obtained and used to construct ine1bw; TM6 Tb/UAS-INE-R A. Two transformants carrying UAS-INE-R A were obtained. One of them carried UAS-INE-R A on the third chromosome and was used to construct ine1bw; UAS-INE-R A. The other carried UAS-INE-R A on the X chromosome and was used to construct Sh UAS-INE-R A; ine1bw. The MZ1580 line, the glial-gal4 line, and the elav-gal4 line were used to construct MZ1580; ine1bw, ine1glial-gal4, and ine1bw; elav-gal4 respectively. Flies from these lines were crossed to ine1bw; UAS-INE-R A and assayed for rescue. For the cross involving MZ1580, which is X-linked, sons from MZ1580; ine1bw mothers were tested.

Construction of the ine overexpression lines. For testing the effects of ine overexpression on larval motor neuron function, flies carrying UAS-INE-R A, their transgene chromosome was crossed to either the wild type chromosome or the glial-gal4 line. For crosses involving MZ1580, daughters from MZ1580 mothers were assayed. For testing the effects of ine overexpression on leg shaking, Sh MZ1580 females were constructed and crossed to male Sh; UAS-INE-R A Sh MZ1580/+; UAS-INE-R A/+ daughters were assayed. For testing the effects of ine overexpression on para60a-conf erred temperature sensitive paralysis, flies carrying UAS-INE-R A on their X chromosome were crossed onto the para60a chromosome. Then para60a UAS-INE-R A females were crossed to male glial-gal4 to obtain sons of the genotype para60a UAS-INE-R A; gli-GAL4/+.

Heat shock rescue experiment. Flies were raised in uncrowded bottles at 18°C and synchronized for eclosion by picking wandering third instar larvae every 4 hr and placing them into separate vials. Flies from these vials were then allowed to grow further at 18°C. Immediately before eclosion, the vials were transferred to 37°C and incubated for 1.5 hr, and then transferred to room temperature. Males that eclosed within 6 hr were collected, aged for 2 d and scored for the “downturned wing” phenotype.

Electrophysiology. Larvae for electrophysiological analysis were grown in uncrowded bottles at 21–22°C. Larvae were selected for experimentation only from bottles in which third instar larvae had just started to emerge. Motor nerve and muscle recordings were performed as described previously (Jan and Jan, 1976; Ganetzky and Wu, 1982; Stern and Ganetzky, 1989). Quinidine, when used, was bath applied at a concentration of 0.1 mM. Muscle cells 6, 7, 12, or 13 from abdominal segments 4 or 5 were used for measuring the rate of onset of long-term facilitation. Only muscle 6 was used for measuring the excitatory junctional potential (EJJP) success rate. For these experiments, the dissections and recordings were performed at 21–23°C. For recordings of the amplitude of compound action potentials at elevated temperatures, a TC-324B heater controller and a SH-27B inline solution heater (Warner Instrument Corporation, Hamden, CT) were used to heat the recording solution to the desired temperatures. Electrodes used for intracellular muscle recordings were pulled on a Flaming Brown micropipette puller. The tip resistances were 20–40 MΩ.

Behavioral analysis. For the ts-paralysis analysis, flies were raised at 18°C. For experimentation, the flies were transferred to vials partially submerged in a water bath at the designated temperature. The number of flies that became paralyzed within 15 min were counted. For the suppression of the leg-shaking phenotype of Sh mutants, flies were raised at room temperature. Newly eclosed flies were collected, aged for 2 d, etherized, and inspected for leg-shaking behavior under a dissecting microscope. A Nikon FX-35DX camera attached to a SMZ-U scope (Nikon, Melville, NY) was used for photography. To capture the movement of the legs (if any), films were exposed for ~5 sec under a very dim light source.

RESULTS

Increased neuronal excitability in loss of function ine mutants

Although loss of function mutations in ine confer several phenotypes (Wu and Wong, 1977; Stern and Ganetzky, 1992; Burg et al., 1996) (Huang et al., 2002), this paper will focus on two phenotypes that result from increased neuronal excitability. The first phenotype is exhibited by double mutants defective in both ine and the potassium channel α subunit encoded by Shaker (Sh). These Sh; ine double mutants exhibit a characteristic “downturned wings and indented thorax” appearance, which is not exhibited by wild type, or the Sh or ine single mutants (Stern and Ganetzky, 1992). This appearance is identical to the appearance of Sh mutants carrying either a mutation in eag, which encodes a potassium channel α subunit distinct from Sh, or a duplication of the para gene (termed Dp para ), which encodes a Drosophila sodium channel (Loughnay et al., 1989; Stern et al., 1990). Because eag mutations and Dp para each increase neuronal excitability (Ganetzky and Wu, 1982; Stern et al., 1990), it was suggested that this abnormal appearance results when the increased neuronal excitability of Sh mutants is even further increased by a second excitability mutation. The observation that ine mutations confer the identical phenotype suggests that ine mutations increase neuronal excitability as well, perhaps by either increasing sodium currents or reducing potassium currents. The mechanism by which the downturned wings phenotype is elicited by increased neuronal excitability is not known. However, the phenotype might result from hypercontraction of the dorsal longitudinal flight muscles (DLMs), which serve as wing depressors during flight and underlie the area of indented cuticle, as a result of increased neurotransmitter release from the motor neurons (C.-F. Wu and B. Ganetzky, personal communication).

Mutants defective in ine show a second neuronal excitability phenotype, which is manifested at the third instar larval NMJs. Wild-type Drosophila larval NMJs exhibit a phenomenon variously termed long-term facilitation (Jan and Jan, 1978) or augmentation (Wang et al., 1994). Long-term facilitation occurs after repetitive stimulation of the motor neuron at frequencies such as 5–10 Hz. At some point during this stimulation train, an excitability threshold is reached, and subsequent nerve stimulations then elicit motor nerve depolarizations that are more prolonged in duration, which causes increased Ca2+ influx, increased transmitter release, and an increase in the amplitude of the muscle EJP (Jan and Jan, 1978). Certain mutants that exhibit increased neu-
Short-term regulation of neuronal excitability by Ine and its substrate

Neurotransmitters can affect the properties of target neurons in an acute, short-term manner, or in a long-term manner often involving changes in gene expression (Kandel and Abel, 1995). The hyperexcitable phenotype exhibited by ine mutants could be a consequence of chronic overstimulation of the target neurons with the substrate neurotransmitter of Ine during development, leading to long-term increases in neuronal excitability. This effect could require changes in gene expression. Alternatively, the ine mutations could affect neuronal excitability in an acute, short-term manner (minutes to a few hours), which would not be expected to require changes in gene expression. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we investigated the ability of Ine-P1 to rescue the downturned wings phenotype of Sh; ine double mutants when induced transcriptionally during particular times of development. To accomplish this goal, the ine-RA cDNA was introduced into Sh; ine mutants under the control of a heat shock inducible promoter (Burg et al., 1996). Transcription of the ine gene was induced by heat shock at various times during development, and the ability to rescue the downturned wings phenotype of Sh; ine double mutants was tested.

First we tested whether induction of Ine-P1 expression immediately before eclosion was sufficient for rescue. We found that rescue of the downturned wings phenotype occurred when flies carrying the hs-ine-RA were given only one single heat pulse immediately before eclosion. Flies that did not carry hs-ine-RA, or that carried hs-ine-RA but did not receive the heat shock, were not rescued (Fig. 1). These results suggest that ine expression is not required significantly before eclosion to control the downturned wings phenotype. We also found that induction of Ine-P1 expression after eclosion did not rescue the downturned wings phenotype (data not shown). The failure of rescue after eclosion perhaps occurs because after eclosion, DLM anatomy is fixed and no longer responds with structural changes to the reduced excitability conferred by ine-RA expression. These results indicate that ine is not required before the time of eclosion to affect the downturned wings phenotype.

Expression of Ine-P1 in either neurons or glial cells rescues ine mutant phenotypes

Because the electrophysiological defects of ine mutants are observed in motor neurons (Stern and Ganetzky, 1992), targeted ine expression only in neurons could be sufficient for rescue. Alternatively, ine could exert its effects on neuronal excitability from glial cells; often, transporters that perform reuptake of neurotransmitter released from neurons are located in neighboring glia. Finally, perhaps expression in either cell type could be sufficient for rescue. The latter possibility would be consistent for a neurotransmitter transporter, which acts on neurotransmitters in the extracellular space between adjacent cells. To test these possibilities, ine-RA expression was targeted either to neurons or to specific glia with specific GAL4 drivers and the UAS-ine-RA line.

Two GAL4 lines were used to target Ine-P1 expression to different subsets of glial cells. The MZ1580 line expresses GAL4 from stage 11 in the longitudinal glioblast and its progeny, and later in most other glial cells (Hidalgo et al., 1995). The gli-gal4 line expresses the GAL4 protein specifically in peripheral glial cells (Sepp and Auld, 1999), which wrap the motor and sensory axons of peripheral nerves. We found that expression of Ine-P1 from an UAS-ine-RA construct driven by either of these GAL4 lines was able to rescue fully both the downturned wings phenotype (Fig. 2A) and the increased rate of onset of long-term facilitation phenotype (Fig. 2B,C). Control lines carrying either the GAL4 construct alone or the UAS construct alone did not show significant rescue. Furthermore, as seen in Figure 2C, the rescued lines required even more repetitive nerve stimulation than wild type for the onset of long-term facilitation. This observation raised the possibility that overexpression of ine with the GAL4 system could reduce neuronal excitability, which is a possibility investigated in more detail below. These results indicate that Ine-P1 can function effectively from glial cells.

The elav-gal4 line, which expresses GAL4 specifically in neurons, was used for targeted Ine-P1 expression in neurons. We found that neuronal expression of Ine-P1 partially rescued the downturned wings phenotype, suggesting that ine can function in neurons as well as glia to control excitability (Fig. 3A). Similarly, we found that expression of Ine-P1 in larval neurons partially
rescued the long-term facilitation phenotype (Fig. 3B). For both phenotypes, the partial rescue conferred by neuronal expression was significantly different from the complete rescue that was conferred by glial expression (Fig. 2). Thus, Ine can act in either a cell-autonomous or cell nonautonomous mode, which is consistent with the function of Ine as a neurotransmitter transporter. Furthermore, we conclude that the glia appear to be a more favorable site than neurons for Ine activity.

**Ine-P1 and Ine-P2 rescue the ine mutant phenotypes with different efficiencies**

As demonstrated previously (Soehnge et al., 1996; Burg et al., 1996), Ine expresses two transporter isoforms, Ine-P1 and Ine-P2. The N terminal intracellular domain of Ine-P1 is ~300 amino acids longer than that of Ine-P2; the two isoforms are otherwise identical. Transcripts of the two isoforms were found to be colocalized in the same cells.

Figure 2. The ine mutant phenotypes are rescued by expression of Ine-P1 in glial cells. Two lines that express GAL4 in glia, the MZ1580 line and the gfs-gal4 line, were used to drive expression of Ine-P1 from the UAS-ine-R A construct. A. Rescue of the downturned wings phenotype. Percentages of flies with normal morphology are shown for each genotype. From top to bottom, n = 200, 97, 82, 130, 131, 104, and 42, respectively, for each genotype. *p < 0.001 versus Sh/+; ine1. B. Representative traces showing the increased rate of onset of long-term facilitation in ine1 mutants compared with wild-type larvae, and rescue of this phenotype by reintroduction of Ine-P1 expression in glia. For these traces, GAL4 represents MZ1580/+; ine1, UAS represents ine1; UAS-ine-R A/+; and GAL4XUAS represents MZ1580/+; ine1; UAS-ine-R A/+.

Figure 3. Targeted expression of Ine-P1 in neurons partially rescues the ine mutant phenotypes. A. Rescue of the downturned wings phenotype. Percentages of flies with normal morphology are shown for each genotype. From top to bottom, n = 292, 63, 104, and 42, respectively, for each genotype. *p < 0.001 versus Sh/+; ine1. B. Rescue of the increased rate of onset of long-term facilitation. The bath [Ca^{2+}] was 0.15 mM. A 100 μM concentration of quinidine was present in the recording solution. The time required for the onset of long-term facilitation at the indicated stimulus frequencies is shown for each genotype. From top to bottom, n = 17, 8, 19, 11, and 13, respectively, for each genotype. Error bars represent SEMs.

Expression of Ine-P1 in glia. The bath [Ca^{2+}] was 0.15 mM. A 100 μM concentration of quinidine was present in the recording solution. The time required for the onset of long-term facilitation at the indicated stimulus frequencies is shown for each genotype. From top to bottom, n = 17, 8, 10, 14, 10, and 13, respectively, for each genotype. Error bars represent SEMs.
Overexpression of Ine mimics the phenotypes of para loss of function mutants

It was proposed previously that loss of ine function results in defective reuptake of a neurotransmitter, and thus to increased persistence of the transmitter in the synaptic cleft. This increased persistence, in turn, was proposed to cause overstimulation of signaling pathways that would ultimately increase motor neuron excitability (Soehnge et al., 1996). If so, then we would predict that overexpression of Ine might confer the opposite effect: a more rapid clearance of the transmitter, reduced stimulation of signaling pathways controlling excitability, ultimately leading to reduced neuronal excitability. To test this hypothesis, we overexpressed Ine-P1 by crossing the GAL4 drivers MZ1580 or gli-GAL4 to UAS-ine-RA in an otherwise wild-type background. For convenience, overexpression of Ine-P1 will be denoted Overine in the following discussion.

Suppression of Sh mutant phenotypes by Overine

Previous studies showed that ine mutations enhance the phenotype of Sh mutants, leading to a downturned wings phenotype (Stern et al., 1992). We found that Overine confers the opposite phenotype: suppression of the hyperexcitability phenotype of Sh mutants (Fig. 5A). In particular, whereas Sh mutants shake their legs vigorously after ether anesthesia, Sh MZ1580; UAS-ineRA flies exhibited greatly reduced leg shaking (Fig. 5A). Control Sh lines carrying only the MZ1580 construct, or only the UAS-ine-RA construct, exhibited a similar leg-shaking behavior to Sh mutants (Fig. 5A). The reciprocal interactions of ine and Overine with the Sh mutation are consistent with previous observations in which it was found that hyperexcitability mutations, such as eag and Dp para, enhance the phenotypes of Sh mutants, whereas mutations that reduce excitability, such as para loss of function mutations, suppress Sh phenotypes (Ganetzky and Wu, 1982; Stern et al., 1990) (Table 1).

Increased rate of onset of long term facilitation in Overine

Overine also confers reduced excitability of the larval motor neuron. In contrast to the increased rate of onset of long-term facilitation observed in ine mutants, Overine larvae showed a decreased rate of onset of long-term facilitation (Fig. 5B). For example, whereas wild-type larvae required only 2.9 sec of 10 Hz nerve stimulation to induce long-term facilitation, Overine larvae required 8.4 sec. Similarly, whereas wild-type larvae required only 4.5 sec of 7 Hz stimulation to induce long-term facilitation, Overine larvae required 24.2 sec. Finally, most of the Overine larvae (8 of 10 tested) failed to exhibit long-term facilitation even after 90 sec of 5 Hz stimulation, whereas most wild-type larvae (11 of 17 tested) were able to induce long-term facilitation under these conditions (data not shown).

Overine causes temperature-sensitive paralysis

The decreased neuronal excitability observed in Overine larvae could be a consequence of decreased sodium channel activity. Mutants with decreased sodium channel activity, such as para, tipE, mle map, Kinesin heavy chain, and axotactin often show a ts paralytic phenotype (Suzuki et al., 1971; Wu et al., 1978; Kulkarni and Padhye, 1982; Jackson et al., 1984; O'Dowd and Aldrich, 1988; Kernan et al., 1991; Gho et al., 1992; Hurd and Saxton, 1996; Yuan and Ganetzky, 1999; Ganetzky, 1984) (for review, see Ganetzky and Wu, 1986). These mutants, but not wild type, become paralyzed very quickly (within seconds or minutes) after placement at the elevated temperature, which can range from...
Generally, more severe reductions in sodium currents lead to a reduction of the temperature required to induce the paralysis. We found that Overine also confers ts paralysis. In particular, 92% of flies carrying both the UAS-ine-R A and the gli-gal4 constructs became paralyzed after transfer from 18 to 38° (Fig. 6), whereas flies carrying only the UAS-ine-R A construct or only the gli-gal4 construct did not show this paralysis.

Overine enhances the temperature-sensitive paralytic phenotype of para

Mutations affecting neuronal excitability often display synergistic interactions (Ganetzky and Wu, 1982; Ganetzky, 1984, 1986; Stern et al., 1990; Hurd and Saxton, 1996). Because Overine and some para mutations cause ts paralysis, we tested a possible synergistic interaction between the two. In particular, we assayed for ts paralysis in flies combined for Overine + and para63, which is a partial loss of function mutation in para that confers ts paralysis (Stern et al., 1990) (Fig. 6). Although almost all para63 and Overine + mutants become paralyzed at 38°, only 2.2% of the para63 flies and 4.5% of the Overine + flies became paralyzed when placed at 29°C. However, when the gli-gal4 and UAS-ine-RA constructs are coexpressed, 92% of the flies carrying both became paralyzed after transfer to 38°, whereas only 20% of flies carrying only the gli-gal4 construct became paralyzed (Fig. 6). This observation suggests that Overine and para63 mutations cause a similar defect in neuronal excitability, and that they may interact to enhance the temperature-sensitive paralytic phenotype.

Table 1. Genetic interactions of several excitability mutations with mutations in Sh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Enhances Sh</th>
<th>Suppresses Sh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>para</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dp para</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eag</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

~29 to 38°. Generally, more severe reductions in sodium currents lead to a reduction of the temperature required to induce the paralysis. We found that Overine also confers ts paralysis. In particular, 92% of flies carrying both the UAS-ine-R A and the gli-gal4 constructs became paralyzed after transfer from 18 to 38° (Fig. 6), whereas flies carrying only the UAS-ine-R A construct or only the gli-gal4 construct did not show this paralysis.

Overine enhances the temperature-sensitive paralytic phenotype of para

Mutations affecting neuronal excitability often display synergistic interactions (Ganetzky and Wu, 1982; Ganetzky, 1984, 1986; Stern et al., 1990; Hurd and Saxton, 1996). Because Overine and some para mutations cause ts paralysis, we tested a possible synergistic interaction between the two. In particular, we assayed for ts paralysis in flies combined for Overine + and para63, which is a partial loss of function mutation in para that confers ts paralysis (Stern et al., 1990) (Fig. 6). Although almost all para63 and Overine + mutants become paralyzed at 38°, only 2.2% of the para63 flies and 4.5% of the Overine + flies became paralyzed when placed at 29°C. However, when the gli-gal4 and UAS-ine-RA constructs are coexpressed, 92% of the flies carrying both became paralyzed after transfer to 38°, whereas only 20% of flies carrying only the gli-gal4 construct became paralyzed (Fig. 6). This observation suggests that Overine and para63 mutations cause a similar defect in neuronal excitability, and that they may interact to enhance the temperature-sensitive paralytic phenotype.
Table 2. Ejp amplitude evoked by the release of a single vesicle is unaffected by para<sup>63</sup> or Overine<sup>+</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Mean ejp amplitude (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>para&lt;sup&gt;63&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overine&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;(1)</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overine&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;(2)</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average amplitudes of successful ejps evoked by nerve stimulation in the presence of low (0.1 mM) bath [Ca<sup>2+</sup>] in larvae of the indicated genotypes. Under these conditions, most successful ejps result from the release of a single vesicle of transmitter. Only successful ejps were included in this calculation. Overine<sup>+</sup>(1) indicates overexpression of ine driven by the MZ1580 gal4 line. Overine<sup>+</sup>(2) indicates overexpression of ine driven by the gl-gal4 line. Values are presented as mean ± SEM. Data were collected from at least seven larvae for each genotype. Larvae were prepared as described in Materials and Methods and in the legend to Figure 7.

Figure 7. Reduced success rate of ejps at low bath [Ca<sup>2+</sup>] in para<sup>63</sup> and Overine<sup>+</sup>. A, Representative traces of ejp recordings from Overine<sup>+</sup> larvae (a) and control larvae (b, c). The stimulus frequency for these traces was 10 Hz. Arrowhead shows failure of ejp response. Bath [Ca<sup>2+</sup>] was 0.15 mM. B, Quantitation of the success rate of ejps for each genotype at the indicated [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]. Larval nerves were stimulated at a frequency of 1 Hz, and 25 responses were averaged per nerve. Nerves from seven larvae were tested for every data point (175 responses total per data point). Error bars represent SEMs.

Figures were cointroduced into the para<sup>63</sup> background, to form the Overine<sup>+</sup> para<sup>63</sup> combination, 73% of the flies became paralyzed at 29°C. Furthermore, whereas only 6.7% of the para<sup>63</sup> flies and 18% of the Overine<sup>+</sup> single mutant became paralyzed, respectively, when placed at 32°C, all of the para<sup>63</sup>; Overine<sup>+</sup> double mutants tested became paralyzed (Fig. 6). This result demonstrates that strong synergistic enhancement occurs between Overine<sup>+</sup> and para<sup>63</sup>.

Increased failures of evoked transmitter release in Overine<sup>+</sup> and para<sup>63</sup> neuromuscular junctions

The resemblance of Overine<sup>+</sup> to para<sup>63</sup> is manifested not only at the behavioral level but also at the electrophysiological level. Compared with wild type, both para<sup>63</sup> and Overine<sup>+</sup> larvae exhibit a higher frequency of failures in evoked transmitter release from larval motor nerves terminals when bathed in buffer containing any of three different low [Ca<sup>2+</sup>] (Fig. 7A,B). For example, at an external [Ca<sup>2+</sup>] of 0.15 mM, wild-type larval motor nerve terminals fail to release neurotransmitter after ~40% of nerve stimulations, whereas for Overine<sup>+</sup> and para<sup>63</sup>, the failure rate is 90%. This phenotype reflects a presynaptic defect: the amplitude of miniature ejps (m ejps) is unchanged by Overine<sup>+</sup> or para<sup>63</sup> (data not shown). Furthermore, the amplitude of successful ejps is unaffected in Overine<sup>+</sup> or para<sup>63</sup> larvae at the lowest [Ca<sup>2+</sup>] tested (0.1 mM), for which only failures or releases of single vesicles occur (Table 2). We interpret this increased failure rate to result from an axonal action potential of attenuated amplitude, which reduces the consequent nerve terminal Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx and thus reduces the probability of synaptic vesicle release. This interpretation predicts that Overine<sup>+</sup> or para<sup>63</sup> should shift the Ca<sup>2+</sup>/transmitter release curve to the right, which is in fact what is observed (Fig. 7B).

Further evidence for an attenuation of action potential amplitude in Overine<sup>+</sup> or para<sup>63</sup> was obtained from extracellular recordings of compound action potentials of the motor and sensory axons of the segmental nerve. The compound action potential is the additive output of action potentials fired by each axon in the nerve bundle in response to nerve stimulation. We found that at the permissive temperature of 21–22°C, both Overine<sup>+</sup> flies and para<sup>63</sup> larvae showed compound action potential of reduced amplitude compared with wild type (Fig. 8A,B). Furthermore, at the restrictive temperature of 38°C, at which both Overine<sup>+</sup> and para<sup>63</sup> adults exhibit paralysis, both Overine<sup>+</sup> and para<sup>63</sup> larvae showed complete loss of compound action potentials. The loss was reversed when the temperature was lowered to the permissive temperature (Fig. 8B). The temperature-sensitive loss of action potential propagation was reported for other mutants showing reduced sodium currents as well (for review, see Ganetzky and Wu, 1986; Wu and Ganetzky, 1992) (Table 3). This loss of action potentials is presumably related to the temperature-sensitive paralytic phenotype that these mutants exhibit. Compound action potentials of reduced amplitude at the permissive temperature is also a feature of mutants defective in Khc, which encodes kinesin heavy chain: this phenotype was suggested to result at least in part from a reduction in axonal sodium channels as a consequence of defective axonal transport (Gho et al., 1992). Taken together, these results suggest that overexpression of Ine-P1 reduces sodium channel activity and that the substrate neurotransmitter of the Ine transporter might control a signaling pathway that ultimately targets sodium channels.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we describe the manipulation in vivo of the expression level of the Drosophila ine-encoded neurotransmitter transporter and determine the effects of this manipulation on behavior and neuronal excitability. We found that Ine is active when expressed in either glia or neurons, and is required only in the short-term (with a time scale of a few hours or less) to regulate neuronal excitability. We also found that the two Ine isoforms are both functional, although Ine-P1 (the long form) appears to be more active than...
Ine-P2 (the short form). Finally, we found that overexpression of Ine confers phenotypes that closely resemble those conferred by loss of function mutations in the para-encoded sodium channel gene. Proposed models for the control of motor neuron excitability by Ine are discussed.

The time course of Ine function
Neurotransmitters can control the excitability of a target neuron either in a rapid, and rapidly reversible manner or in a long-term manner, often involving changes in gene expression. For example, at the Aplysia sensorimotor synapse, 5-HT application can affect the sensory neuron in both a short-term and long-term manner. In the short term, 5-HT application causes increased excitability of the sensory neuron by cAMP-dependent inhibition of a potassium channel (Kandel and Schwartz, 1982). Long-term exposure, in turn, leads to activation of gene expression by the CREB transcription factor (for review, see Kandel and Abel, 1995). We have found that one aspect of the neuronal excitability phenotype of Ine mutants, the “downturned wings” phenotype of Shine double mutants, can be reverted by a single pulse of Ine expression induced immediately before eclosion (Fig. 1). This result suggests that Ine is required only in the short term to restore this particular phenotype. Furthermore, this result suggests that any long-term changes in nervous system development that might occur in Ine mutants are not sufficient to confer the downturned wings hyperexcitability phenotype. This result further implies that one or more of the Ine mutant electrophysiological defects also results from lack of Ine in the short term.

Possible sites of Ine function
We suggest that Ine could affect motor neuron excitability by acting within neurons (motor or interneurons) or the surrounding glia. We showed that targeted expression of Ine in either neurons alone or peripheral glia alone was sufficient to rescue at least partially the Ine mutant phenotypes. Glial cells seem to be a more favorable site for Ine function, because targeted expression of Ine in the peripheral glia fully rescued the Ine mutant phenotypes (Fig. 2), whereas targeted expression of Ine in neurons using the elav-gal4 driver only partially rescued the mutant phenotypes (Fig. 3). It is also possible that the difference in rescue efficiency is caused by inadequate expression of Gal4 protein by elav-GAL4; however, this possibility is unlikely because the same elav-GAL4 driver expresses sufficient Gal4 within motor neurons to confer a strong pumilio overexpression phenotype in the presence of UAS-pumilio (B. Schweers, K. Walters, and M. Stern, in preparation).

Properties of the two Ine isoforms
The Ine gene expresses two transporter isoforms, Ine-P1 and Ine-P2, which differ only at their N termini. Ine-P1 has an unusually long N terminal intracellular domain consisting of ~300 amino acids. This long N terminus is uncommon among members of the Na+/Cl−-dependent neurotransmitter transporter family.
and raises the possibility that this domain might perform a function that is distinct from neurotransmitter transport but is required for the control of neuronal excitability. If so, then Ine-P2, which lacks the long N terminal intracellular domain, would be unable to perform this function and would be unable to confer any Ine activity in the absence of Ine-P1. Our demonstration that each isoform is able to perform Ine function on its own does not support this possibility. The Ine-P2 isoform performs less effectively than Ine-P1, which raises the possibility that the long N terminus of the Ine-P1 isoform might be required for efficient transporter activity. For example, the N terminus might be required for proper localization, stability, or activation of the transporter.

**Ine overexpression phenotypes**

Increased neuronal excitability could be a consequence of increased sodium channel activity or decreased potassium channel activity. The phenotypes of flies overexpressing Ine (called Overine) suggest that Ine negatively regulates sodium channels because the phenotypes of Overine flies closely resemble the phenotypes of para loss of function mutants. In particular, Overine suppresses the leg-shaking behavior of Sh mutants, confers temperature sensitive paralysis in adults, eliminates the compound action potentials in larval peripheral nerves at the restrictive temperature, decreases the amplitude of these compound action potential at the permissive temperature, and decreases the success rate of EJPs evoked at the larval neuromuscular junction in low external [Ca²⁺] (Figs. 5–8). Each one of these phenotypes is conferred as well by loss of function mutations in para (Suzuki et al., 1971; Siddiqi and Benzer, 1976; Wu and Ganetzky, 1980; Stern et al., 1990; this study). Furthermore, most of these phenotypes have been reported for mutations in other genes that reduce sodium channels. These genes include mle*, Kinesin heavy chain, axotactin, and tipE (Wu et al., 1978; Kulkarni and Padhye, 1982; Ganetzky, 1986; Gho et al., 1992; Feng et al., 1995; Hurd and Saxton, 1996; Yuan and Ganetzky, 1999) (Table 3). These data strongly suggest that Ine and its substrate neurotransmitter regulate excitability of the motor neuron by modulating sodium channels. In this view, the substrate neurotransmitter of Ine activates sodium channel activity, and Ine attenuates this activation by performing neurotransmitter reuptake.

**Possible models of the Ine regulatory system**

We suggest three possible mechanisms to account for these data. The first mechanism (Fig. 9A) suggests that the substrate neurotransmitter of Ine is released from an interneuron that synapses onto the motor neuron. This neurotransmitter activates a signaling pathway that ultimately leads to the activation of sodium channels. B, The motor neuron releases the substrate neurotransmitter of Ine at the neuromuscular junction, which then acts on nearby autocrine receptors to trigger a signaling pathway that activates sodium channels. Ine attenuates this signaling by neurotransmitter reuptake. C, The motor neuron releases the substrate neurotransmitter of Ine at the neuromuscular junction, which then acts on receptors present on the neighboring peripheral glia. This interaction increases the release of a factor from peripheral glia that activates axonal sodium channels. Ine attenuates this signaling by neurotransmitter reuptake.
affects excitability in a short-term manner, because there are several molecular mechanisms that can operate on the required time scale. For example, CAM kinase II autophosphorylation causes its signaling pathway to remain active for a prolonged period, even in the absence of the original stimulus (Giese et al., 1998).

The second mechanism (Fig. 9B) suggests that the substrate neurotransmitter of Ine is released from the motor nerve terminal and acts on autoreceptors on the motor neuron. In this model, Ine could function from either the motor neuron or the peripheral glia to terminate this signaling. As above, binding of the transmitter to its receptors in the motor neuron triggers a signal transduction pathway that activates sodium channels. Sodium channels near the nerve terminal would be the most prominent candidates for this activation. However, the reduced axonal action potential amplitudes observed in Overine+ would require that the signal be transduced from the motor nerve terminal along the length of the axon.

The third mechanism (Fig. 9C) suggests that the substrate neurotransmitter of Ine is released from the motor neuron and activates receptors in the peripheral glia. The activated peripheral glia then release factors that act reciprocally on the motor axon to increase sodium currents, thus forming a positive feedback loop (Fig. 9C). It is well documented that neurons release factors that affect adjoining glia and that glia can produce factors back loop (Fig. 9C). It is well documented that neurons release factors that affect adjoining glia and that glia can produce factors that increase neuronal excitability. For example, at the frog neuromuscular junction, motor nerve stimulation or neurotransmitter application increase intracellular [Ca2+] in persynaptic Schwann cells (Jahromi et al., 1992). Glial also release substances that affect excitability of the neurons (Pfrieger and Barres, 1997) (for review, see Vesce et al., 1999). For example, the Drosophila axoactatin (axo) gene encodes a neuroxin-related protein that is produced by peripheral glia and subsequently localized to axon tracts (Yuan and Ganetzky, 1999). Mutations in axo cause temperature-sensitive paralysis and failure of compound action potentials at the restrictive temperature (Yuan and Ganetzky, 1999), which are phenotypes exhibited by Overine+ larvae as well and presumably result from reductions in axonal sodium currents. The mechanism shown in Figure 9C requires that production or release of this excitatory factor from peripheral glia be increased in ine mutants and reduced in Overine+ larvae. Yager et al. (2001) recently reported that ine mutations increase the release of a factor from peripheral glia that increases the growth of the outer perineurial glial layer. This proposal is consistent with the mechanism proposed here.
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