Glycine Is Used as a Transmitter by Decrementing Expiratory Neurons of the Ventrolateral Medulla in the Rat
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The medullary respiratory network involves various types of respiratory neurons. The present study focused on possible inhibitory neurons called decrementing expiratory (E-DEC) neurons and aimed to determine whether their transmitter is glycine or GABA. In Nembutal-anesthetized, neuromuscularly blocked, and artificially ventilated rats we labeled E-DEC neurons with Neurobiotin and processed the tissues for detection of mRNA encoding either glycine transporter 2 (GLYT2) as a marker for glycineric neurons or glutamic acid decarboxylase isofor 67 (GAD67) as a marker for GABAergic neurons, using in situ hybridization. Of 38 E-DEC neurons that were labeled, cranial motoneurons (n = 14), which were labeled as control, were negative for either GLYT2 mRNA (n = 10) or GAD67 mRNA (n = 4). The other E-DEC neurons (n = 24) were non-motoneurons. Sixteen of them were examined for GLYT2 mRNA, and the majority (11 of 16) was GLYT2 mRNA-positive. The remaining E-DEC neurons (n = 8) were examined for GAD67 mRNA, and all of them were GAD67 mRNA-negative. The GLYT2 mRNA-positive E-DEC neurons were located in the ventrolateral medulla spanning the Bo¨tzinger complex (BOT), the rostral ventral respiratory group (VRG), and the caudal VRG. We conclude that not only E-DEC neurons of the BOT but also many E-DEC neurons of the VRG are inhibitory and use glycine as a transmitter. Although the present negative data cannot rule out completely the release of GABA or co-release of glycine and GABA from E-DEC neurons, several lines of evidence suggest that the glycineric process is primarily responsible for the phasic inhibition of the respiratory network during the expiratory phase.
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Introduction

Glycine and GABA, the major inhibitory neurotransmitters working in the medulla and the spinal cord, are involved in the brainstem network of respiratory neurons (Hayashi and Lipski, 1992; Paton and Richter, 1995; Pierrefiche et al., 1998; Haji et al., 2000). In particular, there is increasing evidence that glycine is an essential inhibitory transmitter for respiratory rhythmogenesis (Schmid et al., 1996; Iizuka, 1999; Schreihofer et al., 1999; Busselberg et al., 2001; Dutschmann and Paton, 2002a,b). A substantial advance in understanding the medullary respiratory network recently has been achieved by Schreihofer et al. (1999), who have shown that glycine is the transmitter used by the augmenting expiratory (E-AUG) neuron of the Bo¨tzinger complex (BOT), which are key neurons exerting extensive inhibitions on medullary respiratory neurons (Merrill et al., 1983; Ezure, 1990; Jiang and Lipski, 1990). The BOT includes another type of inhibitory neuron called the decrementing expiratory (E-DEC) neuron (Bianchi and Barilotti, 1982; Lindsey et al., 1987; Ezure and Manabe, 1988; Bryant et al., 1993; Hayashi et al., 1996; Saito et al., 2002; Shen et al., 2003). The E-DEC neurons or possible correlates, postsinpiratory neurons, are hypothesized to play an important role in the inspiration—expiration phase transition (Richter et al., 1986; Duffin et al., 1995; Hayashi et al., 1996; Schmid et al., 1996; Rybak et al., 1997). It is our concern whether the E-DEC neurons of the BOT are glycineric or GABAergic.

In addition to the BOT, E-DEC neurons or postsinpiratory neurons are distributed throughout the ventral respiratory group (VRG) (Feldman and Cohen, 1978; Schwarzacher et al., 1991; Shiba et al., 1997; Saito et al., 2002). Many of them are cranial motoneurons (Zheng et al., 1991; Saito et al., 2002), but some are interneurons with intramedullary projections to respiration-related areas (Anders et al., 1991; Zheng et al., 1991; Schwarzacher et al., 1992; Shiba et al., 1997; Saito et al., 2002). However, their role is unexplored as yet. We aimed to examine the possibility that the E-DEC neurons of the VRG are also inhibitory and use glycine or GABA as their transmitter.

To determine the inhibitory transmitter used by physiologically identified E-AUG neurons, Schreihofer et al. (1999) successfully used single neuron labeling combined with in situ hybridization for mRNA encoding glycine transporter 2 (GLYT2) (Liu et al., 1993; Luque et al., 1995; Chan and Sawchenko, 1998) or glutamic acid decarboxylase isofor 67 (GAD67) (Erlanger et al., 1991; Chan and Sawchenko, 1998; Stornetta and Guyenet, 1999). To date, this in situ hybridization technique may be the most powerful method to identify glycineric or GABAergic neurons, because it labels only cell bodies of the neurons that almost certainly use glycine or GABA as their neurotransmitter; the advantage of this method over the conventional immunohistochemical
methods has been described fully previously (Esclapez et al., 1994; Chan and Sawchenko, 1998; Schreiberhofer et al., 1999; Stornetta and Guyenet, 1999). We then also used single neuron labeling combined with in situ hybridization for GLYT2 mRNA or GAD67 mRNA.

Materials and Methods

We performed all experimental procedures in accordance with the Guiding Principles for the Care and Use of Animals in the Field of Physiological Science (Physiological Society of Japan, 2001). The experiments were reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Tokyo Metropolitan Institute for Neuroscience.

Surgical procedures. Data were obtained from adult Wistar rats (320–430 gm; n = 11) anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium (Nembutal, 70 mg/kg, i.p.; Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, IL); supplementary doses of the anesthetics (–5 mg/kg per hr, i.v.) were given throughout the experiment and the survival period. The trachea was intubated, and cannulas were placed in the femoral artery to monitor blood pressure and in the femoral vein for drug administration. The rats were placed in a stereotaxic frame, and occipital craniotomy and partial cerebellotomy were performed to expose the dorsal surface of the medulla. The isolated CA4/5 phrenic nerve root was cut distally, and the central stump was mounted on a bipolar electrode for recording. The vagus nerves including the superior laryngeal nerves were exposed on both sides and placed on bipolar-stimulating electrodes; on one side the pharyngeal branch also was included for stimulation. The rats were injected with the neurovascular blocker pancuronium bromide (0.15 mg/kg per hr; Mioblock, Sankyo, Tokyo, Japan) and ventilated artificially with a positive end-tidal load of 1–2 cm H2O after a bilateral pneumothorax. Tracheal pressure, end-tidal CO2 (kept at 3&deg;C), and rectal temperature (kept at 36–37°C) were monitored.

Identification of E-DEC neurons and tracer injection. Glass micropipettes for intracellular recording and tracer injection were filled with Neurobiotin (4%; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) in 0.5 M KCl-Tris buffer, pH 7.6. Recording and labeling of E-DEC neurons were performed in the ventrolateral medulla. Each E-DEC neuron was identified by its decrementing firing pattern during the expiratory phase. When the intracellular electrode touched each E-DEC neuron, we penetrated the neuron by passing brief positive current pulses through the electrode tip or by gently tapping a manipulator head. We did not intend to obtain well developed membrane potentials by fully penetrating the neurons, because in many cases good intracellular recordings resulted in simple killing of the neurons. Some of our recordings were often juxtamembranous rather than intracellular recordings (Pinault, 1996; Schreiberhofer et al., 1999; Otake et al., 2001; Pilowsky and Macheim, 2001). Neurobiotin was injected by positive current pulses (50 μsec duration; 4 or 5 pulses/530 msec; 3 nA maximum intensity), and the injections normally were terminated at 2–3 min.

We labeled both motoneurons and interneurons after discriminating between motoneurons and interneurons by electrically stimulating the vagus nerve. That is, we considered the neurons without antidromic activation as interneurons in the first place, because motoneurons with E-DEC activity are involved exclusively in the vagal nerve, but not in the glossopharyngeal nerve (Grelot et al., 1989). On the side in which we did not stimulate the pharyngeal branch of the vagus nerve, we sampled no E-DEC neurons in the rostral area (at the level 0.5 mm or more rostral to the obex) (Biegler and Hopkins, 1987), because interneurons and motoneurons were not distinguishable. However, in four experiments we sampled E-DEC neurons from such areas after examining antidromic activation of E-DEC neurons, using two tungsten electrodes (for monopolar stimulation) fixed in the caudal VRG within the area 1–1.5 mm caudal to the obex and 1.3–2.0 mm lateral to the midline. At the stage of data analysis, by observing the axonal trajectories and/or choline acetyltransferase (ChAT; see below) immunoreactivity of the labeled neurons, we further confirmed whether they were motoneurons or interneurons.

Histological procedures and morphological analysis. At least 30 min after the last tracer injection, under deep anesthesia with pentobarbital sodium, the rats were perfused transcardially with 200 ml of physiological saline, followed by 350 ml of 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB; pH 7.4), which included 0.2% picric acid. The brain tissue was postfixed in the same solution overnight at 4°C and cryoprotected by immersion in 30% sucrose in 0.1 M PB until equilibrium. The brainstem was cut transversely at 50 μm on a freezing microtome. The sections were collected in 0.1 M PBS, treated sequentially (with intervening rinses in PBS) in 0.4% Triton X-100 in PBS for 20 min, in 0.2N HCl in diethyl pyrocarbonate (DEPC)–treated water for 10 min, in proteinase K (1.5 μg/ml) in 0.1 M PB with 50 mm EDTA for 10 min, in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 min, and kept in prehybridization solution (see below).

Preparation of RNA probes for GLYT2 mRNA and GAD67 mRNA. Because the preparation of mRNA probes has been described fully previously (Tanaka et al., 2003), we describe it here only briefly.

The RNA probes for GLYT2 mRNA were generated from a cDNA encoding GLYT2 (3.5 kb; Liu et al., 1993). From a partial cDNA template (3.1 kb; a generous gift from Dr. N. Nelson, Tel Aviv University, Israel) 1.7 kb (702–2492) was isolated and further subcloned. The RNA probes for GAD67 mRNA were generated from a full-length cDNA encoding rat GAD67 (2.7 kilobases; Erlander et al., 1991). GAD67 DNA template inserted into the EcoRI site of plBluescript plasmid (a generous gift from Dr. A. J. Tobin, University of California, Los Angeles, CA) was used to transcribe riboprobes. Further procedures to obtain the riboprobes for GLYT2 mRNA and those for GAD67 mRNA were the same. The riboprobes (both sense and antisense) were obtained by linearizing the recombinant plasmids and transcribing them with RNA polymerases in the presence of digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled 11-UTP (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). The riboprobes were fragmented by limiting alkaline hydrolysis (Cox et al., 1994) to obtain probes of ~250 nucleotides in peak length.

In situ hybridization histochemistry. The basic procedures followed the protocols used in preceding studies (Ichikawa et al., 1997; Kondo et al., 1997): the details have been described by Tanaka et al. (2003). The prehybridization mixture was an aqueous solution of 0.3 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, and 50% formamide in DEPC-treated water. The hybridization mixture included, in addition to these, Escherichia coli tRNA (0.5 mg/ml), 2.5 mCi EDTA, Denhardts’ 0.02% bovine serum albumin, 0.02% Ficoll (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), 0.02% polyvinylpyrrolidone, 0.1% Tween 20 (Sigma), and riboprobes (0.2 μg/ml). The brain sections (free-floating) were incubated in prehybridization mixture for 1 hr at 50°C and then transferred to the hybridization solution for 16 hr at 50°C. The sections were washed in 2× standard saline citrate (SSC) with 50% formamide at 50°C, followed by a rinse in RNase buffer (Tris–HCl including 500 mM NaCl, pH 8.0), incubation in RNase A (Roche) solution (20 μg/ml in RNase buffer) for 30 min at 37°C, and a rinse in RNase buffer. The sections were washed in 2× SSC with 50% formamide at 50°C for 1 hr and twice in 1× SSC with 50% formamide at 50°C for 1 hr.

The DIG-labeled riboprobe was revealed by incubating the sections with a sheep polyclonal anti-DIG antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (Roche). The blue/brown reaction product was produced by incubation of the sections in a solution of 0.1 M NaCl, 50 mM MgCl2, and 0.1 M Tris–HCl, pH 9.5, with nitroblue tetrazolium and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphosphate, 4-toluidine salt (NBT/BCIP kit, 20 μg/ml; Roche) in the dark at room temperature for 1–2 hr. The length of this reaction time was determined by using a dissecting microscope to observe fluorescent product development. By using a separate set of sections, we treated the corresponding sense riboprobe with the same protocols.

Immunohistochemistry for choline acetyltransferase. In two rats, after the alkaline phosphatase reaction, the sections were processed further for detection of ChAT. Free-floating sections were incubated with rabbit antibody against rat ChAT (1:1000; Ichikawa et al., 1991) (a generous gift from Ms. K. Ajiiki and the late Dr. T. Ichikawa, Tokyo Metropolitan Institute for Neuroscience, Japan) in PBS for 16 hr at 4°C and then with an amino acid polymer (Histofine, simple-stain-rat, MAX-PO; Nichirei, Tokyo, Japan) that is conjugated with both anti-rabbit IgG and HRP for 30 min at room temperature. Then the HRP was reacted with tyramide–fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC; PerkinElmer, Boston, MA) for fluorescent microscopy.
Neurobiotin-labeled neurons. Neurobiotin-labeled neurons were visualized by incubating sections with streptavidin indocarbocyanine (Cy3; 1:200; Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA) for 1 hr at room temperature.

Data analysis. The sections were mounted on a MAS-coated glass slide (Matsunami Glass, Osaka, Japan) and covered with Vectashield (Vector Laboratories). A fluorescence microscope together with an image acquisition system Argus-20 (Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan; resolution, 512 × 483 points, eight-bit grayscale) was used to find Neurobiotin-labeled neurons and to examine ChAT immunoreactivity and alkaline phosphatase reaction product (bright-field) for GLYT2 mRNA or GAD67 mRNA. When photomicrographs in the bright-field only were required, digital images with higher resolution (2048 × 1536 points, eight-bit grayscale) were used.

Several anatomical landmarks and nomenclature were used according to Paxinos and Watson (1998). Although identifying detailed anatomical structures in the present noncounterstained sections was difficult, we were able to identify many structures, such as the solitary tract, the inferior olivary nucleus, the spinal trigeminal tract, or the hypoglossal nucleus under the bright-field microscope because of slight differences in reflection and/or refraction properties of the tissue. In the present analysis we define the caudal end of the area postrema as “the obex” and use it as the reference, which approximately corresponds to Bregma −14 mm in the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (1998).

Results

We examined serial frontal sections of the medulla from the level of the facial nucleus through the level of the pyramidal decussation for identification of Neurobiotin-labeled neurons and for detection of GLYT2 mRNA in six rats and GAD67 mRNA in five rats. In addition, we examined ChAT immunoreactivity in two of the six rats for GLYT2 mRNA detection. We analyzed 38 E-DEC neurons, including cranial motoneurons and interneurons (non-motoneurons), which were visualized successfully and identified unequivocally. These neurons were located in the ventrolateral medulla in association with the nucleus ambiguus and the nucleus retroambiguus.

Control study

First, we examined, in every rat, a few control sections including the trapezoid nucleus for detection of GLYT2 mRNA and the cerebellar cortex for detection of GAD67 mRNA. The respective antisense probe, but not the sense probe, labeled glycinergic neurons in the trapezoid nucleus and GABAergic neurons in the cerebellar cortex (Fig. 1). In both GLYT2 mRNA-positive (GLYT2-positive) neurons and GAD67 mRNA-positive (GAD67-positive) neurons, alkaline phosphatase reaction product was revealed in the cytoplasm surrounding the nucleus, but not within the nucleus. Second, we labeled three E-AUG neurons in the BOT; they were GLYT2-positive (Schreihofer et al., 1999) (Fig. 2). Third, 14 of the 38 E-DEC neurons were cranial motoneurons that we labeled as control data. Thirteen of these were labeled after confirming antidromic activation by electrical stimulation of the vagus nerve (Fig. 3), and one later was revealed to be a motoneuron by a Neurobiotin-labeled axon. We could observe characteristic axonal trajectories, which course dorsomedially first, turned ventrolaterally, and went out of the brainstem (data not shown) (Saito et al., 2002) in 11 of these 14 motoneurons. When ChAT immunoreactivity was examined in one motoneuron, it was ChAT-positive (Fig. 3). These motoneurons were located in the nucleus ambiguus or the nucleus retroambiguus (Fig. 4), and all were negative either for GLYT2 mRNA (n = 10) or for GAD67 mRNA (n = 4; Fig. 3).

Identification of E-DEC neurons and detection of GLYT2 mRNA

Twenty-four of the 38 E-DEC neurons were interneurons. First, none of them (n = 24) was activated antidromically from the vagus nerve. Second, these neurons possessed at least one of three features: (1) in experiments in which ChAT immunoreactivity was checked, the E-DEC neurons that were examined (n = 11) were ChAT-negative; (2) when Neurobiotin-labeling revealed enough length of axonal trajectories, these neurons (n = 15) showed intramedullary axon collaterals or projections; and (3) some of them recorded in the BOT area (n = 7) were activated antidromically by electrical stimulation of the caudal VRG area (Fig. 5). When the mean diameters (algebraic mean of the major
and minor axes estimated from fluorescent labeling) were used as
the value representative of somal size, they ranged from 12.0 to
28.8 \( \mu m \), and the mean (\( \pm \) SD) was 18.6 \( \pm \) 6.08 \( \mu m \) (\( n = 24 \)).

Eleven of the 16 E-DEC neurons examined for GLYT2 mRNA
were GLYT2-positive (Figs. 5, 6), and the other five were negative
(Fig. 7). GLYT2-positive neurons were located in the BOT (Figs.
4, 5), rostral VRG (Figs. 4, 6), and caudal VRG (Figs. 4, 6). The
remaining eight E-DEC neurons were examined for GAD67
mRNA and were GAD67-negative (Fig. 7). The present fluores-
cent labeling was not suitable for detailed analysis of axonal pro-
jections or dendritic arborizations, and we noted no special dif-
ficulties in morphology between GLYT2-positive and GLYT2-
negative neurons. The firing properties and membrane potential
trajectories of the E-DEC neurons labeled varied to some extent.
The depolarization of some interneurons started at late inspira-
tory phase (early-onset type; Fig. 6), and their initial spikes
tended to overlap with the inspiratory phase. In contrast, the
membrane potentials of the majority of motoneurons and some
interneurons tended to stay hyperpolarized until the end of the
inspiratory phase and depolarized suddenly at the inspiratory
– expiration transition (Figs. 3, 7). Although the present quality of
recordings did not allow us to classify the labeled neurons by the
membrane potential trajectories, at least four E-DEC neurons
were confirmed to be early-onset type in GLYT2 experiments and
were GLYT2-positive.
We could study the effect of lung inflation on the E-DEC activity either by stopping the ventilator and loading a positive pressure (e.g., Fig. 6b4) or by observing the change in neuronal activity evoked by the movements of the ventilator. In all of the E-DEC neurons that were examined (n = 15), their activity was increased during lung inflation.

Figure 8 maps all of the Neurobiotin-labeled E-DEC neurons (n = 38) and indicates that GLYT2-positive E-DEC neurons were distributed in the ventrolateral medulla from the BOT through the caudal VRG.

**Discussion**

The present study combined single-neuron labeling of physiologically identified E-DEC neurons with detection of mRNA markers for glycinergic and GABAergic neurons. The major finding of the present study is that (1) putative inhibitory E-DEC neurons use glycine rather than GABA as their neurotransmitter and (2) not only E-DEC neurons of the BOT but also many E-DEC neurons of the VRG are most probably inhibitory.

**E-DEC neurons of the BOT area**

The BOT first was defined functionally as the area of E-AUG neurons, which are the major inhibitory neurons (Merrill et al., 1983; Ezure, 1990; Jiang and Lipski, 1990; Tian et al., 1999). Schreihofer et al. (1999) has shown that this area includes dense GLYT2-positive neurons and has provided conclusive evidence that the E-AUG neurons are glycinergic, which we also have confirmed in the present study. As to E-DEC neurons of the BOT, which have been shown to be inhibitory in cats and rats (Lindsey et al., 1987; Ezure and Manabe, 1988; Shen et al., 2003), their neurotransmitter remains to be determined. The dense distribution of GLYT2-positive neurons in the BOT (Schreihofer et al., 1999; Tanaka et al., 2003) suggests the possibility that the E-DEC neurons are also glycinergic, because E-DEC neurons are distributed in the same area as E-AUG neurons (Ezure et al., 1988; Shen et al., 2003).
E-DEC neurons of the VRG

E-DEC neurons or postinspiratory neurons are distributed in the rostral and caudal VRG (Shiba et al., 1997; Okazaki et al., 2001; Saito et al., 2002; Shen and Duffin, 2002; Shen et al., 2003) as well as in the BOT. Many of them are cranial motoneurons (Zheng et al., 1991; Saito et al., 2002); still, many are interneurons with intramedullary projections to respiration-related areas (Anders et al., 1991; Zheng et al., 1991; Schwarzacher et al., 1992; Shibata et al., 1997; Saito et al., 2002) or to the spinal cord (Miller et al., 1987; Shen and Duffin, 2002). Many of them, in particular those in the caudal VRG, have both spinal and intramedullary projections (Saito et al., 2002). However, the functional role of these E-DEC neurons is unknown. A recent cross-correlation study by Shen et al. (2003) suggests that E-DEC neurons of the rostral VRG are inhibitory to respiratory neurons in the medulla and to phrenic motoneurons in the spinal cord. In addition, the observation that GLYT2-positive neurons cluster in the caudal VRG area suggests the possibility that E-DEC neurons of the caudal VRG are inhibitory (Tanaka et al., 2003). In the present study we assumed that if E-DEC neurons of the VRG are inhibitory they may express GLYT2 mRNA or GAD67 mRNA.

E-DEC neurons were labeled in the rostral and caudal VRG (Fig. 8). Seven of the nine VRG E-DEC neurons examined for GLYT2 mRNA were GLYT2-positive, and all five E-DEC neurons examined for GAD67 mRNA were GAD67-negative. This implies that (1) “inhibitory E-DEC” neurons exist not only in the BOT but also in the rostral and caudal VRG and (2) they use glycine rather than GABA as their neurotransmitter.

Okazaki et al. (2001) studied GABAergic neurons of the VRG by combining single-neuron labeling with immunohistochemical methods for detection of GAD and reported that all E-DEC (postinspiratory) neurons of the VRG that were examined (n = 3) were GAD-immunoreactive. The reason for the discrepancy between their results and the present results is unknown and remains to be studied. It should be noted that glycine and GABA often are colocalized in single neurons (Wenthold et al., 1987; Ottersen et al., 1988) and are co-released (Jonas et al., 1998); however, neither the present nor the previous (Okazaki et al., 2001) study has examined such a possibility. Furthermore, the present study has not taken into consideration the participation of GAD65, another GAD isoform (Erlander et al., 1991), either. With the reservation mentioned here, the present results show that many E-DEC neurons of the VRG express mRNA for GLYT2, which is a reliable marker for glycinergic neurons (Liu et al., 1993; Luque et al., 1995; Chan and Sawchenko, 1998; Schreihofer et al., 1999).

Glycinergic versus GABAergic inhibition during the expiratory phase

E-DEC and E-AUG neurons, the major inhibitory neurons, work during the early and the late expiratory phases, respectively. As to E-DEC neurons, the present results agree with previous electrophysiological and pharmacological studies (Champagnat et al., 1982; Schmid et al., 1996; Dutschmann and Paton, 2002a). These studies showed that after the administration of strychnine, a glycine receptor blocker, inspiratory activities were disinhibited in the early expiratory phase, suggesting that E-DEC (postinspiratory) neurons are glycinergic. As to E-AUG neurons, the same line of approach using bicuculline, a GABA receptor blocker, suggests that some E-AUG neurons are GABAergic (Champagnat et al., 1982; Haji et al., 1992; Schmid et al., 1996) and some are glycinergic as well (Schmid et al., 1996). Schreihofer et al. (1999) and the present study strongly suggest that E-AUG neurons are...
glycinergic, although these studies cannot rule out completely the possibility that some E-AUG neurons are GABAergic.

If both E-DEC and E-AUG neurons are glycinergic, blocking glycinergic transmission should modify seriously or even stop the respiratory rhythm. In fact, strychnine severely distorts the respiratory rhythm in rats (Hayashi and Lipski, 1992; Dutschmann and Paton, 2002b; Dutschmann and Paton, 2002b) and in mice (Büsselberg et al., 2001), often abolishing entirely the rhythm in rabbits (Schmid et al., 1991) and in cats (Pierrefiche et al., 1998). On the other hand, it is reported that the expiratory inhibition is maintained by GABAergic input with relatively little contribution from glycinergic transmission in dogs (Krolo et al., 2000). Furthermore, the data that strychnine severely distorts but does not necessarily stop the rhythm (Büsselberg et al., 2001; Dutschmann and Paton, 2002b) may not support the notion that the glycinergic process only is important. Thus the question as to how the glycinergic and GABAergic processes are organized remains unanswered. Nevertheless, the glycinergic process via E-DEC and E-AUG neurons is certainly an essential component of the expiratory inhibition.

Conclusion

No doubt, our knowledge about E-DEC neurons is limited. We do not know whether “excitatory E-DEC” interneurons exist or not. We do not know whether E-DEC neurons of the BOT and the VRG belong to the same or different population, either. In fact, the firing patterns of E-DEC neurons are various (Hayashi et al., 1996; Dutschmann and Paton, 2002b; Shen et al., 2003). When their firing starts early, overlapping with the inspiratory phase, the discrimination between them and late-onset inspiratory neurons (Hayashi et al., 1996; Okazaki et al., 2001) is vague. Furthermore, whether E-DEC neurons (Ezure and Manabe, 1988; Ezure, 1990) and postinspiratory neurons (Richter, 1982; Richter et al., 1986; Schwarzacher et al., 1991) belong to the same or different population is not clear (Rybak et al., 1997). On the other hand, it is a consistent observation that these neurons receive facilitatory effect from lung inflation, suggesting excitatory effects from the slowly adapting lung stretch receptors (Feldman et al., 1997) and in cats (Pierrefiche et al., 1998). On the other hand, it is reported that the expiratory inhibition is maintained by GABAergic input with relatively little contribution from glycinergic transmission in dogs (Krolo et al., 2000). Furthermore, the data that strychnine severely distorts but does not necessarily stop the rhythm (Büsselberg et al., 2001; Dutschmann and Paton, 2002b) may not support the notion that the glycinergic process only is important. Thus the question as to how the glycinergic and GABAergic processes are organized remains unanswered. Nevertheless, the glycinergic process via E-DEC and E-AUG neurons is certainly an essential component of the expiratory inhibition.

No doubt, our knowledge about E-DEC neurons is limited. We do not know whether “excitatory E-DEC” interneurons exist or not. We do not know whether E-DEC neurons of the BOT and the VRG belong to the same or different population, either. In fact, the firing patterns of E-DEC neurons are various (Hayashi et al., 1996; Dutschmann and Paton, 2002b; Shen et al., 2003). When their firing starts early, overlapping with the inspiratory phase, the discrimination between them and late-onset inspiratory neurons (Hayashi et al., 1996; Okazaki et al., 2001) is vague. Furthermore, whether E-DEC neurons (Ezure and Manabe, 1988; Ezure, 1990) and postinspiratory neurons (Richter, 1982; Richter et al., 1986; Schwarzacher et al., 1991) belong to the same or different population is not clear (Rybak et al., 1997). On the other hand, it is a consistent observation that these neurons receive facilitatory effect from lung inflation, suggesting excitatory effects from the slowly adapting lung stretch receptors (Feldman and Cohen, 1978; Remmers et al., 1986; Manabe and Ezure, 1988; Hayashi et al., 1996; Mellen and Feldman, 2001). During normal respiration this facilitatory effect is clearly suitable for E-DEC neurons to start firing at approximately the time of the inspiratory–expiratory transition, suggesting their critical role in this transition.

Although the characterization of E-DEC neurons is not sufficient and the contribution of GABA is not denied completely, the present study strongly suggests that “inhibitory E-DEC” neurons use glycine as a transmitter. Because the E-AUG neurons of the BOT are also glycinergic, the present results imply that the phasic inhibition of the respiratory network during the expiratory phase is produced mostly, if not entirely, by glycinergic neurons.
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