In vertebrates, individual olfactory neurons are thought to express a single odorant receptor (Or) gene, but it is not clear that all odor-evoked activity in each neuron is exclusively dependent on an individual odorant receptor. In Drosophila, little is known about what receptors impart odor specificity to particular olfactory neurons. Here, we demonstrate the use of gene targeting to produce a null mutant of the putative odorant receptor Or43b and find that the mutant is defective for odor-evoked activity in ab8A neurons, a single functional class of olfactory neurons in Drosophila. ab8A neurons lacking Or43b are still present in the mutants and display spontaneous activity but are insensitive to odor stimulation. Therefore, Or43b is required for odor responsiveness in these olfactory neurons in vivo. Or83b, a receptor expressed in a large fraction of olfactory neurons including Or43b neurons, does not confer odor responsiveness in the absence of Or43b. Olfactory behavior elicited by odorants that activate the ab8A neurons is indistinguishable between Or43b mutants and controls, demonstrating a surprising degree of functional redundancy among the limited odor receptor repertoire in this species. These studies demonstrate that a reverse genetic approach can be used to correlate specific olfactory receptors with odor specificity of functional classes of olfactory neurons.
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Introduction
All animals have the ability to detect and interpret relevant biological information from their environment. Discrimination of sensory cues is achieved by integration of inputs from cells tuned to specific subsets of sensory space. For example, color vision is achieved by weighing the relative inputs of neurons expressing distinct opsins tuned to different wavelengths of light (Nathans, 1999). Similarly, odor discrimination is thought to result from integration of the activity of specific subsets of olfactory neurons activated by specific odors. Although the spectral tuning of visual pigments is well established, the tuning of olfactory neurons and odorant receptors has not been extensively analyzed.

Vertebrate animals have millions of olfactory neurons expressing hundreds of odorant receptor (Or) genes, but each receptor neuron is thought to express a single allele of one receptor gene (Ressler et al., 1993; Vasser et al., 1993; Chess et al., 1994; Malnic et al., 1999). Heterologous expression of odorant receptors suggests these receptors confer responsiveness to discrete sets of odorants (Krautwurst et al., 1998; Zhou et al., 1998; Bozza et al., 2002; Gaillard et al., 2002), and that odor specificity is at least in part a function of the odorant receptors expressed in these neurons. It is not clear whether odor sensitivity of olfactory neurons in vivo results exclusively from a single odorant receptor gene product.

The Drosophila olfactory system is anatomically similar to that of vertebrates but is numerically simpler. There are ~60 odor receptors expressed in ~1200 olfactory neurons located in the third antennal segment (Stocker, 1994; Clyne et al., 1999; Vosshall et al., 1999, 2000). Most olfactory receptor neurons in Drosophila express at least two putative odorant receptors. Or83b is expressed in approximately two-thirds of all olfactory receptor neurons (Vosshall et al., 1999; Kalidas and Smith, 2002; Ng et al., 2002). All other Or genes are expressed in a much more limited number of cells (Clyne et al., 1999; Gao et al., 1999; Vosshall et al., 1999; Elmore et al., 2001). Despite the relatively limited repertoire of odor receptor genes, flies are capable of odorant discrimination and can be trained to avoid specific odorants paired with aversive stimuli (Tully and Quinn, 1985). How does this small number of odorant receptor genes and expression of more than one receptor per cell relate to odor discrimination in the fly? What receptors are actually required for odorant sensitivity? What contribution does a single receptor gene make to olfactory behavior?

To address these issues, we used gene targeting to create mutants defective for expression of a Drosophila odor receptor gene, Or43b. This reverse genetic approach allowed us to determine the in vivo odor specificity of this odor receptor in its normal cellular environment. Our results indicate that a single receptor confers odor responsiveness to a single functional group of olfactory neurons, and that the Drosophila olfactory system is functionally redundant, such that loss of a receptor, Or43b, has little effect on the olfactory behavior elicited by the odorants it detects.
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sixteenth inch hole drilled through the bottom) were placed in the cage for 12–24 hr. Flies in each trap were sorted by eye color and counted. Consistent differences of twofold or greater were considered significant. lush mutants typically were five times more abundant than controls in traps containing 25% v/v ethanol. A complete list of screened odorants is presented as supplemental data (available at www.jneurosci.org).

Single-sensillum recordings. Extracellular electrophysiological recordings were generally performed according to de Bruyne et al. (2001). Briefly, a 4- to 6-d-old fly was restrained in a truncated pipette tip with the head protruding. The antenna was placed on an elevated coverslip platform and stabilized with a glass micropipette. Action potentials were recorded by inserting a tungsten wire electrode (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL) in the shaft of a sensillum. Signals were amplified 1000X (Iso-Dam; World Precision Instruments) and fed into a computer via a 16 bit analog-to-digital converter to be analyzed off-line with AUTOSPIKE software (universal serial BUS–intelligent data aquisition controller system; Syntech, Hilversum, The Netherlands). Analysis of data was performed according to de Bruyne et al. (2001). The odor stimulation system was calibrated to give levels of responses similar to those reported by de Bruyne et al. (2001), facilitating direct comparisons of physiological data.

Results

Generation of Or43b null mutants by gene targeting

To generate mutants specifically defective for the Or43b odorant receptor, we targeted mutations to the Or43b gene in living flies using homologous recombination (Rong and Golic, 2000, 2001). Figure 1 shows the structure of the Or43b construct that we used to introduce stop codons into the endogenous receptor gene.

We generated 14,000 mosaic females and recovered 60 candidate Or43b targeted alleles on the basis of translocation of the white eye color marker from the third chromosome to the endogenous Or43b gene located on the second chromosome (see Materials and Methods). The homologous recombination approach is expected to produce a duplication of the Or43b gene with each copy carrying a single nonsense mutation (Rong and Golic, 2000). Figure 2A shows the events and expected gene structure of a targeted allele of Or43b. We screened our pool of candidates for Or43b expression in the antenna using anti-Or43b antiserum (Elmore and Smith, 2001). We identified five independent Or43b mutants defective for expression of the Or43b receptor on the basis of loss of antigen in the antenna (Fig. 3, compare A and B). Figure 2, B and C, depicts the structure of the five Or43b alleles that we recovered. Or43b mutant flies are healthy and fertile with no gross behavioral or morphological phenotypes.
Or43b is expressed in a subset of basiconic sensilla
On the basis of RNA in situ hybridization (Clyne et al., 1999; Gao and Chess, 1999; Vosshall et al., 2000) and, more recently, protein localization studies (Elmore and Smith, 2001), Or43b expression is restricted exclusively to ~15 olfactory neurons in each antenna. However, the morphological type of sensillum that houses the Or43b-expressing neurons has not been determined. Sensilla are hair-like structures on the surface of the olfactory organs. Each sensillum houses the dendrites of one to four olfactory neurons. Several classes of sensilla have been described on the basis of morphology (Stocker, 1994; Shanbhag et al., 1999). We wished to identify the morphological class of sensillum in which Or43b is expressed, so we could focus our electrophysiological analysis on those sensilla in our mutants.

To identify the morphological class of sensillum expressing Or43b, we used antisera specific for this receptor on frozen sections of Drosophila antennae. Figure 3C shows that Or43b localization is specifically restricted to a small subset of basiconic sensilla. Most of the Or43b-positive sensilla were located on the posterior surface of the antenna. Or43b-expressing neurons were not present in other classes of sensilla. Therefore, we conclude that Or43b is expressed exclusively in a small subset of olfactory neurons localized to basiconic sensilla that are located primarily on the posterior surface of the antenna.

Identification of ab8, a novel class of sensillum
The olfactory neurons in basiconic sensilla can be functionally subdivided into at least 16 classes on the basis of sensitivity to a defined odorant panel (de Bruyne et al., 2001). If Or43b functions to determine part or all of the odor sensitivity of the olfactory neurons in which it is expressed, we predicted that a subset of basiconic neurons would have distinctive odor responses in our mutants. We used single sensillum recording techniques to systematically characterize the olfactory responses of the neurons within basiconic sensilla in the region of the antenna where Or43b is expressed.

Systematic studies have defined the odor response profiles from basiconic sensilla on the maxillary palps and antenna (de Bruyne et al., 1999, 2001). The responses of the olfactory neurons within the large basiconic sensilla and most of the small basiconic sensilla in the Or43b mutant were indistinguishable from those observed in previous studies (de Bruyne et al., 2001). Our studies confirmed the presence of seven functional classes of basiconic sensilla, ab1–7, that contain neurons with characteristic odorant sensitivities. However, we did observe a subset of basiconic sensilla in the general region where Or43b is normally expressed in wild-type flies that do not match any previously defined functional class. We call this novel sensillum class ab8.

Wild-type ab8 sensilla contain two neurons, A and B, that are distinguishable by the shape and amplitude of their action potentials in single-unit electrophysiological recordings (Fig. 4). The A neuron produces larger amplitude action potentials and is sensitive to pentyl acetate and several other odorants (Figs. 4, 5). The B neuron gives the greatest mean response to ethyl butyrate and 2,3-dibutanedione. Surveys of the basiconic sensilla on the antenna in wild-type controls revealed that most ab8 sensilla are localized to the posterior surface of the antenna, in agreement with the expression of Or43b receptor protein (Elmore and Smith, 2001).
Or43b mutants lack odor-evoked electrical activity in ab8A neurons

We compared odor-evoked responses from sensilla in control and Or43b mutant antennae. No differences were detected in large basiconic sensilla or most types of small basiconic sensilla. However, we did note a striking defect in the ab8 basiconic sensilla in the Or43b mutants (Figs. 4, 5). Odor-evoked responses in the ab8A neurons are virtually absent in the Or43b mutants. However, there was little if any effect on odor-evoked activity in the B neurons in ab8 sensilla, confirming that we were recording from ab8 sensilla. Figure 4 shows examples of recordings from ab8 sensilla in response to 1-hexanol. Application of odorants failed to produce high frequency action potential trains in the Or43b mutants characteristic of the A neuron in genetically matched controls. 1-hexanol normally activates the A but not the B neuron in wild-type ab8 sensilla at the tested concentration. Figure 4 shows that in wild-type flies, 1-hexanol normally triggers a train of large amplitude action potentials in the ab8A neurons. The ab8A neurons are not activated by 1-hexanol in the Or43b mutants (Figs. 4, 5). Indeed, the ab8A neuron in Or43b mutants was not activated by any of the 55 odorants applied in these studies (Fig. 5) (data not shown). Recordings from a second independent allele of Or43b mutant revealed that ab8A neurons also lack odor-evoked potentials in this mutant allele (Fig. 4, Or43b<sup>2</sup>). This confirms that these defects arise from the lack of Or43b expression and not a random spontaneous mutation affecting ab8A function in Or43b<sup>1</sup>. These data establish that Or43b is required for odor sensitivity of ab8A neurons, consistent with a role as a functional odorant receptor.

Although the odor-evoked responses of the ab8A neurons are absent in Or43b mutants, spontaneous activity from these neurons is still present in the ab8 sensilla (Fig. 4); they have similar amplitude and shape as in control traces. Interestingly, the activity of the ab8A neurons in Or43b mutants is often observed in short bursts of two to three action potentials. A similar bursting phenotype is observed in mutant neurons that lack expression of Or22a (Dobritsa et al., 2003). The presence of action potentials characteristic of the ab8A neuron demonstrates that the A neuron is still present in Or43b mutants and is capable of firing action potentials. We conclude that the ab8A neuron normally expresses the Or43b odorant receptor, and this odorant receptor is required for odor-evoked activity in these neurons.

Odor specificity of ab8A neurons

Having established that Or43b is required for odorant responses of ab8A neurons, we explored the range of odors that activate ab8A neurons. We tested 55 odorants and found that ab8A neurons respond strongly to chemically diverse odorants, including ethyl acetate, pentyl acetate, heptanone, 1-hexanol, and 2-hexan (Fig. 5). Weaker responses were observed for 1-octen-3-ol, 2,3-dibutanedione, ethyl butyrate, E2-hexenal, and geranyl acetate. The 45 other odorants tested produced little or no response above the spontaneous action potential firing rate. These results indicate that ab8A neurons are odor selective but can be stimulated by a wide range of chemically diverse odorants. None of these odorants evoked activity in the ab8A neurons in Or43b mutants, indicating that these neurons lack functional odorant receptors.

Or83b does not function as an independent receptor for any tested odorant

Or83b is a putative Drosophila odorant receptor expressed in a large fraction of olfactory neurons. The function of this receptor is unknown, but it could function as an independent odor receptor or as a coreceptor that functions with other Drosophila odor receptors. We used in situ hybridization in frozen tissue sections through the antenna to colocalize Or43b and Or83b expression. Figure 6 shows that olfactory neurons expressing Or43b also express Or83b. We did not detect any Or43b-positive neurons that were not Or83b positive. The simplest explanation of these re-
results is that in ab8A, the Or83b receptor alone does not confer odor sensitivity to any of the 55 odorants tested.

Olfactory behavioral responses of Or43b mutants

Or43b mutants are defective for odor-induced activation of ab8A neurons. What is the contribution of ab8A neurons to chemosensory behavior? We tested the olfactory behavioral responses of Or43b mutants and controls to determine whether loss of ab8A activity results in alterations in olfactory behavior. We performed T-maze assays (Tully and Quinn, 1985) on Or43b mutant and control flies using serial dilutions of odorants that activate the ab8A neuron in wild-type flies. For these odorants, we observed no significant differences in chemosensory behavior between controls and Or43b mutants (data not shown). We screened ~200 additional compounds or complex odor mixtures for their ability to induce abnormal chemosensory behavior compared with control flies using a population cage assay (complete list of odorants, available at www.jneurosci.org). This assay successfully identified an increased affinity of LUSH mutants (Kim et al., 1998) for high concentrations of ethanol compared with controls (data not shown). We detected no significant differences in olfactory behavior between control and Or43b mutants. These results suggest that the loss of Or43b odorant receptor and corresponding loss of odor-evoked responses in the ab8A neurons have negligible effects on olfactory behavior.

Discussion

Or43b is required for odor-evoked activity in ab8A neurons

We demonstrated that mutant flies defective for expression of odorant receptor Or43b lack odor-evoked activity in ab8A olfactory neurons. Two independent alleles of Or43b mutants displayed the same phenotype. This defect is specific to ab8A olfactory neurons, because other classes of olfactory neurons located within basiconic sensilla are not affected in the mutants. Or43b expression is localized to the region of the antenna where ab8 basiconic sensilla are located (Elmore and Smith, 2001). These data provide strong support for the idea that Or43b is normally expressed in ab8A neurons, and this receptor is required for odor-evoked activity in these neurons. Immunolocalization of Or43b protein to the dendrites also supports the idea that Or43b functions directly as an odorant receptor (Elmore and Smith, 2001).

Odor specificity of ab8A neurons

In wild-type flies, ab8A neurons respond to a wide range of chemically diverse odorants, including ethyl acetate, pentyl acetate, ethyl butyrate, 1-hexanol, 2-hexanol, 1-octene-3-ol, 2,3-dibutandione, heptanone, and E2-hexenal. This list includes long- and short-chain acetates, alcohols, ketones, an acid, and an aldehyde. Therefore, this olfactory neuron is broadly tuned to a chemically diverse set of odorants. However, a large number of other odorants that we applied does not activate ab8A neurons, demonstrating that although broadly tuned, these neurons are still chemically selective.

Most olfactory neurons in Drosophila, including the ab8A neurons, are tuned to a chemically diverse set of odorants (Clyne et al., 1997; de Bruyne et al., 1999, 2001). Our studies and those of Dobritsa et al. (2003) suggest this tuning likely reflects the chemical specificity of the odorant receptors expressed in these neurons, and that generally these receptors are broadly tuned. Some insect olfactory neurons are tuned to specific odorant molecules. Pheromone-responsive neurons in male moths are exquisitely sensitive to female pheromones and are not activated by structurally similar molecules (for review, see Kaissling, 1997). However, the tuning of pheromone-responsive receptors is under great selective pressure for chemical specificity and may not reflect tuning of other insect odorant receptors. Or43b appears broadly tuned, suggesting overlap in odor specificity among different receptors. This is in contrast to our current view of tuning of vertebrate olfactory neurons that are thought to be narrowly tuned. For example, individual olfactory neurons in the mouse are acti-
vated by structurally related odor molecules with similar chain lengths and functional groups (Malnic et al., 1999; Araneda et al., 2000).

Why would flies have broadly tuned olfactory neurons? The *Drosophila* odorant receptor gene repertoire is an order of magnitude smaller than that of mice or worms. There are ~60 odorant receptor genes in the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome-encoding receptors that must detect and discriminate a large number of odorants relevant to the survival of this species. One possibility is that broadly tuned receptors compensate for a limited receptor set. Using combinatorial coding, discrimination would still be possible among a vast array of odorants if the subset of receptors activated by each odorant still produced a unique spatial and temporal pattern of activity in the glomeruli of the antennal lobes.

Why do flies have such a limited receptor set in the first place? The answer to this question is unknown, but one possibility is that this may reflect the challenges of wiring the peripheral olfactory system without using receptor gene products to guide axonal pathfinding (Elmore and Smith, 2001, Dobritsa et al., 2003).

**Or83b is unlikely to function as an independent odorant receptor**

ab8A expresses at least two members of the Or family, Or43b and Or83b. When Or43b is deleted, the affected neuron fails to show a strong response to any tested odorant. Flies lacking Or43b lack odor-evoked responses despite expression of Or83b in the same neurons. This suggests that Or83b alone is not an independent odorant receptor. It is possible that both Or43b and Or83b can function as odor receptors but are obligate chaperones for each other. For example, GABAR receptors are dimers that include one subunit that passes ions and another subunit required for plasma membrane localization (White et al., 1998). However, misexpression of Or43b in neurons lacking Or22a confers an odor response profile similar to ab8A neurons (Halleh and Carlson, unpublished results). This implicates Or43b as the primary, if not the exclusive, functional odor receptor expressed in ab8A neurons. However, Or83b could still function as a coreceptor. Alternatively, Or83b may not function directly in olfaction at all and could perform some unknown homeostatic role. Finally, we cannot rule out the possibility that Or83b is an odor receptor but is tuned to a chemical structure not tested in our studies. Genetic studies of Or83b will be required to clearly define the role of this unique receptor. However, at present, Or83b mutants are not available.

**Or43b and olfactory behavior**

The loss of Or43b had a dramatic effect on ab8A neuronal activity but, surprisingly, it had no detectable effect on olfactory behavior. Indeed, for all odorants tested, no effect on chemosensory behavior was observed with the T-maze or population cage assays. We could detect no differences in quantitative behavior or sensitivity to any of the odorants that we have shown to activate ab8A neurons. This could reflect limitations of these particular behavioral assays to detect the abnormal behavior induced by the loss of this receptor. Alternatively, perhaps some odorant not tested here can induce differential olfactory behavior in control and Or43b mutants. However, the lack of observable behavioral defects for odors that activate ab8A neurons suggests that there is functional redundancy between odorant receptors in *Drosophila* that can compensate for the loss of ab8A neuron function. Indeed, most of the odorants that activate ab8A are known to activate other classes of olfactory neurons (de Bruyne et al., 2001). Functional redundancy among olfactory receptors could also explain why mutant screens performed over the last 25 years to identify mutants with defective chemosensory behavior have recovered a variety of signal transduction components but failed to recover *Drosophila* odorant receptor mutants.

A particular odorant at a particular concentration is thought to activate a specific subset of receptors and thus a specific subset of glomeruli. The pattern of glomeruli activated is thought to be unique to each odorant, thus defining an odorant “code” in the brain. The loss of ab8A olfactory neuron activity failed to produce defective olfactory behavior, suggesting that odor coding is a robust phenomenon in *Drosophila*. If a pattern of glomerular activity underlies odor discrimination that, in turn, leads to odor-evoked behavior, and if ab8A neurons project to a single glomerulus (Vosshall et al., 2000; Dobritsa et al., 2003), our results suggest that the loss of one glomerular component does not appreciably influence the sensitivity or the quality of the behavioral response. It is not clear from these studies whether odor discrimination is affected in these mutants. In future studies, it will be interesting to determine whether odorants that activate ab8A neurons reveal abnormalities in the ability of these mutants to discriminate among these and closely related odorants, and to evaluate whether these odorants induce different neuronal activity in the higher olfactory-processing centers in Or43b mutants.

**Reverse genetics and analysis of olfactory behavior**

The numerical simplicity of the *Drosophila* olfactory system, combined with the available genetic and imaging tools available, make flies an attractive model system to dissect olfactory function. Functional maps of the *Drosophila* peripheral olfactory system that define the odorant specificity of specific functional classes of olfactory neurons are being developed (de Bruyne et al., 1999, 2001). Analysis of receptor gene expression patterns is currently elucidating anatomic maps (Clyne et al., 1999; Vosshall et al., 1999, 2000). Integration of these maps (Dobritsa et al., 2003) is useful in formulating models of odor coding, but ultimately these models must be tested. We demonstrated here that a reverse genetic approach on the basis of gene targeting can be used to explore the link between selected olfactory gene products of interest and chemosensory behavior, and we have correlated expression of a specific receptor with a functional class of olfactory neurons. A similar analysis of related gene products using homologous recombination or RNA interference (Kalidas and Smith, 2002) will expand our knowledge of the contributions of specific chemosensory receptors to peripheral and central odor-coding mechanisms.
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