Small Clusters of Electrically Coupled Neurons Generate Synchronous Rhythms in the Thalamic Reticular Nucleus
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The inhibitory neurons of the thalamic reticular nucleus (TRN) contribute to the generation of widespread oscillations in the thalamocortical system. Some TRN neurons are interconnected by electrical synapses, and here we tested the possibility that electrical synapses mediate rhythmic synchrony in juvenile rats. Both the incidence and strength of electrical coupling between pairs of TRN neurons were a steep function of intersomatic distance, and coupling was absent at distances >40 μm. Presynaptic spike bursts evoked much larger electrical postsynaptic potentials than did single presynaptic spikes. Activation of metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) with a bath-applied agonist or an endogenous ligand released during tetanic stimulation induced robust rhythms of the subthreshold membrane potential, with a mean frequency of ~10 Hz. In the absence of fast chemical synaptic transmission, subthreshold rhythms and the action potentials that they evoked were well synchronized between closely spaced, electrically coupled pairs; rhythms in noncoupled cells were not synchronized. The results suggest that electrical synapses can coordinate spindle-frequency rhythms among small clusters of mGluR-activated TRN cells.
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Introduction

The GABAergic neurons that comprise the thalamic reticular nucleus (TRN) surround and inhibit the neurons in the thalamic relay nuclei (Steriade et al., 1997; Crabtree, 1999). TRN neurons often participate in widespread rhythmic, synchronized activity that encompasses other thalamic nuclei and the neocortex (Steriade et al., 1987; von Krosigk et al., 1993). The prevalence and frequency of TRN rhythms depend on various factors, including behavioral state (Steriade et al., 1993). Under certain circumstances the TRN may also generate pathological rhythms, such as those associated with spike-wave forms of seizures (Williams, 1953; Avanzini et al., 2000; McCormick and Contreras, 2001; Slaght et al., 2002).

The mechanisms of rhythm generation and synchronization within the TRN are not fully understood. It is possible that the TRN itself is intrinsically rhythmogenic (Steriade et al., 1987); however, when isolated in vitro, the TRN has not been observed to oscillate spontaneously (von Krosigk et al., 1993; Jacobsen et al., 2001). Axons originating outside the TRN, such as glutamatergic afferents from the neocortex and thalamic relay nuclei, may help to synchronize the firing of TRN cells (Destexhe et al., 1998; Bal et al., 2000; Blumenfeld and McCormick, 2000). Synaptic connectivity within the TRN is also likely to play an important role. Anatomical (Deschenes et al., 1985; Yen et al., 1985; De Biasi et al., 1988; Liu and Jones, 1999) and physiological (Ulrich and Huguenard, 1996; Sanchez-Vives et al., 1997; Shu and McCormick, 2002) evidence suggest that TRN neurons form mutually inhibitory GABAergic synapses. Although the structure, function, and extent of inhibitory synapses within the TRN remain somewhat controversial (Wang and Rindel, 1993; Pinault et al., 1995; Huntsman et al., 1999; Landisman et al., 2002; Shu and McCormick, 2002), it is widely accepted that these synapses affect the coordination of spiking among TRN neurons.

We discovered recently that many neurons of the TRN are interconnected by electrical synapses (Landisman et al., 2002). The biophysical properties of electrical coupling between pairs of TRN neurons are very similar to those of electrical coupling between pairs of inhibitory interneurons in neocortex (Galarreta and Hestrin, 1999; Gibson et al., 1999). Coupling among both TRN neurons (Landisman et al., 2002) and neocortical interneurons (Deans et al., 2001) is dependent almost entirely on expression of the gap junction protein connexin36 (Cx36). Fast presynaptic signals, notably action potentials, evoke small electrical postsynaptic potentials that can mediate synchronized spiking between pairs of TRN neurons. Slower signals, such as the depolarizing–hyperpolarizing envelope of an intrinsic burst, are transmitted particularly well by electrical synapses (Landisman et al., 2002). These properties suggest that electrical synapses may be a significant feature of TRN circuitry and thalamocortical processing.

The work that we describe here helps to clarify the importance of TRN electrical coupling in two ways. First, we studied the spatial organization of coupling and found that it was very strongly dependent on intersomatic distance; electrically coupled clusters of TRN neurons are quite small compared with those in...
the neocortex. Second, we induced rhythmic activity in a subpopulation of TRN neurons by activating metabotropic glutamate receptors. The synchronization of induced rhythms was very localized and depended on electrical coupling. We propose that electrical synapses coordinate the rhythmic activity of small clusters of neurons within the TRN.

**Materials and Methods**

Thalamocortical slices (Agmon and Connors, 1991), 350–400 μm thick, were prepared from Sprague Dawley rats aged postnatal days 12–15. Slices were visualized using either a Zeiss Axioplan (Oberkochen, Germany) or an Olympus Optical BX50WI (Tokyo, Japan) CCD camera using infrared-differential interference contrast (IR-DIC) in a submerged recording chamber at 32–33°C. The bathing solution contained (in mM): 126 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 2 MgSO4·7 H2O, 26 NaHCO3, 10 dextrose, and 2 CaCl2. Micropipettes were filled with (in mM): 130 K-glutamate, 0.2 EGTA, 4 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0–10 sucrose, 4 ATP-Mg, 0.3 GTP-Tris, and 14 phosphocreatine-Tris. Recordings were performed in current clamp using Axon amplifiers (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). Extracellular stimulation was delivered through a small bipolar extracellular electrode placed on the internal capsule. Each shock was 200 μsec long, and a 20–30 shock train was delivered at 100 Hz.

The following drugs were used in this study: the NMDA receptor antagonist tetrodotoxin (T0, UK), and the mGluR antagonist (groups I and II) (3 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 2 MgSO4·7 H2O, 26 NaHCO3, 10 dextrose, and 2 CaCl2). Micropipettes were filled with (in mM): 130 K-glutamate, 0.2 EGTA, 4 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0–10 sucrose, 4 ATP-Mg, 0.3 GTP-Tris, and 14 phosphocreatine-Tris. Recordings were performed in current clamp using Axon amplifiers (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). Extracellular stimulation was delivered through a small bipolar extracellular electrode placed on the internal capsule. Each shock was 200 μsec long, and a 20–30 shock train was delivered at 100 Hz.

Several aspects of the analysis, including the algorithms used to calculate auto- and cross-correlation values for subthreshold behavior, have been reported previously (Gibson et al., 1999; Beierlein et al., 2000; Long et al., 2002). Acquisition and analysis software was programmed by Jay R. Gibson (University of Texas, Southwestern Medical Center). To estimate the strength of coupling, 10–20 hyperpolarizing pulses (600 msec, −200 pA) were applied sequentially to each cell. The deflection in the stimulated cell (∆Vstim) and in its coupled neighbor (∆Vcoupl) were averaged, and a coupling coefficient was estimated (ΔVcoupl/ΔVstim). Pairs with coupling coefficients >0.008 were considered to be electrically coupled. Before correlation and power spectrum calculations, all data were bandpass filtered from 1.5 to 70 Hz using a finite impulse response filter. To estimate the degree of correlation between the subthreshold activity of a pair of cells, five 2 sec epochs of ACPD-induced rhythmicity were tested, and the cross-correlation values at time 0 were averaged (bin size = 2 msec). The rhythmic index, used to assess rhythmic strength, was estimated by quantifying the amplitude of the autocorrelogram side peak for a 5 sec epoch during ACPD-induced rhythmicity (see Fig. 3). Power spectra were also constructed for these epochs, and the peak value was defined as the dominant frequency of the recorded cell. We used spike cross-correlations (bin width = 5 msec) to display the degree of synchrony between ACPD-induced action potentials. Correlated spiking was quantified by normalizing the central bin to the mean number of spikes per bin for the entire sample (see Fig. 6B). Thus, peak values >1 imply spike correlations above background.

**Results**

**Electrical coupling in the TRN**

Many neurons of the TRN are electrically coupled to one another (Landisman et al., 2002). Studies in other parts of the CNS have shown that electrical coupling depends strongly on the spatial arrangement of neurons (Amitai et al., 2002; Devor and Yarom, 2002), so we measured the distance-dependence of coupling in the TRN. Electrical coupling between cell pairs was assessed by passing long (600 msec) hyperpolarizing current steps into one cell and measuring the corresponding voltage deflections in both cells (Fig. 1A). The sample of closely spaced pairs gathered for this study had a much higher incidence of coupling (71 of 100 pairs; 71%) and was, on average, coupled more strongly (mean coupling coefficient = 0.11 ± 0.08) than the sample of TRN cells that we reported previously (28 of 90 pairs, or 31%; mean coupling coefficient = 0.032 ± 0.027) (Landisman et al., 2002). The differences between our two studies are primarily attributable to the mean distances between the sampled neurons. Our previous sample included cell somata commonly separated by 10–30 μm (measured edge to edge), whereas the current sample primarily consisted of very closely apposed cells (<5 μm apart).

Indeed, there was a strong correlation between intersomatic distance and both the incidence and strength of coupling. We quantified this relationship by combining data from the present and previous studies. Both the probability of electrical coupling (Pc) (Fig. 1B) and the coupling coefficient (CC) (Fig. 1C) decreased markedly with distance (x), and electrical coupling was never observed at distances >40 μm (n = 13 pairs). The product Pcc(x) is proportional to the total coupling conductance that a cell receives from all of its coupled neighbors at distance x (Amitai et al., 2002). This product is almost an order of magnitude lower for cells spaced 20–40 μm apart compared with those <5 μm apart (Fig. 1D).

Single action potentials in one neuron evoked obvious electrical postsynaptic potentials (“spikelets”) in a second neuron if the coupling coefficient was greater than ~0.10 (Fig. 2A). To eliminate fast chemical synaptic communication, recordings were made in the presence of antagonists of ionotropic glutamate receptors (AP5, DNQX) and GABA receptors (picrotoxin). Spikelets were observed in 76% of coupled pairs and ranged in amplitude from 0.10 to 1.82 mV, with a mean of 0.47 ± 0.34 mV (n = 53 pairs). TRN neurons generate intrinsic bursts of spikes, particularly on the rebound from a period of hyperpolarization (Bal and McCormick, 1993). When we stimulated neurons with hyperpolarizing current steps, the bursts evoked on repolarization had, on average, 4.1 ± 1.4 spikes per burst (n = 168 cells). The
electrical postsynaptic potentials evoked by such bursts (“burstlets”) typically consisted of summated spikelets and a slower component corresponding to the low-threshold spike generated by the presynaptic cell (Fig. 2B, top traces). Burstlets readily triggered spiking in coupled neurons that were near threshold (Fig. 2B, bottom traces). In contrast to spikelets, burstlets were seen in all electrically coupled pairs tested (n = 68). Some pairs (n = 17) with relatively weak electrical coupling (mean coupling coefficient = 0.034 ± 0.015) showed reliable burstlets in the absence of obvious spikelets. The sizes of spikelets and burstlets generated by each neuron were linearly correlated with the coupling coefficient of that neuron to the spike per burst-generating presynaptic cell (Fig. 2C) (r = 0.91 and 0.95, respectively; p < 0.0001 for both). The peak amplitudes of burstlets ranged from 0.23 to 9.25 mV and were, on average, 5.1 times larger than the amplitudes of spikelets recorded in the same neurons. On average, the area under each burstlet was 25 ± larger than the area of its accompanying spikelet (mean burstlet area = 89.0 ± 48.1 mV · msec; mean spikelet area = 3.5 ± 2.1 mV · msec; n = 21).

Despite the small size of spikelets, single spikes in one neuron could also strongly entrain the spiking in an electrically coupled neighbor. Depolarizing current was applied to each of a pair of TRN cells to generate similar mean firing rates (~10 Hz). Cross-correlating the spikes of the two cells showed a strong tendency for firing probability to peak at a phase lag close to zero (Fig. 2D), similar to the behavior of electrically coupled interneurons in neocortex (Galarreta and Hestrin, 1999; Gibson et al., 1999).

Subthreshold rhythms in TRN neurons

Neurons of the TRN are sensitive to mGluR agonists (Lee and McCormick, 1997; Cox and Sherman, 1999). We found that bath application of the mGluR agonist ACPD (50 μM) strongly depolarized and triggered spiking in all neurons tested. No relationship was seen between the magnitude of depolarizations and spiking frequency across cells. Spike amplitudes were truncated. A, Steady hyperpolarizing current in the presence of ACPD revealed a range of subthreshold rhythmicity; some cells as shown in A, C, Auto-correlograms based on 5 sec epochs of ACPD induced rhythmicity in the presence of steady hyperpolarizing current (same cells as shown in A and B). Horizontal lines highlight the primary autocorrelogram side peaks from which subthreshold rhythmicity index was calculated. These examples illustrate nonrhythmic (rhythmicity index = 0.03), weakly rhythmic (0.30), and strongly rhythmic (0.56) neurons. D, Cumulative histogram of rhythmicity scores from all cells tested (n = 202). E, Histogram showing distribution of peak frequency of subthreshold ACPD activity for all rhythmically responding cells (subthreshold rhythmicity index > 0.25; n = 74).
threshold rhythmicity was not caused by a differential sensitivity of TRN cells to ACPD.

The TRN neurons that we sampled were morphologically and physiologically heterogeneous, and we searched for cellular features predictive of mGluR-induced rhythmicity. Soma shape and size vary widely within the TRN, as histological studies have long documented (Ohara and Lieberman, 1985; Spreafico et al., 1991). Among our recorded neurons, the ratio of the somatic diameter along the narrow axis (d) to diameter along the long axis (D) ranged from 0.19 (long, thin, fusiform) to 1.00 (round) (Fig. 4A). Approximating soma size as the area (A) of an ellipsoid, $A = \pi r(0.52D)(0.5d)$, neurons ranged from 141 to 503 $\mu m^2$. Neither somatic shape (d/D) nor area predicted the degree of ACPD-induced rhythmicity among TRN cells. Passive membrane properties also varied across neurons. Resting membrane potential ranged from $-50$ to $-72$ mV but did not correlate with ACPD responsiveness. Input resistance, however, which varied from 62 to 264 $M\Omega$, was strongly and inversely correlated with ACPD-induced rhythmicity ($r = -0.37; p < 0.0001$).

Intrinsic physiological characteristics, in particular spike-frequency adaptation and the tendency to generate spike bursts, varied widely across TRN cells. To quantify these, we first held each neuron at relatively depolarized ($-50$ to $-55$ mV) membrane potentials and tested them with suprathreshold depolarizing current pulses (600 msec). Stepping the current amplitudes from $100$ to $600$ pA generated final, adapted firing frequencies ($F_f$) with means of $10-70$ Hz, respectively, with an approximately linear dependence of frequency on amplitude over this range. The extent of spike-frequency adaptation during these pulses was calculated as the ratio of $F_f$ to the initial firing frequency ($F_i$). The intensity of the stimulus was adjusted to elicit an $F_f$ value between 20 and 30 Hz. $F_f/F_i$ tested in the absence of ACPD ranged from 0.37 to 1.13, but this was not correlated with the rhythmicity index measured in the presence of ACPD.

TRN cells typically generate rebound bursts when released from hyperpolarized membrane potentials (McCormick and Prince, 1986; Huguenard and Prince, 1992). When initially held at $-70$ to $-75$ mV and then stimulated with a 200 pA pulse in the absence of ACPD, all TRN cells produced at least one burst consisting of several fast action potentials riding on a slower low-threshold spike (Fig. 4B). Bursts from different neurons varied in the number of spikes generated and in the magnitude of the post-burst afterhyperpolarization ($AHP_{burst}$) (Avanzini et al., 1989). The subthreshold rhythmicity index was negatively correlated with the magnitude of the burst (Fig. 4D) ($r = -0.47; p < 0.0001$) and positively correlated with the $AHP_{burst}$ (Fig. 4E) ($r = 0.26; p < 0.001$). These results suggest that TRN neurons are physiologically diverse and that the ion currents responsible for generating brief bursts with large AHPs may be an integral feature of mGluR-mediated rhytmogenesis.

Synchrony in the TRN

Electrical coupling can mediate close synchronization of subthreshold oscillations in neocortical interneurons (Beierlein et al., 2000) and inferior olive neurons (Benardo and Foster, 1986; Llinás and Yarom, 1986; Long et al., 2002; De Zeeuw et al., 2003). We tested for synchrony between pairs of closely neighboring and electrically coupled TRN neurons when both cells exhibited rhythmic responses to ACPD. When spiking was suppressed with steady hyperpolarizing current, rhythmic responses of coupled TRN pairs showed strongly synchronized fluctuations of membrane voltage (Fig. 5A). In the absence of hyperpolarizing current, the spiking activity of such cell pairs was also strongly correlated (Fig. 5B).

The patterns of ACPD-induced subthreshold rhythms and spikes were very similar, suggesting strongly that the subthreshold activity was driving the spikes. The best evidence for this came from paired recordings that demonstrated subthreshold and suprathreshold activity simultaneously. Shortly after ACPD was applied to the neurons shown in Figure 5C, both generated well correlated subthreshold rhythms. As the neurons continued to depolarize, cell 1 began to generate rhythmic spike doublets (i.e., two spikes per subthreshold cycle), each of which was closely correlated with the peaks of the subthreshold rhythms in cell 2 (similar behavior can be seen in the paired recordings of Figs. 7A and 9D, left panel). Spike doublets were common; both cells of the pair shown in Figure 5B also generated doublets. Across a sample of single-neuron recordings in ACPD, the coefficient of variation of the intervals between spikes was positively correlated with the subthreshold rhythmicity index measured in the same cells (Fig. 6A) ($r = 0.74; p < 0.005$). This is also consistent with the hypothesis that subthreshold rhythms and neuronal spiking were interactive. Furthermore, because subthreshold rhythms tended to be correlated between pairs of coupled cells, the spikes generated by those cells were also well correlated. Figure 6B plots data from eight paired recordings made in ACPD (or, in one case, after a brief tetanic stimulus; see below) (Fig. 7). In each case, samples of spiking behavior and subthreshold activity (while cells were hyperpolarized) were recorded sequentially. The graph
similar stimuli (20 relay neurons (McCormick and von Krosigk, 1992). We applied could evoke slow, mGluR-dependent depolarization of thalamic synchronous mGluR-dependent rhythms. A previous study were consistently well correlated with the peaks of the subthreshold rhythms in cell 2. 

threshold rhythms; as cells depolarized slightly, cell 1 began to spike. Rhythmic spikes in cell 1 bathing solution also contained 6 mM $[K^+]_{bath}$ (elevated from the normal baseline of 3 mM) to enhance excitability. Tetanic stimulation caused a rhythmic depolarization normal baseline of 3 mM) to enhance excitability. Tetanic stimulation caused all TRN neurons to depolarize and spike repetitively from 2 to >20 sec (Fig. 7B). The mean frequency of the subthreshold tetanus-induced rhythms (8.7 ± 3.6 Hz; $n = 11$) is similar to those induced by ACPD (7.4 ± 2.2 Hz; $n = 74$). Furthermore, tetanic stimuli induced highly correlated subthreshold rhythms (Fig. 7C) as well as tightly correlated spiking (Fig. 7A) in pairs of neurons that were strongly coupled electrically. The tetanus-induced rhythms were blocked by MCPG (1 mM), an antagonist of groups I and II mGluRs ($n = 4$) (Fig. 7B). In two cells held long enough to wash out the MCPG, tetanus-evoked rhythmicity was partially restored.

Small synchronous clusters in TRN
To address the extent to which electrical coupling may synchronize rhythms within the TRN, we plotted the coupling coefficient of TRN pairs against the cross-correlation of the ACPD-induced rhythmic activity (Fig. 8). These data show that electrical coupling strength and the degree of synchrony of ACPD-induced rhythmicity are strongly correlated ($r = 0.71; p < 0.0001$).

The steep distance-dependence of electrical coupling (Fig. 1) and the correlation between coupling strength and the synchrony of subthreshold activity (Fig. 8) suggest that synchronous clusters of TRN neurons may be very small. To test this hypothesis, we studied pairs in which both neurons had a subthreshold rhythmicity index $\geq 0.25$ (Fig. 9). Among 13 such pairs in which intersomatic distances were $\leq 20 \mu m$, 12 were electrically coupled (coupling coefficient $= 0.14 \pm 0.09$), and the mean correlation coefficient for subthreshold rhythms was $0.56 \pm 0.22$ (Fig. 9A). Pairs with intersomatic distances ranging from 25 to 100 $\mu m$ (average $55 \pm 24 \mu m$) were never electrically coupled ($n = 8$ pairs), and all had correlation coefficients $< 0.10$ (mean of $0.03 \pm 0.04$; i.e., not significant) (Fig. 9B). Figure 9C shows that subthreshold rhythms were only correlated when cell–cell spacing was $\leq 20 \mu m$ and when the cells were electrically coupled. Spike-triggered averaging between closely apposed, electrically coupled cell pairs in the presence of ACPD revealed slowly ramping subthreshold depolarizations and fast spikelets (Fig. 9D). Non-coupled neurons, with larger intersomatic distances, showed no such relationship.

Discussion
We have identified several novel features of neuronal interaction within the TRN. Electrical synapses were strong and common between pairs of neurons, but only over very localized spatial domains. In addition, when mGluRs were activated, a subpopulation of TRN cells generated 5–15 Hz rhythms that were synchronized on a local scale via electrical synapses. These results suggest that electrically coupled neuronal clusters may be a major determinant of the spatial and temporal activity patterns of TRN.

The highly localized nature of electrical coupling in the TRN is a surprising observation. Similar measurements made in the neocortex showed that coupling within two different networks of inhibitory interneurons was much more widespread than that in the TRN, extending to intersomatic distances of ~200 $\mu m$ (Ami-tai et al., 2002). The distance-dependence of electrical coupling in the inferior olivary nucleus, however, is about as tightly localized as that in the TRN (Devor and Yarom, 2002). It is not clear what determines the distance-dependence of coupling. Gap junctions have not yet been observed in the TRN, but their presence can be inferred from the Cx36-dependence of coupling (Landisman et al., 2002). The subcellular location of Cx36 in TRN is not known. By analogy with cortical inhibitory cells (Sloper and Powell, 1978; Tamas et al., 2000), gap junctions are most likely to be located at dendrodendritic or dendrosomatic sites. The dendrites of TRN cells are typically several hundred micrometers in length (Scheibel and Scheibel, 1966; Steriade et al., 1997), yet measurable coupling was limited to intersomatic distances of 40 $\mu m$, so it seems likely that electrical synapses preferentially form at very proximal locations rather than on more distal dendrites. It is also possible that distal electrical synapses are too weak and electrotonically remote to be detectable using present techniques. Even if this is the case, very distal gap junctions could influence the dendritic processing of chemical synaptic inputs, the propagation of dendritic spikes, or coordination of subthreshold activity among the local dendritic branches of coupled neurons. Another possibility
is that slicing the tissue caused a significant number of coupled dendrites to be eliminated.

One interesting feature of electrical synapses within the TRN was the extent to which the electrical postsynaptic potential was affected by the intrinsic cellular properties. When a presynaptic TRN cell bursts, the postsynaptic response in a coupled cell is ~5 times greater in peak amplitude and 25 times greater in area than the response to a single presynaptic spike. This difference is attributable to the low-pass filtering characteristics of TRN coupling (Landisman et al., 2002). The envelope of the low-threshold spike component of the burst is a much slower signal than the fast sodium action potentials, and so electrotonic coupling seems best adapted for propagating bursts through the TRN circuit. Thus, coupling may play a role in coordinating the activity of TRN cells at times when burst firing is most prevalent, such as during sleep or seizure states (Bal and McCormick, 1993; Steriade, 1997), and perhaps during certain waking conditions when bursting has been observed in thalamic relay cells (Fanselow et al., 2001; Sherman and Guillery, 2001; Swadlow and Gusev, 2001). In contrast, during aroused states when TRN cells are relatively depolarized and firing tonic action potentials, the influence of single spikes on coupled neighbors may be more spatially restricted. The interaction between intrinsic membrane properties and the low-pass filtering characteristics of electrical synapses may dynamically modulate the extent of coordination within the TRN during different levels of arousal.

Our experiments were performed on juvenile animals because it became much more difficult to visualize the neurons as the density of myelin increased during maturity. Nevertheless, there are several reasons to expect that the mature TRN retains substantial electrotonic coupling: in situ hybridization shows strong expression of Cx36 mRNA in the older TRN (Condorelli et al., 2000); β-galactosidase reporter in Cx36 knock-out mice (Deans et al., 2001; Landisman et al., 2002) is well expressed in mature animals (our unpublished observations); and Cx36-dependent coupling between neocortical interneurons, which has properties very similar to the coupling between TRN cells, continues into maturity (Galarreta and Hestrin, 2002).

The thalamus can serve as a generator of rhythmic activity across a range of frequencies, depending on various behavioral and experimental factors (Steriade, 1997). It is very likely that the TRN is an essential component of the pacemaking mechanism of some thalamic rhythms, most notably sleep spindles. Various studies performed in relatively intact thalamic circuits are consistent with the view that spindle rhythms arise from an interplay between TRN bursting, TRN-mediated inhibition of its associated thalamic relay neurons, and re-excitation of TRN cells by the relay neurons (von Krosigk et al., 1993; Bal et al., 1995; Avanzini et al., 2000; McCormick, 2002). Computational models have demonstrated in principle that a network of mutually inhibitory TRN neurons could produce coordinated spindle-frequency oscillations (Wang and Rinzel, 1993; Destexhe et al., 1994); however, experiments on mice with impaired intra-TRN GABA receptors suggested that inhibition within the TRN may also desynchronize rhythms (Huntsman et al., 1999). A function for gap junctions in TRN is suggested by theoretical work suggesting that the addition of electrotonic coupling to a network of mutually inhibitory neurons can stabilize synchronous firing states (Lewis and Rinzel, 2003).

Our results complement previous work. We have demonstrated that mGluR activation induces a subpopulation of TRN neurons to generate rhythmic oscillations of 5–15 Hz in the ab-
sense of chemical synaptic communication. These frequencies closely match the 7–14 Hz rhythms of spindles generated in intact thalamic circuits (Bal and McCormick, 1993; Steriade et al., 1997). It is likely that activation of mGluRs alters certain membrane conductances and thus induces an intrinsic rhythmic state in subsets of TRN neurons. A strong predictor of mGluR-induced rhythmicity was the amplitude of the AHP burst under normal conditions. Potassium currents underlying the AHP burst, along with the low-threshold calcium current that drives the burst, may contribute to the mechanisms of mGluR-induced rhythmicity. ACPD-induced rhythmicity has been reported for other systems, in particular the hippocampus (Whittington et al., 1995) and neocortex (Beierlein et al., 2000; Deans et al., 2001). Furthermore, evoked rhythms of ~8–15 Hz follow mGluR activation of cat thalamic relay neurons in vitro (Hughes et al., 2002), caused in part by a decrease in leak conductance leading to membrane depolarization and enhanced excitability (McCormick and von Krosigk, 1992; Turner and Salt, 2000). Regardless of its ionic mechanisms, our results suggest that modulator-induced alterations of TRN membranes may contribute significantly to the generation of spindle-frequency oscillations in the thalamus.

The mGluR-induced TRN rhythms were tightly synchronized over a very circumscribed area. Correlations between pairs of rhythmic neurons fell to chance levels at distances as short as 25 μm (Fig. 9). This is much smaller than the spatial scale of mGluR-induced rhythms among low-threshold spiking interneurons in the neocortex, where the synchrony of membrane fluctuations can span >300 μm (Beierlein et al., 2000). It is also much more localized than the TRN synchrony observed during spindle-like activity in more intact thalamic circuits in vitro (McCormick and Bal, 1997) and far smaller than thalamic spindle synchrony in the intact brain (Contreras et al., 1996). The different spatial scales of mGluR-dependent synchrony in TRN and neocortex are well matched, however, to the dimensions of electrical coupling in these two regions. Our data strongly suggest that the TRN is composed of relatively small clusters of electrically coupled cells that can synchronize rhythmic activity over relatively short distances; by comparison, the more widespread coupling among neocortical interneurons (Amitai et al., 2002) mediates a much more expansive synchrony of rhythms (Beierlein et al., 2000). Electrical synapses may serve to synchronize small clusters of TRN neurons during specific behavioral states, acting in concert with more long-range inhibitory connections within TRN that help to desynchronize the activity of neighboring coupled clusters (Sohal et al., 2000).

Synchronously rhythmic cell clusters within the TRN may be an effective means of influencing the activity of thalamocortical neurons on a fine-grained scale. Anatomical evidence suggests that the axons of TRN neurons with overlapping dendritic fields share thalamocortical targets (Pinault and Deschenes, 1998). The
organized timing of the input onto thalamocortical neurons should allow for the generation of a powerful convergent inhibitory onto thalamic relay nuclei. Rebound bursts in thalamocortical cells can feed back onto the TRN, serving to maintain network rhythms (McCormick and Bal, 1997).
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