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The σ₁ receptor is critically involved in the rewarding effect of cocaine, as measured using the conditioned place preference (CPP) procedure in mice. Neuroactive steroids exert rapid neuromodulatory effects in the brain by interacting with GABA_A, NMDA, and σ₁ receptors. At the σ₁ receptor level, 3β-hydroxy-5-androsten-17-one [dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA)] and 3β-hydroxy-5-pregnen-20-one (pregnenolone) act as agonists, whereas 4-pregnen-3,20-dione (progesterone) is an efficient antagonist. The present study sought to investigate the action of neuroactive steroids in acquisition of cocaine-induced CPP in C57BL/6 mice. None of these steroids induced CPP alone. However, pretreatment with DHEA or pregnenolone (5–20 mg/kg, s.c.) during conditioning with cocaine (10 mg/kg, i.p.) increased the conditioned score. On the contrary, pretreatment with either progesterone (10 or 20 mg/kg, s.c.) or finasteride (25 mg/kg, twice a day), a 5α-reductase inhibitor, blocked acquisition of cocaine (20 mg/kg)-induced CPP. A crossed pharmacology was observed between steroids and σ₁ ligands. The σ₁ antagonist N-[2-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)ethyl]-N-methyl-(dimethylamino)ethylamine blocked cocaine-induced CPP and its potentiation by DHEA or pregnenolone. Progesterone blocked cocaine-induced CPP and its potentiation by the σ₁ agonist igmesine. These results showed that neuroactive steroids play a role in cocaine-induced appetence, through their interaction with the σ₁ receptor. Therefore, neuroendocrine control of cocaine addiction may not involve solely glucocorticoids. The importance of neuroactive steroids as factors of individual vulnerability to drug addiction should, thus, be considered.
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**Introduction**

Cocaine is a major drug of abuse worldwide. It is a potent stimulant, exerting its effects primarily by its dopaminergic agonist activity on the mesocorticolimbic pathways. It is widely accepted that the addictive and reinforcing actions of cocaine are the results of its ability to block the reuptake of dopamine (DA) and thereby to increase DA neurotransmission (Ritz et al., 1987). Acute administration of cocaine induces hyperlocomotion and stereotypies, whereas repeated administrations provoke sensitization to the drug effects, with reinforcement and dependence appearing within a short time after the first administration (Koob and Nestler, 1997). Besides its action on DA, cocaine is known to affect the hypothalamic-adrenal axis, leading to increased levels of corticotropin-releasing hormone (Sarnyai et al., 1992), adrenocorticotropic hormone, and glucocorticoids (Moldow and Fischman, 1987). In turn, glucocorticoids play a particular role in vulnerability to cocaine intake and drug effects in terms of stress-induced regulation of dopaminergic neurons (Marinelli and Piazza, 2002).

However, with the exception of the anticonvulsant potency of 4-pregnen-3,20-dione (progesterone) metabolites against cocaine-induced seizures (Gasior et al., 1997), little is known regarding the influence on cocaine addiction of steroids synthesized upstream to glucocorticoids in adrenals, gonads, and the brain. Among them are neurosteroids (i.e., steroids synthesized in the brain and acting locally to modulate neuronal cell activity) (Baulieu, 1981). Neurosteroids are known to exert their modulatory effects primarily via nongenomic actions and are known to affect learning and memory processes, mood, and depression (Rupprecht and Holsboer, 1999). 3β-Hydroxy-5-pregnen-20-one (pregnenolone), 3β-hydroxy-5-androsten-17-one [dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA)], and their sulfate esters are considered as excitatory steroids because they act as negative modulators of the GABA_A receptor (Majewska et al., 1988, 1990) and positive modulators of NMDA receptors (Wu et al., 1991). Progesterone and its reduced metabolite 3α-hydroxy-5α-pregnan-20-one (allopregnanolone) act as positive modulators of the GABA_A receptor (Schumacher and McEwen, 1989) and negative modulators of NMDA receptors (Smith, 1991). In addition, neuroactive steroids interact with the σ₁ receptor, with in vitro affinities for brain [3H](+)-SKF-10,047 (N-[3H]allylnormetazocine)-labeled sites of 300 nM, 1 μM, and 3 μM for progesterone, DHEA, and pregnenolone, respectively (Su et al., 1988; Maurice et al., 1996). Moreover, pregnenolone, DHEA, and their sulfate esters also act as σ₁ receptor agonists, whereas progesterone is a potent σ₁ antagonist (Monnet et al., 1995; Bergeron et al., 1996; Maurice et al., 1999, 2001). The σ₁ receptor is an intracel-
lular neuronal protein associated with endoplasmic reticular, plasma, nuclear, and mitochondrial membranes (Alonso et al., 2000). The \( \sigma_1 \) receptor ligands potently modulate intracellular Ca\(^{2+} \) mobilizations and extracellular Ca\(^{2+} \) influx (Hayashi et al., 2000) in addition to numerous responses to neurotransmitters (Monnet et al., 1992; Gonzalez-Alvear and Werling, 1994). This nonselective but efficient neuromodulatory system plays a major role in memory processes and response to stress or depression (for review, see Maurice et al., 1999, 2001). Moreover, the \( \sigma_1 \) receptor is involved in several aspects of cocaine action (for review, see Maurice et al., 2002), including hyperlocomotion (Menzel et al., 1991), sensitization (Ujike et al., 1996), rewarding effects (Romieu et al., 2000, 2002), convulsions, and lethality (Matsumoto et al., 2001).

The present study examined the effects of neuroactive steroids, namely pregnenolone, DHEA, and progesterone, on cocaine-induced appetitive properties, using the conditioned place preference (CPP) paradigm in C57BL/6 mice. The results show that pregnenolone and DHEA, devoid of effect by themselves, markedly increased cocaine-induced CPP, whereas progesterone blocked it. A crossed pharmacology was observed between steroids and \( \sigma_1 \) ligands, because the potentiating effects of pregnenolone and DHEA were blocked by preadministration of the \( \sigma_1 \) antagonist N-[2-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)ethyl]-N-methyl-2-(dimethylamino)ethylamine (BD1047), whereas the potentiating effect of the \( \sigma_1 \) agonist igmesine was blocked by progesterone. Neuroactive steroids may, thus, act through the \( \sigma_1 \) receptor to modulate cocaine-induced reward.

Materials and Methods

Animals. Male C57BL/6 mice, 1 month of age and weighing 24–26 gm, were purchased from Iffa-Credo (Saint-Germain-sur-l’Arbresle, France). The animals were housed in groups with ad libitum access to food and water in a temperature- and humidity-controlled animal facility on a 12 hr light/dark cycle (lights off at 8:00 P.M.). Experiments were performed between 10:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M. in a sound-attenuated and air-regulated experimental room, to which mice were habituated at least 30 min before each experiment. All animal procedures were conducted in strict adherence to the European Communities Council (EEC) Directive of November 24, 1986 (86-609/EEC).

Drugs. Cocaine was purchased from Cooper (Brive, France). Proges-
terone, pregnenolone, and DHEA were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France). (+)-N-cyclopropylpropynyl-N-methyl-1,4-diphenyl-1-ethyl-but-3-en-1-yamine (igmesine) was provided by Dr. F. J. Roman (Pfizer GRD, Fresnes, France), and BD1047 was synthesized in the Laboratory of Medicinal Chemistry as described previously (De Costa et al., 1992). Cocaine was dissolved in physiological saline, igmesine and BD1047 were dissolved in distilled water, and steroids were suspended in pure sesame oil (Sigma-Aldrich). They were injected intraperitoneally or subcutaneously in a volume of 100 µl per 20 gm of body weight.

CPP procedure. The apparatus consisted of a polyvinylchloride box divided into two compartments of equal size (15 × 15 × 35 cm high) separated by a sliding door, the first one with black walls and floor and the second one with white walls and floor. Each compartment presented different floor textures (smooth for the black one and covered by a wire mesh grid for the white one). A 60 W lamp lit the white compartment during all experiments.

The procedure consisted of three phases (Romieu et al., 2000, 2002). For preconditioning (day 1), each mouse was placed in the white compartment and doors were raised after 5 sec. The mouse freely explored the apparatus for 10 min. Preconditioning was repeated after 6 hr. The exploration was videotaped, and the amount of time spent in each compartment was recorded to determine the unconditioned preference. Animals showing a strong unconditioned preference (>570 sec) were discarded. Conditioning (days 2–5) was conducted using an unbiased procedure. In each group, one-half of the animals received drugs in the spontaneously preferred compartment and the other half in the nonpreferred compartment. Each mouse was confined to the drug-paired compartment for 30 min. In coadministration experiments, mice received steroids and/or \( \sigma_1 \) ligands 10 min before cocaine. After a 6 hr washout period, vehicle solutions were injected and mice were confined in the other compartment for 30 min. During the postconditioning test (day 6), each mouse freely explored the apparatus for 10 min, and the time spent in each compartment was determined. The conditioned score (mean ± SEM) was calculated as the difference of time spent in the drug-paired compartment between the postconditioning and preconditioning sessions. Mice per group: \( n = 12–16 \) (a) and \( n = 8–12 \) (b). V, Vehicle. * \( p < 0.05 \) and ** \( p < 0.01 \) versus the V+V-treated group; † \( p < 0.05 \) versus the cocaine+V-treated group.

Results

Effects of neuroactive steroids on cocaine-induced CPP

Cocaine conditioning during 4 d resulted in dose-dependent acquisition of CPP. A dose of 10 mg/kg led to a conditioned score of +60 sec (black columns; \( p < 0.05 \)) (Fig. 1a,b), and a dose of 20 mg/kg led to a conditioned score of +120 sec (black column; \( p < 0.01 \)) (Fig. 2a,b). The steroids DHEA (Fig. 1a) and pregnenolone (Fig. 1b), 5–20 mg/kg subcutaneously, failed to induce CPP alone. However, pretreatment before a low dose of cocaine (10 mg/kg) resulted in the potentiation of cocaine-induced CPP (\( F_{(1, 11)} = 7.41; p < 0.0001 \) for DHEA) (Fig. 1a) (\( F_{(1, 5)} = 3.70; p < 0.01 \) for pregnenolone) (Fig. 1b). In particular, DHEA at 10 and 20 mg/kg and pregnenolone at 10 mg/kg provoked significant increases in the conditioned scores compared with cocaine-treated animals (\( p < 0.05 \) each).

Progesterone (10–40 mg/kg, s.c.) administration failed to induce CPP alone (Fig. 2a). However, preadministration of progesterone before cocaine (20 mg/kg) led to a U-shaped decrease in the conditioned score (\( F_{(1, 11)} = 3.56; p < 0.01 \)) (Fig. 2a). Progesterone (20 mg/kg) pretreatment prevented acquisition of cocaine-induced CPP, whereas a higher dose (40 mg/kg) failed to affect the conditioned score. Finasteride is a selective inhibitor of the 5α-reductase enzyme that converts progesterone to 5α-pregnane-3,20-dione, the precursor of allopregnanolone (Trapani et al., 2002). When mice were repeatedly treated with finasteride (25 mg/kg, s.c., twice a day during 6 d), they failed to...
acquire cocaine-induced CPP ($F_{(3,30)} = 4.43; p < 0.01$) (Fig. 2b). Finasteride treatment was, however, devoid of effect alone. Finasteride administration resulted in a moderate accumulation of progesterone and in depletion of both 5α-pregnan-3,20-dione and allopregnanolone levels (Trapani et al., 2002). The blockade of cocaine-induced CPP by finasteride suggested that not only low levels of progesterone but also sustained levels of allopregnanolone are important for the establishment of cocaine-induced CPP. Indeed, at the highest dose tested, the progesterone treatment led to increased allopregnanolone content, and consequently a U-shaped effect of progesterone was observed.

Involvement of the σ₁ receptor in neuroactive steroid effects
The σ₁ receptor has been shown to be involved in the acquisition and expression of cocaine-induced CPP in previous studies, although selective σ₁ ligands failed to induce CPP by themselves (Romieu et al., 2000, 2002). Because the σ₁ receptor is a critical target for neuroactive steroids in the brain, crossed pharmacology studies were performed between steroids and σ₁ ligands. First, the effect of the selective σ₁ antagonist BD1047 (10 mg/kg, s.c.) was examined. BD1047 pretreatment resulted not only in the significant prevention of the cocaine-induced CPP but also in blockade of the potentiation by DHEA ($F_{(7,133)} = 3.97; p < 0.001$) (Fig. 3a). Similarly, BD1047 prevented the potentiation of pregnenolone ($F_{(5,97)} = 3.62; p < 0.01$) (Fig. 3b). However, BD1047 administration failed to induce CPP alone and affected only the conditioned scores observed in cocaine-, DHEA-, or pregnenolone-treated groups (Fig. 3a,b).

The selective σ₁ agonist igmesine (10–30 mg/kg, i.p.) (Fig. 4a) failed to induce CPP alone in the 10–30 mg/kg dose range. However, pretreatment with the compound before cocaine (10 mg/kg, i.p.) significantly potentiated cocaine-induced CPP ($F_{(5,92)} = 5.48; p < 0.001$) (Fig. 4a). At 10 mg/kg, igmesine significantly increased the cocaine-induced conditioned score ($p < 0.05$). We thus tested the antagonizing effect of progesterone (20 mg/kg, s.c.) on the facilitation of cocaine-induced CPP induced by igmesine (Fig. 4b). Progesterone not only significantly prevented cocaine-induced CPP but also blocked the potentiation by igmesine ($F_{(7,125)} = 2.83; p < 0.001$) (Fig. 4b).

**Discussion**

The present study provides evidence showing that neuroactive steroids, namely DHEA, pregnenolone, and progesterone, interfere with cocaine-induced reward in mice. None of the steroids tested alone induced CPP in mice, a behavioral response considered as a reliable index of drug-induced reward. However, pretreatment before cocaine significantly modulated the acquisition of cocaine-induced CPP. On the one hand, DHEA and its precur-
sor pregnenolone facilitated acquisition of cocaine-induced CPP. On the other hand, progesterone or its endogenous accumulation by finasteride inhibition of 5α-reductase activity blocked the cocaine-induced response.

These steroids, originating endogenously from either the adrenal or gonadal glands or the brain, are known to exert rapid neuromodulatory actions on nerve cells (Rupprecht and Holsboer, 1999). In particular, the sulfate ester of pregnenolone has been demonstrated to act as a positive allosteric modulator of the NMDA receptor and a negative allosteric modulator of the GABA$_{A}$ receptor (Majewska et al., 1988; Wu et al., 1991). DHEA sulfate also acts as a negative allosteric modulator of the GABA$_{A}$ receptor (Majewska et al., 1990). On the contrary, progesterone potentiates GABA-induced chloride currents and attenuates some neuronal responses to NMDA (Wu et al., 1990; Smith, 1991). These effects through either GABA$_{A}$ or NMDA receptor modulation may contribute to the present observations. Indeed, mesolimbic DA neurons in the nucleus accumbens are under the control of inhibitory GABA neurons and excitatory glutamatergic neurons originating from the frontal cortex and hippocampus. For example, diazepam, a benzodiazepine acting as a positive GABA$_{A}$ receptor modulator, reduced DA release in the nucleus accumbens measured by in vivo microdialysis (Invernizzi et al., 1991) and as a consequence attenuated cocaine-induced CPP (Meririnne et al., 1999). In addition, presynaptic NMDA receptors facilitate central DA release (Ault et al., 1998). In turn, competitive or noncompetitive NMDA receptor antagonists decreased cocaine-induced locomotor stimulation and sensitization (Karler et al., 1989) as well as CPP (Cervo and Samanin, 1995). Because the respective pharmacological profile of DHEA, pregnenolone, and progesterone on GABA$_{A}$ or NMDA receptors is highly consistent, these interactions could be involved in their effects on cocaine-induced CPP. However, most of the GABA$_{A}$ or NMDA receptor modulators produced CPP or conditioned place aversion (CPA) by themselves. For instance, CPP was observed with the direct GABA$_{A}$ agonist meprobamate, the GABA metabolite γ-hydroxybutyric acid, and several benzodiazepine compounds, whereas benzodiazepine antagonists or inverse agonists produced CPA (for review, see Tzschentke, 1998). Notably, allopregnanolone, a neuroactive steroid devoid of affinity for the σ$_{1}$ receptor but acting as a highly efficient GABA$_{A}$ receptor-positive modulator, induced CPP after exogenous administration (Finn et al., 1996). Whereas NMDA receptor competitive antagonists have also been reported to induce CPP, inconsistent results were reported with noncompetitive antagonists. As a particular example, dizocilpine has been described to induce either CPP or CPA (Tzschentke, 1998). In the present study, the neuroactive steroids failed to induce CPP or CPA alone, which seemed to indicate that at the dose range tested they did not efficiently or selectively interact with either GABA$_{A}$ or NMDA receptors.

The findings of the present study bring evidence that the σ$_{1}$ receptor, another molecular target mediating some of the nongenomic effects of neuroactive steroids, is involved in steroidal effects on cocaine-induced reward. First, the involvement of the σ$_{1}$ receptor in several cocaine-induced behavioral effects has been described previously (for review, see Maurice et al., 2002). Selective σ$_{1}$ antagonists blocked the locomotor stimulant effect of cocaine after acute administration (Menkel et al., 1991; Witkin et al., 1993; McCracken et al., 1999) and the development of behavioral sensitization after repeated cocaine injections and withdrawal (Ujike et al., 1996). Selective σ$_{1}$ antagonists or antisense oligodeoxynucleotide probes targeting the σ$_{1}$ receptor also blocked acquisition or expression of cocaine-induced CPP in mice (Romieu et al., 2000, 2002). Second, a crossed pharmacology could be observed in the present study between neuroactive steroids and σ$_{1}$ ligands on this behavioral response. Cocaine-induced CPP, and its stimulation by pretreatment with either DHEA or pregnenolone, could be fully blocked using the σ$_{1}$ antagonist BD1047. Reciprocally, progesterone blocked not only cocaine-induced CPP but also its stimulation by the selective σ$_{1}$ agonist igmesine. Such crossed pharmacology was previously observed on physiological responses involving the σ$_{1}$ receptor, such as the potentiation of several NMDA-evoked responses in vitro or in vivo (Monnet et al., 1995; Bergeron et al., 1996). At the behavioral level, the antiamnesic effects induced by DHEA, pregnenolone, and their sulfate esters on several pharmacological models of amnesia involved an interaction with the σ$_{1}$ receptor (Urani et al., 1998; Zou et al., 2000; Maurice et al., 2001). The antistress effects of neuroactive steroids in the conditioned fear stress or forced swim tests similarly involved the σ$_{1}$ receptor (Noda et al., 2000; Urani et al., 2001). In these different behavioral consequences of the rapid nongenomic neuromodulation exerted by neuroactive steroids, a clear crossed pharmacology with σ$_{1}$ receptors was described, suggesting a common mode of action and direct interaction (Maurice et al., 1999, 2001). Therefore, the present observations suggest that neuroactive steroids may influence cocaine-induced reward through their interaction with the σ$_{1}$ receptor. Notably, both the σ$_{1}$ antagonist BD1047 and progesterone completely blocked the potentiation of the cocaine-induced CPP by either igmesine or neuroactive steroids. As mentioned previously, neuroactive steroids may modulate dopaminergic neurons through their effects on glutamatergic or GABAergic systems, either at the presynaptic or postsynaptic level. However, activation of the σ$_{1}$ receptor may be a common pathway, putatively downstream to these excitatory or inhibitory regulations, because its inhibition results in a complete blockade of the behavioral response.

At the physiological level, the role of peripheral steroid hormones, notably glucocorticoids, as endogenous modulators of the physiological response to natural reinforcers and drugs of abuse has been documented (Marinelli and Piazza, 2002). Other steroids and particularly centrally synthesized steroids (i.e., neurosteroids) were known to be important modulators of mood, depression, and affective disorders. Interestingly, a recent report by Barrot et al. (1999) showed that intracerebroventricular injection of pregnenolone sulfate increased DA efflux measured in the rat nucleus accumbens by in vivo microdialysis and potentiated the morphine-induced release. Consequently, the authors suggested that this potentiation of mesolimbic DA concentration may suggest an involvement of neuroactive steroids in mood and motivation. The present observations brought behavioral evidence for such an effect by showing that neuroactive steroids could modulate responses to drug reward. DHEA, its precursor pregnenolone, and their sulfate esters may indeed serve as endogenous amplifiers of the rewarding effects of cocaine, whereas progesterone may act as an inhibitor/stabilizer. It should be noted that although no direct measure of brain steroid levels after cocaine has yet been performed, circulating levels of both DHEA and progesterone are affected by cocaine administration (Buydens-Branchey et al., 2002). Therefore, individual levels of these steroids and evolution of the DHEA/progesterone ratio after cocaine administration may putatively constitute a reliable marker of vulnerability. Additional studies must now be conducted to examine the involvement of DHEA and progesterone in other manifestations of cocaine addiction, notably self-administration, to validate their value as individual vulnerability markers.
References


Ault DT, Raedeff JM, Werling LL (1998) Modulation of \( [^{3}H] \) dopamine release from rat nucleus accumbens by neuropeptide Y may involve a \( \sigma \)-like receptor. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 284:553–560.


Gonzalez-Alvear GM, Werling LL (1994) Regulation of \( [^{3}H] \) dopamine release from rat nucleus accumbens by neuropeptide \( Y \) may involve a \( \sigma \)-like receptor, J Pharmacol Exp Ther 284:553–560.


Majewska MD, Demirgo MA, Privat A, Maurice T (1998) The modulation by neurosteroids of the scopolamine-induced learning impairment in mice involves an interaction with sigma, \( \sigma_{1} \), receptors, Brain Res 799:64–77.


