Neuronal Activity in Substantia Nigra Pars Reticulata during Target Selection
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Complex visual scenes require that a target for an impending saccadic eye movement be selected from a number of possible targets. We investigated whether changing the number of stimuli from which a target would be identified altered the activity of substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr) neurons of the basal ganglia (BG) and how such changes might contribute to changes we observed previously in the superior colliculus (SC). One, two, four, or eight visual stimuli appeared on random trials while monkeys fixedated a centrally located spot. After a delay, one of the stimuli in the array changed luminance, indicating that it was the saccade target. We found that SNr neurons that had a pause in tonic activity after target onset and when the saccade was made to the target showed a modulation of activity during the multitarget task. Because the number of stimuli in the array increased from one to eight, the initial pause after the onset of the visual stimulus decreased. Activity during the preselection delay was reduced but was independent of the number of possible targets present. When one of the stimuli was identified as the saccade target, but before the saccade was made, we found a sharp decline in activity. This decline was related to the monkey’s selecting the target rather than the luminance change identifying the target, because on error trials, when the luminance changed but a saccade was not made to the target, the activity did not decline. The decline for the preferred target location was also accompanied by a lesser decline for adjacent locations. Our findings indicate that SNr activity changes with target selection as it does with saccade initiation and that the SNr could make substantial, direct contributions to the SC at both times. The pause in SNr activity with target selection is consistent with the hypothesis that BG provide a disinhibition for the selection of desired movements.
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Visual scenes encountered during natural viewing require that a single stimulus be selected out of many possible to serve as an object directing visual attention or as a target identifying a goal for actions. Voluntary saccadic eye movements, those rapid reorienting movements of the eye, are an elegant model behavior in which to study target selection for action, because goal directed movements, by definition, require the identification of a single goal.

Our previous work examined the role of intermediate layer superior colliculus (SC) neurons in a task in which one target of many possible was identified for a saccadic eye movement. We found that the activity of SC neurons reflected the probability that a particular saccade target would be selected for a saccade (Basso and Wurtz, 1998). Similar reports have been described for these neurons in gap saccade tasks (Dorris and Munoz, 1998). Therefore, some SC neuronal activity is not obligately linked to the production of a saccade and therefore may reflect processes intervening between vision and action (Glimcher and Sparks, 1992; Munoz and Wurtz, 1995).

Because the basal ganglia (BG) receive direct input from multiple cortical regions reflecting target selection as well as areas modulated by visual attention (Selemon and Goldman-Rakic, 1985, 1988; Boussaoud et al., 1992; Parthasarathy et al., 1992), and the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr), one of two output nuclei of the BG, has direct projections to the SC (Hopkins and Niessen, 1976; Anderson and Yoshida, 1977; Deniau et al., 1978; Graybiel, 1978; Beckstead, 1983; Hikosaka and Wurtz, 1983d; Karabelas and Moschovakis, 1985), in the current experiment we hypothesized that we would see activity modulation in the SNr reflecting changes in target probability. Changes in SNr activity might occur under the same conditions as those seen in SC and therefore might be regarded as precursors to the SC changes that were related to target selection.

Recent experimental work has inspired a renewed consideration of the role of BG nuclei in events other than movement initiation. For example, anatomical (Hazrati and Parent, 1992a,b; Parent and Hazrati, 1993) and physiological data (Mink and Thatch, 1991a,b) suggest that BG are involved in selecting preferred movements through disinhibition and suppressing activity associated with nonpreferred movements through inhibitory mechanisms (Mink and Thatch, 1993; Mink, 1996). Indeed, that SNr neurons pause for saccadic eye movements is strong support for the role of disinhibition from the BG in movement initiation (Hikosaka and Wurtz, 1983a,c,d; Handel and Glimcher, 1999, 2000). We reasoned that we could address some of the issues related to events before saccade initiation in the SNr, as we had done previously in the SC. Therefore, in the present work, we recorded SNr neurons while subjects performed the same behavioral task that we used previously to measure SC neuronal activity.
with iso

Monkeys were intubated and maintained at a general anesthetic level (10.0 mg/kg), valium (1.0 mg/kg), and glycopyrrolate (0.01 mg/kg). Physiological procedures

Two monkeys were prepared for chronic electrophysiological recording of single neurons and eye movements. Anesthesia was induced initially with an intramuscular injection of ketamine (10.0 mg/kg), valium (1.0 mg/kg), and glycopyrrolate (0.01 mg/kg). Monkeys were intubated and maintained at a general anesthetic level with isoflurane. A subconjunctival eye coil was implanted (Judge et al., 1993; Schall, 1995; Schall et al., 1995) and may reflect a mechanism of target selection for saccades. By examining the activity of SNr neurons as we did with SC and comparing the results with those that we obtained previously in the SC, we could determine whether SNr activity modulations could be directly responsible for the expression of SC activity modulations. We found that SNr neurons were modulated by changes in the probability that a particular stimulus would become the target for the saccade, consistent with a role for these neurons in target selection for saccades. However, given the nature of the modulations in SNr seen with changes in target probability, additional modulations must be responsible for the modulation of SC with changes in target probability. In sum, the SNr activity changes are consistent with, and provide constraints on, recent views of BG function and behavioral selection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Physiological procedures. Two monkeys were prepared for chronic electrophysiological recording of single neurons and eye movements. Anesthesia was induced initially with an intramuscular injection of ketamine (10.0 mg/kg), valium (1.0 mg/kg), and glycopyrrolate (0.01 mg/kg). Monkeys were intubated and maintained at a general anesthetic level with isoflurane. A subconjunctival eye coil was implanted (Judge et al., 1993; Judge et al., 1980). A plastic head holder for restraint and a cylinder for microelectrode recording were mounted on the top of the exposed skull and secured with titanium screws and dental acrylic. This hardware allowed subsequent magnetic resonance images to be obtained. For example, if the electrode penetrations were directed caudorostral toward the SC. For the SNr, two cylinders were placed on the skull. The cylinder on the left side was angled 30° lateral–medial at stereotactic coordinates, anterior-posterior (AP) 9.0 and mediolateral (ML) 8.0, parallel to the surface of the skull, i.e., a 0° angle. This approach allowed straight vertical penetrations through the ventrobasal complex of the thalamus (VPM) and provided recording of the trigeminal recipient neurons of the thalamus as a landmark (A. Handel, P. Glimcher, and W. Schultz, personal communication). Figure 2 shows coronal sections through the SNr of one of these monkeys with a 0° penetration. At the end of surgery and 1 d after the operation, animals were given Banamine for analgesia. An antibiotic (Polylex) was given 1 d before the operation and every other day for 14 d after the operation. Monkeys recovered for 1 week before behavioral and physiological recording commenced. All protocols were approved by the Institute Animal Care and Use Committee and complied with the Public Health Service Policy on the humane care and use of laboratory animals.

Single neurons were recorded with tungsten microelectrodes (Frederick Haer) with impedances between 0.7 and 1.5 MΩ measured at 1 kHz. Electrodes were aimed toward the recording site through stainless steel guide tubes held in place by a delrin grid that was secured to the recording chamber (Crist et al., 1988). Action potential waveforms from individual neurons were identified with a window discriminator that returned a transistor–transistor logic (TTL) pulse for each waveform that met both time and amplitude criteria. The time of occurrence of each action potential was stored with 1 msec resolution. To identify the SNr before the experiment, we searched for neurons that were antidromically activated by stimulation within the SC (Hikosaka and Wurtz, 1983d). For this, electric current was passed through tungsten microelectrodes (Frederick Haer) with impedances between 0.1 and 0.7 MΩ measured at 1 kHz. Single, biphasic pulses 150 μsec in duration were used. Current intensities varied with the searching currents ranging between 200 and 1000 μA. Antidromic currents varied between 50 and 400 μA (Lemon, 1984). Neurons identified as antidromic had a short (<2.0 msec) and consistent latency and were also subjected to the collision test (Fuller and Schlag, 1976). For this, the TTL pulse derived from a spontaneously occurring SNr spike was provided as input to a stimulator (Astro-Med Instruments S88) providing a current-balanced pulse to the SC. This test was inconclusive in some cases because of the short latency of the SNr antidromic spike and the delays inherent in the hardware used for the production of the TTL pulse (WEX3.0a) (Chandra and Optican, 1997). At least one of both classes of neuron described in this report could be driven and blocked. The number of antidromic neurons was not large enough (n = 9) to draw conclusions about the projection pattern to the SC. Our purpose for using the antidromic technique was to confirm that our recordings were within the SNr.

Behavioral procedures. All behavioral paradigms and storage of data were controlled by a 486PC running a QNX-based real-time data acquisition system (REX) (Hays et al., 1982). During experiments, monkeys were seated in an adjustable chair face to face with their heads restrained for the duration of the experiment (3–5 hr). The visual display on the screen was rear projected by a television projector (Liquid Crystal, Sharp) operating at 60 Hz. The tangent screen was located 57 cm in front of the monkeys. The centrally located fixation point was a projected image of a light-emitting diode (LED). Eye movements were recorded with the magnetic search coil technique (Fuchs and Robinson, 1966), and horizontal and vertical eye position signals were amplified at 1 kHz. An interactive computer program was used to make measurements and calculate metrics and dynamics of eye movements (DEX). Saccades were detected using velocity (10°/sec) and acceleration (500–800°/sec²) criteria, and the data were inspected by the experimenter for corrections.

SNr neurons were identified while monkeys performed visually guided saccades or delayed saccades either memory guided (Hikosaka and Wurtz, 1983c) or visually guided. In the former task, a centrally located fixation point appeared, and the monkeys were required to maintain fixation of this spot within an electronic window of 2°. A peripherally located spot was presented for 200 msec while the monkeys maintained fixation. After a delay of 200–800 msec in which selection could occur, the fixation point was removed, and monkeys made a saccade to the location of the previously flashed target spot. In the latter task, the target spot remained illuminated throughout the trial. For visually guided saccades, the fixation spot disappeared at the same time the target spot appeared, i.e., 0 msec delay. We determined the general characteristics of the neuronal activity and an estimate of the center of the preferred field by requiring monkeys to make saccades to different locations in the visual field. The firing rate of SNr neurons was measured as the firing rate encompassing an entire hemifield (Handel and Glimcher, 1999). We did not attempt to characterize the field fully. We made a qualitative assessment on-line about the preferred location on the basis of the largest decline in activity. During all experiments, monkeys were rewarded with a drop of fruit juice or water. Monkeys worked daily until satiated and were given supplemental fluid as required. The monkeys’ weight was monitored daily, and they remained under the supervision of the institute veterinarian.

Target probability task. To determine the effect of target probability on SNr neuronal activity, we used the same multitarget task that we used previously for recordings in the SC (Basso and Wurtz, 1998). The details of this task are described below.

In the multitarget task (Fig. 1), our goal was to separate the sequence of events leading up to saccade generation while varying the probability that a given stimulus would become a saccade target. First, a centrally located fixation point (LED) was illuminated, and monkeys were required to look at it for 1 sec to initiate the trial. Second, one, two, four, or eight spots of light were projected for a randomized time ranging from 800 to 1200 msec, and these trial types were randomly interleaved. This was the period of preselection because the monkeys did not know which of the spots would be the correct target. One of these possible targets was always located at the position in the visual field that yielded the maximal response of SNr neurons, in this case a maximal pause in activity. All other possible targets were placed equally eccentric but in different directions (in the four cardinal and four oblique directions, 45° between
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which visual stimuli were displayed. The cross appeared on the screen. This was followed by a preselection period when the array of possible targets appeared. The selection period is indicated by the time in which any one would be identified as the target for the saccade. The eccentricities ranged from 5 to 25\( ^\circ \) each of eight stimuli). The eccentricities ranged from 5 to 25\( ^\circ \), with most being between 10 and 15\( ^\circ \). Third, one of the possible targets dimmed for 800–1200 msec. We de ned this as the period of selection because the dimming indicated which of the spots was the target for the saccade. The neural activity associated with the onset of a delayed saccade task was performed: baseline period, 200 msec before visual stimulus onset while the subject actively fixated; visual period, 100–300 msec after visual stimulus onset; and saccade period, 150 msec before and after saccade onset. We identi ed two types of SNr neurons (Fig. 3). “Visual-saccade” neurons had a decline of at least 1 SD below baseline during either the visual or both the visual and the saccade intervals for a least 15% of the trials (\( n = 38 \)). “Saccade” neurons had a decline in activity for at least 1 SD below baseline for at least 15% of the trials only during the saccade interval (\( n = 20 \)).

For the other 23 of the 81 neurons, 2 were recorded from the zona incerta as indicated by their tonic firing rate and their omnidirectional pause in activity associated with the onset of
visually guided saccades (Ma, 1996) and spontaneous saccades (Hikosaka and Wurtz, 1983a). Thirteen neurons recorded were not related to saccades or to visual stimuli and were not modulated in our task. Eight neurons showed pauses in activity with the onset of the fixation point as described by Hikosaka and Wurtz (1983b). In our task, these neurons reduced their activity at the time of fixation and remained at that level throughout the duration of the trials independent of the number of stimuli. These 23 neurons will not be considered further.

Multiple stimulus interactions

Visual-saccade neurons

The 38 SNr visual-saccade neurons had tonic spontaneous activity that showed systematic decreases throughout the trial in the multitarget task, and these sequential changes are best illustrated in the one target condition of this task (Fig. 4, One). After the stimulus appeared, the activity decreased (Fig. 4, One, left column). This decreased activity recovered to a tonic level later in the preselection delay period, but this level was less than the spontaneous level (Fig. 4, One, left column). At the time the target dimmed at the beginning of the selection delay period, the neuronal activity declined precipitously (Fig. 4, One, middle column, vertical dashed line). This decline in activity was maintained until the saccade was initiated, showed a further dip with the saccade, and then eventually returned to baseline tonic levels shortly thereafter (Fig. 4, One, right column). Use of the multitarget task shows that in addition to the well established decreases in activity related to visual target onset and saccade initiation, these SNr neurons showed both a tonic depression of activity in the preselection period and a sharp decline in activity when the instruction to make the saccade was given at the start of the selection period.

As the number of possible targets increased, the pause associated with the onset of the stimulus array decreased, and this pause was absent in the presence of eight possible targets (Fig. 4, Basso and Wurtz • Basal Ganglia and Selection

Figure 3. Examples of neuronal activity profiles in the SNr. The left column is aligned on the onset of the visual target (vertical dashed line and arrow), and the right column is aligned on the saccade (vertical dashed line and arrow). Eye position traces are plotted, superimposed as a function of time. Individual ticks are action potentials, and each row of ticks is a trial. Spike density functions are superimposed on the raster diagram. The spike density functions were calculated with a Gaussian of 12 msec. A, SNr visual-saccade neurons have a pause for the onset of the visual stimulus as well as before the saccade. B, SNr saccade neurons show a decline in activity at the time of the saccade.

Figure 4. Effect of changes in target probability on an SNr visual-saccade neuron. The events of the task are indicated as the labeled periods across the top. The eye position trace is a schematic. The first row of rasters is for correct responses in the single possible target condition (One), the second is when two possible targets appeared (Two), and the third is when four possible targets appeared (Four). The last row is when eight possible targets appeared (Eight). The columns of rasters are aligned on the events of the task: the first is aligned on when the stimuli appear, the second is aligned on target identification, by dimming, and the last is aligned on saccade initiation. Each tick in the raster is a single action potential, and each row of ticks is an individual trial. The lines superimposed are spike density functions (σ = 12 msec). All data are taken from correct trials when the target was identified in the preferred field of the recorded neuron. The arrowheads and the vertical dashed lines indicate the trace alignment. The initial pause decreased with increasing numbers of possible targets, and at the time the target was identified (middle column, vertical dashed line), the activity dropped precipitously. Vertical calibration bar: 100 spikes/sec.
target conditions was statistically signi-
ficant (ANOVA; $F_{(3,153)} = 20.09; p < 0.001$). Pairwise comparisons performed with the Tukey test revealed that all conditions contributed to the overall signif-
cance ($p < 0.03$) except the difference between possible targets conditions 1 and 2 ($p = 0.97$).

During the remainder of the preselection period, the activity was not significantly modulated with the number of possible targets (ANOVA; $F_{(3,303)} = 0.18; p = 0.95$), but the activity that was measured 400 msec before the target dimmed remained below the baseline level (200 msec before the array onset) across all conditions when differences among individual neurons were allowed (ANOVA; $F_{(3,303)} = 158.39; p = 0.001$) (Fig. 5, middle plot). At the time the selection period began, when the target dimmed (Fig. 5, middle plot, selection) the activity of the neurons declined dramatically, and the decline occurred similarly for each condition (1, 2, 4, and 8). Comparison of the activity 400 msec before the target dimmed and 400 msec after the target dimmed for each possible target condition revealed significant differences (ANOVA; $F_{(3,303)} = 7.31; p < 0.007$). The amount of decline did not differ between the numbers of possible targets as indicated from the interaction term (ANOVA; $F_{(3,303)} = 0.13; p = 0.94$) (Fig. 5, middle plot, selection). At the time of and after saccade onset (Fig. 6, vertical dashed line in last set of traces), there was an additional decline in activity [see also Hikosaka and Wurtz (1983a)].
In summary, for the visual-saccade neurons, as the number of possible targets increases, the pause associated with the onset of the visual stimuli decreases. The activity in the preselection delay period remains below baseline but is independent of the number of possible targets. At the time the target becomes available for selection, the neuronal activity drops sharply, regardless of the number of visual stimuli present. The drop-off in activity remains until well after the saccade onset, at which point the neuronal activity returns to spontaneous levels.

Saccade neurons

The 20 saccade neurons had tonic, spontaneous activity that decreased only around saccade onset. In our multitarget task, the saccade neurons behaved differently from the visual-saccade neurons already described. In the single target condition, saccade neurons maintained a tonic rate of activity that was not different from the baseline level of firing and was maintained throughout the trial until slightly before saccade onset (Fig. 6, thickest black line). At the time of the saccade, there was a clear pause in activity that lasted the duration of the saccade and increased back to spontaneous levels at ~300 msec after the saccade (Fig. 6, selection). As the number of possible targets increased, the activity of saccade neurons across the sample was modulated slightly. With eight possible targets, the initial activity after stimulus onset increased (Fig. 6, thinnest black line) and then rapidly decreased, as if transiently oscillating. Nevertheless, the initial activity (50–200 msec after stimulus onset; shaded gray bar on abscissa) was statistically indistinguishable across the four possible target conditions (ANOVA; \( F_{(3,79)} = 0.043; p = 0.988 \)). To determine whether there were some differences obscured by the large window, we divided the initial response into three smaller windows: 75–125 msec after stimulus onset, 125–200 msec after stimulus onset, and 200–300 msec after stimulus onset. The normalization was done individually for each neuron. In the first window the average activity in the single target condition was 79.57 spikes/sec, whereas with eight possible targets the average activity was 82.57 spikes/sec. The same trend was observed in the second window (84.26 vs 88.74 spikes/sec). In the third window, the opposite trend was revealed: 84 spikes/sec was the average rate for a single target, whereas 70.73 spikes/sec was the average rate with eight stimuli present. Statistical analyses revealed that none of these differences were statistically significant (ANOVA, \( F_{(3,79)} = 0.073, p = 0.97, 75–125 \) msec window; ANOVA, \( F_{(3,79)} = 0.102, p = 0.96, 125–200 \) msec window; ANOVA, \( F_{(3,79)} = 2.48, p < 0.07, 200–300 \) msec window).

Comparison of the preselection period (400 msec before the target dimmed) to the period after a target was identified (400 msec after the target dimmed) revealed that the activity of saccade neurons did not change in any of the possible target conditions (ANOVA; \( F_{(1,159)} = 0.459; p = 0.499 \)). Additionally, there were no differences in saccade activity during the selection period for the different possible target conditions (ANOVA; \( F_{(3,159)} = 0.052; p = 0.985 \)). Before the onset of the saccade, these neurons showed a pause in activity that lasted the duration of the saccade (Fig. 6, right plot). This pause did not differ for the different numbers of possible targets.

Thus, saccade-related neurons of the SNr are not consistently modulated with changes in the number of possible targets available for saccades or at the time the target became available for selection.

Error trials

Up to this point, we have considered only trials in which animals performed the task correctly; that is, saccades were made to the target that was identified during the selection period, and the saccades were made in a timely manner (within 500 msec) and were accurate within 2°–3°. In some rare cases, however, monkeys made saccades to the wrong target or failed to make a saccade at all. This occurred infrequently because the task is simple and the monkeys were well trained, but their occurrence provided an opportunity to determine whether the change in SNr activity was related to the target presented or to the monkey’s response to the target. Figure 7 shows the trials for a single visual-saccade neuron during trials with four possible targets. In some trials the monkey performed the task correctly (Fig. 7, bottom plot, correct trials), and in other trials the monkey made errors by making a saccade to another location (Fig. 7, top plot, error trials). Initially the neuron showed a pause associated with the onset of the visual stimulus array regardless of the trial outcome (Fig. 7, left plot). In correct trials, at the time the target dimmed, the activity of the
neuron declined and remained low (Fig. 7, right plot). In contrast, in the error trials, the neuronal activity did not decline or did so slightly but returned quickly to its tonic level. This comparison indicated that the pause in SNr neurons occurring after the identification of the target but in advance of the saccadic eye movement predicts the intent of the monkey rather than being exclusively related to the stimulus change.

We confirmed this observation by examining all the error trials across all the data. We found 79 error trials (18 neurons) in which the monkey made a saccade to the wrong target or did not make a saccade at all. We measured the average activity in a 400 msec interval beginning 200 msec after the target dimmed in both correct and errors trials for these neurons. The median activity in errors trials was 79.61 spikes/sec, whereas the median activity in correct trials was 46.25 spikes/sec. This difference was statistically reliably as determined by a Wilcoxon signed rank test ($p < 0.01$). Thus, the pause in SNr neurons reflects the saccade target ultimately chosen by the monkeys rather than the visual stimulus on the retina.

Spatial resolution of stimulus interactions
Contralateral and ipsilateral targets
A comparison of the neuronal activity during the conditions when targets are presented in the preferred field of the neuron and the field opposite allows an inference about the activity of neurons coding nonpreferred target locations, similar to inferences made in cortical neurons (Britton et al., 1992; Thompson et al., 1996), as well as those made by us in our previous work in the SC (Basso and Wurtz, 1998). Therefore, we compared the activity in the two and eight possible targets conditions when the target in the preferred location was identified and when the target in the opposite hemifield was identified. We averaged the activity of the sample of visual-saccade neurons across the intervals of the task and superimposed the traces for the ipsilateral and contralateral target locations for the two possible targets condition (Fig. 8A) and for the eight possible targets condition (Fig. 8B). For this comparison we used the data from correct trials from visual-saccade neurons.

For both the two stimulus and eight stimulus trials, the first difference between the activity of the SNr neurons with an ipsilateral target and a contralateral target occurred at the time the target was indicated (Fig. 8, middle plot, vertical dashed line). When the target was in the ipsilateral hemifield, there was no decline in the neuronal activity (Fig. 8, vertical dashed line). The pause in activity was specific for the target at the preferred location in the contralateral hemifield, and the change was significant ($t(72) = 2.70, p < 0.009$ for two stimuli and $t(72) = 2.76, p < 0.007$ for eight stimuli). As the selection period continued, the neuronal activity remained below baseline (Fig. 8, rightmost plot). At the time of the saccade, however, the neuronal activity declined only for the saccade made to the target at the preferred location.

In summary, the decline in SNr activity around the time a target is identified and a saccade does not occur when the target is placed symmetrically in the ipsilateral visual field. The neurons coding the symmetrical nonpreferred target are primarily unaffected by the selection process in this task. The specificity of the decline also indicates that it is associated with the preferred field of the neuron rather than with any general arousal effect. Moreover, a lack of increase when the opposite hemifield target is identified suggests that there is not a simple, push–pull interaction between the two SNrs.
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analysis was limited to 45° resolution, because this was the minimum angle between the stimuli in our display. Nevertheless, it allowed us to compare lateral interactions, if any, within the SNr as we did in the SC and as has been done by Schall and colleagues (Schall and Hanes, 1993; Schall, 1995; Schall et al., 1995; Schall and Thompson, 1999) in frontal eye fields.

For both visual-saccade and saccade neurons of the SNr, we calculated an index, essentially a contrast ratio, at three intervals of the task: visual, the time around the onset of the visual stimuli; selection, the time around the dimming of the target; and initiation, the time around saccade onset (Fig. 9). Figure 9 is arranged so that the preferred response of the neurons in the eight possible targets condition is rotated to the 0° location.

We calculated the visual selectivity index by dividing the difference of the activity 100–300 msec after stimulus onset and the 200 msec of baseline activity (during fixation before the array appeared) by the sum of the activity in the same two intervals (Fig. 9A,B). A value of 0 indicates no difference in activity from baseline, values below 0 indicate that the activity is less than baseline, and values >0 indicate that the activity is greater than baseline. For the visual-saccade neurons, the initial activity was below baseline for the 2 example neurons (Fig. 9A, ▲, ▼) as well as the sample of 38 neurons (Fig. 9A, ○). This is consistent with the pause in activity after visual stimulus onset, and also, because this interval occurred before the target was identified, the activity does not distinguish between targets or distractors, i.e., they are not tuned.

In contrast, for some saccade neurons, the initial visual activity increased when eight possible stimuli were presented (Fig. 9B, ▲, ▼) but also did not discriminate between targets and distractors. Although an increase in activity was evident in some neurons and across the sample initially (Fig. 6), it did not persist for our measurement interval across the sample (Fig. 9B, ○).

The contrast ratio calculated for the selection index was the difference between the 400 msec before the target was identified and the 400 msec of activity after the target was identified (beginning 100 msec after the target dimmed) divided by the sum of the two activities (Fig. 9C,D). Some visual-saccade neurons were very broadly tuned during this interval (Fig. 9C, ▲), whereas others were less broadly tuned and showed a slight increase in activity only when a target adjacent to the preferred location was identified (Fig. 9C, ▼). Indeed, the increase at the 90° location was significantly different from baseline (Mann–Whitney; *p < 0.014). Although opposite in sign, this pattern is reminiscent of that seen in frontal eye fields (Schall and Hanes, 1993; Schall, 1995; Schall et al., 1995) and SC (Basso and Wurtz, 1998). Across the sample of 38 visual-saccade SNr neurons, there was a decline in activity associated with the preferred target location and less of a decline for adjacent targets.

Some saccade neurons showed a decline in activity at the time the target was identified that was broadly tuned for target location (Fig. 9D, ▲). More commonly, however, saccade neurons showed only a modest decline in activity at the time the target was identified (Fig. 9D, ○).

The contrast ratio for the initiation index was calculated by measuring the difference between the 100 msec interval before and after saccade onset (50 msec before saccade and 50 msec after saccade) and the baseline activity (200 msec before array onset during fixation). This difference was then divided by the sum of the two activities (Fig. 9E,F). Visual-saccade neurons of the SNr were not at all tuned during the initiation period but exhibited a level of activity that was reduced from baseline (Fig. 9E, ○).

For saccade neurons, around the time of saccade initiation, the neuron that showed broad tuning during the selection period...
showed a more restricted selectivity (Fig. 9F, ▲). A neuron that had negligible modulation during the selection period showed a decline for the preferred saccade location and an enhancement for all other saccade locations (Fig. 9F, ●). Because of the variability across our sample of saccade neurons, there was very little obvious tuning during the initiation period (Fig. 9F, ○).

In summary, there is a decline in activity for the preferred target location, with a lesser decline at adjacent target locations. Interestingly, there is a hint that visual-saccade neurons may increase their activity for target locations identified adjacent to the preferred location as if contributing to the suppression of distracter information. Perhaps this result would be more prominent if our stimuli were placed closer than 45° from one another.

Saccade latency
Because SNr neurons are thought to have a role in the control of saccade initiation (Hikosaka and Wurtz, 1983d) and the delay period activity of SC neurons is associated with saccade latency in certain tasks (Dorris et al., 1997; Basso and Wurtz, 1998), we compared delay activity and saccade latency. We measured the 300 msec of neuronal activity before the cue to move for all 58 neurons recorded and the saccade latency and calculated the Pearson r value (Fig. 10). We found significant correlations for 4 of the 58 neurons, 1 of which was in the opposite direction (the activity decreased as saccade latency increased). In a few cases, activity preceding saccade generation of SNr neurons was a good predictor of saccade latency in this task. This is consistent with findings in SC (Dorris et al., 1997; Basso and Wurtz, 1998). We also compared saccade latency as a function of the number of possible saccade targets and found no significant differences. This probably results from the insertion of a delay (800–1200 msec) between the target identification and the onset of the cue to move that would obscure any latency differences between conditions as we saw previously (Basso and Wurtz, 1998).

DISCUSSION
We found a series of changes in SNr neuronal activity during the series of phases of our multitarget selection task. In a set of neurons that we classified as visual-saccade neurons, there was a pause in activity after the initial onset of the visual stimuli array and before the onset of the saccade as has been reported previously (Hikosaka and Wurtz, 1983a). In addition, we found that the activity after stimulus onset but preceding identification of the target remained below baseline. When the target was identified, there was a sharp, further decline in activity. This decline in activity was not just the result of the luminance change of the target but rather predicted which target the monkey would select as the goal of a saccade, because the decline was not present when the monkey made a mistake and made a saccade to a location other than the one indicated by the cue. The decline for the preferred target location was accompanied by a lesser decline for adjacent locations. Of these activity changes, only the pause after the onset of the array of stimuli was modulated by the number of possible targets. Most neurons that paused only with saccade onset showed no modulation related to selecting the visual target.

Contribution of SNr to SC during target selection
Because we used the same behavioral paradigm for the SNr as we had used previously for the SC (Basso and Wurtz, 1997, 1998), we can make a detailed comparison between the SNr and SC. In the SNr neurons that we have studied, the neuronal response during our multitarget selection task takes the form of a decrease in activity, whereas in the SC the change is an increase in activity. Because the SNr projects to the SC (Hopkins and Niessen, 1976; Deniau et al., 1978; Graybiel, 1978; Anderson and Yoshida, 1980; Beckstead, 1983; Hikosaka and Wurtz, 1983d) and is inhibitory (Chevalier et al., 1981, 1984, 1985; Karabelas and Moschovakis, 1985), we can now consider the extent to which the decrease in SNr activity contributes to the increase in the SC during target selection. To facilitate this comparison, we show in Figure 11 the averaged activity of SC buildup neurons in the multitarget task that we reported previously (Basso and Wurtz, 1998, their Fig. 4) along with the averaged activity of the visual-saccade neurons from SNr (Fig. 5).

Visual activity
The initial visual pause of SNr neurons became less as the number of possible stimuli increased (Fig. 11B). This change with increasing numbers of stimuli could be caused either by the decreasing certainty that a given stimulus would be the target for a saccade or by the lateral interactions resulting from the decreasing distances between pools of neurons activated by the stimuli, or both. In our previous experiments on the SC, we concluded that the SC visual response was influenced by stimulus interac-
have noted, with the addition of a second stimulus, SC activity changes but SNr activity does not. In addition, the latency of the visual response in the SNr is slightly longer than in the SC, and so the modulation of the visual pause seen in the SNr cannot be responsible for the visual response modulations seen in the SC. This difference in latency was noted previously (Hikosaka and Wurtz, 1983a) and is evident by comparing the response profiles of the two classes of neuron during the array presentation (Fig. 11A,B, left plots). Therefore, although the SNr could contribute to the later SC visual response, it clearly cannot be the only input determining it.

**Delay activity**

In the preselection period after the response to the visual stimulus onset, the SNr activity remains below the baseline level and then declines further in the selection period after the target is identified. These changes are the same regardless of the number of stimuli. In the SC, the activity in the preselection period decreases as the number of possible targets increases. When the target is identified, the SC activity increases to levels seen in the single target condition regardless of the number of stimuli. Thus the change of activity at the start of the selection period is the same in SNr and SC (and of opposite sign), and the activity level reached in both is independent of the number of possible targets, that is, of the probability of a stimulus becoming a target. What is different is that the preselection activity in the SC is higher with fewer possible targets, whereas in the SNr it is not.

Because the preselection delay period activities were not perfectly matched in the SNr and SC, it is unlikely that SC modulations are a direct result of changes arising from the SNr. Rather, either the SNr activity seen during this time does not influence the SC activity or the changes seen in the SNr are indirectly responsible for changes in SC activity. For example, the reduced activity during the delay period may exert a permissive disinhibition across the SC map (Basso and Evinger, 1996; Basso et al., 1996; Schicatano et al., 1997), allowing cortical inputs or intrinsic SC interactions to directly regulate the level of SC buildup activity.

In contrast, in the selection period, the SNr could contribute to the SC activity, but only beyond the initial SC activity change, because the decline in activity in the SNr had a slightly longer latency than did the increase in SC, which is similar to the latency differences in the initial visual response. Examination of the middle plot of Figure 11 reveals that in the SC, there is initially an increase and then there appears an additional slight increase. It is possible that the initial, short-latency increase is caused by the luminance change in the preferred field that is not evident in SNr neurons (Fig. 11A,B, compare middle plots). Thus the decline in the SNr may be responsible for the longer latency increase in SC neurons in the selection period after the target is identified. Furthermore, the decline in the SNr activity at the time of target selection is clearly related to the saccade that is to be made rather than just to the target identified, because on error trials when the target was identified but the monkey did not go to it, the decline in SNr activity was absent. Unfortunately, there were too few error trials in the SC experiments to make a quantitative comparison.

What then is the influence of SNr on SC during target selection? When the target is identified on a given trial, the increase in the SC could result primarily from the release from inhibition from the SNr as the activity of the visual-saccade neurons declines. Such a coupling would be consistent with the timing of the
inversely related SNr and SC activity. This activity is tied to the saccade that will be made (at least in the SNr) and therefore is consistent with the original observations on the close relationship of the pause of activity in the SNr and the burst of activity in the SC associated with saccade initiation (Hikosaka and Wurtz, 1983d). We suggest that our observations are most consistent with the SNr making a substantial contribution to the SC activity as the target is being selected and as the saccade to that target is being generated.

**Saccade activity**

In the SNr visual-saccade neurons, the pause associated with the saccade was unmodulated with increases in the number of possible targets. Furthermore, across the sample, the SNr saccade neurons showed no modulation during any period in our task (Fig. 6). This behavior is consistent with a role for these neurons exclusively in the initiation of saccadic eye movements (Hikosaka and Wurtz, 1983c,d; Handel and Glimcher, 1999) and is consistent with our previous findings in the SC saccade-related activity of both burst and buildup neurons. Importantly, it is consistent with a substantial contribution of the SNr to the saccadic burst activity within the SC.

We conclude that in our multitarget selection task, a major, direct contribution of the SNr to SC activity is at the time of target selection and subsequently in the generation of the saccade to the selected target. Although the SNr may play a permissive role during the time after the stimulus onset and before the target is indicated, the modulation in SC seen with a different number of possible stimuli is likely dependent on intrinsic SC mechanisms or direct input from other sources or both.

**SNR spatial interaction and behavioral selection in the basal ganglia**

Our multitarget probability task also provided some information on the spatial extent of the modulations of SNr activity. A mechanism based on lateral interactions for saccade target selection has been proposed in frontal eye field (Schall and Hanes, 1993; Schall, 1995; Schall et al., 1995), and a similar mechanism has been proposed for movement selection in the BG (Mink and Thatch, 1993; Mink, 1996). This model of BG function emphasizes the role of the BG in the inhibition of unwanted movements and a selective disinhibition for wanted movements. This model was developed, in large part, to explain results obtained from recent anatomical work (Hazrati and Parent, 1992a,b; Parent and Hazrati, 1993) and reversible inactivation experiments of the globus pallidus (Mink and Thatch, 1991c).

Our experiments offered the opportunity to study such interactions in the saccadic system that are comparable to those of Mink and Thach (1993) in the skeletal motor system if we can regard a saccade to one part of the visual field to be the movement that is facilitated and the saccades to other parts of the field as those not facilitated. The original experiments of Hikosaka and Wurtz (1983d) showed that this is the case for the pause in activity associated with saccadic eye movements made to visual and remembered targets in one region of the visual field. Our current experiments show that at the time of target selection, the most prominent response was a pause for the preferred location and no change for the rest. Within the SC we had previously found similar patterns, albeit of opposite sign. In a few SNr neurons there was an indication that they increased their activity when stimuli adjacent to the preferred location were identified as targets (Figs. 9C,F), and some saccade neurons of the SNr exhibited an increase when many stimuli were present (Fig. 9B). We take this as providing some evidence for specificity of the lateral interactions within the BG. In our task, it is important to remember that there was a 45° separation between stimuli, and given the observation that the SNr did not exhibit remote stimulus effects (no reduction in pause for two compared with one stimulus) (Fig. 5), the frequency of this finding may depend on the proximity of distracting stimuli to the target. Indeed, this may increase the likelihood of observing this phenomenon in SC and frontal eye field as well. Future experiments are required to map the resolution of these interactive effects.

In conclusion, the multitarget probability task shows that there is a pause in activity of the SNr neurons with target selection and saccade initiation to one region of the field, and this pause is limited to one part of the field. Taken together, these are probably the best evidence so far within the BG that there is a selective facilitation (via reduced inhibition) of chosen eye movements before their execution.

**Note added in proof.** Identification of the substantia nigra through the thalamus was described originally by Schultz (1986).
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