Neuronal Birthdate-Specific Gene Transfer with Adenoviral Vectors
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The multilayered structure of the cerebral cortex has been studied in detail. Early-born neurons migrate into the inner layer and late-born neurons migrate into more superficial layers, thus establishing an inside-out gradient. The progenitor cells appear to acquire layer-specific properties at the time of neuronal birth; however, the molecular mechanisms of cell-fate acquisition are still unclear, because it has been difficult to identify a cohort of birthdate-related progenitor cells. Using replication-defective adenoviral vectors, we successfully performed "pulse gene transfer" into progenitor cells in a neuronal birthdate-specific manner. When adenoviral vectors were injected into the midbrain ventricle of mouse embryos between embryonic day 10.5 (E10.5) and E14.5, the adenoviral vectors introduced a foreign gene into a specific cohort of birthdate-related progenitor cells. The virally infected cohorts developed normally into cortical neurons and formed the canonical cortical layers in an inside-out manner. This technique allows us to distinguish a cohort of birthdate-related progenitor cells from other progenitor cells with different birthdates and to introduce a foreign gene into specific subsets of cortical layers by performing adenoviral injection at specific times. This adenovirus-mediated gene transfer technique will enable us to examine the properties of each subset of progenitor cells that share the same neuronal birthdate.
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Introduction
Early in embryonic development, multipotent progenitor cells in the ventricular zone (VZ) progressively give rise to cortical neurons after multiple cell divisions (Luskin et al., 1988; Price and Thorlow, 1988; Walsh and Cepko, 1988; Reid et al., 1995). An early progenitor cell generates two distinct daughter cells after a mitotic division. This event is referred to as the neuronal birthdate of the daughter cell that is committed to a neuronal fate. The committed neuron leaves the cell cycle and migrates out to the cortical plate (CP) to occupy its mature position in the developing cerebral cortex (CC) (Angevine and Sidman, 1961).

Neuronal birthdate analyses with tritiated thymidine (Angevine and Sidman, 1961; Rakic, 1974) or bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) (Miller and Nowakowski, 1988) have indicated that the earliest-born neurons come to lie in the deepest cortical layer and that the latest-born ones migrate into the most superficial layer. Thus, the laminar structure of the CC is established in an inside-out developmental gradient. Each layer consists of neurons that share similar birthdates as well as common morphologies, functional properties, and projection patterns (for review, see McConnell, 1988). Transplantation studies have clearly revealed that the progenitor cells express properties characteristic of their cortical layer-specific cell fate just before their neuronal birthdate (McConnell, 1988; McConnell and Kaznowski, 1991; Frantz and McConnell, 1996). Therefore, establishment of cell fate in progenitor cells closely correlates with their neuronal birthdates.

Progenitor cells show altered patterns of gene expression over time (Frantz et al., 1994; Burrows et al., 1997). This suggests that the progenitor cells are intrinsically different at each neuronal birthdate. Their intrinsic changes appear to regulate their commitment to specific laminar phenotypes, but the molecular mechanisms mediating the sequential generation of diverse laminar phenotypes from a multipotent progenitor cell population are not yet clear. If we could identify properties of particular subsets of progenitor cells that share the same neuronal birthdate, we could begin to understand more clearly the molecular mechanisms of cortical neurogenesis, but such a task has proven difficult.

To address this problem, we used replication-defective adenoviral vectors to introduce foreign genes into developing progenitor cells in the VZ. Adenoviral vectors expressing a marker gene were injected into a midbrain ventricle of mouse embryos. Remarkably, the marker was introduced by the adenoviral vectors on the day of adenoviral infection into a subset of progenitor cells that share the same neuronal birthdate. These birthdate-specific labeled progenitor cells differentiated normally into cortical neurons and formed specific cortical layers in an inside-out manner. By using adenoviral vectors, we can thus introduce a foreign gene into developing progenitor cells in a neuronal birthdate-specific manner. This adenovirus-mediated gene transfer technique will illuminate molecular properties of birthdate-related progenitor
cell populations and mechanisms by which cortical laminar structures are formed.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of recombinant adenoviral vectors. The adenoviral vectors AdexCAG-NL-LacZ, AdexCAG-HSVtk, and AdexCAG-NL-Cre are diagrammed in Figure 1A. AdexCAG-NL-LacZ expresses nuclear-targeted β-galactosidase (β-gal) (NL-LacZ) under the control of a strong, ubiquit-ous CAG promoter, as described previously (Hashimoto et al., 1996). AdexCAG-HSVtk expresses herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (HSVtk) as a suicide gene under the control of the CAG promoter. AdexCAG-NL-Cre expresses nuclear-targeted Cre recombinase (NL-Cre) under the control of the CAG promoter (Kanega et al., 1995). AdexCAG-HSVtk was constructed as follows: A 2.8 kbp BglII-BamHI fragment from pTKS (Wigler et al., 1978) coding HSVtk and polyadenylation signal was inserted into the SphI cloning site of pAdex1pCAw (Miyake et al., 1996). AdexCAG-NL-LacZ was derived from pAdexCAG-HSVtk using a modification of the COS-TPC method (Miyake et al., 1996). The adenoviral vector (Adex series) is based on human adeno virus type 5 (Ad5), and is replication incompetent because it lacks the E1A, E1B, and E3 regions of its genome. Each clone was checked by restriction enzymatic digestion (restriction analysis) and PCR for E1A (Zhao et al., 1998) to not include parent adenoviruses.

Injection of adenoviral vectors. Pregnant Institute of Cancer Research (ICR) mice (Sk;ICR; NipponSLC, Hamamatsu, Japan) and pregnant Z/AP transgenic mice (Loeb et al., 1999) (refer to Fig. 7A) were housed in a controlled environment [RIKEN Brain Science Institute (BSI) animal facility] under a regulated 12 hr light/dark cycle. All procedures involving animal preparation were approved by the RIKEN BSI Animal Committee. The day when a vaginal plug was detected was counted as embryonic day 0.5 (E0.5).

Manipulation of mouse embryos was performed according to a modification of ex ovo utero surgery (Muneoka et al., 1986; Turner and Cepko, 1987). This manipulation is illustrated in Figure 1B. Adenoviral vectors (total, 1 × 10^6 pfu) were injected into the midbrain ventricle of the embryos on E10.5, E11.5, E12.5, E13.5, and E14.5. AdexCAG-NL-LacZ was used to label the adeno virus-infected cells. For the cell lineage analysis of the adenovirus-infected cells, AdexCAG-NL-Cre was injected into the Z/AP embryos of Z/AP mice. For cell ablation experiments, AdexCAG-HSVtk was injected into mouse embryos at E11.5 and, subsequently, the pregnant mice were injected intraperitoneally with 30 mg/kg body weight ganciclovir (GCV; Sigma-Aldrich) at E12.5. After the adenoviral injection, the embryos were carefully placed into the uterine horn, were injected with the adenoviral vectors and analyzed. The manipulated animals were maintained in a clean environment.

BrdU labeling. A mixture of AdexCAG-NL-LacZ (total, 2.5 × 10^6 pfu) and BrdU (total, 2.5 μg; Sigma-Aldrich) was injected into the midbrain ventricle of mouse embryos on E11.5. When the injections of AdexCAG-NL-LacZ and BrdU were sequentially performed, BrdU was injected into the abdominal cavity of the manipulated pregnant dams (50 μg/gm body weight). At E18.5, the embryos were fixed and sectioned transversely as described below.

Histochemical analysis. The manipulated mice were fixed by transcardiac perfusion with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, at E18.5, P20, and P23. Subsequently, the brains were removed, further fixed in the same fixative for 1 hr at 4°C, and rinsed in PBS(−) (−). To detect β-gal activity, fixed whole brains were stained with Blue-gal (In-vitrogen, Tokyo, Japan) solution (Hashimoto et al., 1996) for several hours at room temperature. Human placental alkaline phosphatase (hAP) activity in the Z/AP mice was detected by a staining method as described previously (Loeb et al., 1999). The brains were cryoprotected by serial equilibration in sucrose [10, 15, and 20% (w/v) in PBS(−)] at 4°C. In some cases, the brains were sliced transversely, with the aid of brain matrices (15003; Ted Pella, Redding, CA). They were sectioned transversely on a cryostat (CM3000; Jung, Nussloch, Germany). The cryostat sections were rinsed in PBS(−) and either used for immunohistochemistry or counterstained with neutral red (Sigma-Aldrich) or cresyl violet (Merck, Tokyo, Japan).

Immunohistochemistry. Cryostat sections were treated as described previously (Hashimoto et al., 1996) with goat polyclonal anti-adenoviral hexon (1:50; Chemicon, Holheim, Germany), goat polyclonal anti-calreitin (1:900; Chemicon), or rabbit polyclonal anti-phospho-histone H3 (pHistone) as a mitotic cell marker (1:100; Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY). Anti-hexon immunoreactivity was detected by FITC-conjugated anti-goat IgG (1:200; Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA). Anti-calreitin or anti-pHistone immunoreactivity was detected using an ABC kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) and 3,3’diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DjnDo, Kumamoto, Japan). Some sections were double-immunostained with mouse monoclonal anti-adenoviral hexon (1:50; HyTest, Turku, Finland) and rabbit polyclonal anti-pHistone (1:100). These immunoreactivities were detected by rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:200; ICN/Cappel, Costa Mesa, CA) and FITC-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:200; ICN/Cappel). Some sections were counterstained with cresyl violet (Merck).

The cryostat sections of the BrdU-labeled brains were incubated with 2N HCl and 0.5% (w/v) Triton X-100 for 30 min and subsequently neutralized with PBS(−). They were immunostained with monoclonal anti-BrdU antibody (1:100; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Anti-BrdU immunoreactivity was detected with rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:200; ICN/Cappel). After washing with PBS(−), the sections were incubated with rabbit polyclonal anti-β-gal antibody (1:50; ICN/Cappel). Anti-β-gal immunoreactivity was detected with FITC-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (1:200; ICN/Cappel). These antibodies were diluted with PBS(−) containing 1% (w/v) BSA and 0.1% (w/v) Tween 20. Optical images were obtained with an Olympus BX530 microscope (Olympus Optical, Tokyo, Japan).

Results

Throughout this report, we use abbreviations in the form “E11.5: P20.” The left side (E11.5) indicates the embryonic stage at which the adeno viral vector was injected, and the right side (P20) is the age at which the mice were analyzed.

Adenoviral infection on the ventricular surface

Adenoviruses are bound to cell surfaces by a coxsackie B and adenovirus type 2 and 5 receptor (CAR) (Bergelson et al., 1997; Tomko et al., 1997). The mouse CAR is already expressed in embryonic brain at E10.5 (Honda et al., 2000). Adenoviruses anchored to a cell surface penetrate into host cells by receptor-mediated endocytosis and quickly release their genomic DNA into the nuclei of the host cells within 1 hr (Greber et al., 1993). During this process, an adenoviral capsid, hexon, is also transferred into the cells (Greber et al., 1993). Thus, cells infected with adenoviruses can be detected with anti-hexon antibody.

AdexCAG-NL-LacZ (Fig. 1A) was injected into the midbrain ventricles of embryos on E10.5 (Fig. 1B). Five hours after injection, the embryos were sectioned transversely and stained with polyclonal anti-hexon antibody (Fig. 1C). Anti-hexon immunoreactivity was observed on all ventricular surfaces. This indicates that after the injection, the adenoviral vectors quickly spread throughout all ventricles and penetrated into the neuroepithelial cells.

AdexCAG-NL-LacZ was injected into the midbrain ventricle of E11.5 embryos. Two hours after the injection, the embryo was fixed and sectioned transversely on a cryostat. The sections were
double-immunostained with monoclonal anti-hexon and polyclonal anti-pHistone antibodies (Fig. 1D–L). We used the anti-pHistone antibody as a mitotic cell marker. Anti-hexon immunoreactivity was mainly observed in progenitor cells located on the ventricular surface (Fig. 1D,G,J, arrows); these cells were also positive for the mitotic cell maker pHistone (Fig. 1E,H,K, arrows). Some of these cells have a short ascending process (Fig. 1J,L, unfilled arrowheads). This indicates that the adenoviral vectors infect progenitor cells undergoing mitosis on the ventricular surface sometime within the first 2 hr after adenoviral infection.

**Distribution of infected neurons in embryonic cerebral cortex**

After the injection of AdexCAG-NL-LacZ, E11.5:E18.5 (Fig. 2A) and E12.5:E18.5 (Fig. 2B) brains were fixed and stained for β-gal by whole mount. These brains showed strong β-gal activity in the CC, olfactory bulb and superior colliculus, but the pattern of β-gal staining was somewhat different in the two populations. Many β-gal-positive cells were observed on the surface of the CC (unfilled arrowheads). Arrowheads in D and F indicate a hexon-positive cell in G1 phase that appears to be migrating away from the ventricular surface. Asterisks indicate blood vessels. 4V, Fourth ventricle; CAG, ubiquitous and strong promoter; GpA, poly-A region of rabbit β-globin; T, telencephalon; FB, forebrain ventricle; HB, hindbrain ventricle; LT, lamina terminalis; RH, roof of hindbrain; V, ventricle.
in the E11.5:E18.5 brain (Fig. 2A, inset), but there are no such cells in the E12.5:E18.5 brain (Fig. 2B). To examine more closely the distribution of β-gal-positive cells in the E11.5:E18.5 and E12.5:E18.5 brains, we transversely sectioned them and counterstained them with neutral red (Fig. 2C–E). In the E11.5:E18.5 brain (Fig. 2C,D), β-gal-positive cells are localized in the marginal zone (future layer I) and in the subplate (future layer V1). In contrast, β-gal-positive cells in the E12.5:E18.5 brain exist only in the CP (Fig. 2E). The distribution of β-gal-positive cells within the CC was entirely different from the E11.5:E18.5 brain and the E12.5:E18.5 brain. Almost all of the β-gal-positive cells were determined to be neurons, based on their morphological and immunohistochemical properties.

The β-gal-positive cells in the marginal zone of the E11.5:E18.5 brain (Fig. 2D, arrows) may be Cajal-Retzius (C-R) cells, because these cells were also positive for the C-R cell marker calretinin (Fig. 2D, inset, unfilled arrowheads) (del Rio et al., 1995). This result indicates that the progenitor cells that were infected with the adenoviral vector on E11.5 generated C-R cells and subplate neurons; in contrast, the progenitor cells infected on E12.5 produced CP neurons. This difference in distribution corroborates findings of neuronal birthdate analyses by pulse-labeling with tritiated thymidine (Angevine and Sidman, 1961) and BrdU (Miller and Nowakowski, 1988). These analyses indicated that C-R cells and subplate neurons are generated first during cortical neurogenesis, and subsequently CP neurons are generated and migrate radially into the region between the marginal zone and subplate (for review, see Allendoerfer and Shatz, 1994; Marin-Padilla, 1998). Thus, CP neurons are younger than C-R cells and subplate neurons.

### Relationship between adenoviral gene transfer and BrdU labeling

An exogenous DNA precursor, BrdU, is used to study cell proliferation in the developing nervous system and has been useful in the study of neuronal birthdates (Miller and Nowakowski, 1988). It is generally accepted that only cells in the S-phase of the cell cycle at the time of a single abdominal injection of BrdU incorporate BrdU into their chromosomal DNA (pulse-labeling), and the day of BrdU injection corresponds to the birthdate of neurons that are strongly labeled with BrdU.

To determine the birthdate of a cortical neuron infected with AdexCAG-NL-LacZ, we directly injected BrdU together with AdexCAG-NL-LacZ into the midbrain ventricle of E11.5 embryos. BrdU was not incorporated into the adenoviral genomic DNA, because the adenoviral vector used was replication incompetent. Seven days after the adenoviral injection, the E11.5:E18.5 brains were sectioned transversely and double-immunostained with anti-β-gal (Fig. 3A,D) and anti-BrdU (Fig. 3B,E) antibodies. Anti-β-gal immunoreactivity (Fig. 3A,D) was localized to the marginal zone and subplate, as in the β-gal-staining experiment (Fig. 2E). Anti-BrdU immunoreactivity was also localized to the marginal zone and subplate (Fig. 3B,E). In these regions, three types of cells (β-gal-positive, BrdU-positive, and β-gal- and BrdU-double-positive) were observed, with a large fraction of the β-gal-positive cells also positive for BrdU (Fig. 3C,F). Anti-β-gal and anti-BrdU immunoreactivity was found in the nuclei of the double-positive cells (Fig. 3D–F, insets). This indicates that the double-positive cells were born on the day of injection.

Next, we injected the mixture of AdexCAG-NL-LacZ and BrdU into the midbrain ventricle of E12.5 embryos (Fig. 4). These embryos were fixed on E18.5 and sectioned transversely on a cryostat. The sections were double-immunostained with anti-BrdU and anti-β-gal antibodies (Fig. 4A–C,G’). Anti-β-gal (Fig. 4A) and anti-BrdU (Fig. 4B) immunoreactivity was seen together in the bottom layer of the CP (Fig. 4C), as in the β-gal-staining experiment (Fig. 2E). This region includes the following types of cells: β-gal-single-positive (indicated in green), BrdU-single-positive (indicated in red), and β-gal- and BrdU-double-positive (Fig. 4G, arrowheads, yellow). This mixed cell population was consistently observed.

This mixed cell population reflects an important difference between adenoviral infection and BrdU labeling. Adenoviral vectors infect progenitor cells undergoing M-phase on the ventricular surface (Fig. 1D–L). In contrast, BrdU labels progenitor cells in S-phase in the outer half of the VZ (Takahashi et al., 1993). Consequently, right after the simultaneous injection of AdexCAG-NL-LacZ and BrdU (Fig. 4G, 0 hr cell cycle), the adenoviral vectors (M-phase; green-filled circles) and BrdU (S-phase; red-filled circles) label different fractions of the proliferating cell population in the VZ. For the first hour (Fig. 4G, 0 and 1 hr cell cycles), the mitotic cells on the ventricular surface are only labeled by the adenoviral vectors and thus appear as β-gal-single-positive (green-filled circles) cells with labeling, because it takes ~2 hr for the BrdU-labeled cells (red-filled circles) to descend from the outer half of the VZ to the ventricular surface and enter into M-phase (Takahashi et al., 1993). Two hours after the simultaneous injection (Fig. 4G, 2 hr cell cycle), the BrdU-labeled cells enter into M-phase and are infected with the adenoviral vectors; thus, they appear as double-positive for β-gal and BrdU with labeling (yellow-filled circles). These temporal differences between the labeling events underlie the establishment of the mixed cell population. This result also suggests that the adenoviral vectors continue to infect the mitotic cells on the ventricular surface for >2 hr (Fig. 4G, 2–4 hr cell cycles).

How long do the adenoviral vectors remain capable of infecting the mitotic cells on the ventricular surface? To examine this question, we performed sequential injections of the adenoviral vector and BrdU at different embryonic stages (Fig. 4D–F,H’). First, AdexCAG-NL-LacZ was injected into the midbrain ventricle of E12.5 embryos. Fifteen hours after the adenoviral injection, we injected BrdU into the abdominal cavity of the manipulated pregnant dams (Fig. 4D–F). At E18.5, the embryos were fixed and transversely sectioned on a cryostat. The sections were...
double-immunostained with anti-β-gal (Fig. 4D) and anti-BrdU (Fig. 4E) antibodies. No double-positive cells were observed (Fig. 4F, arrows). At the time of the BrdU injection, the cell cycle that was occurring at the time of the adenoviral injection had finished, and the following cell cycle was already in progress (Takahashi et al., 1995). Thus, the injected BrdU labeled the population of proliferating cells that were undergoing this next cell cycle, suggesting that the effective time of the uptake of adenoviral vectors was <15 hr and, thus, less than the period of a single cell cycle. Next, we shortened the interval between adenoviral and BrdU injections. AdexCAG-NL-LacZ was injected into E12.5 embryos (Fig. 4H, 0 hr cell cycle). Two hours after the adenoviral injection, we injected BrdU into the abdominal cavity of the manipulated pregnant dams (Fig. 4H, 2 hr cell cycle). At E18.5, the embryos were fixed and sectioned on a cryostat. The sections were double-immunostained with anti-BrdU and anti-β-gal antibodies (Fig. 4H'). Four hours after the adenoviral injection (Fig. 4H, 4 hr cell cycle), the BrdU-labeled cells entered into M-phase (yellow-filled circles), because 2 hr had passed since the BrdU injection. If the adenoviral vectors continued infecting the mitotic cells on the ventricular surface for >4 hr, double-positive cells should be observed (Fig. 4H, 4 and 5 hr cell cycles, yellow-filled circles). However, it was difficult to find any double-positive cells in the E12.5–E18.5 cortical plate (Fig. 4H'), which indicates that the adenoviral vectors cease infecting mitotic cells on the ventricular surface within 4 hr of the adenoviral injection (Fig. 4H, 3 or 4 hr cell cycles).

**Figure 4.** Feature of adenovirus-mediated gene transfer into embryonic brain. AdexCAG-NL-LacZ and BrdU were simultaneously injected into the midbrain ventricle of E12.5 mouse embryos (A–C, G'). In the other cases, AdexCAG-NL-LacZ and BrdU were injected at separate times (D–F, H'): first, AdexCAG-NL-LacZ was injected into the midbrain ventricle of E12.5 embryos, and then 15 (D–F) or 2 (H') hr after the adenoviral injection, BrdU was injected into the abdominal cavity of the manipulated pregnant dams. At E18.5, the embryos were fixed and sectioned transversely on a cryostat. The transverse sections were double-immunostained with anti-β-gal and anti-BrdU antibodies. The simultaneous injection, the following cell-labeling patterns were seen in the cortical plate of the E12.5:E18.5 brain (C, G'): β-gal-positive cells (shown in green), BrdU-positive cells (shown in red), and β-gal- and BrdU-double-positive cells (shown in yellow). In contrast, after injection at separate times, the population of double-positive cells (shown in yellow) in the E12.5:E18.5 brains (F, H') was not observed (F) or was greatly reduced (H'). The diagram in G indicates the labeling schedule for the experiment using simultaneous injection of BrdU and adenoviral vectors. Arrowheads in C, G', and H' indicate β-gal- and BrdU-double positive cells (shown in yellow). Arrows in F indicate β-gal-single-positive cells. The diagram in H indicates the labeling schedule for the experiment in which BrdU and adenovector were applied at different times. One cell cycle is illustrated by a large circle, as shown at the lower left in G. The cell cycle progresses in a single direction (from G0 to S, G1, and M phases). The small red-filled circles indicate the fractions of proliferating cells that are labeled with BrdU during S-phase. The small green-filled circles indicate the fractions of proliferating cells that are infected with AdexCAG-NL-LacZ during M-phase and were found with labeling to be positive for β-gal. The small yellow-filled circles indicate the BrdU- and β-gal-double-positive cells. One small circle is equivalent to ~20 min on one cell cycle. IZ, Intermediate zone; MZ, marginal zone. Scale bar, 100 μm.

**Distribution of the infected neurons in adult cerebral cortex**

AdexCAG-NL-LacZ was injected into the midbrain ventricles of embryos on E12.5, E13.5, and E14.5. At P20, E12.5:P20, E13.5:P20, and E14.5:P20 brains were stained for β-gal by whole mount. All showed overall high β-gal activity, but the specific staining patterns were different between them. To reveal the distribution of β-gal-positive cells in each adult brain, we sectioned them transversely (Fig. 5). β-gal-positive cells were clearly localized in layers V, IV, and II–III of the E12.5:P20, E13.5:P20, and E14.5:P20 neocortices, respectively (Fig. 5, left, middle, and right columns, respectively). Almost all of the β-gal-positive cells were neurons. These results indicate that early-infected progenitor cells (e.g., E12.5) came to lie in the inner layer (e.g., layer V), whereas late-infected progenitor cells (e.g., E14.5) migrated to the outer layer (e.g., layer II–III), and, thus, the virally infected progenitor cells revealed an inside-out developmental gradient. These results correspond to neuronal birthdate analyses with triated thymidine (Angevine and Sidman, 1961; Smart and Smart, 1982) and BrdU (Miller and Nowakowski, 1988).

The β-gal-positive cell populations were distributed in different areas of the CNS. Many β-gal-positive neurons were observed in the posterosilateral cortical amygdaloid nucleus (APL), postero-medial cortical amygdaloid nucleus (APM), hypothalamus, and olfactory tubercle of E12.5:P20 (Fig. 5A,G) and E13.5:P20 (Fig. 5B,H) brains, but the caudate putamen (CAU), hippocampus, and thalamic regions of E12.5:P20 and E13.5:P20 brains were negative for β-gal. In contrast, β-gal-positive cells were found only rarely in the APL, APM, CAU, olfactory tubercle, and thalamic regions of E14.5:P20 brains, but the pyramidal neurons in the CA1 and CA2 regions of the hippocampus were strongly positive for β-gal (Fig. 5L,L). The results indicate that the pyramidal neurons in the CA1 and CA2 regions of the hippocampus are generated after E14.5 (Figs. 5L,L, 6C). In contrast, neurons in thalamic regions were generated before E11.5 (Fig. 6A,B).

In the E12.5:P20 brain (Fig. 5A,G), β-gal-positive cells were more abundant in the lateral limbic cortex (LC) and paleocortex (PC) than in the dorsal neocortex. In the E13.5:P20 brain (Fig. 5H), there were clearly fewer β-gal-positive neurons in the posterolateral neocortex (Fig. 5H, between arrow and arrowhead),
which includes the primary auditory cortex, than in the LC–PC. The boundary between these regions was plainly observed just under the rhinal fissure (Fig. 5H,M, arrowhead, supplemental Fig. S1B, broken line, available at www.jneurosci.org). In contrast, in E14.5:P20 brains, almost all of the β-gal-positive cells were located in the neocortex (Fig. 5C,I), and the extent of β-gal-positive cells ceased under the rhinal fissure (Fig. 5I, arrowhead, supplemental Fig. S1D, broken line, available at www.jneurosci.org). These results suggest that neurogenesis of the LC and PC may precede that of the neocortex and may be complete by E14.5.

In the E13.5:P20 brain (Fig. 5H), the posterodorsal neocortex, which includes the primary visual cortex (Fig. 5H, VC), contained more β-gal-positive neurons than the posterolateral neocortex (Fig. 5H, between the arrow and the arrowhead), primary motor cortex (Fig. 5B, MC), and primary somatosensory cortex (Fig. 5B, SSC). This difference in cell population clearly delineated the boundary between the posterodorsal neocortex and other regions (Fig. 5H,M, arrow, supplemental Fig. S1A,B, arrows, available at www.jneurosci.org). This result suggests that the number of cortical neurons that are generated from the VZ on E13.5 determines in part the boundaries between several cortical regions.

In contrast to specific β-gal expression domains observed in response to adenoviral gene transfer, when the E18.5 and P20 brains of the Z/AP transgenic mouse that ubiquitously expresses LacZ gene under the control of the CAG promoter (Fig. 7A) were fixed and stained for β-gal, the entire brain and all CC layers were positive for β-gal (data not shown). This indicates that the transcriptional activity of the CAG promoter is not regulated by intrinsic factors in a cortical layer-specific manner. In addition, the activity and specificity of gene expression from adenoviral vectors reflects the activity and specificity of promoter elements on adenoviral vectors (Hashimoto et al., 1996). Thus, the observed specific distribution of β-gal-positive cells cannot be ascribed to selective transcriptional activity of the CAG promoter on the adenoviral vector.

Influence of adenoviral infection on cortical neurogenesis
In general, replication-deficient retroviral vectors are used to introduce a foreign gene into progenitor cells in the VZ at various
embryonic stages. Retrovirus-mediated gene transfer is useful for lineage tracing of progenitor cells, because the retroviral genome is integrated into the chromosomal DNA and passed on to all of the progeny. Retroviral lineage-tracing experiments have indicated that early progenitor cells in the VZ are normally multipotent, and that they gave rise to progeny spanning many cortical layers after multiple cell divisions (Luskin et al., 1988; Price and Thurlow, 1988; Walsh and Cepko, 1988; Reid et al., 1995). However, our results indicate that virally infected progenitor cells generate a subset of cortical neurons that have given laminar identities according to the day of adenoviral injection. It appears that there are subsets of progenitor cells that are specifically destined to generate specific cortical layers; adenoviral vectors label each specific subset at the time of adenoviral injection. To examine the ability of progenitor cells infected with adenoviral vectors to preserve their multipotency to produce many laminar layers, and indeed whether there exist such subsets of fate-marked progenitor cells exists on the ventricular surface of that E11.5 brain that is predetermined to produce a given laminar layer. This observation is supported by a previous study (Cai et al., 2002).

**Destruction of specific neurons**

AdexCAG-HSVtk expresses the HSVtk gene under the control of the CAG promoter (Fig. 1A). Proliferating cells infected with AdexCAG-HSVtk can be killed selectively by GCV administration (data not shown), because the HSVtk converts the nontoxic GCV to phosphorylated GCV that terminates DNA synthesis (Field et al., 1983). However, nondividing cells, including neurons, infected with AdexCAG-HSVtk would not be killed by GCV.

AdexCAG-HSVtk was injected into the midbrain ventricle of embryos on E11.5. Subsequently, GCV was injected once into the dams at E12.5. Approximately 60% of the manipulated embryos survived until E18.5. The embryos could draw breath after cesarean section, but they appeared to be unable to grow because of an extremely narrow head (Fig. 8A). In contrast, littersmates that were not injected with AdexCAG-HSVtk but were treated with GCV showed a normal phenotype (Fig. 8B). The brains of manipulated embryos (Fig. 8C,E,F) were also smaller than the brains of littersmates (Fig. 8D,F). The size of the CC and cerebellum was clearly reduced. Clots of blood were observed characteristically within the ventricles of manipulated embryos (Fig. 8E, arrowheads). E11.5:E18.5 manipulated and control brains were sectioned...
transversely on a cryostat and stained with cresyl violet (Fig. 8G–J). In sections of manipulated brain (Fig. 8G,H), the cerebral morphology was severely disordered. The thickness of and cell number within the CC were reduced, and the laminar formation was indistinct compared with the control brain (Fig. 8I,J). In addition, the subventricular zone (SVZ) of the striatum and CAU was destroyed in manipulated brains (Fig. 8G, arrow).

When E12.5 embryos were manipulated with AdexCAG-HSVtk and GCV in the same way, the E12.5:E18.5 manipulated embryos showed a phenotype different from that of the E11.5:E18.5 manipulated embryo (data not shown). The shape of the E12.5:E18.5 cerebral cortex is nearly equal to a normal E18.5 control brain (Fig. 8B,D,F). In addition, they could also grow to at least P10 (data not shown).

**Discussion**

This study reported an adenovirus-mediated gene transfer into the mouse embryo. When adenoviral vectors are injected into the
midbrain ventricle of the mouse embryo using this technique, the adenoviral vectors can infect progenitor cells on the ventricular surface and introduce a foreign gene into them in a neuronal birthdate-specific manner. This adenovirus-mediated gene transfer could illuminate mechanisms by which cortical laminar structures are formed.

**Features of adenovirus-mediated gene transfer**

Adenovirus-mediated gene transfer into the mouse embryonic brain has the following seven features: (1) Adenoviral vectors injected into a single ventricle of the embryonic brains spread throughout all ventricles (Fig. 1) to infect progenitor cells undergoing mitosis on the ventricular surface (Fig. 1D–L). (2) The adenoviral infection begins within 2 hr after the adenoviral injection (Fig. 1D–L), and the adenoviral vectors remain able to infect the mitotic cells on the ventricular surface for up to ~4 hr (Fig. 4G,H). The adenoviral vectors in the embryonic ventricle complete their infection of mitotic cells within 4 hr after the adenoviral injection. (3) Adenoviral infection does not terminate the cell cycle of the progenitor cells. Cortical progenitor cells in mice undergo a maximum of 11 cell cycles during neurogenesis (Takahashi et al., 1995), and the laminar identity of cortical neurons is decided by the cell cycle number at which they leave the cell cycle (Takahashi et al., 1996, 1999). If the cell cycle of the progenitor cell were terminated by adenoviral infection, the laminar identity of the virally infected progenitor cells would be determined at the time of the adenoviral infection. However, we observed that progenitor cells retain their multipotency after the adenoviral infection (Fig. 7), indicating that adenoviral infection does not terminate the cell cycle of the progenitor cells. Consequently, the results provided by adenovirus-mediated gene transfer reveal the native behavior of progenitor cells derived from the VZ. (4) Adenoviral vectors can introduce a foreign gene into progenitor cells on the ventricular surface in a neural-birthdate-specific manner (Figs. 2–5). (5) Adenoviral genomic DNA is efficiently translocated to the nuclei of progenitor cells after adenoviral infection, but it rarely integrates into the chromosomal DNA (Harui et al., 1999). (6) The efficiency of gene expression from adenoviral vectors depends on the activity of the promoter region on the vector. Consequently, it takes time to detect the activity of gene products from adenoviral vectors. When AdexCAG-NL-LacZ is used, it takes 12 hr to detect the activity of β-gal (Fig. 9). In contrast, BrdU and tritiated thymidine are immediately incorporated into the genomic DNA of proliferating cells and can be detected 30 min after their intra-abdominal injection (Takahashi et al., 1993). (7) Progenitor cells that are committed to a neural cell fate stably express the foreign gene from embryonic stages to adulthood (Figs. 2, 5, 9). After adenoviral infection, precursor cells committed to a neuronal cell fate retain the adenoviral genomic DNA because they rarely divide again. In

---

**Figure 9.** Distribution of β-gal-positive cells in E11.5:E12 brain. AdexCAG-NL-LacZ was injected into the midbrain ventricle of the E11.5 embryos. Twelve hours (E12) after the adenoviral injection, the embryos were fixed and sectioned transversely. The sections were stained for β-gal and with neutral red (A). C and D are high-magnification views of the dorsomedial and ventrolateral regions of the cerebral cortex sections shown in A. E and F are high-magnification views of the medial and lateral ganglionic eminences from A. The neighboring sections were stained for β-gal and, as a mitotic cell marker, with anti-phHistone antibody (B). The nuclei and cell bodies of progenitor cells undergoing mitosis are located on the ventricular surface, and their cell bodies are swollen and exposed to the ventricle (B, inset). V, Ventricle. Scale bar, 100 μm.
addition, adenoviral genomic DNA is stable in the nucleus, because the terminal proteins that are covalently linked to the 5’ termini of each strand of the adenoviral genome protect it from endogenous exonuclease digestion (Dunsworth-Browne et al., 1980).

Neuronal birthdate-specific gene transfer
BrdU and adenoviral vectors label cells at different points during the cell cycle (Fig. 4G). BrdU is incorporated into proliferating cells undergoing S-phase at the time of BrdU injection (Fig. 4G, 0 hr cell cycle, red filled circles), whereas adenoviral vectors infect mitotic cells on the ventricular surface (Figs. 1 D–L, 4G, 0 hr cell cycle, green filled circles). In addition, the adenoviral vectors continue infecting the mitotic cells on the ventricular surface for ~4 hr after the adenoviral injection. The BrdU-labeled fraction descends from the outer half of the VZ to the ventricular surface and enters into M-phase for the duration of the adenoviral infection. Consequently, the fraction labeled with BrdU partially overlapped with the fraction infected with adenoviral vectors (Fig. 4G, 2–4 hr cell cycles, yellow filled circles). The existence of this overlapping fraction indicates that adenoviral vectors are able to introduce the foreign gene into progenitor cells in a neuronal birthdate-specific manner.

A subpopulation of early progenitor cells generates two distinct daughter cells after an asymmetric cell division (Chenn and McConnell, 1995; Cai et al., 2002). One daughter cell is committed to a neural cell fate and leaves the cell cycle; the other daughter cell re-enters into the cell cycle to propagate the progenitor cell population. When pulse-labeling with tritiated thymidine and BrdU is performed, neurally fated cells are heavily labeled, because the amount of labeled DNA is halved with every subsequent cell division, because the viral DNA is not replicated and rarely integrates into the host chromosomal DNA (Harui et al., 1999). Thus, if early progenitor cells were labeled with adenoviral vectors (e.g., AdexCAG-NL-LacZ2), the proliferating daughter cells would inherit the adenoviral genomic DNA and continue to express the foreign gene (e.g., β-gal). Consequently, the population of cells expressing a foreign gene would be composed of the cells with different neural birthdates. The lack of overlap in the populations of adenovirus-infected cortical neurons (Fig. 4F, arrows, β-gal-positive cells) and BrdU-labeled cells (Fig. 4F, red cells) that were generated in the subsequent cycle of cell division, however, indicates that foreign gene expression from the viral DNA does not continue in the progeny (Fig. 4D–F). This suggests that this adenovirus-mediated gene expression occurs in a specific population of neuronal birthdate-related cells, but the mechanisms of this control are unclear.

Time is an important factor in the regulation of cell fate acquisition for progenitor cells during neurogenesis. For instance, neuronal birthdate determines cortical laminar identity (McConnell, 1988; McConnell and Kaznowski, 1991; Frantz and McConnell, 1996), the number and length of cell cycles regulates cortical neurogenesis (Takahashi et al., 1999; for review, see Caviness et al., 2000), and the switchover from neurogenesis to gliogenesis is modulated by time (for review, see Sauvageot and Stiles, 2002). However, the molecular mechanisms of cell fate acquisition regulated by developmental time are still unclear. If the embryonic day of adenoviral injection is changed, it is possible to modify genetically a specific cohort generated from VZ at that time. This enables us to examine individually behavior and properties of birthdate-related progenitor cells. Adenovirus-mediated gene transfer enables the study of the molecular mechanisms of neurogenesis, migration, and laminar formation of each neuronal birthdate-related cohort.

Regional specification
The results suggest that the neurogenesis of the LC and PC is completed by E14.5, and that the LC and PC acquire a regional specification limiting the cell migration into them (Fig. 5). In fact, rat LC is defined by expression of limbic system-associated membrane protein until E14.5 (for review, see Levitt et al., 1997). Neuronal birthdate may correlate with regional specification of the LC and PC.

In layer IV of the E13.5:P20 neocortex, the population of β-gal-positive neurons in the posterolateral region of the CC was clearly less than in the posterodorsal region of the CC (Fig. 5H,M, supplemental Fig. S1, available at www.jneurosci.org). This regional differentiation in the neuronal birthdate-related cell population is also demonstrated in primate cerebral cortex by labeling analysis with tritiated thymidine, which indicated that primate striate cortex is more abundant in labeled neurons than the neighboring (extrastriate) cortex (Dehay et al., 1993). The layer IV neurons born on E13.5 may be heterogeneous regarding regional cell population. Neuronal birthdate-related cortical neurons may be divided into subpopulations that generate a particular cortical region.

Adenovirus-mediated genetic modification of rodent brain
If this technique is used, it may be possible to genetically modify a specific subset of neurons in embryonic (Figs. 2–4) and adult (Fig. 5) brains. The subset of precursor cells born on E11.5 that includes C-R cells and subplate neurons (Figs. 2, 3) was genetically modified by AdexCAG-HSVtk and destroyed by GCV. The manipulated embryos exhibited a shrunken brain and abnormal laminar formation of the CC (Fig. 8). A recent study using a transgenic mouse that expresses the HSVtk gene specifically on C-R cells and subplate neurons also indicated that the ablation of C-R cells and subplate neurons causes a reduction in brain size and abnormal laminar formation (Xie et al., 2002). Accordingly, the abnormal phenotype of the manipulated brain appears to be caused by the ablation of the subset of C-R cells and subplate neurons. The striatal SVZ and CAU were also destroyed by this manipulation (Fig. 8G, arrow). The cells in the striatal SVZ and CAU are generated from the rapidly proliferating progenitor cells in the VZ of the lateral ganglionic eminence (Bhide, 1996; Sheth and Bhide, 1997). Adenoviral vectors can introduce a foreign gene into the progenitor cells in the lateral and medial ganglionic eminence (Fig. 9E,F). These proliferating cells may be destroyed by AdexCAG-HSVtk and GCV, and, consequently, the striatal SVZ and CAU appeared to be reduced.

In conclusion, these observations indicate that adenoviral vectors can be used for neuronal birthdate-specific gene transfer while maintaining normal neurogenesis. This technique enables the genetic modification of specific subsets of progenitor cells that share the same birthdate. Consequently, we can distinguish a cohort of birthdate-related progenitor cells from other progenitor cells with different birthdates and examine the molecular properties of each subset. In future experiments, we plan to genetically modify birthdate-related progenitor cells using several adenoviral vectors that express a regulator of neuronal development or to collect each subset of birthdate-related progenitor cells. We believe that this technique provides a means of examin-
ing in some detail the molecular mechanisms of cortical laminar formation.
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