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The Effects of Tonic Locus Ceruleus Output on Sensory-Evoked Responses of Ventral Posterior Medial Thalamic and Barrel Field Cortical Neurons in the Awake Rat
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In mammals, the pontine nucleus locus ceruleus (LC) is the sole source of norepinephrine (NE) projections to the forebrain. Increasing tonic discharge of LC neurons elevates extracellular levels of NE in the cortex and thalamus. Tonic LC discharge is linked to the level of wakefulness and behavioral performance, demonstrating an optimal firing rate during sustained attention tasks. Iontophoretic application of NE to target neurons in the forebrain has been shown to produce a diverse set of neuromodulatory actions, including augmentation of synaptically evoked discharge as well as suppression of spontaneous and stimulus-evoked firing patterns. Iontophoretic studies cataloged potential NE effects; however, the context in which such actions could occur in awake behaving animals remained controversial. To address this issue, the current study examined the effects of increasing tonic LC output on spontaneous and stimulus-evoked discharge of neurons within the ventroposterior medial (VPM) thalamus and barrel field (BF) somatosensory cortex of awake animals using multichannel extracellular recording strategies. The present findings indicate two primary outcomes that result from increasing frequencies of LC stimulation, either an inverted-U facilitating response profile or monotonic suppression of sensory-evoked neuronal responses. Increased tonic LC output generally decreased neuronal response latency measures for both BF cortical and VPM thalamic cells. LC-mediated effects on target VPM and BF cortical neuron sensory processing are consistent with previous demonstrations of NE modulatory actions on central neurons but indicate that such actions are cell specific. Moreover, clear differences were observed between the modulation of VPM and BF cortical cells. These data suggest that sensory signal processing is continually altered over the range of tonic LC discharge frequencies that occur in the waking animal. Such changes may account for LC-mediated shifts in sensory network performance across multiple stages of arousal and attention.
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Introduction

Projections from the pontine nucleus locus ceruleus (LC) innervate the thalamus and cerebral cortex (Foote et al., 1983) and are capable of simultaneously releasing norepinephrine (NE) in thalamic and cortical circuits that sequentially process like-modality sensory information (e.g., the rodent trigeminal somatosensory pathway). LC neurons exhibit a range of low tonic firing rates that are linearly related to NE efflux in target tissue across the range of LC firing rates typically observed during the sleep–wake cycle (Florin-Lechner et al., 1996; Berridge and Abercrombie, 1999). Increasing LC discharge rates are correlated with forebrain EEG activation (Berridge and Foote, 1991), transitions from slow wave sleep to waking (Aston-Jones and Bloom, 1981), and progression from drowsy, low arousal states to alert, highly vigilant states (Rajkowski et al., 1994; Usher et al., 1999). The highest rates of tonic LC discharge are correlated with distractibility or labile attentiveness and associated with active waking and exploration (Foote et al., 1980). Thus, increases in tonic LC output and subsequent NE release are implicated in the regulation of behavioral state and state-dependent cognitive processes.

Exogenous application of NE onto single cells within the cerebellum, cerebral cortex, hippocampus, hypothalamus, and thalamus has been shown to modulate neuronal responses to monoaminergic synaptic inputs. These actions include the following: (1) general suppression of neuronal firing; (2) selective reduction of spontaneous versus stimulus-evoked discharge yielding “signal/noise” ratio increases; (3) augmentation of stimulus-induced inhibition of spontaneous firing rate; (4) absolute potentiation of stimulus-evoked excitatory discharge; and (5) “gating” of responses to otherwise subthreshold synaptic inputs (for review, see Berridge and Waterhouse, 2003). Additional effects of NE on the temporal structure of neuronal responses to synaptic stimuli have also been demonstrated (Koss and Vater, 1989; McCormick et al., 1991; George, 1992; Holdefer and...
Dennison, TX) contained eight 50-approximate depth of 6.0 mm. The electrode bundle (SB103; NB Labs, male Long–Evans hooded rats (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA) weighing 250–450 gm. Animals were housed in a light (12 hr on and 12 hr off), temperature-, and humidity-controlled environment with food and water available ad libitum. Neuronal recordings were obtained during daylight hours (i.e., when the lights were normally on). All procedures were done in accordance with National Institutes of Health guidelines on research and animal care and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Drexel University College of Medicine.

Materials and Methods

Extracellular recordings of multiple single units were taken from six adult male Long–Evans–Evans hooded rats (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA) weighing 250–450 gm. Animals were housed in a light (12 hr on and 12 hr off), temperature-, and humidity-controlled environment with food and water available ad libitum. Neuronal recordings were obtained during daylight hours (i.e., when the lights were normally on). All procedures were done in accordance with National Institutes of Health guidelines on research and animal care and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Drexel University College of Medicine.

Animals and surgical procedures. All animals received microwire implants in the barrel field (BF) somatosensory cortex, the ipsilateral ventroposterior medial (VPM) thalamus, and the ipsilateral LC. Additionally, a stimulation electrode was placed under the skin and anchored at the base of a single whisker in the whisker pad. Detailed methods for surgical preparation, recording strategies, and data analysis have been described previously (Nicolleis and Chapin, 1994; Nicolleis et al., 1997; Devilbiss and Waterhouse, 2002). Briefly, anesthesia was induced intraperitoneally as a mixture of 390 mg/kg chloral hydrate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and 25 mg/kg pentobarbital sodium (Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, IL) solution and supplemented with additional injections of 100 mg/kg chloral hydrate–10 mg/kg pentobarbital solution to maintain a surgical plane of anesthesia. With the head placed at a 15° angle (nose down) within a stereotaxic frame, a small craniotomy was performed at 1.2 mm lateral and 3.6 mm caudal to the intersection of the midline and lambda to allow the vertical placement of a microwire bundle into the LC. After careful removal of the dura to avoid damage to the sagittal sinus, the electrode bundle was slowly lowered (−50 μm/min) to an approximate depth of 6.0 mm. The electrode bundle (SB103; NB Labs, Dennison, TX) contained eight 50-approximate depth of 6.0 mm. The electrode bundle was slowly lowered to an approximate depth of 5.5 mm. Neuronal electrical activity was monitored to guide placement of the electrode to the representation of C3 vibrissae within the VPM thalamus. The BF cortical microwire array (S103; NB Labs) was slowly lowered into the BF cortex to an approximate depth of 1.2 mm. Again, neuronal activity was monitored during probe insertion to evaluate the position of the microwire bundle as it approached a final target in layer II/III, IV, or V of the C3 whisker representation in the BF cortex. The dura was covered with Gelfoam, and each probe was secured to the skull with dental cement. Before the skull screws were encapsulated with dental acrylic, the grounding wires from each of the electrode assemblies were wrapped around the exposed screws for electrical grounding.

After successful implantation of the microwire electrode bundles, a whisker stimulating electrode was prepared by placing a twisted pair of seven-stranded stainless steel wires inside a 20 gauge needle cut blunt and polished. The needle was used to burrow under the skin from the initial site of the skull incision to the rat's whisker pad. By carefully removing the needle, the twisted pair of wires was left hooked at the base of the C3 whisker follicle. Placement of the electrode could be verified by gently tugging on the free end of the pair and noting which of the vibrissas were moved. The free ends of the wires were then connected with woven suture and a 15 mm section of SILASTIC tubing (602-231; Dow Corning) to protect the wires from rubbing against the dental acrylic. The free ends of the wires were crimped into a connector and attached to the skull with dental acrylic. The skin was loosely sealed around the dental cement, and the animal was allowed to recover for 5–10 d.

Recording sessions. Before experimentation, the animals were habituated to the testing chamber, the experimenter, and the experimental procedure (whisker pad stimulation). Throughout the recording session, animals were able to move freely within the testing chamber. During this habituation period, multiple single units were discriminated (Devilbiss and Waterhouse, 2002) as uniform electrical stimuli were delivered repetitively through the whisker pad electrode. Briefly, a Multichannel Acquisition Processor hardware and Realtime Acquisition System Programs for Unit Timing In Neuroscience (Plexon, Dallas, TX) was used to amplify, discriminate, and record neuronal electrical activity from putative single neurons or “units” of the VPM thalamus, BF cortex, and LC. Individual units were discriminated from on-line neural activity using a template-matching algorithm. After the experimental session, waveforms from each discriminated unit were reexamined with respect to pre-established criteria to verify that individual waveforms originated from a single neuron. These off-line criteria included characterization of unit waveform properties and spike train discharge patterns, e.g., (1) peak voltage of the waveform, (2) waveform slope(s), (3) scattergram of the waveform’s first two principal components (PCs), and (4) spike train autocorrelogram. These combined on-line and off-line discrimination procedures provided a high level of assurance that recorded waveforms represented spike train discharges from individual thalamic and cortical cells (Table 1, Fig. 1).

Peristimulus time histograms (PSTH) were generated on-line after the discrimination procedure to confirm that individual recorded units were responsive to low level (non-noxious) whisker pad stimulation. Stimuli were sufficient to routinely elicit thalamic and cortical neuronal responses and occasionally were strong enough to evoke small, single whisker twitches before thalamic and cortical neuron-evoked discharges. After single-unit discrimination and confirmation that units were responsive to sensory pathway activation, animals were presented with ten pseudo-randomized experimental conditions (animals received each condition in a random order). The first condition presented was always a control; animals received 90 whisker pad stimulations presented at −0.5 Hz. Exact timing of sensory stimulus presentation was generated randomly within a Gaussian distribution (mean interstimulus interval, 2 sec; range, 1.5–2.5 sec) to prevent habituation. The nine remaining experi-
Results

Electrical activity was recorded simultaneously from multiple sites within the whisker representation of the VPM thalamus and corresponding ipsilateral BF cortex in awake, unrestrained rats. Only neuronal spike train data extracted from recordings made during quietly resting behaviors (as defined by video record analysis) were used for these analyses. Recording sites in the thalamus and cortex were verified at the time of surgery by increases in electrical activity after mechanical displacement of the contralateral vibrissa and postmortem by visualization of a Prussian blue reaction product at the electrode tip. The brains were then removed and stored in phosphate buffer containing 15% sucrose. Coronal 80 μm sections were cut on a freezing microtome, mounted, and coverslipped for histological examination.

Table 1. Characterization of the efficiency of multiunit recordings during experiments with tonic LC stimulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>LC stimulation – current range tested</th>
<th>Waveform count</th>
<th>Verified single neurons</th>
<th>Thalamus</th>
<th>Initially responsive to whisker stimuli</th>
<th>Cortex</th>
<th>Initially responsive to whisker stimuli</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLC22</td>
<td>3, 10, 30 μA</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLC28</td>
<td>3, 10, 30 μA</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10, 30, 100 μA</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLC29</td>
<td>3, 10, 30 μA</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10, 30, 100 μA</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLC30</td>
<td>3, 10, 30 μA</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10, 30, 100 μA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30, 100, 300 μA</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLC32</td>
<td>10, 30, 100 μA</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30, 100, 300 μA</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLC34</td>
<td>3, 10, 30 μA</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30, 100, 300 μA</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>478</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each subject received a range of LC stimulation currents and three frequency parameters (0.5, 1.0, and 5.0 Hz) on a given session. Only one session was administered per day. Putative units were isolated from cortical and thalamic waveform activity (Waveform count) and subsequently verified as originating from a single neuron with off-line criteria. Each cell was classified as thalamic or cortical, and the associated spike train discharge was examined by PSTH analysis to determine whether these neurons responded to whisker pad stimulation during control periods (Initially responsive to whisker stimuli).
Effects of tonic LC activation on synaptically driven responses in the thalamus and cortex

To test the possibility that the LC efferent pathway could produce heterogeneous modulatory effects in target neurons, the actions of tonic, low-level electrical activation of the LC on thalamic and cortical neurons were cataloged. LC stimulation elicited multiple effects on whisker pad stimulus-related discharge in the thalamus and cortex of awake, quietly resting rats. As illustrated by the examples shown in Figure 1, these LC-mediated modulatory actions in thalamic and cortical target regions included the following: (1) suppression of stimulus-evoked excitatory responses (Fig. 1 Bi,Bii); (2) facilitation of stimulus-evoked excitatory discharge (Fig. 1 Bii,Biv); (3) increase of the postexcitatory inhibition (Fig. 1 Ci); and (4) increase of the stimulus-evoked inhibition (Fig. 1 Ci,1 D). These coincident but opposing actions of LC stimulation were observed frequently across simultaneously recorded neurons. Furthermore, this heterogeneous neuro-modulation was evident within BF cortical and VPM thalamic circuitry as shown in Figure 1 B. For the cortical pair (Fig. 1 B, top), the whisker pad stimulus-evoked response of the neuron in Figure 1 Bi was suppressed 29% from control levels (probability of response decreased from 3.75 to 2.68), whereas the response of the cortical cell in Figure 1 Bii was facilitated by 19% (probability of response increased from 3.75 to 4.47). Likewise, whisker pad stimulus-evoked responses of the representative thalamic neurons (Fig. 1 B, bottom) were differentially modulated [67% suppression (Fig. 1 Biii) and 23% facilitation (Fig. 1 Biv)].

The effects of tonic LC stimulation on sensory stimulus-evoked inhibition in both cortical and thalamic neurons are illustrated in Figure 1, C and D. The postexcitatory inhibition (Fig. 1 CIa, bar) in the first cortical neuron was enhanced twofold during 5 Hz LC stimulation. At the same time, the stimulus-evoked excitation in Figure 1 CIb was increased 1.88-fold from control. Direct stimulus-evoked inhibition was increased substantially during LC activation in both cortical (92% from 11.66 to 0.99) (Fig. 1 Ci) and thalamic (100% from 2.99 to 0.0) (Fig. 1 D) neurons. Taken together, these data indicate that low-level, tonic activation of the LC efferent pathway can simultaneously produce different modulatory effects on responses of neighboring neurons in target areas of the CNS. Thus, at a given level of LC output, NE release produces heterogeneous modulatory effects on excitatory and inhibitory components of neural responses to sensory stimuli. These heterogeneous actions occur simultaneously within ensembles of cortical and thalamic neurons.

Figure 1. Responses of individual neurons within the thalamus or cortex to whisker pad stimulation before and during LC stimulation. A, Off-line verification that unit waveforms originated from a single neuron. Recorded electrical activity (AI) from a single microwire electrode was discriminated as four unit waveforms (AI-AIV) and represented in a scatter plot (PC1 vs PC2 vs AI). Using our off-line criteria, Units II and III were verified as originating from a single neuron. B, The PSTHs illustrate spontaneous and somatosensory stimulus-evoked discharge of four simultaneously recorded neurons (1 per each row), before (left) and during (right) 1.0 Hz LC stimulation. Each histogram sums unit activity during an equal number of stimulus presentations. Bi and Biv are cortical neurons (BF); Bii and Biv are thalamic neurons (VPM). During periods of LC activation, sensory-evoked excitation was suppressed (Bi, Bii) or facilitated (Bii, Biv) in cortical and thalamic neurons, respectively. Inset numbers represent the summed probability that the neuron will discharge in response to whisker pad stimulation. C, D, LC activation (5.0 Hz) also elicited an enhancement of postexcitatory- and stimulus-bound (Ci, Cii, D) inhibition in cortical (CI) and thalamic (D) neurons, respectively. Inset numbers in C and D represent the summed probability that the neuron would discharge during the period indicated by the horizontal bar. In each histogram, the y-axis represents the probability that the neuron discharged for a given 1 msec bin (x-axis). Whisker pad stimuli were presented at 0.0 sec.

To determine whether there was a pattern to these effects, the likelihood of observing these actions was expressed as a percentage of initially responsive cells (n = 40 thalamus; n = 54 cortex) (Table 2). Depending on the stimulus parameters used (i.e., increasing frequencies or increasing stimulation currents), LC stimulation facilitated excitatory responses in 65.0–80.0% of the single units recorded in the VPM thalamus. In contrast, whisker pad-evoked excitation was suppressed in 10.0–30.0% of the re-
Table 2. Summary of tonic LC stimulation effects on response probability of VPM thalamic and BF cortical neurons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Thalamus</th>
<th>Cortex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitation</td>
<td>26/40 (65.0%)</td>
<td>17/54 (31.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppression</td>
<td>12/40 (30.0%)</td>
<td>34/54 (63.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No effect</td>
<td>2/40 (5.0%)</td>
<td>3/54 (5.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gating</td>
<td>9/34 (26.5%)</td>
<td>21/63 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitation</td>
<td>32/40 (80.0%)</td>
<td>18/54 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppression</td>
<td>4/40 (10.0%)</td>
<td>34/54 (63.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No effect</td>
<td>4/40 (10.0%)</td>
<td>2/54 (3.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gating</td>
<td>13/34 (38.2%)</td>
<td>26/63 (41.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table and cortical cell responses were categorized according to the nature of the neuromodulatory effect observed during LC stimulation. Facilitation or suppression was declared if a significant increase or decrease in the probability of response was observed at any frequency or current of LC stimulation, respectively. For those neurons that were not initially responsive to whisker pad stimulation, gating was declared if a significant response emerged during tonic LC stimulation.

Effects of different frequency–current pairings of tonic LC electrical stimulation on stimulus-evoked discharge of thalamic and cortical neurons

To investigate the spectrum of LC neuromodulatory effects on thalamic and cortical neuron responsiveness, the actions of LC output were further characterized across a physiological range of increasing levels of tonic LC stimulation. As illustrated by the cortical examples in Figure 3, with increasing frequencies or currents of LC stimulation, excitatory responses to whisker pad stimulation were either facilitated in a biphasic or “inverted-U” modulatory profile or monotonically suppressed. Neuronal responses that were initially facilitated during periods of low-level LC output were further enhanced to a peak value with increasing levels of stimulation (Fig. 3A, B, top rows). Additional increases in LC stimulation produced a reduction in evoked discharge from the peak value yielding a biphasic or inverted-U LC-mediated modulatory response relationship. LC-mediated suppression of neuronal responsiveness across increasing frequencies (Fig. 3A, bottom row) or increasing currents of LC electrical stimulation (Fig. 3B, bottom row) was also observed in simultaneously recorded neurons of the BF cortex or VPM thalamus. Recovery toward control levels of responding was observed immedi-

A subset of recorded cells (n = 34 thalamus; n = 63 cortex) was initially unresponsive to whisker pad stimulation; however, during tonic activation of the LC (increasing frequencies or increasing stimulation currents), 26.5–38.2% of the thalamic cells and 33.3–41.3% of the cortical cells exhibited statistically significant patterns of stimulus-evoked discharge (Fig. 2, Table 2). This phenomenon of gating has been characterized previously as a direct effect of NE on target neurons (Waterhouse et al., 1988). No differences in the likelihood of gating were evident for the thalamus versus cortex.
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Effects of different frequency–current pairings of tonic LC electrical stimulation on stimulus-evoked discharge of thalamic and cortical neurons

To investigate the spectrum of LC neuromodulatory effects on thalamic and cortical neuron responsiveness, the actions of LC output were further characterized across a physiological range of increasing levels of tonic LC stimulation. As illustrated by the cortical examples in Figure 3, with increasing frequencies or currents of LC stimulation, excitatory responses to whisker pad stimulation were either facilitated in a biphasic or “inverted-U” modulatory profile or monotonically suppressed. Neuronal responses that were initially facilitated during periods of low-level LC output were further enhanced to a peak value with increasing levels of stimulation (Fig. 3A, B, top rows). Additional increases in LC stimulation produced a reduction in evoked discharge from the peak value yielding a biphasic or inverted-U LC-mediated modulatory response relationship. LC-mediated suppression of neuronal responsiveness across increasing frequencies (Fig. 3A, bottom row) or increasing currents of LC electrical stimulation (Fig. 3B, bottom row) was also observed in simultaneously recorded neurons of the BF cortex or VPM thalamus. Recovery toward control levels of responding was observed immediately after cessation of LC stimulation in most cells (note that one example of delayed recovery is shown here) (Fig. 3A, bottom row).

The interaction between incremental currents and increasing frequencies of tonic LC stimulation was tested for every verified single neuron (n = 191). In each case, an optimal frequency–current pairing was established for producing maximal stimulus-evoked discharge in target neurons. Figure 4A illustrates the response of a VPM thalamic neuron to whisker pad stimulation across the range of frequency–current pairings tested. The stimulus-evoked discharge for this sample cell was maximally facilitated at low frequencies (0.5–1.0 Hz) of LC activation. By increasing the frequency and holding the current constant, this peak evoked discharge was reduced toward control levels according to an inverted-U relationship (Fig. 4A, horizontal array of histograms). A similar relationship was observed when the stimulus frequency was fixed and stimulus current was varied from 3 to 30 μA (Fig. 4A, vertical array of histograms). Using these data, the evoked discharge observed with each LC stimulus parameter can be plotted as a contour graph to predict the outcome of a continuum of frequency–current pairings (Fig. 4B). For this animal, the contour plot of this spline-fit model predicts that low-frequency (~1.0 Hz) tonic LC stimulation is optimal over a limited range of currents. As current is reduced, greater frequencies (~3.0 Hz) of LC stimulation are needed to produce facilita-
tonic neuronal responsiveness over a range of LC stimulus test frequencies.

Effects of increasing frequencies of tonic LC stimulation on thalamic and cortical neuron response probability

Previous and more recent evidence (Aston-Jones and Bloom, 1981; Ishimatsu and Williams, 1996) suggests that the LC nucleus alters its NE output by increasing or decreasing firing rates of its constituent cells as opposed to altering the number of discharging neurons. Thus, in the remaining analyses of LC-mediated modulation of neuronal responsiveness, we limited our investigation to the effects of different tonic LC stimulation frequencies. To test the hypothesis that increasing levels of tonic LC output may have different neuromodulatory effects on sensory responses of VPM thalamic versus BF cortical neurons, the effect of increasing LC stimulation on all the cells recorded within an anatomical region were plotted as a function of LC stimulus frequency (Fig. 5). Increasing frequencies of LC stimulation were found to have no generalized effect across the recorded population of BF cortical neurons (Fig. 5A) (ANOVA: $F_{(3,75)} = 0.719, p = 0.541$); however, a clear inverted-U modulation of the evoked discharge of VPM thalamic neurons was observed for increasing frequencies of LC stimulation (Fig. 5B) (ANOVA: $F_{(3,49)} = 4.54, p = 0.0046$).

Cells demonstrating responses of each neuromodulatory classification, facilitation, or suppression, were then grouped. Neurons that demonstrated LC-mediated facilitation of their evoked response were further grouped according to anatomical location and plotted to determine whether differences existed between the sample populations in the VPM thalamus and BF cortex (Fig. 6). Neurons from the BF cortex (Fig. 6A) were maximally facilitated at 0.5 Hz LC stimulation (ANOVA: $F_{(3,37)} = 5.10, p = 0.0025$), whereas VPM thalamic cells (Fig. 6B) demonstrated maximal sensitivity at 1.0 Hz (ANOVA: $F_{(3,34)} = 5.50, p = 0.0016$).

Within each neuromodulatory classification, the effects of tonic LC activation on BF cortical and VPM thalamic neuronal responses to whisker pad stimulation were significant across the range of LC stimulation frequencies tested. However, as indicated by the data in Figures 3–6, sensitivity to increasing LC stimulation frequencies was variable between cells. To compare differences between maximal LC modulation of VPM thalamic or BF cortical neuron responses, the LC stimulus frequency–response curves were shifted to align peak facilitation or suppression for individual neurons. This shifting produced an average effect of LC stimulation, relative to the maximal modulatory effect, without confounds inherent across simultaneously recorded neuronal assemblies (e.g., differences in baseline firing rate, etc.). The average maximal facilitation of the magnitude of somatosensory-evoked responses (Fig. 7A) for thalamic neurons was 217% of control (ANOVA: $F_{(5,34)} = 4.16, p = 0.002$, whereas cortical cells were facilitated to a maximum of 198% of control (ANOVA: $F_{(5,37)} = 8.79, p < 0.0001$). Comparison of these values demonstrated no difference in facilitation of evoked discharge for neurons in the VPM thalamus versus BF cortex (ANOVA: $F_{(1,71)} = 0.470, p = 0.50$). Furthermore, maximal LC-induced suppression (Fig. 7B) of whisker pad stimulus-evoked discharge for VPM thalamic neurons (33% of control) was significant (ANOVA: $F_{(4,11)} = 13.3, p < 0.0001$), as was the suppression of BF cortical neuron responses (40% of control; ANOVA: $F_{(5,33)} = 49.0, p < 0.0001$). Again, there was no statistical difference in LC-mediated suppression of stimulus-evoked responses between cells of the thalamus and cortex (ANOVA: $F_{(1,144)} = 0.58, p = 0.449$).

These data indicate a fundamentally different net effect of increasing tonic LC output on the BF cortex versus VPM thalamus at the circuit level. This difference in overall neuromodulatory action is most likely attributable to the ratio of neurons in these cortical and thalamic circuits that respond via a monotonic
Effects of tonic LC stimulation on thalamic and cortical neuron response latency

To test the hypothesis that tonic LC output modulates other dimensions of sensory signal coding, the effect of LC activation on sensory–response latencies was examined. As illustrated by perievent rasters shown in Figure 8, the temporal properties of neuronal responses were altered during periods of increasing frequency of tonic LC stimulation. The sample responses from individual thalamic (Fig. 8A) and cortical (Fig. 8B) cells reveal a decrease in the variability of response onset over multiple stimulus trials in addition to a reduction in the latency-to-peak discharge during periods of LC activation. To quantify LC-mediated changes in mean latency, the average latency of the first spike to occur after stimulus presentation was calculated. Additionally, the latency variance as well as the modal latency were computed. Calculations of latency measures from illustrative cases for the thalamus (Fig. 8A) and cortex (Fig. 8B) reveal a statistically significant reduction of the mean latency ($p < 0.05$) to evoked discharge. Tonic LC stimulation ($1.0$ Hz) decreased the mean latency of thalamic neuron discharge (Fig. 8A) from $14.5$ msec ($6.1$ msec latency variance) to $11.2$ msec ($4.8$ msec latency variance). The mean latency of cortical neuron discharge (Fig. 8B) was also significantly reduced from $11.1$ msec ($3.2$ msec latency variance) to $10.2$ msec ($2.6$ msec latency variance). Furthermore, a reduction in the latency-to-peak discharge was also observed for both cells during tonic LC stimulation. The modal latency for the thalamic neuron response was reduced from $13.8$ to $10.0$ msec, whereas the modal latency for the cortical neuron response was decreased from $10.0$ to $9.4$ msec.

The latency of an excitatory response to sensory stimuli, in part, indicates the number of synapses between the sensory afferent and the recorded cell. For example, a VPM thalamic neuron with a receptive field centered on the manipulated whisker responds in a range of $3–12$ msec (Armstrong-James and Callahan, 1991). Longer response latencies are associated with neurons that have the manipulated whisker in their surround (for a given cortical layer). For this particular thalamic cell (Fig. 8A), calculations of mean latency, latency variance, and modal latency suggest that the whisker-pad stimulation was not within the receptive field center of this neuron. However, no trend was observed when the data were examined for interactions between short versus long latency responses and the neuromodulatory actions of LC output.

For all recorded cells (Table 3), increasing frequencies of tonic LC stimulation produced a greater proportion of cortical cells suppression or an inverted-U facilitatory profile over increasing LC discharge frequencies. Differences in the frequency at which VPM or BF cortical neurons were maximally facilitated were slight; however, such differences may be biologically relevant (see Discussion). Within a neuromodulatory classification (i.e., facilitation or suppression), these data indicate that at the cellular level the magnitude effects of LC output on sensory-evoked discharge was similar for neurons in the BF cortex and VPM thalamus.
exhibiting a decrease in the average latency [41 of 54 (75.9%)] than thalamic neurons [23 of 40 (57.5%)]. Furthermore, increasing tonic LC stimulation also reduced the variability (latency variance) of response latencies for a larger proportion of cells in the cortex [42 of 54 (77.8%)] than in the thalamus [21 of 40 (52.5%)]. Finally, the modal latency was decreased for approximately equivalent proportions of cells in the cortex and thalamus [37 of 54 (68.5%) vs 27 of 40 (67.5%)] during periods of tonic LC stimulation.

Data from cells in the VPM thalamus and BF cortex were further analyzed to determine the magnitude of latency shifts during periods of tonic LC pathway activation (Fig. 9). As expected from the distributions of latency effects, the population of thalamic cells as a whole exhibited no significant shift in the mean latency or latency variance (Fig. 9A). The modal latency of VPM thalamic neurons was decreased during LC stimulation (from 8.0 to 6.5 msec); however, this change also did not reach significance. In contrast, the mean latency of cortical neuron responses (Fig. 9B) was significantly reduced (from 10.2 to 8.1 msec; p < 0.001) over the range of LC stimulus frequencies tested. These results indicate that increasing LC output modulates the timing of the first spike of a sensory response. Within each classification (increased or decreased latency), the effects of tonic LC activation on BF cortical and VPM thalamic response latency measures were significant across the range of LC stimulus frequencies tested.

Although the LC-mediated modulatory actions on individual neuronal responses were variable between cells, general effects on modal latency in the thalamus and general effects on mean latency and latency variance in the cortex were observed. These general effects in the cortex coupled with the trend of decreased sensory–response modal latency in the thalamus indicate potential biologically relevant changes in temporal coding of sensory signals.

**Figure 5.** Modulation of BF cortical (A) and VPM thalamic (B) cell responses to synaptic input over the range of tonic LC stimulation (0.5–5.0 Hz). Neuronal responses to whisker pad stimulation (represented as a percentage of control; SEM) were quantified for each cell during control conditions and for periods during presentations of increasing frequencies of LC stimulation. Over the range of tonic LC frequencies tested, individual BF cortical cells (n = 51 + 21 gated) demonstrated both LC-mediated facilitation and suppression of neuronal responsiveness to whisker pad stimulation, but collectively (mean value) the group demonstrated no significant difference from control levels (p > 0.05). The population of VPM thalamic cells (n = 38 + 9 gated), however, demonstrated a significant increase in the averaged response to whisker pad stimulation during periods of LC activation, with a peak at 1.0 Hz (B). *p < 0.05.

**Figure 6.** Average facilitating effects of increasing tonic LC stimulation on BF cortical (A) and VPM thalamic (B) responses to whisker pad activation. Those cells that demonstrated a facilitation of neuronal responsiveness at any level of tonic LC stimulation frequencies (0.5–5.0 Hz; fixed intensity) were plotted. BF cortical cells (n = 17 + 21 gated) demonstrated a peak of maximal facilitation of neuronal response magnitude from control conditions at the lowest (0.5 Hz) levels of tonic LC stimulation tested. VPM thalamic cells (n = 26 + 9 gated) demonstrated the peak of facilitation at slightly higher frequencies (1.0 Hz) of LC stimulation. *p < 0.05.

**Figure 7.** Normalized effects of LC activation on responses of thalamic and cortical cells to whisker pad stimulation. Cell-specific modulatory response profiles were normalized by aligning the maximal modulatory effect produced by LC activation for each cell. After alignment, the average change in response relative to control was calculated and plotted independent of cell-specific differences in sensitivity to LC stimulation parameters. Tonic activation of the LC induced either facilitation (A) or suppression (B) of BF cortical (circles) or VPM thalamic (squares) neuron responses to synaptic input. Maximal facilitation of evoked discharge in both the BF cortex (n = 17 + 21 gated) and VPM thalamus (n = 26 + 9 gated) was significantly different from control levels during periods of LC activation. Maximal suppression of evoked discharge in the BF cortex (n = 34) and VPM thalami (n = 12) was also significantly different from control levels during periods of LC stimulation. *p < 0.05.
sets of neurons that were maximally facilitated (or suppressed) at specific LC stimulation frequencies. Thus, as LC output increased, the network of cells that demonstrated maximal responsiveness to sensory stimuli was continually altered as new cells were recruited into the facilitated ensemble replacing others that were progressively suppressed and eliminated from the response pool.

Latency measures of sensory-evoked neuronal responses were also modulated in both the cortex and thalamus during periods of tonic electrical stimulation of the LC efferent pathway. Although subsets of VPM thalamic and BF cortical neurons demonstrated increases or decreases in response latencies that were dependent on the frequency of LC stimulation, cells in the thalamus tended to exhibit LC-mediated reduction in all latency measures. In the BF cortex, the mean latency and latency variance were significantly reduced during periods of LC activation. Thus as tonic LC stimulation increased, VPM thalamic neurons tended to discharge in a concentrated burst of activity near the response onset. Concomitantly, BF cortical neurons began responding to sensory stimuli earlier and with less variability during tonic activation of the LC efferent pathway.

Despite certain similarities, it is worth noting that the effects of LC activation on cortical neurons were qualitatively and quantitatively different than those produced on target cells of the thalamus. Thus, it appears that tonic activation of the LC efferent pathway simultaneously affects thalamic and cortical circuits differently with respect to probability and latency of whisker pad stimulus-evoked discharge. Over the range of tonic LC output, the facilitation and suppression of response probability observed in simultaneously recorded cells in conjunction with differences in the timing of stimulus-dependent action potentials may represent mechanisms whereby the rat somatosensory system modulates its function to address tactile stimulus processing needs over different behavioral contingencies.

Discussion

These results demonstrate that stimulation of the LC within its physiological range of tonic discharge frequencies can elicit multiple modulatory actions on the probability of sensory-evoked discharges in VPM thalamic and BF cortical neurons of awake, freely moving animals. These effects mimic the spectrum of neuromodulatory actions observed during continuous, local iontophoretic administration of NE (Foote et al., 1975; Rogawski and Aghajanian, 1980; Waterhouse and Woodward, 1980; Armstrong-James and Fox, 1983; Videen et al., 1984; Koss and Vater, 1989; Waterhouse et al., 1990; McCormick et al., 1991; George, 1992; Holdefer and Jacobs, 1994; Devilbiss and Waterhouse, 2000). Recorded cells expressed either an inverted-U facilitating response profile or monotonic suppression of sensory responsiveness with increasing LC stimulation frequencies. Cell-specific sensitivity to increasing LC stimulation resulted in sub-

Figure 8. Effects of LC activation on temporal aspects of sensory-evoked neuronal discharge in the thalamus and cortex. Perievent rasters and associated histograms illustrate the stimulus-evoked discharge patterns for a single VPM thalamic neuron (A) or BF cortical neuron (B) during control, tonic LC stimulation intervals, and recovery. These cells were recorded simultaneously. The y-axis for both the raster and underlying PSTHs depicts time before and after whisker pad stimulus presentation (occurring at 0 sec.). The raster y-axis references trial number (top row, first trial), whereas the histogram y-axis indicates the probability of discharge in a given time bin (1 msec). Each cumulative raster/PSTH illustrates unit activity during an equal number of sensory stimulus presentations. As LC stimulation frequency was increased (i.e., up to 1.0 Hz), the duration of the whisker-evoked response was reduced. Additionally, modal latency and latency variance decreased with increasing frequencies of LC stimulation (i.e., up to 1.0 Hz). An additional increase in the frequency of LC stimulation suppressed the magnitude of neuronal response. Barbed open circles indicate the earliest and latest evoked first spike within the response window for each experimental condition. Note that variability in the onset of the evoked response as well as the time between first and last spike were reduced during the tonic 1.0 Hz LC stimulation condition.

Technical considerations

Direct electrical stimulation of the LC with frequencies used in the current study has been shown to linearly increase NE levels in the cortex (Florin-Lechner et al., 1996). Others have argued that direct electrical activation of the LC has several disadvantages (Foote et al., 1991; Berridge and Abercrombie, 1999). Accurate placement of the stimulating electrode in or within 250 µm of the LC nucleus was confirmed by histological analysis. The potential for current spread to nearby nuclei and fibers of passage was limited by using stimulation currents 10- to 100-fold lower than those used previously in LC stimulation studies (Florin-Lechner...
et al., 1996; Waterhouse et al., 1998). Moreover, no differences were observed in the modulatory profiles of target cells when the stimulating electrodes were placed within the LC compared with those placed immediately adjacent to the LC (Fig. 11). Thus, tissue activation in our study was limited to LC and peri-LC regions with no evidence that the stimulating electrode disrupted normal LC efferent functions.

The effects reported here for LC stimulation are similar to those reported previously for locally administered NE; however, in the absence of pharmacological evidence, we have been careful in our discussion to draw parallels between LC-mediated actions and NE effects without making the outright claim that the effects reported here were, in fact, noradrenergic. Local application of counterbalanced combinations of antagonist compounds in the LC or LC terminal fields would confirm that the LC was sufficient and necessary to mediate these actions but requires a different experimental design and technique than the one used here.

To control for interactions between LC stimulation-dependent discharge rates and basal LC discharge rates, three indices of LC function were measured. First, electrophysiological recordings in the LC (Fig. 12) suggest that LC stimulation increased the average discharge of LC neurons in at least an additive manner (e.g., from 1.5 to 3.0 Hz). Second, the range of stimuli delivered to the LC produced approximately linear increases in extracellular NE in the thalamus (our unpublished data). Third, the effects of LC stimulation on target neuron responses to whisker pad stimulation were only tested during equivalent behavioral states. In addition to the relationship between baseline LC discharge and state of arousal (Aston-Jones and Bloom, 1981), sensory responses of BF cortical and thalamic neurons are suppressed as the rat actively explores the environment with its facial whiskers (Fanselow and Nicollels, 1999). However, as verified by high-resolution video recordings, the present analysis was limited to baseline conditions when the animal was awake but quietly resting and not engaged in whisking behavior.

Present and previous findings

The present findings demonstrate that tonic activation of the LC efferent pathway is capable of producing an array of NE-like modulatory effects (Foote et al., 1975; Reader et al., 1979; Waterhouse et al., 1980, 1988; Armstrong-James and Fox, 1983; Ciombor et al., 1999) on target sensory neurons in the intact, awake animal. As such, this study extends the idea that LC-mediated tonic release of NE can regulate postsynaptic neuronal responsiveness to synaptic inputs by revealing the inherent variability of these effects on individual cells, neighboring neurons, and local circuits along a sensory pathway. Evidence that LC output can simultaneously facilitate and suppress responses of individual neurons to somatosensory input and that modulatory effects are related to one another as a function of the level of LC efferent output begins to integrate discordant findings and opposing viewpoints regarding LC/NE suppression (Foote et al., 1975; Manunta and Edeline, 1997) versus absolute potentiation (Waterhouse and Woodward, 1980; Waterhouse et al., 1982, 1990, 1998, 2000) of stimulus-evoked discharge.

| Table 3. Summary of tonic LC-mediated effects on stimulus-evoked latencies of VPM thalamic and BF cortical neurons |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                     | Thalamus                                          | Cortex                                            |
| Mean latency        |                                                  |                                                  |
| Increased           | 15/40 (37.5%)                                    | 10/54 (18.2%)                                    |
| Decreased           | 23/40 (57.5%)                                    | 41/54 (75.9%)                                    |
| No effect           | 2/40 (5.0%)                                      | 3/54 (5.6%)                                      |
| Latency variance    |                                                  |                                                  |
| Increased           | 16/40 (40.0%)                                    | 10/54 (18.5%)                                    |
| Decreased           | 21/40 (52.5%)                                    | 42/54 (77.8%)                                    |
| No effect           | 3/40 (7.5%)                                      | 2/54 (3.7%)                                      |
| Modal latency       |                                                  |                                                  |
| Increased           | 12/40 (30.0%)                                    | 14/54 (25.9%)                                    |
| Decreased           | 27/40 (67.5%)                                    | 37/54 (68.5%)                                    |
| No effect           | 1/40 (2.5%)                                      | 3/54 (5.6%)                                      |

Responses of thalamic and cortical cells were examined to determine whether changes in temporal properties of the whisker pad stimulus-evoked discharge were observed during periods of tonic LC stimulation. As described in Materials and Methods, mean latency and latency variance indicate the average latency of the first spike of the response and its variance over multiple stimulus presentations. Modal latency is the latency of the statistical mode of the stimulus-evoked response. Response latencies of thalamic or cortical neurons were classified as increased or decreased if a significant change in these measures was observed at any frequency or current of LC stimulation.

Figure 9. Net impact of LC activation on temporal response properties of individual thalamic and cortical sensory neurons. Bar graphs illustrate the effects of tonic LC stimulation on measures of mean latency, latency variance, and modal latency for the entire population of initially responsive VPM thalamic (n = 40) and BF cortical (n = 54) cells. A, The mean latency, latency variance, and modal latency are plotted during control conditions and the maximal effect of tonic LC stimulation for all VPM cells. No significant changes in temporal response properties were observed for any category, although there was a trend toward reduced modal latency. The numbers of cells in each category are indicated in parentheses. B, The same plot as in A; however, each bar represents data from BF cortical neurons. A significant reduction (*p < 0.05) in mean latency and latency variance was observed after tonic LC stimulation, although as with the VPM thalamic, no significant change was seen for modal latency.
These data further suggest that modulatory effects produced by the LC efferent system are not static with respect to the probability of individual cellular responses. As output from the LC increases, individual cells are suppressed and functionally removed from the pool of responsive neurons, while other neighboring neurons experience facilitation (and are functionally added to the responsive ensemble) over the same dynamic range of LC output. Such facilitation (Nawrot et al., 1999) and suppression (Manunta and Edeline, 1997) of sensory-responsive neurons in the functional ensemble may produce subtle, but important, changes in the sensory representation distributed across local populations of neurons.

The timing of neural signals within sensory networks plays an important role during sensory signal processing (Ahissar et al., 2000). Reductions in stimulus-evoked response latencies have been associated with direct NE application (Kossl and Vater, 1989). Our studies extend these findings to include simultaneous increases and decreases in the mean latency of stimulus-evoked discharge after activation of the LC within its physiological range. Moreover, concurrent increases and decreases in the variability of trial-to-trial latencies were also observed. Such improvements in neural timing may be relevant for target localization and spatio-temporal coding of sensory stimuli (Kossl and Vater, 1989). In summary, postsynaptic changes in stimulus-evoked spike timing represent another means by which LC output can regulate coding schemes used by thalamic and cortical neurons in sensory information processing.

Summary and conclusions

Our data demonstrate that tonic LC output can produce several different neuromodulatory actions simultaneously on many single neurons within the VPM thalamus and BF cortex that are dependent on LC output levels in a cell-specific manner. Differences in modulatory actions between the thalamus and cortex suggest that LC output has unique consequences on signal processing operations at each level of an ascending sensory network. Differences in the level of LC activation needed to obtain maximal enhancement of individual neuronal responsiveness and the optimization of local sensory network function occurs at different points over the physiological range of LC tonic output. The observed effects on probability of neuronal responsiveness and response timing suggest that sensory signal processing is continually altered over the range of tonic LC discharge frequencies. We hypothesize that low frequencies of LC discharge (e.g., during sleep and appetitive behaviors) maintain target neuronal function within a range of readiness to respond robustly to salient sensory stimuli, whereas higher frequencies of LC output (e.g., during active waking, exploration, and focused attention) regulate target neurons across a wide dynamic range of responsiveness to maintain the greatest flexibility in processing environmental...

Figure 10. Selective influences of LC output on temporal response properties of thalamic and cortical sensory neurons. Bar graphs illustrating the magnitude of shifts in the mean latency, latency variance, and modal latency for VPM thalamic cells (A) and BF cortical cells (B) are shown. A. The mean latency, latency variance, and modal latency are plotted during control conditions, and the maximal effect of tonic LC stimulation for VPM cells demonstrating increases (first 3 pairs) and decreases (second 3 pairs) in temporal coding. Significant effects were seen for all categories. The numbers of cells in each category are shown at the bottom of the bars. B. The same plots as in A; however, each bar represents data from BF cortical neurons. As with thalamic neurons, a significant effect was demonstrated for each classification (*p < 0.05).

Figure 11. Schematic representation of the placement of stimulating electrodes within and adjacent to the LC. The drawings of coronal sections through the pons at the level of the LC are arranged from most rostral (top left) to most caudal (bottom right). Asterisks denote the location of the central electrode of the recording/stimulating bundle as determined from histological examination of brainstem tissue sections at the conclusion of experiments in each animal. 4V, Fourth ventricle; 7n, facial nerve; 9n, vestibulocochlear nerve; Bar, Barrington’s nucleus; 9g, genu of the facial nerve; me5, mesencephalic trigeminal nucleus; mlf, medial longitudinal fasciculus; scp, superior cerebellar peduncle; scpdl, superior cerebellar peduncle descending limb; unc, uncinate fasciculus; vme, vestibulomesencephalic tract; vsc, ventral spinocerebellar tract.
signals that are relevant to ongoing behavior.

At the highest tonic levels of LC discharge (e.g., during stressful situations), target neurons elicit blunted (i.e., suppressed) responses to synaptic stimuli as part of an organism-wide strategy to suppress high-intensity inputs that may be maladaptive (e.g., pain during threatening events). Overall, these findings prompt us to suggest that over the range of tonic LC output frequencies, target cell networks continuously self-organize (i.e., regulate the impact of individual cellular contributions to sensory stimulus coding) to optimize performance in ongoing behavioral tasks.
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