Age-Related Functional Changes of Prefrontal Cortex in Long-Term Memory: A Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Study
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Neuroimaging findings suggest that the lateralization of prefrontal cortex activation associated with episodic memory performance is reduced by aging. It is still a matter of debate whether this loss of asymmetry during encoding and retrieval reflects compensatory mechanisms or de-differentiation processes. We addressed this issue by the transient interference produced by repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS), which directly assesses causal relationships between performance and stimulated regions. We compared the effects of rTMS (a rapid-rate train occurring simultaneously to the presentation of memoranda) applied to the left or right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) on visuospatial recognition memory in 66 healthy subjects divided in two classes of age (<45 and >50 years).

In young subjects, rTMS of the right DLPFC interfered with retrieval more than left DLPFC stimulation. The asymmetry of the effect progressively vanished with aging, as indicated by bilateral interference effects on recognition performance. Conversely, the predominance of left DLPFC effect during encoding was not abolished in elders, thus probing its causal role for encoding along the life span. Findings confirm that the neural correlates of retrieval modify along aging, suggesting that the bilateral engagement of the DLPFC has a compensatory role on the elders’ episodic memory performance.
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Introduction

Frontal lesions moderately disrupt learning and memory but are not associated with classic amnesia (Alexander et al., 2003), typically caused by bilateral hippocampal damage (Squire et al., 2001). Nevertheless, several neuroimaging studies involving both verbal (Buckner and Wheeler, 2001; Fletcher and Henson, 2001) and visuospatial (Brewer et al., 1998; Kirchhoff et al., 2000; Buckner and Wheeler, 2001) memoranda have indicated an engagement of prefrontal cortex (PFC) areas in episodic memory, with different patterns of activation during encoding and retrieval. Namely, the neuroimaging-based Hemispherical Encoding Retrieval Asymmetry (HERA) theory (Tulving et al., 1994) proposes a functional specialization of the left PFC for encoding and of the right PFC for retrieval. According to its most recent formulation (Habib et al., 2003), the HERA pattern is not absolute and can be affected by the nature of the presented material, as well as by memorization strategies, task difficulty, and item familiarity (Buckner et al., 1999; Wagner et al., 1999; Ranganath et al., 2000; Johnson et al., 2003; Miniussi et al., 2003).

The ability to learn and remember new information declines with aging (Grady and Craik, 2000), and PFC activations in elders tend to be less asymmetric, as conceptualized by the so-called HAROLD [Hemispheric Asymmetry Reduction in OLDer adults (Cabeza, 2002)] model. This model is based on the finding that aging is associated with a reduction of the lateralization in the pattern of PFC activity during cognitive performances, resulting in a loss of the HERA pattern in case of episodic memory. It remains an open question, however, whether asymmetry reductions reflect a compensation mechanism, helping to counteract the age-related cognitive decline, or a de-differentiation process, reflecting the age-related failure to allocate functional resources in a selective manner. Recently, Cabeza et al. (2002) proposed that high-scoring elders, compared with low-performing elders and young subjects, engaged the PFC bilaterally to a functionally useful degree in a source memory task. High-scoring elders also showed preserved left frontal and enhanced right frontal activity.
versus the young during encoding (Rosen et al., 2002). Using event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging, the PFC activity observed during encoding, predicting subsequent successful recognition, was left-lateralized in young subjects but bilateral in older subjects (Morcom et al., 2003). However, functional neuroimaging data cannot prove the necessary role of PFC activation in episodic memory because an activated area may be simply correlated with, rather than mediating, task performance. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS), causing a brief and reversible decline of performance only if the stimulated area is causally engaged in the task (Rossini and Rossi, 1998; Walsh and Cowey, 2000; Walsh and Pascual-Leone, 2003; Rossi and Rossini, 2004), can provide a direct answer to disentangle the compensation/dedifferentiation issue. Hemispheric specializations of the dorsolateral PFC (DLPFC) during long-term memory have been successfully demonstrated by rTMS (Rossi et al., 2001; Rami et al., 2003; Sandrini et al., 2003; Floel et al., 2004). In particular, we demonstrated that rTMS of the left DLPFC mainly interferes with the encoding of complex pictures and of the right DLPFC with retrieval (Rossi et al., 2001).

Here, we addressed the effects of aging on the lateralization of DLPFC activity in long-term memory using the same rTMS paradigm.

Materials and Methods

Subjects. Sixty-six healthy right-handed volunteers gave their written informed consent for the study, after the approval of the protocol by the local Ethical Committee. Their medical history and objective neurological examination were normal, and they had never taken neuroactive drugs. No other significant medical conditions were present. Depression formed consent for the study, after the approval of the protocol by the et al., 2002). Using event-related func-

Table 1. Percentage of hits and false alarms in the different experimental conditions for the two age groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental blocks</th>
<th>Percentage of hits</th>
<th>Percentage of hits/percentage of false alarms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R-Enc</td>
<td>62 ± 21</td>
<td>64 ± 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Enc</td>
<td>52 ± 25</td>
<td>47 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>76 ± 19</td>
<td>66 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-Ret</td>
<td>56 ± 24</td>
<td>58 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Ret</td>
<td>67 ± 23</td>
<td>53 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>67 ± 21</td>
<td>63 ± 24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data are expressed as mean ± SD. R-Enc, Right DLPFC rTMS in encoding, no stimulation in retrieval; L-Enc, left DLPFC rTMS in encoding and right DLPFC in retrieval; R-Ret, right DLPFC rTMS in retrieval, no stimulation in encoding; L-Ret, left DLPFC rTMS in retrieval, no stimulation in encoding. Baseline, absence of stimulation.

The first analysis addressed the differences between the two age groups (Rossi et al., 2001) deriving from the signal detection theory. However, we observed that many subjects of the present study (mostly belonging to the young group) did not make any false alarms or any recognition error in some conditions (mostly baseline and sham). In this case, C and d’ can be computed only assuming a small proportion of errors, to avoid plus–minus infinite values. Because this proportion should be arbitrarily small and the choice could affect the results, we adopted here the traditional "hits" and "hits–false alarms" rates.

The first analysis addressed the differences between the two age groups across the six experimental conditions by means of two-way ANOVA (“condition” as within-subjects factor, “age-group” as between-subjects factor), followed by planned comparisons. In addition, after verifying the differences between groups within control conditions (baseline and eight were novel (distractors). The timing of warning and go signals, picture presentation, and intervals were the same as the encoding. Subjects were again asked, in a yes–no recognition task, to answer by pressing one of the two buttons (left, “old”; right, distractor) after the go stimulus. The six encoding/retrieval blocks were labeled according to the type (active or sham) and the side (left/right) of the rTMS applied on the DLPFCs (see Table 1 for the complete labeling of the experimental conditions).

Procedures of stimulation. rTMS was delivered through of a focal coil (outer diameter of each wing, 7 cm) connected with a standard Mag-Stim Super Rapid stimulator (maximum output, 2.2 Tesla). As detailed previously (Rossi et al., 2001), individual resting excitability thresholds for left and right motor cortex stimulation were first determined by using the same coil and stimulator. The use of individual threshold instead of prefixed intensity of stimulation prevented that anatomo/technical factors attributable to age (i.e., skull thickening, eventual subclinical cortical atrophy) would bias the real efficacy of stimulation. Once the individual thresholds of stimulation were determined, the intensity was reduced by 10%. Thus, the left and right DLPFC were stimulated, when required, with a subthreshold intensity for eventual motor cortex activation (mean intensity of stimulation used, expressed as percentage of the maximal stimulator output: 57.4 ± 5.8% for “below 45” and 61.5 ± 8.8% for over 50 subjects, without significant interhemispheric and between-groups differences), which would have overtly interfered with motor actions required for the response. The left and right DLPFCs were stimulated on F3 and F4 (labeling of the 10–20 EEG international system), respectively, according to a previously detailed anatomical localization procedure (Rossi et al., 2001; Miniussi et al., 2003). A mechanical arm maintained the coil in a fixed position. The handle of the coil was angled backward −45° away from the midline in that position (marked on the scalp), and its correct positioning was repeatedly checked before the appearance of each warning signal. Trains of 10% subthreshold rTMS (500 msec, 20 Hz) were delivered, when required by the experimental design, simultaneously with picture presentation. The same intensity and timing of rTMS was used for sham stimulation. In this case, the coil was still centered on F3 and F4 but it was held perpendicularly to the scalp surface, so that scalp contact and discharging noise were quite similar to the active stimulation, but the induced magnetic field did not activate cortical neurons (Rossi et al., 2001).

Data analysis. ANOVA for repeated measures was applied to hits–false alarms. Previously, we had used two psychometrics measures (C and d’ (Rossi et al., 2001) deriving from the signal detection theory. However, we observed that many subjects of the present study (mostly belonging to the young group) did not make any false alarms or any recognition error in some conditions (mostly baseline and sham). In this case, C and d’ can be computed only assuming a small proportion of errors, to avoid plus–minus infinite values. Because this proportion should be arbitrarily small and the choice could affect the results, we adopted here the traditional "hits" and "hits–false alarms" rates.

The first analysis addressed the differences between the two age groups across the six experimental conditions by means of two-way ANOVA (“condition” as within-subjects factor, “age-group” as between-subjects factor), followed by planned comparisons. In addition, after verifying the differences between groups within control conditions (baseline and
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Figure 1. Performance (hits–false alarms) in the previously published and current young subjects groups. The HERA model organization based on left DLPFC functional prevalence in encoding and right in retrieval is fully confirmed. The number of recognition errors in retrieval in the two reference blocks (i.e., baseline and sham) is also similar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Hits (%)</th>
<th>False Alarms (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R-Enc</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Enc</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-Ret</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Ret</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No group X experimental condition interaction was found of age-group interaction (p = 0.063). No significant difference was found between sham and baseline neither in young (p = 0.202) nor in old (p = 0.991) subjects.

To address more specifically the effect of age, hemispheric right–left asymmetries during encoding (HEA) and retrieval (HRA) were also separately computed and regressed against the actual subject’s age. The polynomial regression analysis did not allow us to identify any relationship between age and HEA (<1% of variance was accounted for a linear model, and no significant changes were found with higher-order models). As shown in Figure 3a, in the majority of subjects, a positive right–left asymmetry was found, suggesting that, during encoding, a more disrupting effect was observed when rTMS was applied on the left PFC areas with respect to the right ones. In terms of effect size, we found an overall HEA of 12% (95% confidence interval, 5–19%), and the regression line remained almost constantly above the symmetry zero reference line. In other words, a significant and persistent encoding asymmetry (indicated by the consistent higher number of recognition errors after left stimulation) was found in the whole sample, without age-related variations.

In contrast, the factor “age” exerted a significant effect on HRA. A polynomial model with linear and quadratic components accounted for 11.7% of HRA variance (p = 0.02). As shown in Figure 3b, the symmetry line (reference value = 0) entered the 95% confidence interval of the regression curve near the age of 40 and remained within its boundaries up to the highest age values. According to this finding, the functional asymmetry seems to be specific to young subjects more than the functional symmetry typical of the oldest people, >65 years of age. However, individual values shown in Figure 3 allowed to observe that, even in the young group, an opposite pattern of asymmetry can be observed eventually.

Discussion

Imaging studies addressing in vivo the neural mechanisms underlying age-related episodic memory decline are limited. In general, modifications of prefrontal activation in elders, compared with young people, have been reported frequently during encoding and retrieval of both verbal (Cabeza, 1997; Grady et al., 1999;...
Cabeza et al., 2000; Logan et al., 2002; Morcom et al., 2003) and visuospatial (Grady et al., 1995, 1998; Kelley et al., 1998) information. In elders, reduction of left PFC activation has been linked to reduced performance (Stebbins et al., 2002).

The present rTMS results provide direct evidence that the functional role of the PFC in episodic memory undergoes age-related modifications (Grady and Craick, 2000). Episodic memory performance declines with normal aging (Lamar et al., 2003). Several cognitive factors, such as decline in attentional resources, reduction in processing speed, and defective inhibitory control, have been suggested to be responsible for this decline (Cabeza, 2002). Imaging and electrophysiological studies have assessed the possible underpinnings of these age-associated modifications: in the case of encoding, normal elderly subjects have shown decreased activations in comparison with young subjects in multiple brain regions (Grady et al., 1995; Cabeza, 1997), including the left ventrolateral PFC (Grady et al., 1998), the hippocampus (Grady et al., 1995, 1998; Kelley et al., 1998), and the temporoparieto-occipital regions (Grady et al., 1995; Cabeza, 1997). For retrieval, some studies reported a decline of activation of the right PFC (Cabeza, 1997; Trott et al., 1997), with left PFC compensatory hyperactivation (Cabeza, 1997; Cabeza et al., 2000), whereas others suggest that the brain activity during retrieval is unaffected by aging (Grady et al., 1995; Mark and Rugg, 1998). In these studies, the performance level was generally comparable between young and elderly subjects, thus excluding that the activation differences simply reflect defective memory abilities.

In line with this concept, in the current study, young and old subjects performed similarly in the baseline block. In the sham rTMS condition, young subjects showed a superior performance, suggesting an aspecific alerting effect, probably linked with the auditory stimulation. Behavioral facilitatory effects (i.e., a sort of “priming” effect) in the frame of multisensory stimulations (as auditory clicks in reaction time paradigms) have already been

---

**Figure 2.** Hemispheric interaction of rTMS effects and age groups during retrieval, according to the HERA model. Top, Young subjects show a clear hemispheric interaction (lower performance during right rTMS in retrieval and when rTMS had been left in encoding). Bottom, In older subjects, the level of performance during active rTMS blocks is generally lower, but the encoding asymmetry is preserved, whereas the retrieval asymmetry is no longer evident. Therefore, the HERA pattern is lost. Note that in old subjects, rTMS of the left DLPFC in retrieval disrupts performance more than rTMS delivered on the right. Statistics are described in Results. Hem., Hemisphere.

**Figure 3.** Regressions between the subjects’ age and hemispheric right–left asymmetries during encoding (HEA) and retrieval (HRA). a, During the encoding phase, no effect of age was found on hemispheric asymmetries. Indeed, the regression line was constantly above the (thick) zero line, indicating no asymmetry variation across the life span. b, During the retrieval phase, in contrast, the age strongly influenced the level of interhemispheric asymmetry, which begins to vanish approximately at 40 years of age. In both panels, some subjects with an opposite pattern of asymmetries can be appreciated (i.e., young without HERA and over 50 with HERA). Statistics are described in Results.

described (Nickerson, 1973). This intriguing phenomenon, which needs to be additionally investigated with ad hoc experiments, did not affect the main target of this study (i.e., the level of functional PFC asymmetries), however.

An attempt to integrate neuroimaging findings in episodic long-term memory within a general model of aging effects is the so-called HAROLD model (Cabeza, 2002). According to this hypothesis, normal aging is associated with a reduction of the lateralization in the pattern of PFC activity during cognitive performances. Our findings are in partial agreement with this proposal. At a general level, they indicate that the HERA effect, observed in right-handed young adults for visuospatial material (Rossi et al., 2001), is modified during aging. More specifically, however, we demonstrated, in the same experimental context, differential effects on encoding and retrieval that were not predicted by the HAROLD model. Namely, aging does not influence the role of the left DLPFC during encoding because the left rTMS during encoding consistently decreased the probability of a successful retrieval in both age groups (Fig. 3a). This points to a clear functional relevance of the left DLPFC for encoding along the life span, at least in this experimental context. Conversely, the predominant role of the right DLPFC during retrieval is age dependent, as indicated by the lack of asymmetry in the effect of the left and right rTMS during retrieval.

The former result must be evaluated in the context of imaging findings that suggested a link between decreased left PFC engagement and the age-related impairment of encoding (Grady and Craik, 2000). It is noteworthy that most studies on aging effects use verbal rather than visuospatial tasks. Right hemispheric-dependent processes have been suggested to be particularly sensitive to aging effects (for review, see Dolcos et al., 2002), especially in case of the acquisition and early retrieval of new information (Small et al., 1999a), as in the current study. The persistence of prevalent left rTMS disruption of encoding during aging might thus actually reflect a compensatory increase in the role of the left hemisphere for the encoding of visuospatial material, resulting in a preserved asymmetry. This would suggest that the HAROLD model is hardly applicable to encoding processes of visuospatial material.

The reduction of asymmetry during retrieval follows, prima facie, the HAROLD conceptualization and is amenable to several, nonmutually exclusive, interpretations. Moreover, the present findings help to clarify that the age-related reduction of hemispheric asymmetry may reflect, rather than a de-differentiation process in the right hemisphere, as predicted by the right hemispheric aging model (Dolcos et al., 2002), a compensatory engagement of the left hemisphere to support a comparable level of performance (Cabeza et al., 2002; Logan et al., 2002). This is a still open question that cannot be solved by traditional neuroimaging investigations, the results of which are merely correlational rather than directly indicating brain regions that mediate task performance (Price et al., 1999). Although with mechanisms that still need to be fully elucidated (Walsh and Cowey, 2000; Walsh and Pascual-Leone, 2003; Rossi and Rossini, 2004), rTMS interference of a specific region support its causal role in behavioral performance. Thus, the present results are in line with the hypothesis that the additional activations reported in the older subjects, and resulting in loss of asymmetry, have direct functional significance. In particular, the presence of bihemispheric effects of rTMS on memory performance may represent a direct evidence of a compensatory role rather than a de-differentiation mechanism, of the left PFC to support effective retrieval. This concept was put forward, but not yet directly demonstrated, in previous studies on high-scoring elderly subjects (Cabeza et al., 2002).

Such evidence, however, does not solve another important question, which is whether compensatory changes could reflect anatomo-functional reorganization or the application of individual, age-related, different cognitive strategies. For example, in working memory tasks, age-related bi-hemispheric activation versus the hemispheric asymmetry of the young has been interpreted as a compensatory mechanism similar to what happens for the execution of simple motor tasks (Babiloni et al., 2000; Reuter-Lorenz et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2001; Mattay et al., 2002).

Finally, it is necessary to acknowledge that these compensatory changes taking place in the left PFC may be associated with “nonselective recruitment” of additional regions (Logan et al., 2002) that are unaffected by the rTMS of the right DLPFC. Indeed, it is essential to bear in mind that growing evidence highlights the importance of interactions between prefrontal and medial temporal lobe structures during the encoding and retrieval of both verbal and nonverbal material (Buckner and Wheeler, 2001; Simons and Spiers, 2003). A possible candidate for additional recruitment, therefore, is the hippocampal formation, which plays a crucial role in visuospatial episodic memory, especially in the elderly (McIntosh et al., 1999). Although neither hippocampal function nor its possible disruption by prefrontal rTMS can be directly addressed in the frame of this experiment, at least according to the current knowledge of TMS effects on deep brain structures (Walsh and Pascual-Leone, 2003), it can be speculated that in normal aging these circuits could be somewhat potentiated to maintain an acceptable level of performance in episodic visuospatial memory and to compensate the functional decline of the right PFC. In agreement with the prefrontal HAROLD model, bilateral, rather than left-sided hippocampal activation, has been observed in older adults during autobiographical memory retrieval (Maguire and Frith, 2003). Finally, decreased activity of medial temporal structures in elderly individuals is a good predictor of successive cognitive decline (Small et al., 1999b, 2002).

In conclusion, the present study confirms that rTMS is a useful complementary tool to traditional neuroimaging approaches in the investigation of age-associated modifications of the causal engagement of cortical brain regions in human long-term memory. Our results strongly support the hypothesis that retrieval processes in elders is associated with a functional de-specialization of the right DLPFC, with left DLPFC compensation. In contrast, the predominant reliance on the left DLPFC for encoding appears to be preserved along the life span.
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