Proteolytic Processing of the p75 Neurotrophin Receptor and Two Homologs Generates C-Terminal Fragments with Signaling Capability
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The 75 kDa neurotrophin receptor (p75NTR) and two neurotrophin receptor homologs (NRH1, NRH2) constitute a subfamily of the nerve growth factor/tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily. NRH1 coexists with p75NTR in fish, amphibians, and birds but is absent in mammals, whereas NRH2 exists only in mammals. Unlike p75NTR and NRH1, NRH2 lacks a canonical extracellular ligand binding domain. The similarity of NRH2 to the product of metalloproteinase cleavage of p75NTR prompted us to examine the cleavage of p75NTR in greater detail. p75NTR, NRH1, and NRH2 undergo multiple proteolytic cleavages that ultimately release cytoplasmic fragments. For p75NTR, cleavage in the extracellular domain by a PMA-inducible membrane metalloproteinase is followed by cleavage within or near the transmembrane domain, releasing the intracellular domain into the cytoplasm. This processing resembles the α- and γ-secretase-mediated processing of β-amyloid precursor protein and the similar processing of Notch. Although neurotrophins did not regulate p75NTR processing, the α- and γ-secretase-mediated cleavage of p75 is modulated by receptor tyrosine kinases (Trks) TrkA and TrkB but not TrkC. Surprisingly, although NRH1 and NRH2 also undergo proteolytic cytoplasmic release of intracellular domains, a different protease mediates the cleavage. Furthermore, whereas the p75NTR soluble intracellular domain accumulates only in the presence of proteasome inhibitors, the equivalent fragment of NRH2 is stable and localizes in the nucleus. Because soluble intracellular domains of p75NTR and NRH2 were found to activate NF-κB in concert with TNF receptor associated factor 6 (TRAF6), we propose that cleavage of these proteins may serve conserved cytoplasmic and nuclear signaling functions through distinct proteases.
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Introduction

The 75 kDa neurotrophin receptor (p75NTR) (Johnson et al., 1986; Radeke et al., 1987) is a member of the NFG/tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor superfamily, which is defined by an extracellular domain (ECD) containing a repeated cysteine-rich motif. Apart from a death domain motif present in several family members including p75NTR (Feinstein et al., 1995; Liepinsh et al., 1997), there is little homology of intracellular domains (ICDs) among these receptors. However, two novel genes encode proteins with substantial sequence homology to p75NTR in cytoplasmic and transmembrane domains, defining a gene subfamily. We refer to these as neurotrophin receptor homolog 1 (NRH1) and NRH2 (Hutson and Bothwell, 2001). Xenopus NRH1 has also been designated “fullback” (GenBank accession AF131890), and rat NRH2 has been called “PLAIDD,” for “p75-like apoptosis-inducing death domain protein” (Frankowski et al., 2002).

p75NTR is activated by all four neurotrophins. p75NTR is frequently coexpressed with receptor tyrosine kinases (Trks) TrkA, TrkB, or TrkC neurotrophin receptors, with which it associates (Wolf et al., 1995; Bibel et al., 1999), and this association alters the signaling properties of both partners by poorly understood mechanisms (Roux and Barker, 2002). p75NTR also associates with NgR, a receptor for Nogo, myelin associated glycoprotein (MAG), and oligodendrocyte myelin glycoprotein (OMgp), and mediates the signaling responses to these proteins (Wang et al., 2002; Wong et al., 2002). Despite these multiple functions, p75NTR signaling mechanisms are poorly understood.

Although proteolytic shedding of the p75NTR ECD by Schwann cells was reported more than a decade ago (DiStefano et al., 1993) did not examine the fate of the predicted membrane-resident C-terminal fragment (m-CTF). However, the possibility that this fragment may be functionally significant is suggested by recent findings that a p75NTR splice variant lacking a neurotrophin-binding domain is functionally important (von Schack et al., 2001) and by the recognition that NRH2 structurally resembles the p75NTR m-CTF.

The unidentified protease mediating p75NTR ECD shedding is
Materials and Methods

Vector construction. pIND-hp75 was constructed by digesting a 1.7 kb insert of pBAP-hp75 with SnaI and BamHI, blunting the sites by Klenow, and ligating into the vector pIND (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) via BamHI and a HindIII site that had been blunted by Klenow fill in. Myc-tagged expression constructs were generated by subcloning PCR amplified Xenopus NRH1 and mouse NRH2 into the vector pCS2+ (MT; Roth et al., 1991) via the ClaI site by adding ClaI linkers using the primers 5′-ATC CCA TCG ATA TGG AAA TGA GGG GCC CAC GTT TAA CC and 5′-TTT AAA TCG ATA CAC CAC AGA GCT GGC ATC ATT TGC GTA for the respective Trk expression vector. For inhibiting N-linked glycosylation, cells were cultured 24 hr in the presence of 10 μg/ml tunicamycin (Sigma) and then lysed as described. Primary cultures of Schwann cells were done using Schwann cells derived from a mixed primary culture of postnatal day (P) 1 rat trigeminal ganglia, grown on glass coverslips coated with poly-D-lysine and rat laminin (Sigma), cultured in Ham’s F12 Media (Invitrogen) containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (HyClone), 1% penicillin/streptomycin, supplemented with 50 ng/ml NGF. Schwann cells were transfected in 12-well plates using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) for 4 μg of total DNA per well. In cotransfections of p75 and Trk, each 35 mm plate received 2 μg of pCDNA3-hp75 and 2 μg of the respective Trk expression vector. For inhibiting N-linked glycosylation, cells were cultured 24 hr in the presence of 10 μg/ml tunicamycin (Sigma) and then lysed as described. Primary cultures of Schwann cells were done using Schwann cells derived from a mixed primary culture of postnatal day (P) 1 rat trigeminal ganglia, grown on glass coverslips coated with poly-D-lysine and rat laminin (Sigma), cultured in Ham’s F12 Media (Invitrogen) containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (HyClone), 1% penicillin/streptomycin, supplemented with 50 ng/ml NGF. Schwann cells were transfected in 12-well plates using Lipofectamine 2000 and 1.5 μg of DNA per well.

Antibodies. Rabbit polyclonal antiserum 9992 against the intracellular domain of p75NTR was a gift of Moses Chao. For generation of an antibody against the NRH2 intracellular domain, Alpha Diagnostic Interna
tional, San Antonio, TX (gifted to R. T. Moon, University of Washington) synthesized the peptide CQAEAVETMAC-

DQMPAYTLLRNW, which was coupled to KLH and used to immunize rabbits. The monoclonal anti-myc antibody 9E10 (San Antonio, TX) synthesized the peptide CQAEAVETMAC-

DQMPAYTLLRNW, which was coupled to KLH and used to immunize rabbits. The monoclonal anti-myc antibody 9E10 was coupled to KLH and used to immunize rabbits. The monoclonal anti-myc antibody 9E10 was purified from hybridoma culture supernatant using protein G-Sepharose. Trk expression was monitored with the anti-pan Trk antibody sc-139 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA.)

Western blotting. Cell lysate (3–20 μg) was separated by SDS PAGE using 4–20% acrylamide gradient gels (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). For detection of p75 with 9992 antiserum, protein was transferred electrophoretically using the semidry transfer method to polyvinylidene difluoride membrane, Immobilon P (Millipore, Bedford, MA). Membranes were then blocked in 1% nonfat dry milk in TBST (20 mM Tris, 137 mM NaCl,
1% Triton X-100 was added to the pellet (membrane). Nuclear proteins at 4°C/11003/9262 bovine serum albumin in PBS before overnight incubation at 4°C. Membranes were then washed as before and developed using ECL (Amersham Biosciences). For NRH1 and NRH2, immunoblotting followed the same procedure except that proteins were transferred to Protran nitrocellulose (Schleicher and Schuell, Keene, NH), and myc-tagged proteins were detected using 0.5 μg/ml mouse monoclonal anti-myc antibody. Untagged NRH2 was detected using 1 μg/ml rabbit polyclonal anti NRH2.  

Cell fractionation. After the indicated treatments, cells were lysed in buffer containing (in mM): 10 HEPES, 10 NaCl, 1 K2HPO4, 5 NaHCO3, 5 EDTA, and 1% protease inhibitor mixture, and homogenized by passage through a 22 ga needle. Nuclei were spun down at 325 × g for 10 min and the resulting supernatant was then microfuged at 16,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was removed (cytosol), and buffer containing 1% Triton X-100 was added to the pellet (membrane). Nuclear proteins were extracted in high salt buffer with (in mM): 10 HEPES, 0.5 MgCl2, 420 NaCl, 0.2 EDTA, 25% glycerol, and protease inhibitors.  

Affinity precipitation of biotinylated cell-surface proteins. Plates (10 cm) of COS cells were transfected by calcium phosphate with mNRH2-MT for 24 hr, washed three times in ice-cold PBS, pH 8.0, placed on ice, and covered with either 2 ml cold PBS containing 1 mg/ml freshly prepared EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin (Pierce, Rockford, IL) or PBS alone. Cells were incubated 30 min on ice and then washed one time with 100 mM glycine, followed by three washes of cold PBS. Cells were then lysed in 1 ml lysis buffer [1% NP-40, 0.5% deoxycholate, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma)]. For affinity precipitation, 50 μl of the lysate was incubated with 30 μl ultralink-neutravidin beads (Pierce) for 1 hr at 4°C, followed by four washes in cold lysis buffer. Pelleted beads were then resuspended in 30 μl SDS loading buffer for analysis by PAGE. Affinity-precipitated proteins were detected by Western blot using the 9E10 monoclonal anti-myc antibody, stripped, and reprobed with goat anti-pyruvate kinase (Rockland, Gilbertsville, PA).  

Luciferase assays. For luciferase assays, HEK293 cells in 24-well plates were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 in complete media with 1050 ng total DNA per well. Each well contained 200 ng of pNfKB-luciferase reporter (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA), 50 ng EF1-LACZ for normalization, and 50 ng EF1-APO 100.0 for 30 min at room temperature, rinsed in PBS/1003/0.1% Triton X-100 for 20 min, and permeabilized with three additional 10 min washes in PBS/0.25% Triton X-100 (PBST). Blocking was done in 10% donkey serum for 1 hr at room temperature, followed by a 3 hr incubation with primary antibody (2 μg/ml 592 anti-NRH2) diluted in blocking serum. Cells were rinsed three times for 10 min in PBST, and then incubated with 1:500 dilution of Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit antisera (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA) for 45 min at room temperature. Cells were incubated 15 min in a PBS wash containing 1μg/ml 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), washed three times for 10 min in PBS, and coverslipped with Vectashield (Vector Laboratories). Cells transfected with GFP-tagged constructs were washed one time in cold PBS and fixed in 4% PFA at room temperature for 20 min, washed in PBST, nuclei stained with 1μg/ml DAPI, washed three times for 10 min in PBST, and coverslipped using Vectashield. Confocal images were collected on a Leica TCS SP/MP confocal multiphoton system using a PL APO 100.0× oil immersion objective.  

Results  

Structural relationships between p75NTR and homologs  

Expressed sequence tag (EST) and genomic databases from various species contain sequences representing two homologs of p75NTR, which we designate NRH1 and NRH2. Alignment of the sequences of these homologs reveals extensive similarity in the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains (Fig. 1A). For comparison, whereas NRH subfamily members share roughly 30% sequence identity in their intracellular domains across species, the human p75NTR ICD is only 13% identical to that of human TNFR1. NRH1 and NRH2, like p75NTR, possess death domains and a C-terminal motif (SSXV) that is predicted to bind type I PDZ domains. This suggests that signaling mechanisms used by the three proteins may be similar. However, NRH2 lacks the cysteine-rich repeat domain that constitutes the ligand-binding domain of p75NTR. This does not simply reflect failure to identify full-length cDNA clones of NRH2 transcripts, because no cysteine repeat coding sequences are present in the short interval between the 5′ end of the human genomic sequences for NRH2 at chromosomal position 3p21.32a and an adjacent gene, KIF9, nor is a cysteine-repeat coding sequence present in the mouse gene (on chromosome 9, position 1595494).  

NRH1 homologs exist in amphibians (Xenopus laevis and S. toruana), fish (zebrafish and pufferfish), and birds (chickens) but none is present in mammalian EST databases, and none can be detected in the human or mouse genomic databases. In contrast, NRH2 homologs are present among ESTs from diverse mammals (human, mouse, rat, bovine, porcine), but none are present in ESTs or genomic databases of non-mammalian vertebrates. p75NTR homologs can be identified in all vertebrate classes, including fish, amphibians, birds, and mammals. Consequently, p75NTR coexists with NRH1 in fish, amphibians, and birds but coexists with NRH2 in mammals (Fig. 1B). The simplest (but not the only) scenario for the evolution of this gene family is that a gene duplication gave rise to NRH1 and p75NTR early in vertebrate evolution and that NRH2 arose by a deletion mutation of NRH1 around the time of divergence of avian and mammalian lineages. A dendrogram modeling phylogenetic relationships of p75NTR and homologs is consistent with this interpretation (Fig. 1C). EST sequences suggest the existence of multiple splice variants of NRH2, with variant sequences at the N terminus. These putative splice variants can be grouped into long splice variants (NRH2L) that are predicted to span the membrane, and a short splice variant (NRH2S), lacking a transmem-
brane domain, that is predicted to exist as a soluble cytoplasmic protein [and these predictions have been confirmed recently (Frankowski et al., 2002)]. NRH2L and NRH2S are comparable with the predicted C-terminal products of cleavage of p75NTR by /H9251-secretase-like and /H9253-secretase-like activities (Fig. 1D).

α-secretase and γ-secretase cleavage of p75NTR
To examine possible cleavage fragments of p75NTR we used an antibody against the cytoplasmic domain of p75NTR for Western blot analysis of a stable HEK293 cell line engineered to inducibly express hp75NTR in response to Ponasterone A (pIND p75 cells). Several immunoreactive protein species were detected, and all were derived from p75 NTR as indicated by their induction by Ponasterone A (Fig. 2A). In addition to the intact 70–80 kDa receptor (present as a broad band because of heterogenous glycosylation), a major ~50 kDa component was observed that probably represents immature nascent protein that is not fully glycosylated (Grob et al., 1985). Because a zinc-dependent metalloprotease is implicated in the ectodomain shedding of the p75NTR (DiStefano et al., 1993) and metalloproteases implicated in α-secretase cleavage are often activated by a protein kinase C (PKC)-dependent mechanism (Peschon et al., 1998), we assessed the effect of the PKC activator PMA on p75NTR cleavage. Exposure of cultures to PMA caused the appearance of a faint ~30 kDa product, only visible with long blot exposures (data not shown). The size of this fragment is consistent with the size expected for an α-secretase-mediated ectodomain cleavage event. (Although the predicted polypeptide molecular weight is ~24,000, the presence of an additional increment from O-linked carbohydrate is likely.)

For several instances of RIP, including Notch, the products of the initial and secondary cleavage events do not accumulate in significant quantities, because the product of α-secretase cleavage is rapidly processed by γ-secretase cleavage, and the latter product is quickly subjected to proteasomal degradation (Oberg et al., 2001). The cytoplasmic domain of p75NTR contains a PEST motif, characteristic of proteins that are subject to proteasomal degradation (Fig. 1D). Therefore, we examined whether exposure to proteasome inhibitors might stabilize cleavage products of the receptor. In the absence of PMA, epoxomycin did not produce significant accumulation of any p75NTR cleavage products in pIND-p75 cells (Fig. 2A). However, when treated with PMA, both /H9252-clasto-lactacystin and epoxomycin caused accumulation of a ~25 kDa fragment that is consistent with the size predicted for a γ-secretase cleavage event (Fig. 2A). Although proteasome inhibitors dramatically increase the amount of the ~25 kDa fragment detected, small amounts were observed in the absence of proteasome inhibitors when blots were heavily overexposed (data not shown). To assess whether the low abundance of the 25 kDa fragment results from the rapid processing of this fragment...
by γ-secretase, we exposed cells to a potent and specific γ-secretase inhibitor, DAPT, which eliminated the 25 kDa fragment and caused accumulation of the 30 kDa fragment (Fig. 2A), indicating that the 25 kDa fragment results from γ-secretase-mediated cleavage of the 30 kDa fragment. This cleavage event was also inhibited by other γ-secretase inhibitors, including WPE-89, MW111, and MG132 (data not shown). In contrast, the calpain inhibitor calpastatin had no effect on PMA-induced processing. We next confirmed that the sequential processing of p75NTR occurs in cells that endogenously express p75NTR using RN22F Schwannoma cells. Although low levels of basal α-secretase activity were revealed by the presence of the ~30 kDa band in untreated RN22F cells (Fig. 2B, left lane), a ~25 kDa cleavage product was only detectable when cells were stimulated with PMA in the presence of the proteasome inhibitor epoxomycin. As in the pIND-p75 cells, production of this unstable, PMA-inducible 25 kDa fragment was blocked by DAPT, indicating that the conversion of the 30 kDa fragment to the 25 kDa fragment is mediated by a γ-secretase protease (Fig. 2B, right lane). We have noted significant differences in the extent of constitutive α-cleavage of p75NTR in diverse cell lines, as evidenced by comparison of the Schwannoma cells with the inducible pIND-p75 cells. The dependence of cleavage on cellular context is most striking in primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts transfected with p75NTR, where extensive α-secretase-like cleavage occurs constitutively (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, in these cells where the α-product is easily detected, PMA negligibly affected α-secretase processing, and γ-secretase-like cleavage is not observed (Fig. 2C).

α-secretase-like activity cleaving p75NTR resembles ADAM10 and ADAM17

Stimulation of p75NTR cleavage by PMA is consistent with a role for select metalloproteases of the ADAM family. We therefore examined whether the pharmacologic profile of the α-secretase was consistent with that of the PMA-inducible ADAMs, ADAM 10 (kuzbanian) and ADAM 17 (TACE). PMA-stimulated cleavage of p75NTR is prevented by TAPI (Fig. 2D), a potent but broadly specific inhibitor of membrane metalloproteases including ADAM10 and ADAM17. Recent reports suggest that PMA activation of ADAM10/17 is mediated by activation of either p38 MAP kinase (Gechman et al., 1999) or ERK MAP kinase (Fan and Derynick, 1999). The PMA-stimulated cleavage of p75NTR is blocked by PD-98059, an inhibitor of ERK activation, but not by SB-203580, an inhibitor of p38 activation (Fig. 2D). Although ERK activation is necessary, ERK alone is not sufficient to induce cleavage, because exposure to other agents that activate ERK, such as epidermal growth factor or fetal bovine serum, did not stimulate processing (data not shown). Therefore, the p75NTR α-secretase is a TAPI-sensitive, zinc-dependent metalloprotease (DiStefano et al., 1993) with sensitivity to PMA that requires the activity of the ERK MAP kinase pathway. This profile resembles ADAM 10 and 17 and suggests that these metalloproteases may mediate p75NTR cleavage, although other metalloproteases may contribute. ADAM10 and ADAM17 appear to function redundantly for both Notch and APP, and also may do so for p75NTR. ADAM17 is not essential for p75NTR cleavage, because cleavage occurs in fibroblasts derived from ADAM17 null mice. These fibroblasts, however, abundantly express ADAM10 (data not shown).

Trans-regulation of p75NTR cleavage

The α-secretase-mediated cleavage of Notch is stimulated by its physiologic ligand, Delta (Lieber et al., 2002). Therefore we examined whether α-secretase cleavage of p75NTR is stimulated by neurotrophins. In pIND-p75 cells expressing p75NTR, but not Trk neurotrophin receptors, NGF, BDNF, and NT3 had no significant effect on α-secretase cleavage of p75NTR (Fig. 3, top panel; all lanes pretreated with epoxomycin). Recent studies have revealed that pro-neurotrophins activate p75NTR-mediated apoptotic signaling more potently than mature fully processed neurotrophins (Lee et al., 2001; Beattie et al., 2002). However, neither proNGF nor proBDNF influenced α-secretase cleavage of p75NTR (Fig. 3). We next examined whether the coexpression of the Trk neurotrophin receptors altered the processing of p75NTR, because it has been proposed that these receptors physically interact (Huber and Chao, 1995; Wolf et al., 1995; Ross et al., 1996; Bibel et al., 1999). HEK293 cells were cotransfected with p75NTR and TrkA, TrkB, or TrkC, and 24 hr later the cells were pretreated with epoxomycin before stimulation with PMA. Surprisingly, in the absence of PMA treatment, the expression of TrkA results in a robust accumulation of a p75NTR fragment of the same mass as the m-CTF product of α-secretase-mediated cleavage (Fig. 3, bottom panel). A similar but weaker effect was observed for TrkB, whereas TrkC had no effect. Accumulation of m-CTF could result either from stimulation of cleavage of p75NTR by α-secretase or inhibition of cleavage of m-CTF by γ-secretase. The latter mechanism appears to predominate, because the presence of TrkA and TrkB diminishes the production of the ICD fragment.
stimulation of p75<sub>NTR</sub> processing by the protein kinase C activator, PMA, was inhibited by K252a.

**Processing of NRH1 and NRH2 is distinct from p75<sub>NTR</sub>.**

Similarities in the structure of p75<sub>NTR</sub>, NRH1, and NRH2, including the remarkable homology of the transmembrane sequences of these proteins, suggested that NRH1 and NRH2 might also be processed by α-secretase and γ-secretase. In HEK293 cells transiently transfected with plasmids encoding NRH1 and NRH2 bearing C-terminal myc-epitope tags (NRH1-MT, NRH2-MT), short exposures of Western blots using an antibody against the myc tag revealed that NRH1-MT was expressed predominantly as a 70–80 kDa protein, with smaller amounts of larger species suggesting dimeric and trimeric aggregates. NRH2-MT was expressed predominantly as a 50–60 kDa protein (Fig. 4A). In addition, longer exposures consistently revealed the existence of several smaller weight fragments in both NRH1 and NRH2. In the absence of any treatment, both NRH1 and NRH2 produce fragments of 25–28 kDa (Fig. 4A). Because the six myc-epitope tag contributes ~11 kDa to the molecular weight, this mass is consistent with a cleavage event within or just intracellular to the membrane. Unlike NRH1 and p75<sub>NTR</sub>, the NRH2 sequence diagrammed in Figure 1 lacks the hydrophobic leader sequence that typically characterizes type I membrane proteins and secreted proteins. This raised the possibility that the NRH2 cleavage fragments seen in Figure 4A were the result of intracellular proteolysis of a protein that does not correctly target to the cell surface. Initially, therefore, we examined whether NRH2 exists as a cytoplasmic or a membrane protein using a cell-surface biotinylation assay. Using COS cells transiently transfected with the full-length NRH2, we exposed intact cells to a cell-impermeant biotinylation reagent, followed by precipitation of detergent cell extracts with streptavidin beads and Western blot analysis with antibodies against the myc epitope. The ~50 kDa band was specifically precipitated, confirming that full-length mNRH2-MT is expressed at the cell surface (Fig. 4B). Notably, the 25 kDa fragment does not precipitate in this assay, confirming that it is intracellular, and provides an internal control for membrane integrity during the biotin labeling. In addition, we validated membrane integrity by reprobing the blot for the cytoplasmic protein pyruvate kinase, which did not precipitate (Fig. 4B). The short putative NRH2 extracellular domain contains predicted sites for both N- and O-linked glycosylation, and the heterogeneous smear observed on the Western blot is typical for a glycosylated protein. We verified that NRH2 is glycosylated by culturing cells with tunicamycin, an inhibitor of N-linked glycosylation. This converted the protein to a smaller, less heterogeneous species (Fig. 4C), confirming the presence of N-linked glycosylation. Glycosylation is atypical of cytoplasmic proteins, confirming that this form of NRH2 is an intrinsic membrane protein. This conclusion is consistent with the results of Frankowski et al. (2002).

In contrast to p75<sub>NTR</sub>, the cleavage fragments of NRH1 and NRH2 were readily detectible in the absence of proteasome inhibitors (Fig. 4A, D). We examined whether treatment with PMA might increase the formation of the small fragments and whether epoxomycin affected their detection. Unlike p75<sub>NTR</sub>, the stability of the NRH1 and NRH2 intracellular fragments was not sensitive to epoxomycin, nor was their generation stimulated by PMA (Fig. 4D). Surprisingly, NRH1 cleavage is also insensitive to the γ-secretase inhibitor DAPT (Fig. 4D) and WPE (data not shown), indicating that this cleavage is not mediated by γ-secretase. A PMA-induced α-secretase-like cleavage of NRH2

---

**Figure 3.** Trk modulation of p75<sub>NTR</sub> processing. Top panel, p75 expression in pIND-p75 cells was induced overnight, and then cells were pretreated with epoxomycin (all lanes) before 40 min stimulation with the neurotrophins NGF (100 ng/ml), proNGF (10 ng/ml), BDNF (200 ng/ml), proBDNF (60 ng/ml), NT-3 (100 ng/ml), or PMA (100 ng/ml). Where PMA activates both α- and γ-secretase events, neurotrophins fail to directly affect p75<sub>NTR</sub> processing. Bottom panel, HEK293 cells were cotransfected with p75<sub>NTR</sub> and TrkA, TrkB, TrkC, or empty vector control and then pretreated with epoxomycin (all lanes) before stimulating p75<sub>NTR</sub> cleavage with PMA. The expression of TrkA results in significant accumulation of the p75<sub>NTR</sub> α-secretase product in the absence of PMA. TrkB produces this result as well, but less intensely, whereas TrkC has no effect. In the absence of Trk expression, PMA stimulates formation of α- and γ-secretase products, but the expression of TrkA or TrkB prevents the PMA-induced γ-secretase cleavage, because there is a concomitant accumulation of the α product and decrease in the γ product in the presence of TrkA and TrkB, but not TrkC. Pretreatment with the Trk kinase inhibitor K252a (100 nM) does not eliminate the effect of TrkA expression on α-product stability but does inhibit the effect of TrkB, suggesting alternate modes of influence on p75<sub>NTR</sub> processing with different dependence on Trk receptor activation. Blots were reprobed with a pan-Trk antibody to show equivalent expression levels (bottom).
of the two proteases required to produce soluble p75NTR ICD are processed to produce soluble ICD fragments like p75NTR, but neither related full-length NRH1 protein. Thus, NRH1 and NRH2 are processed at the cell surface, because production of the ICD fragment is insensitive to this cleavage is not a necessary prerequisite for proteolytic release of the ICD, because production of the ICD fragment is insensitive to GM6001 and TAPI (Fig. 4 E). However, unlike p75NTR, this cleavage is not a necessary prerequisite for proteolytic release of the ICD, because production of the ICD fragment is insensitive to GM6001 and TAPI (Fig. 4 E). We were unable to detect PMA-stimulated cleavage of NRH1 by our methods, although it is possible that an α-secretase cleavage product of NRH1 is hidden within the broad band representing the heterogeneously glycosylated full-length NRH1 protein. Thus, NRH1 and NRH2 are processed to produce soluble ICD fragments like p75NTR, but neither of the two proteases required to produce soluble p75NTR ICD are required to produce soluble ICDs of NRH1 or NRH2, and the ICD fragments of NRH1 and NRH2 are proteolytically more stable. These differences between p75NTR and the NRH proteins are unlikely to result from the C-terminal epitope tags used with the NRH proteins, because we do not see a change in p75NTR processing when it is similarly tagged (data not shown).

Nuclear trafficking of ICD fragments of p75NTR and NRH2

The subcellular distributions of the various proteolytic fragments of p75NTR and NRH2-MT were assessed by centrifugal fractionation of HEK293 cell extracts into cytoplasmic, nuclear, and membrane fractions, followed by Western blot analysis. As shown in Figure 5, the putative m-CTF fragments reflecting cleavage of p75NTR and NRH2 by an α-secretase-like activity were found in the membrane fractions and not in the cytoplasmic fractions, as predicted. The small amount of m-CTF fragments of p75NTR and NRH2 present in the nuclear fractions probably result from the C-terminal epitope tags used with the NRH proteins, because we do not see a change in p75NTR processing when it is similarly tagged (data not shown).
Subcellular distribution of these proteins was also assessed by laser scanning confocal microscopy after transfection of cells with plasmids encoding full-length p75NTR and NRH2 bearing C-terminal GFP tags. In HEK293 cells, both p75NTR−GFP (Fig. 6C,D) and NRH2−GFP (Fig. 6A,B) were most abundantly localized to the cell surface. In addition, GFP was also visible in the nucleus of NRH2−GFP-transfected cells but was not detectable in p75NTR−GFP-transfected cells. We noted the same distribution in various transfected cells, including NIH3T3 cells, HeLa cells, and COS cells (data not shown). Because our analysis by Western blotting suggested that in the absence of PMA stimulation there is little p75NTR cleavage but considerable NRH2 cleavage in 293 cells, we examined the effect of PMA stimulation on the subcellular distribution of the GFP-tagged proteins, but failed to see significant changes for either protein (data not shown). This may reflect the difficulty in resolving the comparatively small amount of p75NTR γ product from the abundant full-length protein. To assess the distribution in a cell type that naturally expresses p75NTR and NRH2, we transfected primary cultures of Schwann cells with the GFP-tagged proteins. NRH2−GFP-transfected Schwann cells often exhibited prominent nuclear localization (Fig. 6E,F), although this enrichment was not seen in all transfected cells (data not shown). In contrast, although p75NTR−GFP could be detected in the nucleus at low levels, no preferential nuclear accumulation was observed (Fig. 6G,H). These experiments were repeated in the presence of a proteasome inhibitor (epoxomycin) and PMA treatment, but such treatments had no discernible effect, nor did application of a nuclear export inhibitor (leptomycin B) (data not shown). Therefore, consistent with previous studies of Notch, it is difficult to follow the fate of the p75NTR γ-secretase product using conventional means. In contrast, NRH2 is readily seen both at the cell surface and in the nucleus.

We next examined the subcellular distribution of p75NTR and NRH2 in vivo. We generated a polyclonal antibody against a region of the NRH2 death domain with poor homology to p75NTR and verified that the antibody specifically recognizes mouse and rat NRH2 (Fig. 6M,N) but not p75NTR (data not shown). Using an anti-p75NTR intracellular domain antibody the specificity of which has been established independently (antibody 9992), we performed immunocytochemistry on tissue sections from developing rat. NRH2 is coexpressed with p75NTR in various cell types of the peripheral nervous system, including spinal and cranial sensory neurons, sympathetic neurons, and Schwann cells, but NRH2 is distributed more widely than p75NTR in the CNS (data not shown). In cells that were found to express both p75NTR and NRH2, such as E18 rat trigeminal ganglion neurons and subpopulations of Schwann cells in the trigeminal nerve, NRH2 immunoreactivity had prominent nuclear localization (Fig. 6J,L), whereas little if any p75NTR immunoreactivity was detected in nuclei (Fig. 6I,K). We observed prominent nuclear staining with the NRH2 antibody in several neural and epithelial cell types, but failed to see prominent nuclear p75NTR immunoreactivity in any cell type in vivo. This is consistent with staining of the p75 9992

Figure 6. Subcellular distribution of p75 NTR and NRH2. A–D, HEK293 cells were transfected with either NRH2−GFP (A) or p75NTR−GFP (B) and imaged by confocal microscopy. Comparison with DAPI-stained nuclei (C, D) shows that NRH2−GFP (A, B) is strongest at the cell surface but also has prominent nuclear localization, whereas p75NTR−GFP (C, D) is not detectable in the cell nucleus. E–H, Primary cultures of P0 rat Schwann cells were transfected with NRH2−GFP (E) or p75NTR−GFP (F) and imaged by confocal microscopy. Comparison with DAPI-stained nuclei (G, H) shows that NRH2−GFP (E, F) shows enriched nuclear localization, and faint nuclear localization is detectable in p75NTR−GFP transfected cells (G, H). I, Bright-field image of DAB-stained immunoreactivity for p75NTR in the trigeminal ganglion of an E20 rat (10 µm section), using an antibody to the intracellular domain of p75NTR (9992). Subsets of trigeminal neurons stain in the soma (arrowhead) but not the nucleus. Immunoreactivity is also prominent among Schwann cells (arrow) but is non-nuclear. J, Immunoreactivity for NRH2 in a section adjacent to that in I, using an antibody specific to the intracellular domain of NRH2 (5592). NRH2 is strongly expressed in nearly all sensory neurons of the E20 trigeminal ganglion, with lower levels of expression in Schwann cells. Neuronal labeling was almost always enriched in the nucleus (arrowhead), whereas only subsets of Schwann cells had nuclear labeling (arrow). This labeling was reproduced using several fixatives (Methacarnos, 10% Formalin, 4% PFA) and both paraffin and cryostat processing. K, Higher-magnification image of representative p75NTR immunostaining of sensory neurons depicted in I, L, Higher-magnification image of representative NRH2 immunostaining of sensory neurons depicted in I. M, Western blot of HEK293 cells transfected with either mouse NRH2 or rat NRH2, using the anti-NRH2 intracellular domain antibody 5592 demonstrates that the antibody recognizes the long and short forms of NRH2, although some background reactivity is seen in untransfected (No Tfx) cell lysates. N, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with rat NRH2, and immunostained using the 5592 anti-NRH2 antibody and a Cy3-labeled secondary. Untransfected cells were not labeled by the antibody, but transfected cells labeled strongly, confirming that the antibody recognizes the native conformation of NRH2. Scale bars: A–D, 20 µm; E–H, 10 µm.
Overexpression of TRAF6 in the absence of p75NTR tends to function in this context. HEK293 cells expressing a coexpressed, activation of NF-κB resulted from the expression of the p75NTR ICD compared with the empty vector control. This degree of activation was dwarfed by the basal activity seen in the presence of TRAF6 expression. When TRAF6 was coexpressed, activation of NF-κB by full-length p75 NTR and NRH2 did not significantly differ from the empty vector control. In contrast, soluble p75NTR ICD and NRH2 ICD significantly potentiated NF-κB activation by TRAF6. Two-tailed t test: p75NTR ICD versus vector: \( p = 0.012 \); NRH2 ICD versus vector: \( p = 0.007 \). Error bars represent SD; \( n = 3 \).

antibody of cell lines that naturally express p75NTR, including PC12 cells and RN22F Schwannomas, in which nuclear staining is not evident even in the presence of proteasome inhibitors and PMA (data not shown). In contrast, and in agreement with the results of NRH2–GFP-transfected cells, NRH2 is readily detected in the nucleus. The NRH2 nuclear immunostaining was specific, because previous absorption of the antibody with the immunizing peptide completely eliminated staining (data not shown). These data strongly support a physiologic function for NRH2 nuclear translocation.

**Soluble ICDs of p75NTR and NRH2 affect endogenous transcriptional signaling pathways**

The results above suggest that soluble ICDs of p75NTR and NRH2 localized within the cytoplasm and nucleus might participate in transcriptional regulation, as has been observed for various other membrane proteins that undergo “RIP.” The presence of significant pools of ICDs within the cytoplasm (Fig. 5) raises the possibility that ICDs may also possess cytoplasmic signaling functions. p75NTR is thought to regulate NF-κB-mediated transcriptional regulation (Carter et al., 1996) by interacting with cytoplasmic proteins such as TRAF6, RIP2, and IL-1 receptor associated kinase (IRAK) (Khursigara et al., 1999; Khursigara et al., 2001; Mamidipudi et al., 2002). Consequently, we examined whether soluble ICD forms of p75NTR and NRH2 were competent to function in this context. HEK293 cells expressing a κB luciferase reporter construct were transfected with either full-length or soluble ICD of p75NTR and NRH2 constructs in the presence or absence of cotransfected TRAF6. In the absence of exogenous TRAF6, p75NTR (without added neurotrophin) and NRH2 had little effect on κB-luciferase expression, whereas a soluble ICD of p75NTR modestly stimulated κB-luciferase expression (Fig. 9). Overexpression of TRAF6 in the absence of p75NTR or NRH2 significantly stimulated κB-luciferase expression. In the presence of TRAF6 overexpression, full-length p75NTR and NRH2 did not potentiate NF-κB activation. In contrast, the soluble ICDs of p75NTR and NRH2 significantly stimulated TRAF6 induction of κB-luciferase expression. Thus NRH2, like p75NTR, couples to NF-κB signal transduction, and in the absence of ligand the ICDs of p75NTR and NRH2 couple to NF-κB activation more efficiently than full-length membrane-resident proteins. We were unable to assess whether NF-κB activation by full-length p75NTR and NRH2 requires cleavage by γ-secretase, because inhibitors of γ-secretase directly activated expression of the κB-luciferase reporter (data not shown).

**Discussion**

α-secretase and γ-secretase

These studies demonstrate that proteolysis releases ICDs from p75NTR, NRH1, and NRH2. For p75NTR this process resembles other examples of RIP. α-secretase action generates m-CTF, which is cleaved by γ-secretase. In contrast, the NRH2 ICD is generated by a protease that is distinct from γ-secretase, in a process that does not require previous cleavage by α-secretase, although α-secretase cleavage of NRH2 does occur. We have not yet identified the enzyme(s) responsible for these α-secretase activities. Cleavage is not eliminated in fibroblasts derived from ADAM17 null mice (data not shown). However, these fibroblasts express ADAM10, which may function redundantly with ADAM17. ADAM10 and ADAM17 do not possess well defined specificity for the local sequence at the site of cleavage but cleave at sites near the outer face of the membrane. The size of the p75NTR m-CTF is consistent with such cleavage. γ-secretase also lacks a rigidly defined sequence specificity, but cleaves within protein transmembrane domains, commonly N-terminal to valine residues, which are abundant in the p75NTR transmembrane domain. Although NRH1 and NRH2 have valine residues at equivalent positions within their transmembrane domains, cleavage of these proteins is not mediated by γ-secretase.

The α-secretase-independent release of NRH2 ICD may occur because the short ECD of NRH2 resembles the α-secretase-truncated ECD of p75NTR. Alternatively, the unidentified protease responsible for NRH2 cleavage may simply lack a requirement for an abbreviated ECD. p75NTR processing is highly dependent on cell type. α-secretase-mediated cleavage in HEK293 cells requires activation by PMA, whereas Schwann cells have substantial constitutive α-secretase cleavage that is further stimulated by PMA, and mouse embryo fibroblasts exhibit strong constitutive α-secretase cleavage, generating m-CTF that is not subject to γ-secretase cleavage. The failure of p75NTR to be cleaved by γ-secretase in these cell lines is surprising, because β-amyloid precursor protein and Notch are efficiently cleaved by γ-secretase in the same cell line. Presenilin functions as a protease in the context of a complex with multiple partners, including nicastrin and Aph-2 (Kopan and Goate, 2002). Perhaps p75NTR association with presenilin requires the presence of another presenilin accessory protein that mouse embryo fibroblasts lack. Alternatively, these cells may express proteins that protect p75NTR from γ-secretase action. Our results indicate that Trks may function in this manner.

p75NTR/Trk interactions

Full-length p75NTR affects the sensitivity (Hempstead et al., 1991; Davies et al., 1993; Barker and Shooter, 1994; Lee et al., 1994; Verdi et al., 1994) and specificity (Mischel et al., 2001) of neurotrophin binding to Trks, whereas p75NTR lacking an ECD is sufficient to enhance the affinity of NGF/TrkA binding (Esposito et al., 2001) and retains the ability to physically interact with TrkB...
(Bibel et al., 1999). Thus the p75NTR m-CTF fragment may influence Trk receptor function. It is notable that TrkC does not influence the stability of the p75NTR m-CTF fragment, because p75NTR has been shown to interact differently with the three Trks. Although p75NTR enhances TrkA and TrkB autophosphorylation in response to their preferred ligands, no effect on TrkC activation by NT-3 is contested (Hantzopoulos et al., 1994; Vesa et al., 2000). It has been suggested that p75NTR signaling may occur as a mode of negative regulation of p75NTR, after which proteolysis permits nuclear trafficking of the p75NTR ICD, which neurotrophin binding initially recruits these proteins to the nucleus in the presence of NGF (Casademunt et al., 1999; Chittka and Chao, 1999). Thus one can envision a model in which neurotrophin binding initially recruits these proteins to p75NTR, after which proteolysis permits nuclear trafficking of these proteins as a complex with the p75NTR ICD. It remains to be determined whether the closely similar ICDs of NRH1 and NRH2 also interact with these proteins.

RIP of p75NTR may also contribute to cytoplasmic signaling processes. Transfection of a soluble p75NTR ICD activates RhoA GTPase and the ICD fragment physically associates with Rhoa, whereas such an interaction was not detected with full-length membrane-resident p75NTR (Yamashita et al., 1999). This suggests the possibility that functional RhoA/p75NTR ICD interactions might be stimulated by proteolytic release of the p75NTR ICD. p75NTR plays an important role in axon guidance, both in axon growth in response to neurotrophins (Yamashita et al., 1999; Bentley and Lee, 2000; Tucker et al., 2001), and in axon repulsion in response to myelin inhibitory factors via association of p75NTR with the Nogo receptor. Rhoa activation is implicated in these responses (Wang et al., 2002; Wong et al., 2002; Yamashita et al., 2002). ADAM metalloprotease regulation of p75NTR-mediated axon guidance would be consistent with growing literature suggesting metalloprotease function in axon guidance (McFarlane, 2003).

Although it is our presumption that the functional significance of cleavage of p75NTR by α- and γ-secretases, and by analogy to Notch and similar systems, lies in the active propagation of signals from the cell surface, the alternative possibility that cleavage occurs as a mode of negative regulation of p75NTR signaling cannot be dismissed. However, the observation that NRH2 generates its primary translation products proteins resembling the products of cleavage of p75NTR by α-secretase and γ-secretase proteases suggests that the p75NTR cleaved products are not simply inactive products of p75NTR degradation. Progress toward understanding the function of p75NTR cleavage and understanding the function of NRH2 may be closely linked. Finally, because several other members of the TNF receptor superfamily also undergo metalloprotease-mediated ECD shedding, it may be productive to examine whether these receptors also undergo subsequent γ-secretase-mediated generation of soluble ICDs.
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