Spines may undergo rapid, activity-dependent changes in shape and size, reflecting reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton. This remodeling is implicated in development and also in the late phase of long-term potentiation. However, the cellular mechanisms that convert activity into morphological change remain poorly understood, and little is known about the anatomical distribution of the actin-regulating proteins that mediate this remodeling. Using immunocytochemistry, we demonstrate here that cortactin (a protein implicated in actin filament nucleation, branching, and stabilization) is concentrated in hippocampal spines, where it colocalizes with F-actin. Cortactin has a Shank-binding domain; recent studies report that synaptic activity may trigger actin remodeling via this interaction with Shank. However, our immunogold electron microscopic data show that cortactin concentrates within the spine core, 100–150 nm away from the postsynaptic density (PSD); only a small fraction of the cortactin in spines lies adjacent to the PSD. These data suggest that the adult dendritic spine contains two functional pools of cortactin: a large pool in the spine core that may help to mediate changes in spine shape and a small synaptic pool that may modify the PSD in response to synaptic activity.
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Introduction

The dendrites of excitatory neurons in the mammalian forebrain are covered with spines, the principal loci of synaptic processing (Ramón y Cajal, 1909). Typically, a single glutamatergic bouton makes synaptic contact onto the spine head (Harris, 1999). Immediately beneath this synaptic apposition lies the postsynaptic density (PSD), an electron-dense matrix that contains glutamate receptors, signal transduction molecules, and adaptor proteins (Kennedy, 2000; Sheng, 2001). Accumulating evidence shows that dendritic spines may change their shape in response to glutamate, especially via activation of NMDA receptors (Halpain, 2000; Segal and Andersen, 2000). Besides its role in development (Maletic-Savatic et al., 1999), spine remodeling has been associated with synaptic plasticity in the mature brain (Yuste and Bonhoeffer, 2001; Trachtenberg et al., 2002). Although cytoskeletal reorganization is coupled to glutamatergic synaptic activity, the mechanisms underlying this coupling remain unclear.

Electron micrographs reveal an intimate linkage between the PSD and a network of actin-based filaments that may span the length of the spine (Landis and Reese, 1983; Fifkova and Morales, 1992; Matus, 2000). Such images suggest a direct connection between synaptic activity and changes in spine geometry. The biochemical machinery underlying this connection is not yet understood, although α-actinin, a protein concentrated at the PSD that can bind to both actin and the NMDA receptor, has been implicated (Wyszynski et al., 1998). Spine remodeling can also be triggered by activation of metabotropic glutamate receptors (Vanderklish and Edelman, 2002). This effect may be mediated via Shank, a PSD protein that can link to both the NMDA receptor and the metabotropic receptor (Naisbitt et al., 1999; Tu et al., 1999; Sala et al., 2001).

For a PSD scaffolding protein to modify spine shape, it must affect the cytoskeleton. It is therefore intriguing that Shank interacts with the actin-binding protein cortactin (Naisbitt et al., 1999). Cortactin, an ~80 kDa protein found throughout the animal kingdom, contains an Arp 2/3-binding site near its N terminal, five to six tandem repeats of an actin-binding domain, and a proline-rich region, followed by an Src homology 3 domain at its C terminal (Kanner et al., 1990; Wu and Parsons, 1993). Thus, cortactin can bind to actin while simultaneously activating the actin-nucleating Arp 2/3 complex (May, 2001; Weaver et al., 2001; Daly, 2004). Cortactin localizes to lamellipodia, filopodia, and membrane ruffles at the leading edge of migrating fibroblasts, where it colocalizes with F-actin and the Arp 2/3 complex; this association is thought to mediate actin-based motility (Weed et al., 2000; Weed and Parsons, 2001; Krueger et al., 2003). Recent studies in cultured neurons suggest that cortactin may play an analogous role in brain (Hering and Sheng, 2003; Martinez et al., 2003). Despite this evidence implicating cortactin in the dynamic organization of dendritic spines, very little is known about its distribution in the intact brain.

We performed immunocytochemical experiments to find out whether cortactin is present in spiny neurons of the rat brain, and, if so, whether it concentrates in a location suited to transduce interactions between the synapse and the actin cytoskeleton.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of tissue. Experiments were performed on adult (3–5 months old) male Sprague Dawley rats from Charles River (Raleigh, NC). To
and Todd et al. (2003); for synaptophisin, see Jahn et al. (1985) and Sigma). Most of these antibodies are widely used and have been characterized in previous studies: for cortactin, see Hering and Sheng (2003); to verify its specificity, we performed Western blot analysis. Briefly, protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE (10%), transferred to Immobilon-P polyvinylidene difluoride membranes, and blocked with 5% fat-free dry milk (Carnation) in sample buffer (20 mM Tris, 137 mM NaCl, pH 7.6, 0.1% Tween 20). Primary antibody was diluted 1:200 in blocking solution. Immune complexes were visualized with an ECL immunodetection kit (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ); bands were recorded using an imaging station from Eastman Kodak (Rochester, NY), using ProSieve broad-range markers to determine molecular weights. As shown in Figure 1, the antibody recognized two bands in the ~80–85 kDa range in the cortex, hippocampus, and cerebellum.

**Immunocytochemistry for confocal microscopy.** Sections were blocked in 20% normal donkey serum (NDS; Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA) in 0.05 M PBS, pH 7.4, then incubated in various combinations of primary antibodies for cortactin, α-actinin, synaptophosphin, and VGLUT1 in PBS containing 2% NDS overnight at room temperature. After several washes, sections were incubated in secondary antibodies (anti-rabbit Cy3 for cortactin, anti-mouse FITC for synaptophosphin and VGLUT1). Alexa Fluor-488 conjugated to phalloidin (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) was used for visualization of F-actin (Allison et al., 1998). For visualization of cell processes, we used the lipophilic dye 3,3′-dioctadecyloxacarbocyanine perchlorate (DiO; Molecular Probes), which infiltrates the plasma membrane, labeling even the finest neuronal processes (for details, see Burette et al., 2002). After several washes, sections were mounted on glass slides, coverslipped in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) and examined with an SP2 laser scanning confocal microscope (Leica, Nussloch, Germany). Optical sections were acquired with a Plan Apo 63× oil objective (numerical aperture, 1.4) were scanned in a 1024 × 1024 pixel format. Images stored as RGB TIFF images were digitally processed with Adobe Photoshop CS (version 8.0; Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA). Each image was cropped and sharpened with unsharp masking; contrast, brightness, tonal range, and color balance were edited. Each processing step was applied uniformly to the entire image.

**Immunocytochemistry for electron microscopy.** For immunoperoxidase staining, floating sections were treated for 30 min in 1% sodium borohydride in PB to quench free aldehyde groups. The sections were incubated in 20% NDS for 30 min to suppress nonspecific binding and then for 12 hr in anti-cortactin antibody, along with 2% NDS. Sections were incubated in biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch) for 2 hr. After several washes in PBS, sections were then incubated in Extravidin peroxidase (1:5000; Sigma) for 1 hr. The immunopositive structures were visualized with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB). Sections were processed as described above in control experiments, omitting primary antibody from the incubation solution. In such sections, no immunostaining was observed. Some immunoperoxidase-stained sections were prepared for light microscopy; these were mounted on gelatin-coated glass slides, dehydrated in ascending ethanol series, cleared with xylene, and coverslipped with DPX (Sigma) mountant.

For preembedding gold, sections were incubated together with those for immunoperoxidase staining up to the secondary antibody stage. After rinses in PBS, sections were incubated in goat-anti-rabbit IgG coupled to 1.4 nm gold particles (1:30; Nanoprobes, Yaphank, NY) for 3 hr at room temperature and rinsed in PBS. Sections were washed in 0.1 M Na acetate (to remove phosphate and chloride ions), followed by silver enhancement with Intense S-EM (Amersham Biosciences).

For electron microscopy, sections were postfixed in 0.5–1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M PB for 35–45 min and contrasted with 1% uranyl acetate for 1 hr. After dehydration in ascending ethanol series and propylene oxide, sections were infiltrated with Epon/Spurr resin (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) and flat-mounted between sheets of Aclar within glass slides. Seventy-nanometer sections were cut, mounted on 200 mesh copper grids, contrasted with uranyl acetate and Sato’s lead, and examined in a Philips (Hillsboro, OR) Tecnai electron microscope at 80 kV; images were collected with a Gatan (Pleasanton, CA) 12-bit 1024 × 1024 CCD camera.

**Quantitative analysis of the immunogold reaction.** Electron micrographs were taken from randomly selected fields, focusing on the proximal and middle regions of CA1 stratum radiatum, extending out to ~250 μm from the cell layer. To determine the relative density of cortactin in distinct subcellular compartments, axon terminals, dendritic shafts, spines, and pyramidal cell nuclei were identified; gold particles within their cytoplasm were counted, and the areas were measured. Both labeled and unlabeled profiles were included in the analysis. To determine background, we calculated labeling over pyramidal cell nuclei (because nuclei did not stain for cortactin in LM material). For details on measuring positions of gold particles, see Valtchanoff and Weinberg (2001). Membrane perimeters and profile areas were measured using the area calculator plug-in of ImageJ version 1.29 software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). This plug-in measures area, total area, and average area (for additional information, see http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/plugins/area.html). Particle densities for somatic, dendritic, and spine cytoplasm were computed and compared with nonspecific labeling, using a two-sided t test. Microsoft Excel, Kaleidagraph (Synergy Software, Reading, PA), CricketGraph (Computer Associates, Islandia, NY), and Data Desk (Data Descriptions, Ithaca, NY) were used to generate graphs and to compute statistics.

**Results**

**Light microscopy**

Cortactin immunostaining was seen in gray matter throughout the brain, sparing white matter. Staining was prominent in several areas rich in dendritic spines, including the cerebellar cortex,
olfactory bulb, striatum, cerebral neocortex, and hippocampal formation (Fig. 2A). Within the hippocampal formation, staining was dense in the neuropil of Ammon’s horn and the molecular layer of the dentate gyrus (Fig. 2B). For this study, we focused our attention on CA1, the spiny pyramidal neurons of which have been especially well studied. Immunoreaction was present in CA1 pyramidal neurons, labeling their cell bodies and apical dendrites but sparing the nucleus; somatic staining was organized into small patches (Fig. 2C). No obvious glial staining was observed. Proximal dendrites contained abundant immunoperoxidase reaction product, often associated with filamentous structures (Fig. 2C,D). High-magnification views revealed punctate staining in the stratum radiatum (Fig. 2E), suggesting that cortactin may be concentrated in dendritic spines and/or terminals.

To elucidate the organization of cortactin in the neuropil, we performed high-resolution confocal microscopy on material double labeled for cortactin and presynaptic markers. Cortactin in the stratum radiatum was punctate; these puncta were frequently adjacent to synaptophysin-immunopositive puncta. Cortactin puncta often showed small regions of overlap with synaptophysin puncta (Fig. 3A, arrows), but seldom was extensive overlap detected, suggesting that cortactin concentrates in postsynaptic structures. The same pattern was also seen after double labeling with cortactin and VGLUT1, a marker for glutamatergic terminals (Fig. 3B) (Fremeau et al., 2001; Kaneko and Fujiyama, 2002).

These results, along with previous data from cultured neurons, suggested that cortactin in the hippocampus might concentrate in dendritic spines. To clarify the issue, we used the lipophilic dye DiO to label the dendritic plasma membrane. This experiment confirmed that cortactin concentrates in dendritic spines in the adult brain (Fig. 3C, arrowheads). Because cortactin has an F-actin-binding domain, we wondered whether it colocalized with actin in specific subcellular compartments. We therefore performed double labeling with phalloidin and found massive dendritic colocalization, especially in spines (Fig. 3D) (cf. Capani et al., 2001a,b).

These LM data show that cortactin is expressed at high levels in spiny neurons and suggest that it concentrates along with actin in dendritic spines.

Electron microscopy

To gain a better understanding of its subcellular organization, we performed immunoelectron microscopy for cortactin. In cell bodies of CA1 pyramidal neurons, the electron-dense immunoperoxidase reaction product was mostly associated with rough endoplasmic reticulum (Fig. 4A). Most dendritic shafts contained patches of reaction product, but staining was markedly denser in spines (Fig. 4B,C, asterisks). Reaction product often filled spines, although in some cases it was attenuated or absent.

Figure 2. Immunoperoxidase staining for cortactin. A, Low-magnification view of a parasagittal section of adult rat brain. Staining is especially prominent in the cerebellar cortex and hippocampus and is also strong in other brain regions rich in dendritic spines. B, Micrograph showing the hippocampal formation. Staining is conspicuous in the molecular layer of the dentate gyrus (DG) and in the neuropil of CA1 (dashed lines mark borders of the main regions within the hippocampal formation). C, D, CA1 of hippocampus, showing stained somata and apical dendrites of pyramidal neurons. The detail in C reveals patchy staining in the somatodendritic compartment, surrounding immunonegative nuclei. E, High-magnification view of the stratum radiatum reveals intensely labeled dendrites; puncta in the neuropil may correspond to dendritic spines. Scale bars: A, 5 mm; B, 500 μm; C, E, 25 μm; D, 50 μm.
from the region just beneath the PSD (Fig. 4, D, E). Reaction product was not detected in axons and axon terminals. Thus, immunoperoxidase electron microscopy confirms our LM observation that cortactin concentrates in spines.

The above results suggest that cortactin in spines may lie away from the synaptic junction. However, these data do not allow critical examination of the question, because immunoperoxidase product may migrate away from the enzymatic site, often filling the profile and making it difficult to define the precise location of the antigen. To clarify this issue, we performed a set of experiments with immunogold labeling. We repeatedly tried postembedding techniques, but with little success; presumably the relevant epitope is destroyed or occluded by the preliminary histological processing. We therefore performed preembedding immunogold labeling, followed by silver intensification, to obtain more precise spatial localization of cortactin.

Numerous gold/silver particles coding for cortactin were seen in dendritic shafts of CA1 pyramidal neurons (Fig. 5A). Most of these particles were associated with microtubules, presumably representing a transport pool trafficking between spines and the cell body. Some dendritic labeling was not associated with microtubules; we speculate that this pool is coupled to actin filaments in the dendritic shaft, as hinted by phalloidin/cortactin double immunofluorescent labeling (Fig. 3D). In a number of cases, cortactin (presumably in association with actin filaments) concentrated in the general vicinity of asymmetric synaptic contacts onto dendritic shafts of putative interneurons (Fig. 5B).

Immunofluorescence and EM immunoperoxidase indicate that axons and axon terminals do not contain cortactin; however, after immunogold processing, occasional gold particles were seen in axon terminals (Fig. 5D, star). To assess whether these particles might represent unspecific labeling, we performed quantitative analysis of the density of immunogold labeling in different subcellular compartments. Nonspecific background labeling was calculated by measuring particle densities over the nuclei of pyramidal cells, because these were consistently immunonegative with immunofluorescence (see Materials and Methods). Labeling over axon terminals was not significantly above this background ($p > 0.3$) (Table 1). In contrast, dendritic shafts (8 times above background) and spines (15 times above background) contained significantly higher concentrations of gold particles than did nuclei ($p < 0.001$).

Labeling was especially prominent in the spines of pyramidal neurons. Gold particles in spines usually spared the immediate region of the PSD (Fig. 5C, arrows). Within spines, gold particles were often associated with electron-dense filamentous material (Fig. 5D, E), suggesting an interaction between cortactin and the cytoskeleton. In a number of cases, gold particles were seen in association with coated pits and vesicles in spines and dendritic shafts, although these profiles were uncommon.

Because cortactin has been found to increase spine size in cultured neurons, we wondered whether larger spines in the intact brain contain higher concentrations of cortactin. However, examining 236 randomly selected spine profiles, we found a weak negative correlation between labeling density and profile area ($r = -0.11$). Random stochastic noise inherent in sampling from small profiles is likely to lead to underestimates of correlation. To reduce this noise, we examined correlations after grouping the data into 5, 10, or 20 bins of profile area and found correlations ranging from $-0.43$ to $-0.50$. Because work on cultured neurons suggests that cortactin may be important for spine development, we speculated that cortactin density might be positively correlated with spine size during postnatal development. However, a similar weakly negative relationship was also seen in immature animals: in a sample of 102 profiles from P21 hippocampus, $r = -0.04$, and in a sample of 106 profiles from P14, $r = -0.18$; again, the magnitude of the correlation, but not its sign, increased with binning.
Distribution of cortactin within spines

To establish the organization of cortactin within spines, we measured the distances of gold particles from the outer leaflet of the postsynaptic membrane, as described previously (Valschanoff and Weinberg, 2001). Consistent with hints from the DAB material (Fig. 4D, E), cortactin labeling concentrated away from the PSD, in the cytoplasmic core of the spine, with a peak labeling density ~100–150 nm away from the synaptic membrane (Fig. 6A, C). This result must be treated cautiously: preembedding techniques may fail to detect antigen within the dense protein matrix of the PSD (Lorincz et al., 2002). This possibility concerned us because some biochemical studies (Husi et al., 2000; Peng et al., 2004) have reported cortactin in the PSD, although others failed to detect cortactin (Walikonis et al., 2000; Yoshimura et al., 2004).

To control for the possibility that methodological limitations might have prevented detection of cortactin in the PSD, we used the same preembedding technique to detect α-actinin, an actin-binding protein previously demonstrated with postembedding techniques to concentrate within the PSD (Wyszynski et al., 1998). We found that particles coding for α-actinin concentrated 50–70 nm from the PSD, significantly closer than cortactin to the synaptic plasma membrane (p < 0.001) (Fig. 6A, D). Examining these data further, we found that 9% of the particles coding for α-actinin (17 of 188) lay ≤30 nm from the postsynaptic membrane (a region clearly within the PSD), whereas none of the cortactin particles (0 of 122) lay in this region. It is thus unlikely that methodological limitations prevented detection of cortactin within the PSD. However, ~10% of the gold particles were <60 nm from the synaptic membrane, close enough to permit a direct interaction with binding partners within the PSD, especially proteins like Shank that lie in its inner layer (Valschanoff and Weinberg, 2001). As an additional control, we examined the distribution of synaptophysin (Fig. 6C, D), confirming that it was exclusively presynaptic, lying mainly >100 nm from the synaptic membrane. Thus, our data indicate that the major pool of cortactin lies within the spine core and suggests that the modest fraction associated with the synapse lies at the cytoplasmic fringe of the PSD.

It still remains possible from the above data that cortactin accumulates close to the plasma membrane but away from the PSD. To test this possibility, we measured the distance of gold particles coding for cortactin to the spine plasma membrane (Fig. 6B). The virtually complete absence of cortactin within 30 nm of the plasma membrane, in contrast to its accumulation ~100–150 nm away, confirmed that cortactin concentrates in the spine core.

Discussion

An accumulating body of evidence associates long-term synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus with changes in the morphology of dendritic spines (Segal and Andersen, 2000; Yuste and Bonhoeffer, 2001; Nikonenko et al., 2002; Harris et al., 2003), but the cellular mechanisms leading from activation of glutamate recep-
other regulator of actin polymerization (Finkel et al., 1994), moves into the spine head after NMDA receptor activation (Ackermann and Matus, 2003). Because profilin acts to stabilize spine morphology, we suggest that the NMDA receptor-mediated exchange of profilin for cortactin may represent an activity-dependent switch regulating actin stability.

### Spine morphology and cortactin

Recent experiments in cultured neurons (Hering and Sheng, 2003) suggest a role for cortactin in spine development. Cortactin can bind to Shank, a scaffold protein previously shown to increase spine size. However, this association is apparently not the primary mediator of the cortactin effect, because Shank overexpression increased spine head thickness, whereas cortactin overexpression increased spine length; furthermore, cortactin mutants unable to bind to Shank also increase spine length (Hering and Sheng, 2003). Our findings extend this work by showing that cortactin concentrates in spines in the mature brain. Unexpectedly, our data suggest that cortactin is more concentrated in small spines, at variance with results from cultured neurons (Hering and Sheng, 2003). Cortactin might play functionally different roles in developing and mature neurons, but we also saw a negative correlation in spines from the immature hippocampus. Overexpression experiments may not reflect the physiological function of cortactin in vivo; alternatively, one could speculate that small spines containing high concentrations of cortactin have been “marked” for subsequent enlargement. Although purely observational, our results are consistent with Kasai’s model (Kasai et al., 2003; Matsuzaki et al., 2004) in that small spines, presumably available for plasticity-dependent remodeling, contain more cortactin than large, presumably stable spines.

### Distribution of cortactin within spines

Our data show that cortactin concentrates at a considerable distance (~100–150 nm) from the synapse, suggesting that it interacts with actin in the spine core, away from the PSD. This apparent exclusion was not only from the immediate vicinity of the postsynaptic membrane but also at nonsynaptic membranes. That is unlikely to reflect methodological limitations is supported by our results with α-actinin; moreover, using the same technique, we can detect other proteins that concentrate close to the membrane and avoid the spine core (Racz et al., 2004). We propose that the spine core represents a previously unrecognized domain playing a special role in cytoskeletal organization and/or spine remodeling.

The present results do not exclude that cortactin may bind to Shank in the PSD. We detected a small amount of cortactin at the cytoplasmic fringe of the PSD, and previous work from our laboratory found Shank to concentrate in the cytoplasmic side of the PSD (Valtschanoff and Weinberg, 2001). Together with previous work (Naisbitt et al., 1999; Husi et al., 2000; Peng et al., 2004), the present data suggest that although a small amount of cortactin lies close to the PSD, it is only weakly associated with it. Thus, unlike the Shank–PSD-95–GKAP (guanylate kinase-associated protein) complex, cortactin is unlikely to be an integral component of the PSD. Our data are consistent with the presence of two distinct pools of cortactin within the spine, a small synaptic pool and a larger core pool, only indirectly coupled to synaptic activity. We speculate that these two pools have distinct functions: the core pool is situated to mediate changes in spine shape, whereas the synaptic pool might help to modify the composition or shape of the PSD in response to specific patterns of activity (Desmond and Levy, 1986; Geinisman et al., 2000; Malinow and Malenka, 2002; Marrone and Petit, 2002; Harris et al., 2003).
Profiles for explanation). Random photos were taken of spines and terminals in the stratum radiatum of particles coding for synaptophysin. This catenin–cortactin complex induces process elongation, at synaptic profiles.

However, cortactin has also been implicated in the morphogenetic transport pool of cortactin en route from the cell body to spines. Although to a lesser degree than in spines, this may represent a catenin association at the plasma membrane (which corresponds to 0 in A and C) is tyrosine phosphorylated, the dendritic tree becomes highly branched, apparently because the phosphorylation prevents the catenin–cortactin association (Martinez et al., 2003). Thus, our data raise the possibility that phosphorylation prevents the catenin–cortactin association (see inset for explanation). Random photos were taken of spines and terminals in the stratum radiatum of CA1 exhibiting a clear synapse and containing at least one gold particle. Data are from 65 profiles for α-actinin, 55 for cortactin, and 55 for synaptophysin. The bin width was 10 nm for A and B, and 20 nm for C. Data were smoothed with a three-point weighted running average.

Cortactin in dendrites

We found that cortactin is also concentrated in dendritic shafts, although to a lesser degree than in spines. This may represent a transport pool of cortactin en route from the cell body to spines. However, cortactin has also been implicated in the morphogenesis of dendritic trees: δ-catenin can bind to cortactin (Weed et al., 2000); by activating Arp2/3-induced actin polymerization, this catenin–cortactin complex induces process elongation, at least in cultured neurons. In contrast, when δ-catenin (which lies at the plasma membrane) is tyrosine phosphorylated, the dendritic tree becomes highly branched, apparently because the phosphorylation prevents the catenin–cortactin association (Martinez et al., 2003). Thus, our data raise the possibility that cortactin may also play a structural role in mature dendrites.
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