Spontaneous Withdrawal from the Triazolobenzodiazepine Alprazolam Increases Cortical Corticotropin-Releasing Factor mRNA Expression
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Corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) is the major physiologic regulator of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis and plays a key role in coordinating the mammalian stress response. Substantial data implicates hyperactivity of CRF neuronal systems in the pathophysiology of depression and anxiety disorders. Enhanced CRF expression, release, and function have also been demonstrated during acute withdrawal from several drugs of abuse.

Previous studies revealed that chronic administration of the anxiolytic alprazolam reduced indices of CRF and CRF1 receptor function. Conversely, measures of urocortin I and CRF2 receptor function were increased. To further scrutinize these findings, we sought to determine whether CRF neuronal systems are activated during spontaneous withdrawal from the triazolobenzodiazepine alprazolam in dependent rats and to characterize the time course, extent, and regional specificity of the patterns of activation. After 14 d of alprazolam administration (90 mg \( \times \) kg \(^{-1} \times \) d \(^{-1} \)), spontaneous withdrawal produced activation of the HPA axis, as well as suppression of food intake and weight loss that peaked 24 – 48 hr after withdrawal. Remarkably, CRF mRNA expression in the cerebral cortex was markedly (>300%) increased over the same time period. Other indices of CRF-CRF1 and urocortin I-CRF2A function, altered by chronic alprazolam treatment as previously described, returned to pretreatment levels over 96 hr. The physiologic significance of this dramatic induction of cortical CRF mRNA expression, as well as whether this occurs during withdrawal from other drugs of abuse is yet to be determined. The marked increase in CRFergic neurotransmission is hypothesized to play a major role in benzodiazepine withdrawal.
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**Introduction**

Corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF), a 41 amino acid-containing peptide, is the major physiological regulator of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis. Substantial evidence has accumulated to support the hypothesis that in extrahypothalamic limbic structures and brainstem nuclei, CRF additionally functions as a neurotransmitter and serves to mediate the behavioral and autonomic components of the stress response. In addition to this key physiologic role, there is considerable evidence implicating CRF hypersecretion in the pathophysiology of depression and anxiety disorders (Owens and Nemeroff, 1991).

The effects of CRF and the related peptide urocortin I are mediated by two CRF receptor subtypes with unique anatomical localization and physiological function. In rats, the CRF\(_1\) receptor is abundant in the pituitary, cerebellum, and neocortex, and is the predominant subtype involved in coordinating the mammalian stress response, as well as mediating the anxiogenic effects of CRF. In contrast, the CRF\(_2\) receptor is more prevalent in subcortical regions of the CNS (Smagin and Dunn, 2000; Muller et al., 2003). The functional role of this latter receptor system is relatively obscure, but has been implicated in appetitive, osmoregulatory, and stress-coping behaviors (Spina et al., 1996; Smagin et al., 1998; Bale et al., 2000, 2003; Kishimoto et al., 2000; Reul and Holsboer, 2002). Based on affinity and overlapping neuroanatomical distribution of urocortin I fibers and CRF\(_2\) receptor fields, the urocortin-related peptides appear to be the preferred ligand for the CRF\(_2\) receptor (Skelton et al., 2000a; Lewis et al., 2001; Reyes et al., 2001; Li et al., 2002).

Benzodiazepines, known to potentiate GABA-mediated Cl\(^{-}\) current, are clinically effective anti-anxiety agents. They have been demonstrated to exert a number of effects opposite to those of CRF, including suppression of HPA axis activation (Krukik and Cerny, 1971; Kalogeras et al., 1990; Rohrer et al., 1994, Cowley et al., 1995), as well as to directly antagonize stress-induced CRF transcription and release (Imaki et al., 1995; Pich et al., 1995), and the anxiogenic effects of centrally administered CRF (Britton et al., 1985; Swerdlow et al., 1986; Dunn and File, 1987). Our laboratory has previously shown that chronic treatment with the triazolobenzodiazepine alprazolam in the Sprague Dawley rat produced diametrically opposite effects on the CRF-CRF\(_1\) versus urocortin I-CRF\(_2\) receptor systems. Indices of CRF-CRF\(_1\) functioning are decreased, and indices of urocortin I-CRF\(_2\) functioning are increased by chronic alprazolam treatment (Skelton et al., 2000b). These results support the hypothesis that the anxiolytic
efficacy of alprazolam, and other benzodiazepines, may be mediated, at least in part, by their ability to reduce function of CRF-
CRF$_1$ receptor systems that mediate the stress response and the expression of anxiety, while increasing function of urocortin-
I-CRF$_2$ receptor systems, posited to be involved in stress coping responses.

Although benzodiazepines are anxiolytic after acute administration, they are often chronically administered to patients, producing a state of physiological dependence (Ballenger, 1991; Romach et al., 1992). Although much safer than barbiturates, when chronic benzodiazepine usage is abruptly discontinued, a withdrawal syndrome consisting of a variety of adverse physiological and behavioral reactions ensues. The anxiety, HPA axis activation, and autonomic activation that characterize the benzodiazepine withdrawal syndrome strongly resemble the mammalian stress response, suggesting the involvement of central CRF systems (Owens et al., 1991; Miller, 1997). In fact, the acute phase of withdrawal from several drugs (cannabis, cocaine, ethanol, morphine) that produce dependence is associated with evidence of increased CNS CRF neuronal activity, including HPA axis activation (Keith et al., 1983; Roberts et al., 1992; Milanes et al., 1998), increased CRF mRNA transcription and/or peptide release within the amygdala (Pich et al., 1995; Rodriguez de Fonseca et al., 1997; Richter and Weiss, 1999; Maj et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2003), and/or increased CRF concentrations in the CSF (Adinoff et al., 1996). We have previously reported increased HPA axis activity after withdrawal from benzodiazepines (Owens et al., 1991). In this study we sought to confirm and expand on our previous findings to determine whether CRF neuronal systems are activated during spontaneous withdrawal from alprazolam in dependent rats, as well as to examine the time course and neuroanatomical patterns of activation.

Materials and Methods

Animals. Male Sprague Dawley rats (225–250 g on arrival; Charles River Laboratories, Raleigh, NC) were housed individually with water available ad libitum in an environmentally controlled animal facility with a 12 hr light/dark cycle (lights on at 7:30 A.M.). The food supply consisted of a control or alprazolam-supplemented liquid diet, as described below. All animals were weighed and handled daily throughout the course of the experiment.

Drug treatment. For 14 d, rats (n = 6 per group) received a liquid, fat emulsion-based diet (Bio-Serv Inc., Frenchtown, NJ) supplemented with 0.01% (w/v) saccharin, 0.2% polyethylene glycol (PEG) 400 (v/v), and sufficient alprazolam to deliver an oral dose of 90 mg · kg$^{-1} · d^{-1}$. This dose was chosen on the basis of our previous studies (Owens et al., 1991; Skelton et al., 2000c). A control group of rats (n = 6) received the same diet, without alprazolam supplementation. Fresh diet was added, and intake was recorded for individual rats during each of three 8 hr epochs daily (8:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M., 4:00 P.M. to 12:00 A.M., 12:00 A.M. to 8:00 A.M.). The alprazolam concentration of the diet was adjusted daily, based on average rat weight and intake, to maintain the oral dose of 90 mg · kg$^{-1} · d^{-1}$. On day 14, the control and one group of alprazolam-treated rats were killed, and the remaining rats were then switched to the control diet to induce alprazolam withdrawal. Subsequently, one group of rats was killed at each of the 24, 48, and 96 hr time points after withdrawal of alprazolam from the diet. Additional control rats were not killed at the subsequent withdrawal time points because of the large number of rats, expense, and expansion of the scope of the assays that this would involve. This does add a potential confound, in that variations in the conditions within the animal facility may impact on end point measures analyzed in this study. However, because this laboratory is actively involved in studying the effects of stress on gene expression, HPA axis activity, and behavior, every effort is made to standardize these conditions and to prevent unexpected variance.

All rats were killed by means of decapitation, between the hours of 9:00 and 10:30 A.M. After decapitation, trunk blood was collected for adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and corticosterone assay as described previously (Skelton et al., 2000b). Brains and anterior pituitaries were rapidly removed, frozen on dry ice, and stored at −80°C until cryostat sectioning or assay.

ACTH and corticosterone radioimmunoassay analysis. ACTH was measured in duplicate plasma samples by a two-site immunoassay assay (Nichols Diagnostics, San Juan Capistrano, CA) with a coefficient of variation of 5% and sensitivity (blank ± 2 SD) of 1 pg/ml. Corticosterone was assayed in duplicate samples of rat serum by a double antibody RIA (ICN Biochemicals, Costa Mesa, CA) with a coefficient of variation of 6% and sensitivity (blank ± 2 SD) of 1.2 ng/ml.

In situ hybridization. Serial coronal brain sections (20 μm) were sliced on a cryostat at −17°C, thaw mounted onto SuperFrost Plus slides (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) under RNase-free conditions, and stored with Humi-Cap desicant capsules (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) at −80°C until the assay. In situ hybridization was performed according to the procedures described by Simmons et al. (1989) with minor modifications as described in detail in Skelton et al. (2000b).

After in situ hybridization, the slides were delipidated in xylene and briefly dipped in Eastman Kodak (Rochester, NY) NTB-2 emulsion at 42°C, and dried for 2–3 hr. The slides were then stored in a sealed and foil-wrapped box in the dark at 4°C for approximately three times longer than the exposure used to achieve a strong signal on film. Slides were developed in Kodak D-19 developer for 4 min, dipped in double distilled water (ddH$_2$O) for 10 sec, transferred to Kodak fixer for 5 min, and rinsed in ddH$_2$O for 30 min. Immediately after developing, slides were rinsed in hematoxylin for 1 min, dehydrated through an ethanol series, and coverslipped with distyrene–plasticiser–xylene. For comparison, adjacent sections of fresh–frozen tissue were fixed in 4% parafomaldehyde for 30 min and similarly stained.

CRF receptor autoradiography. After a modification of the techniques of De Souza et al. (1985) and Primus et al. (1997), ex vivo CRF receptor autoradiography was performed on 20 μm rat brain sections mounted on SuperFrost Plus slides (Fisher Scientific) and stored with Humi-Cap desiccant capsules (Invitrogen, Gaithersburg, MD) at −80°C until assay. Brain sections were fixed for 2 min in 0.1% parafomaldehyde followed by a 15 min incubation in assay buffer [50 mmol/l Tris, 10 mmol/l MgCl$_2$, 2 mmol/l EGTA, 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.1 mmol/l bacitracin, and 0.1% aprotinin, pH 7.5] to remove endogenous CRF. Next, triplicate slides containing adjacent brain sections were incubated for 2 hr at room temperature in one of three conditions: (1) 0.1 mmol/l radio-labeled [125I]-sauvagine (DuPont NEN, Boston, MA) to determine total binding at both the CRF$_1$ (K$	ext{$_i$}$ = $1.6$ μmol/l) and CRF$_2$ receptor subtypes (K$	ext{$_i$}$ = 5.2 μmol/l), (2) 0.1 mmol/l radio-labeled [125I]-sauvagine + 1 μmol/l kynurenic acid (KA) for CRF$_1$ (K$	ext{$_i$}$ = 2.7 μmol/l), and (3) 0.1 mmol/l radio-labeled [125I]-sauvagine + 1 μmol/l unlabeled sauvagine (American Peptide Company Inc., Sunnyvale, CA) to determine nonspecific binding. After the incubation, unbound radioligand was removed by two 5 min rinses in ice-cold (4°C) PBS plus 1% BSA on a rotating platform at 60 rpm, followed by two brief dips in ice-cold ddH$_2$O. Slides were then rapidly dried with a blow dryer on the coldest setting and apposed to Kodak Biomax MR film with [125I]-microscale standards (Amersham Bioscience, Arlington Heights, IL) for 80–90 hr.

Image analysis. Images on film from the in situ hybridization and receptor autoradiography films were digitized with a Dage-MTI (Michigan City, IN) CCD-72 image analysis system equipped with a Nikon camera using MCID (Imaging Research) software. Optical densities were calibrated against [14C] standards for in situ hybridization or [125I]-microscale standards for receptor autoradiography and expressed in terms of nanocuries per gram of tissue equivalent. Messenger RNA levels were determined for distinct neuroanatomical regions in each brain slice by subtracting the neutral background density from the specific signal. CRF receptor density was calculated for distinct neuroanatomical regions as follows: CRF$_r$ receptor-specific binding was calculated as “total binding − CRF$_r$ receptor binding,” and CRF$_{A2}$ receptor-specific binding was calculated as “CRF$_{A2}$ receptor binding − nonspecific binding.” In all cases, two to six sections per region were matched for rostrocaudal
level according to the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (1986) and quantified and averaged to produce a single value for each animal.

CRF receptor binding in tissue homogenates. Single point CRF receptor binding assays were performed on anterior pituitaries, stored at −80°C until the time of assay. To remove bound endogenous CRF, the pituitaries were homogenized in 2 ml of incubation buffer (50 mmol/l Tris, 10 mmol/l MgCl\(_2\), 2 mmol/l EGTA, and 0.1% BSA, pH 7.2) at room temperature, using a Brinkmann Instruments (Westbury, NY) Polytron model 3100 at 20,000 rpm for 10 sec, followed by centrifugation at 17,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. After two repetitions of this step, the pellets were resuspended and homogenized in 1.5 ml of the above buffer supplemented with 10 μg/ml aprotinin and 0.1 mmol/l bacitracin. Triplicate 100 μl aliquots of membrane homogenate were incubated for 2 hr at room temperature with 50 μl [\(^{125}\)I]ovine CRF (0.1 nmol/l final concentration; New England Nuclear), a 50 μl isotopic dilution of unlabelled ovine CRF (0.9 nmol/l final concentration), and 100 μl of either incubation buffer (to determine total binding) or unlabeled rat CRF (1 μmol/l final concentration; to determine nonspecific binding). After incubation, samples were microcentrifuged for 3 min at 13,000 rpm at 4°C, aspirated, then washed in ice-cold 10 mmol/l PBS containing 0.01% Triton X-100, pH 7.4. After a second microcentrifugation and aspiration, the pellet was counted in an LKB-Wallac (Gaithersburg, MD) gamma counter (86% efficiency).

Additional aliquots of tissue homogenate were microcentrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 3 min, aspirated, and resuspended in 1 ml of 1 M NaOH. Total protein content was then determined using the method of Lowry et al. (1951) with BSA as the standard.

Specific CRF receptor binding was calculated by subtracting the mean counts per minute in the triplicate nonspecific binding condition from the mean counts per minute in the triplicate total binding condition. Counts per minute bound was then converted to femtomoles bound and normalized to protein content to produce a specific binding number expressed as femtomoles of CRF bound per milligram of protein. Specific binding as a percentage of total binding varies slightly among brain regions, but generally represents ~60–70% of total binding. The \(K_d\) of [\(^{125}\)I]ovine CRF binding in our laboratory ranges from 0.25–0.6 nmol/l.

Drugs. Alprazolam was a gift from Upjohn (Kalamazoo, MI).

Statistics. Comparisons within the alprazolam withdrawal time course (\(t = 0, 24, 48, 96\) hr after withdrawal) were performed using one-way ANOVA with Student–Newman–Keuls pairwise testing for post hoc analysis following ANOVA. The control group could not be directly compared with the alprazolam withdrawal time course groups within the same one-way ANOVA, because it differed with respect to two factors (drug exposure and time of killing). Therefore, all comparisons between control and chronic alprazolam-treated rats were performed by means of a two-tailed \(t\) test. The “0” withdrawal time point was used for determining the effects of chronic alprazolam treatment in comparison with control rats. The above statistical comparisons were made using the raw data, i.e., the absolute levels of mRNA expression or receptor binding from autoradiographic analysis. To allow the reader to more readily discern the degree of change produced by chronic alprazolam treatment and then to compare the alterations in gene expression–receptor binding that occur during the withdrawal time course, the data are presented relative to percentage of control values in the figures that follow. Furthermore, expressing the data as percentage of control allows for the presentation of data for several different neuroanatomical regions, which may differ greatly in the absolute level of mRNA expression or receptor binding, in the same graph, with a linear and nonbroken y-axis.

In addition, a linear regression model was used to assess for correlations between ACTH and corticosterone concentrations and the resultant anterior pituitary CRF, receptor binding within the alprazolam withdrawal time course. All data are expressed as the mean ± SEM.

Results

Dietary intake and rat weight during chronic alprazolam administration and spontaneous withdrawal

Rats maintained on both the control and alprazolam-supplemented diets were well matched in daily intake and weight gain over the course of the first 14 d of the experiment. After removal of alprazolam from the diet of dependent rats, there was a precipitous decline in dietary intake (~80%) which persisted until 48 hr after withdrawal (Fig. 1A). Thereafter intake began to steadily increase, but at 96 hr after withdrawal, remained well below the levels observed in control and chronic alprazolam-treated rats. As expected, a dramatic weight loss accompanied this decreased intake (Fig. 1B). A maximal weight loss of 55 gm, or 11.1% of body weight, was apparent 48 hr after alprazolam withdrawal. Thereafter, this trend reversed, and weight gains were demonstrated at both the 72 and 96 hr withdrawal time points. However, even 96 hr after removal of alprazolam from the diet, the body weight of the alprazolam withdrawal groups remained 25 gm below that observed on day 14 of control or alprazolam diet administration.

HPA axis activity during alprazolam dependence and spontaneous withdrawal

The low basal concentrations of plasma ACTH and serum corticosterone exhibited in both the control and alprazolam-treated rats indicate that these rats were not stressed at the time of killing (Fig. 2A,B). Similar to our previous findings (Skelton et al., 2000b), chronic alprazolam treatment decreased plasma ACTH by 31.3% (11.8 ± 2.6 vs 8.1 ± 4.1 pg/ml) and serum corticosterone by 48.1% (2.7 ± 0.6 vs 1.4 ± 0.3 ng/ml) concentrations compared with controls rats, but these differences were not statistically significant. Spontaneous alprazolam withdrawal rapidly and markedly stimulated HPA axis activity, causing a more than sixfold increase in ACTH concentration (to 59.5 ± 13.9 pg/ml) and a 250-fold increase in corticosterone concentration (to 356.3 ± 86.5 ng/ml; \(p < 0.01\) vs chronic alprazolam and 96 hr withdrawal groups) at 24 hr after withdrawal of alprazolam from the diet. Plasma ACTH remained elevated at 48 hr after with-
withdrawal to a similar extent ($56.6 \pm 30.0$ pg/ml), whereas serum corticosterone concentrations had declined approximately halfway back to control values ($160.3 \pm 95.1$ ng/ml). By 96 hr after alprazolam withdrawal, both ACTH ($19.1 \pm 1.5$ pg/ml) and corticosterone ($23.8 \pm 6.1$ ng/ml) concentrations had returned to baseline levels.

CRF$_1$ receptor binding in the anterior pituitary followed a similar time course. Compared with the control rats, there was a small, but nonsignificant increase in CRF$_1$ binding in the anterior pituitaries of the chronic alprazolam-treated rats ($100.7 \pm 10.9$ vs $113.4 \pm 12.7$ fmol/mg protein) (Fig. 3). At both 24 and 48 hr after alprazolam withdrawal, CRF$_1$ receptor binding was significantly decreased in the anterior pituitary by $\sim 36\%$ ($73.1 \pm 6.5$ and $71.0 \pm 5.4$ fmol/mg protein, respectively; $p < 0.05$ vs chronic alprazolam and 96 hr withdrawal groups), likely reflecting a downregulation of CRF$_1$ receptors secondary to increased hypothalamic release of CRF driving the HPA axis response to withdrawal. This hypothesis is strengthened by the statistically significant correlation between increased corticosterone concentrations and reduced CRF$_1$ receptor binding in the anterior pituitary of individual rats over the alprazolam withdrawal time course ($r = -0.46; p = 0.024$). A similar correlation between increased ACTH concentrations and reduced anterior pituitary CRF$_1$ receptor binding closely approached statistical significance ($r = -0.39; p = 0.063$). CRF$_1$ receptor binding density returned to normal (no different from controls, $98.0 \pm 13.8$ fmol/mg protein) by 96 hr after alprazolam withdrawal.

CRF mRNA expression
As predicted from the HPA axis data, alprazolam treatment, by itself, did not significantly alter CRF mRNA expression in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN) (Fig. 4A) ($2251.3 \pm 176.9$ vs $2005.8 \pm 124.1$ nCi/gm). However, after discontinuation of alprazolam from the diet, CRF mRNA expression increased until 48 hr after withdrawal ($3143.4 \pm 283.6$ nCi/gm; $p < 0.01$ vs alprazolam), and thereafter began to decline.

Chronic administration of alprazolam produced a $18.7\%$ decrease in CRF mRNA in the central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA) ($497.1 \pm 50.5$ vs $404.1 \pm 42.4$ nCi/gm; $p = 0.19$), a change that is similar in direction, although smaller in magnitude than previously reported (Skelton et al., 2000b). After withdrawal of alprazolam, no consistent alterations were observed in CRF mRNA expression within this region. A similar pattern was revealed in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST). Chronic alprazolam treatment produced a small ($17.2\%$) decrease in CRF mRNA expression that was just short of statistical significance ($119.3 \pm 3.2$ vs $98.8 \pm 9.1$ nCi/gm; $p = 0.06$). After withdrawal of alprazolam from the diet, CRF mRNA levels were significantly increased at the 24 hr ($130.8 \pm 7.5$ nCi/gm; $p < 0.05$ vs alprazolam) time point only.

The most robust withdrawal-induced increase in CRF mRNA expression was demonstrated in the cerebral cortex (Fig. 4B). Although there was a slight, nonsignificant decrease of $14.6\%$ in CRF mRNA expression in the cortex as a result of alprazolam administration ($20.1 \pm 1.6$ nCi/gm vs $17.3 \pm 1.1$ nCi/gm), there was a dramatic increase of $>300\%$ ($86.0 \pm 8.5$ nCi/gm; $p < 0.001$ vs alprazolam and all other withdrawal time points) that was observed throughout the cerebral cortex (from approximately bregma level +1.0 to −4 mm) at 24 hr after alprazolam withdrawal. This increase in cortical CRF mRNA expression rapidly declined to only $59.6\%$ above control levels by 48 hr after withdrawal ($33.1 \pm 4.0$ nCi/gm) and returned to within $10\%$ of control values by 96 hr after withdrawal ($21.3 \pm 1.5$ nCi/gm).

Figure 2. Corticosterone is increased 24 hr after spontaneous withdrawal from alprazolam. Plasma ACTH (A) and serum corticosterone (B) were measured in male Sprague Dawley rats after 14 d of a control liquid diet, an alprazolam-supplemented liquid diet at a dose of $90 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$, and 24, 48, or 96 hr after withdrawal of alprazolam from the diet. Each bar represents mean ± SEM; $n = 6$ per group. **$p < 0.01$ versus chronic alprazolam rats by one-way ANOVA followed by Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc pairwise analysis. Differences in plasma ACTH did not reach statistical significance after analysis by two-tailed t test (control vs chronic alprazolam) or one-way ANOVA (alprazolam withdrawal timepoints).

Figure 3. CRF$_1$ receptor binding is decreased in the anterior pituitary 24 and 48 hr after spontaneous withdrawal from alprazolam. CRF$_1$ receptor binding was measured in homogenized anterior pituitaries of male Sprague Dawley rats after 14 d of a control liquid diet, an alprazolam-supplemented liquid diet at a dose of $90 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$, and 24, 48, or 96 hr after withdrawal of alprazolam from the diet. Each bar represents mean ± SEM; $n = 6$ per group. *$p < 0.05$ versus chronic alprazolam and 96 hr withdrawal groups by one-way ANOVA followed by Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc pairwise analysis.

Hematoxylin staining of emulsion-dipped slides yielded very clear bright-field images (Fig. 4C). The background was very low in all sections examined, and the silver grains were localized in a
pattern compatible with a cellular distribution for CRF mRNA expression. In all experimental groups, CRF mRNA expression was particularly prominent in cortical layers II and III, consistent with the previously published cortical localization (Yan et al., 1998).

Urocortin I mRNA expression

As previously reported (Skelton et al., 2000b), urocortin I mRNA expression in the Edinger-Westphal nucleus (EWN) of chronic alprazolam-treated rats was more than doubled from the levels observed in control rats (12027.0 ± 147.5 vs 125.6 ± 13.9 nCi/gm; p < 0.01). After removal of alprazolam from the diet, CRF2A receptor mRNA expression remained similarly decreased at 24 hr, and thereafter increased toward control levels. CRF1 receptor mRNA expression in the frontal–parietal cerebral cortex followed a similar pattern, with alprazolam inducing a 19.2% decrease (285.0 ± 25.3 vs 230.4 ± 12.3 nCi/gm) that did not achieve statistical significance. After alprazolam withdrawal, CRF1 receptor mRNA expression began to climb toward control levels. Within the cerebellum, CRF1 receptor mRNA expression was not altered by alprazolam administration or withdrawal at any time points.

The direction of alprazolam and withdrawal-induced changes in CRF1 receptor binding largely paralleled the alterations in mRNA expression described above. However, the changes were generally of a smaller magnitude. Within the basolateral amygdala, CRF1 receptor binding was decreased to 85.0% of control levels as a result of alprazolam administration (1768.2 ± 96.7 vs 1502.3 ± 101.0 nCi/gm; p = 0.09) and similarly decreased to 79.1% of control at 24 hr after withdrawal (Fig. 6B). Thereafter, CRF1 receptor binding returned toward control levels. None of these variations attained statistical significance. Cortical CRF1 receptor binding was likewise decreased by 13.8% after alprazolam treatment (1383.3 ± 26.3 vs 1193.0 ± 41.3 nCi/gm; p < 0.01). CRF1 receptor binding in the cortex remained similarly decreased until 96 hr after withdrawal, at which time it began to increase toward control levels. As with the mRNA expression, CRF1 receptor binding in the cerebellum was not altered by alprazolam administration or withdrawal.

CRF2A receptor binding and mRNA expression

As in our previous study (Skelton et al., 2000b), CRF2A receptor mRNA in the lateral septum (LS) was increased by 28.8% as a result of chronic alprazolam treatment (Fig. 7A) (199.5 ± 14.7 vs 157.0 ± 12.2 nCi/gm; p < 0.05). Thereafter, CRF2A receptor mRNA expression declined toward control levels in that region, with the decline becoming statistically significant at 48 hr after alprazolam withdrawal (115.6 ± 7.1 nCi/gm; p < 0.05 vs alprazolam). Within the ventromedial hypothalamus (VMH), the level of CRF2A receptor mRNA expression was not altered by chronic alprazolam administration (189.7 ± 10.3 vs 182.8 ± 11.8 nCi/gm). There was, however, a statistically significant increase...
Because the relative levels of CRF2A receptor binding in the VMH were not well correlated with mRNA expression during withdrawal, it is unclear whether this decrease reflects a true effect of withdrawal on CRF2A receptor availability in this region.

**Discussion**

Spontaneous withdrawal from alprazolam was associated with marked HPA axis activation, as well as a substantial decrease in food intake and body weight. These effects were maximal at 24–48 hr after withdrawal, and thereafter began to return to control levels. In addition, there was a reversal of the suppression of certain indices of CRF-CRF1 receptor function and of the augmentation of urocortin I-CRF2A receptor function that was induced by chronic alprazolam administration, and previously proposed by our group to plausibly represent an important mechanism in the long-term anxiolytic activity of alprazolam. Surprisingly, the most profound withdrawal-induced alteration in CRF neuronal activity was a robust increase in CRF mRNA expression, from the minimal levels detected in both the control and chronic alprazolam-treated rats, which was demonstrated throughout the frontal, parietal, and temporal cerebral cortex.

As expected from our previous studies, elevated blood concentrations of ACTH and corticosterone revealed that the HPA axis was activated as a consequence of the stressful nature of benzodiazepine withdrawal (Fig. 2). Similar results have been reported after cocaine, ethanol, and morphine withdrawal, demonstrating that activation of the endocrine stress response is a common property of withdrawal from drugs that produce dependence (Keith et al., 1983; Roberts et al., 1992; Milanes et al., 1998). This HPA axis activation was maximal at 24–48 hr after withdrawal and thereafter declined toward baseline levels. It is likely that the increase in HPA axis activity was driven by increased release of hypothalamic CRF, as indicated by the down-regulation of anterior pituitary CRF receptors at the same time points (Fig. 3). Previous studies (Owens et al., 1989; Skelton et al., 2000b) also demonstrated that ACTH and corticosterone concentrations are reduced during chronic alprazolam administration (Roy-Byrne et al., 1991). The elevated HPA axis activity exhibited during alprazolam withdrawal may represent not only the endocrine stress response to drug withdrawal but also a rebound increase in HPA axis activity after removal of the suppressing influence of chronic benzodiazepine administration.

Similarly, CRF mRNA expression in the PVN, at the head of the HPA axis, increases until 48 hr after alprazolam withdrawal. As is commonly found within the CRF literature, stressors will provoke both increases in CRF mRNA expression in the PVN and corticosterone release from the adrenal cortex, both of which will then subsequently decline toward control levels. There is always a balance between those factors that promote increases in CRF mRNA expression in the PVN (i.e., the stress associated with drug withdrawal) and those that exert negative feedback on this expression (i.e., corticosterone). It is likely that corticosteromediated negative feedback played a role in the delayed return to baseline of CRF mRNA expression in this region. A factor that may be promoting the prolonged increase in CRF mRNA expression is the action of CRF itself, which has been demonstrated to increase the transcription of its own mRNA in the PVN through a CRF receptor-dependent mechanism and is released in elevated quantities during acute withdrawal from several drugs of abuse (Pich et al., 1995; Rodriguez de Fonseca et al., 1997; Richter and Weiss, 1999).

On a time course similar to that of the HPA axis activation, a dramatic increase in CRF mRNA was observed in the frontal, parietal, and temporal cerebral cortex (Fig. 4B). CRF immunoreactivity is localized almost exclusively to a population of GABAergic interneurons found primarily in layers II and III, with some immunoreactivity also located in the deeper cortical layers (Yan et al., 1998). Likewise, it appears that this increase in CRF mRNA expression is caused by a significant increase in the number of neurons actively transcribing CRF (Fig. 4C). Because CRF1 receptor mRNA and binding are decreased by <20% from control levels, at a time when cortical CRF mRNA expression was increased by ~300%, this should result in a robust increase in net CRFergic neurotransmission in the cortex during the acute phase of alprazolam withdrawal, although direct measurement of cortical CRF release is not feasible. The time course of this activation of cortical CRF mRNA expression parallels the peak symptomatic severity of alprazolam withdrawal, as manifest by HPA axis activity, anorexia, and weight loss, which has been demonstrated to correlate well with the overall severity of the benzodiazepine...
withdrawal syndrome, including other motoric and autonomic symptoms not measured in this experiment (Ryan and Boisse, 1983). Furthermore, the dramatic increase in cortical CRF mRNA expression is very brief in duration, declining to only 60% above control by 48 hr, and to within 10% of control levels by 96 hr after withdrawal. Although we are unaware of any prior reports of stress-induced or drug-withdrawal-induced increases in cortical CRF mRNA expression, two previous studies have similarly documented increased CRF content in the frontal cortex of rats in a time-dependent manner after cocaine withdrawal (Sarnyai et al., 1995; Zorrilla et al., 2001).

In association with the augmented CRF mRNA expression in

**Figure 6.** Alterations in CRF1 receptor mRNA expression and receptor binding as a result of chronic alprazolam treatment and after spontaneous alprazolam withdrawal. Each bar represents mean ± SEM; n = 6 per group. A, CRF1 receptor mRNA in the BLA and F/P CTX is decreased by chronic alprazolam treatment and during the early stages of spontaneous alprazolam withdrawal. CRF1 receptor mRNA expression was measured via densitometric analysis subsequent to in situ hybridization performed in 20 μm brain slices from male Sprague Dawley rats after a 14 d of a control liquid diet, an alprazolam-supplemented diet at a dose of 90 mg · kg⁻¹ · d⁻¹, and 24, 48, or 96 hr after withdrawal of alprazolam from the diet. **p < 0.01 versus controls by two-tailed t test; *p = 0.05 versus chronic alprazolam, and p = 0.07 versus 24 hr withdrawal groups by one-way ANOVA followed by Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc pairwise analysis. Representative images of CRF1 receptor mRNA in the cortex and BLA after in situ hybridization are included as an inset to the graph. B, CRF1 receptor binding is decreased in the F/P CTX as a result of chronic alprazolam treatment. CRF1 receptor binding was measured via densitometric analysis subsequent to autoradiography performed in 20 μm brain slices from male Sprague Dawley rats after 14 d of a control liquid diet, an alprazolam-supplemented diet at a dose of 90 mg · kg⁻¹ · d⁻¹, and 24, 48, or 96 hr after withdrawal of alprazolam from the diet. **p < 0.01 versus controls by two-tailed t test. Representative images of CRF1 receptor binding in the cortex and BLA after receptor autoradiography are included as an inset to the graph.

**Figure 7.** Alterations in CRF2A receptor mRNA expression and receptor binding as a result of chronic alprazolam treatment and after spontaneous alprazolam withdrawal. Each bar represents mean ± SEM; n = 6 per group. A, CRF2A receptor mRNA in the LS is increased as a result of chronic alprazolam treatment and subsequently declines to control levels after alprazolam withdrawal. CRF2A receptor mRNA in the VMH is significantly increased at 48 hr after spontaneous alprazolam withdrawal. CRF2A receptor mRNA expression was measured via densitometric analysis subsequent to in situ hybridization performed in 20 μm brain slices from male Sprague Dawley rats after 14 d of a control liquid diet, an alprazolam-supplemented diet at a dose of 90 mg · kg⁻¹ · d⁻¹, and 24, 48, or 96 hr after withdrawal of alprazolam from the diet. **p < 0.01 versus controls by two-tailed t test. *p < 0.05 versus alprazolam; **p < 0.01 versus alprazolam and 24 hr withdrawal by one-way ANOVA followed by Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc pairwise analysis. Representative images of CRF2A mRNA in the LS after in situ hybridization are included as an inset to the graph. B, CRF2 receptor binding decreases in the VMH after spontaneous alprazolam withdrawal. CRF2 receptor binding was measured via densitometric analysis subsequent to autoradiography performed in 20 μm brain slices from male Sprague Dawley rats after 14 d of a control liquid diet, an alprazolam-supplemented diet at a dose of 90 mg · kg⁻¹ · d⁻¹, and 24, 48, or 96 hr after withdrawal of alprazolam from the diet. *p < 0.05 versus controls by two-tailed t test; **p < 0.01 versus controls by two-tailed t test; *p < 0.05 versus alprazolam; **p < 0.01 versus alprazolam and 24 hr withdrawal by one-way ANOVA followed by Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc pairwise analysis. Representative images of CRF2 receptor binding in the VMH after receptor autoradiography are included as an inset to the graph. Because the autoradiographic images after in situ hybridization and receptor autoradiography appear fairly similar, only the region that showed the more statistically significant changes was displayed as an inset for A and B.
the cortex, we also observed decreases in cortical CRF₁ receptor mRNA and binding, which may represent a receptor downregulation in response to increased ligand availability (Fig. 6). Similar decreases in CRF₁ mRNA expression have been demonstrated in the frontal and parietal cortex after precipitated morphine withdrawal (Iredale et al., 2000). Because these changes, indicative of enhanced CRF function in the cerebral cortex, have been demonstrated in response to benzodiazepine, cocaine, and morphine withdrawal, activation of cortical CRF neurons may be a common neurobiological element in withdrawal from drugs of abuse, and as such deserves further study. However, it should be noted that we are unaware of previous data that provides a well-established physiological mechanism for these cortical neurons to function as mediators of physical drug withdrawal.

In other studies of several drugs that produce physiological dependence, CRF neuronal systems have consistently been demonstrated to be activated as a result of withdrawal. There is evidence of HPA axis activation, increased CRF concentrations in the CSF, and increased CRF mRNA expression and peptide release in the amygdala temporally correlated with the disappearance of the drug from the blood during withdrawal from cannabis, cocaine, and ethanol (Pich et al., 1995; Rodríguez de Fonseca et al., 1997; Richter and Weiss, 1999; Zorrilla et al., 2001; Maj et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2003). In fact, CRF concentrations in the amygdala remain elevated throughout the time during which the symptomatic, behavioral indices of the withdrawal syndromes are prominent.

If increased activity in amygdalar CRF neuronal systems plays a similar role in the behavioral expression of anxiety and the aversive emotional consequences of benzodiazepine withdrawal, it was expected that we would find elevated levels of CRF mRNA expression during the acute, symptomatic phase of alprazolam withdrawal. However, the trend for CRF mRNA expression in the CeA after withdrawal did not demonstrate an increase above control levels (Fig. 4A). It is unclear whether benzodiazepine withdrawal is qualitatively different from ethanol, cannabis, or cocaine withdrawal, such that increased CRF release within the CeA is not a component of withdrawal from benzodiazepines or whether the increased CRF neuronal activity in the CeA during the acute phase of withdrawal is not associated with an increase in CRF gene transcription of similar magnitude.

The nature of benzodiazepine withdrawal-induced alterations in CRF₂ receptor function are less clear. Within the LS, the heightened degree of expression of CRF₂A receptor mRNA returned to control levels once alprazolam was removed from the diet, and was not significantly altered by withdrawal. A similar increase in CRF₂ receptor mRNA was demonstrated in morphine-dependent rats, but not control rats, relative to the levels observed during withdrawal (Iredale et al., 2000).

As in our previous study (Skelton et al., 2000b), expression in the EWN of mRNA for the putative endogenous CRF₂ ligand, urocortin I, was demonstrated to more than double as a result of chronic alprazolam administration. It thereafter steadily declined, after the withdrawal of alprazolam from the diet, although it is remained statistically elevated above control levels for 24–48 hr after the initiation of spontaneous alprazolam withdrawal (Fig. 5). It is interesting that urocortin I mRNA expression is increased during both alprazolam treatment, a state of relative CRF deficiency associated with diminished stress and anxiety, and during the stressful, anxiogenic state present during the acute phase of alprazolam withdrawal. This is similar to findings of increased urocortin I mRNA expression in the EWN in both CRF knock-out mice and after restraint stress (Weninger et al., 2000). It is possible that urocortin I mRNA expression is increased in the EWN as a compensatory mechanism in response to CRF deficiency, whether produced by alprazolam administration or CRF gene knock-out, whereas stress-induced increases in urocortin I mRNA expression may represent an appropriate strategy to invoke potential urocortin-mediated stress coping mechanisms via activation of CRF₂ receptors (Reul and Holsboer, 2002). Much data has accumulated to suggest that administration of urocortin-related peptides and/or activation of CRF₂ receptors produces anxiolysis or that conversely, lack of functional CRF₂ receptors is associated with excess anxiety (Bale et al., 2000; Kishimoto et al., 2000; Valdez et al., 2002, 2003; Bale and Vale, 2003). However, other conflicting reports indicate that antagonism of CRF₂ receptors, particularly within the LS, reduces anxiety, suggesting that CRF₂ receptors may, in certain sites and circumstances, be involved in the behavioral expression of anxiety, as well (Ho et al., 2001; Takahashi et al., 2001; Bakshi et al., 2002; Pelleymounger et al., 2002).

In addition to its putative role in stress-coping behavior, urocortin I has been established to be a potent anorectic agent through actions mediated specifically at the CRF₂A receptor (Smagin et al., 1998). In this study, we observed a significant weight loss and suppression of food intake that was maximal 24–48 hr after the initiation of alprazolam withdrawal and thereafter returned toward control levels. Because the temporal pattern of these alterations in appetitive behavior were correlated with the time course of urocortin I mRNA elevation in the EWN during withdrawal, it is plausible that urocortin I was the causative agent of these symptoms. Although this is the first study we are aware of to examine indices of urocortin I neuronal function during drug withdrawal, diminished food intake and an accompanying weight loss are core components of the withdrawal syndrome from a variety of drugs that produce physiological dependence (Gellert and Holtzman, 1978; Boiselle et al., 1990; Goudie et al., 1993), suggesting that activation of urocortin I-CRF₂ neuronal systems may be a common neurobiological element invoked during drug withdrawal. However, it should be noted that corticosterone concentrations were also elevated over this same time period after withdrawal, and may have also played a causative role in the noted anorexia, because high dose corticosterone is consistently associated with diminished food intake and weight loss in the rat.

In summary, after removal of alprazolam from the diet after chronic administration, most indices of CRF-CRF₁ and urocortin 1-CRF₂A function return to their baseline levels over the course of ~96 hr. However, the increased expression of CRF mRNA found in the cerebral cortex does not represent merely a return to the original levels present before alprazolam administration, but is instead dramatically elevated above control levels throughout the duration of peak alprazolam withdrawal severity. The physiological significance of this increase, as well as how far it generalizes to withdrawal from other drugs of dependence is still unclear, but such a profound increase in CRFergic neurotransmission is likely to play a functional role in benzodiazepine withdrawal.
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