The Basolateral Amygdala Interacts with the Medial Prefrontal Cortex in Regulating Glucocorticoid Effects on Working Memory Impairment
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Previous findings indicate that the basolateral complex of the amygdala (BLA) interacts with other brain regions in regulating stress hormone effects on memory functions. Lesions of the BLA or infusions of a β-adrenoceptor antagonist into the BLA block glucocorticoid effects on both memory consolidation and retrieval when administered either systemically or directly into the hippocampus. The present experiments examined BLA and β-adrenoceptor involvement in regulating glucocorticoid effects on spatial working memory, a task that depends on the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC). Male Sprague Dawley rats with bilateral sham- or NMDA-induced lesions of the BLA received either corticosterone (1.0 or 3.0 mg/kg, i.p.) systemically or the specific glucocorticoid receptor agonist 11β,17β-dihydroxy-6,21-dimethyl-17α-pregna-4,6- trien-20yn-3-one (RU 28362; 3.0 or 10.0 ng in 0.5 µl) into the mPFC shortly before testing on a delayed alternation task in a T-maze. Both glucocorticoid treatments induced comparable impairments in working memory performance in sham-lesioned controls. Although lesions of the BLA alone did not affect working memory, BLA lesions blocked the impairment induced by either corticosterone or RU 28362. Likewise, systemic injections of the centrally acting β-adrenoceptor antagonist propranolol (2.0 mg/kg, i.p.) given before testing prevented corticosterone-induced working memory impairment. These findings indicate that BLA activity is essential for enabling glucocorticoid effects in the mPFC on working memory and suggest that stress hormone-induced modulation of working memory involves noradrenergic activation.
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Introduction

It is well established that glucocorticoid hormones (i.e., corticosterone and cortisol) released from the adrenal cortex during stressful or emotionally arousing experiences influence many aspects of cognitive performance (Lupien and McEwen, 1997; de Kloet et al., 1999; Roozendaal, 2002). Acutely administered glucocorticoids dose-dependently enhance long-term memory consolidation (Roozendaal, 2000; Buchanan and Lovallo, 2001) but impair retrieval of previously learned information (de Quervain et al., 1998, 2000). Complex actions of glucocorticoids on multiple, and often interacting, brain systems underlie such stress hormone effects on memory (Lupien and Lepage, 1997; Roozendaal and McGaugh, 1996, 1997; Quirarte et al., 1997; Roozendaal et al., 1999a, 2003; Kim et al., 2001) suggests that BLA activity is essential for enabling glucocorticoid effects in other brain regions to modulate memory processes (Roozendaal, 2002).

Stress exposure or glucocorticoid administration also impairs working memory, a dynamic process whereby information is updated continuously (Arnsen and Goldman-Rakic, 1998; Diamond et al., 1999; Wolf et al., 2001). Evidence from lesion, pharmacological, and clinical studies indicates that working memory relies on the integrity of the prefrontal cortex (Brito et al., 1982; Fuster, 1991; Taylor et al., 1999; Levy and Farrow, 2001). Importantly, the BLA projects extensively to several regions of the prefrontal cortex (McDonald, 1991). Because it has been reported that the BLA and the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) interact in influencing performance on affectively motivated tasks (Timms, 1977; Perez-Jaranay and Vives, 1991; Garcia et al., 1999; Quirk and Gehlert, 2003), the first experiment sought to determine whether BLA functioning is also required to enable glucocorticoid effects on working memory performance. To evaluate this possibility, rats with sham or neurotoxic BLA lesions received either systemic injections of corticosterone or intramPFC infusions of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) agonist...
were removed only for drug infusions. After surgery the rats were re-

tain in an incubator until they recovered from anesthesia and then

returned to their home cages. Rats were allowed to recover from surgery

7 d before initiation of training.

Working memory testing. On each day of training, the rats were trans-

ported from the vivarium to the laboratory, and training began 60 min

later. Rats were initially habituated to a T-maze (dimensions, 100 × 90

cm) for 5 d until they were readily eating chocolate chips placed in food

wells at the end of each arm. After habituation, the rats were trained on a

delayed alternation task that has been described previously (Murphy et

al., 1996b; Birnbaum et al., 1999; Arnsten, 2000). Rats were placed in the

start box of the maze, the gate was opened, and the animal was allowed to

run to a choice point in the maze. On the first trial, food was placed in

both arms and the rat was rewarded for entering either arm. Then the rat

was placed back in the start box for the intertrial delay. On the subsequent

trials, the rat was rewarded only for entering the arm not chosen on the

previous trial. If the correct choice was made, the rat was rewarded and

then placed back in the start box for the intertrial delay. If the incorrect

choice was made, the rat was placed back in the start box without the food

reward. Between each trial, the choice point was wiped clean with 10%

alcohol to remove any olfactory cues. Each day consisted of 11 trials,

although the first trial was not included in the analyses. Accuracy of

response and response time were scored, with response time being the
time from when the start gate was opened to when the rat made its choice

and reached one food well. Rats were tested once daily, five times per

week.

The intertrial delay was initially set at “0” sec (−2–3 sec). Delays were

increased in 5 sec increments as needed to stabilize performance at

−80% accuracy. For the first experiment with systemic corticosterone

infusions, the average intertrial delay was 13.7 ± 1.6 sec for the first drug

treatment and was increased gradually over the study (−10 weeks) to an

average of 30.8 ± 2.1 sec. Sham- and BLA-lesioned rats did not differ in

their delays at either the start (p = 0.90) or the end (p = 0.86) of the

experiment. Sham- and BLA-lesioned rats receiving intra-mPFC infu-
sions of RU 28362 also did not differ in their intertrial delays at either

the start (p = 0.20) or the end (p = 0.71) of the experiment. Training took

place until a rat scored between 70 and 90% correct for 3 consecutive
days. Once a stable performance was achieved, drug treatment was ad-

ministered either 30 min (for systemic corticosterone) or 60 min (for

intra-mPFC RU 28362) before testing and randomized across rats. There

was a minimum of 1 week between drug treatments.

Drug treatment. For systemic glucocorticoid administration, the adre-

nergic α1-adrenoceptor antagonist propranolol on glucocorticoid-induced working

memory impairment.

Materials and Methods

Subjects. Male adult Sprague Dawley rats (270–320 gm at time of surgery)

were housed individually in a temperature-controlled (22°C) vivarium room

and maintained on a standard 12 hr light/dark cycle (7 A.M.–7 P.M.,

lights on). The animals were fed a diet of rat chow (15–17 gm per rat per
day) immediately after behavioral testing. Food rewards during cognitive

testing were highly palatable miniature chocolate chips, thus minimizing

the need for dietary regulation. Water was available ad libitum. Training

and testing were performed during the light phase of the cycle between

10 A.M. and 3 P.M., at the rat nadir of the circadian cycle for corticosterone.

All experimental procedures were in compliance with the National Insti-
tutes of Health guidelines and were approved by the Institutional Animal

Care and Use Committee of the University of California, Irvine.

Surgery. Animals were adapted to the vivarium for at least 1 week

before surgery. They were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (50

mg/kg of body weight, i.p.) and given atropine sulfate (0.4 mg/kg, i.p.) to

maintain respiration. They were subsequently injected with 3.0 ml of

saline to facilitate clearance of these drugs and prevent dehydration.

The skull was positioned in a stereotaxic frame (Kopf Instruments, Tujunga,

CA), and bilateral neurotoxic lesions of the BLA were made with NMDA

(Sigma, St. Louis, MO; 1.25 mg/100 μl of phosphate buffer, pH 7.4). The

NMDA solution was backfilled into a 30 gauge needle that was attached

to a polyethylene tube to a 10 μl Hamilton microsyringe driven by a

mini-pump (Sage Instruments, Boston, MA). The needle was placed into

the BLA (coordinates: anteroposterior (AP), −2.8 mm from bregma;

mediolateral (ML), ±5.0 mm from midline; dorsoventral (DV), −8.6

mm from skull surface (Paxinos and Watson, 1997)), and NMDA (2.5

μg/0.2 μl of phosphate buffer) was infused over a 25 sec period.

The injection needle was retained in place for an additional 3 min to minimize

diffusion. Sham operations used the same procedure except that the

needle was lowered only to the level of the caudate–putamen (coordinate:

AP, −2.8 mm; ML, ±5.0 mm; DV, −6.5 mm) and removed after 3

min without infusion. After lesioning of the BLA, some rats received

bilateral cannula placement in the prelimbic region of the mPFC for local

drug infusions. These rats were placed in a different stereotaxic appara-
tus, and two stainless steel guide cannulas (11 mm; 23 gauge) were im-

planted with the cannula tips 1.5 mm above the mPFC (coordinates: AP,

+3.7 mm; ML, ±0.7 mm; DV, −2.4 mm). The cannulas were fixed to the

skull with two anchoring screws and dental cement. Stylets (11 mm long,

00-insect dissection pins) inserted into each cannula to maintain patency

11β,17β-dihydroxy-6,21-dimethyl-17α-pregna-4,6-trien-20yn-

3-one (RU 28362) shortly before testing on a delayed alternation

task in a T-maze, a task commonly used to investigate spatial

working memory functions in rodents (Arnsten, 2000).

Other evidence indicates that glucocorticoids increase norep-

epinephrine turnover in the brain (McEwen, 1987) and that norad-

ergic activity of the BLA is critically involved in mediating

glucocorticoid effects on memory consolidation and retrieval

(Quirarte et al., 1997; Roozendaal et al., 1999a, 2002; B.

Roozendaal, E. L. Hahn, S. V. Nathan, D. J.-F. de Quervain and

J. L. McGaugh, unpublished observation). Like neurotoxic les-

ions of the BLA, β-adrenoceptor antagonists administered ei-

ther systemically or infused into the BLA block glucocorticoid

effects on memory consolidation and retrieval. It has further been

reported that high levels of corticosterone increase norepineph-

rine levels in the mPFC (Thomas et al., 1994). Because optimal

levels of norepinephrine are necessary for normal mPFC function

and working memory performance (Arnsten, 2000; Birnbaum et

al., 2000), glucocorticoid effects on working memory impair-

ment may involve an activation of noradrenergic neurotransmis-

sion. Therefore, a second experiment examined the effects of

systemic administration of the centrally acting β-adrenoceptor

antagonist propranolol on glucocorticoid-induced working

memory impairment.

subsequent experiment. For this experiment, the centrally acting β-adrenoceptor antagonist dl-propranolol hydrochloride (Sigma; 2.0 mg/kg, i.p.) was infused alone or together with corticosterone (1.0 mg/kg) 30 min before behavioral testing. All drug solutions were freshly prepared before each experiment.

**Histology.** The rats were anesthetized with an overdose of sodium pentobarbital and perfused intracardially with 0.9% saline followed by 4% formaldehyde solution (w/v). After decapitation, the brains were removed and immersed in fresh 4% formaldehyde. At least 24 hr before sectioning, the brains were transferred to a 20% sucrose (w/v) solution for cryoprotection. Coronal slices of 40 μm were cut on a freezing microtome, mounted on gelatin-coated slides, and stained with cresyl violet. The sections were examined under a light microscope, and the size and location of the BLA lesions and injection needle tips in the mPFC were determined according to the standardized atlas plates of Paxinos and Watson (1997) by an observer blind to drug treatment condition. Rats with improper BLA lesions or injection needle placements were excluded from analysis.

**Statistics.** Working memory data were analyzed with separate two-way ANOVAs for accuracy and response time with either systemic or intra-mPFC glucocorticoid treatment (three levels) as repeated measure and BLA lesion (two levels) as between-subject variable. Accuracy and response time of the last experiment were analyzed with one-way repeated measures ANOVAs for groups (four levels). Paired and unpaired t tests determined the source of the detected significance, with statistical significance set at p < 0.05.

**Results**

**BLA lesions block working memory impairment induced by systemic corticosterone**

**Accuracy**

To examine the role of the BLA in mediating glucocorticoid effects on working memory, systemic injections of corticosterone (1.0 or 3.0 mg/kg) or vehicle were given in a randomized manner to rats with either sham or BLA lesions. A two-way ANOVA for accuracy showed no significant drug effect ($F_{(2,48)} = 1.91; p = 0.15$) but did show a significant lesion ($F_{(1,48)} = 7.32; p = 0.01$) and interaction effect between both factors ($F_{(2,48)} = 4.57; p = 0.02$). As shown in Figure 1A, the average accuracy of sham-lesioned rats given vehicle was 82.3%, and both doses of corticosterone significantly impaired performance in sham-lesioned rats (1.0 mg/kg, $p < 0.01$; 3.0 mg/kg, $p < 0.05$, as compared with vehicle). The average accuracy of BLA-lesioned rats given vehicle was 80.0% and did not differ from that of sham-lesioned rats given vehicle ($p = 0.48$). Importantly, corticosterone administration did not impair performance in BLA-lesioned rats, and accuracy of BLA-lesioned rats injected with the lower dose of corticosterone (1.0 mg/kg) was significantly better than that of sham-lesioned rats given a corresponding dose of corticosterone ($p < 0.01$). Furthermore, accuracy of BLA-lesioned rats given corticosterone did not differ from that of BLA-lesioned rats given vehicle ($p > 0.49$).

**Response time**

Two-way ANOVA for response time showed no significant drug ($F_{(2,48)} = 1.38; p = 0.26$), lesion ($F_{(1,48)} = 0.15; p = 0.70$), or interaction effect ($F_{(2,48)} = 0.05; p = 0.95$) (Fig. 1B).

**Histology**

Figure 2A shows a representative BLA lesion, and Figure 2B shows a sham lesion control. Histological examination of the lesioned BLA indicated that the lesioned area was characterized by pyknosis and loss of neurons, accompanied by extensive gliosis. In most animals, the BLA lesion was not complete, leaving most of the anterior part intact, but typically ≥50% of the nucleus was damaged bilaterally. The data of three animals were excluded because of only unilateral damage to the BLA. In several animals whose data were included in the analyses, minor damage was seen in the cortex adjacent to the BLA, but the central nucleus
of the amygdala was intact in all animals. Figure 2C shows the extent of minimum and maximum BLA lesions of rats included in the experiment.

BLA lesions block working memory impairment induced by RU 28362 administration into the medial prefrontal cortex
To examine whether the BLA interacts with the mPFC in regulating glucocorticoid effects on working memory, local infusions of the GR agonist RU 28362 (3.0 or 10.0 ng) or vehicle were given directly into the mPFC of rats with either sham or BLA lesions. As can be seen in Figure 3, the pattern of effects induced by RU 28362 administration into the mPFC was highly comparable with that found with systemic injections of corticosterone.

Accuracy
A two-way ANOVA for accuracy showed no significant drug effect \((F_{(2,44)} = 1.50; p = 0.23)\) but did show a significant lesion \((F_{(1,44)} = 14.52; p = 0.001)\) and interaction effect between both factors \((F_{(2,44)} = 4.96; p = 0.01)\). As shown in Figure 3A, the average accuracy of sham-lesioned rats given vehicle into the mPFC was 76.7\%, and the lower dose of RU 28362 (3.0 ng) significantly impaired performance in the sham-lesioned rats \((p < 0.01, \text{as compared with vehicle})\). Working memory impairment induced by the higher dose of RU 28362 (10.0 ng) just failed to reach significance \((p = 0.06)\). The average accuracy of BLA-lesioned rats given vehicle was 77.5\% and did not differ from that of sham-lesioned rats given vehicle \((p = 0.79)\). RU 28362 infused into the mPFC did not impair performance in BLA-lesioned rats, and accuracy of BLA-lesioned rats treated with RU 28362 was significantly better than that of sham-lesioned rats given a corresponding dose of RU 28362 (3.0 ng, \(p < 0.01\); 10.0 ng, \(p < 0.05\)). Furthermore, accuracy of BLA-lesioned rats given RU 28362 did not differ from that of BLA-lesioned rats given vehicle \((p > 0.24)\).

Response time
Two-way ANOVA for response time showed no significant drug \((F_{(2,44)} = 0.80; p = 0.46)\), lesion \((F_{(1,44)} = 0.48; p = 0.50)\), or interaction effect \((F_{(2,44)} = 0.21; p = 0.81)\) (Fig. 3B).

Histology
The maximum and minimum extents of the BLA lesions are shown in Figure 4A. These lesions were similar to those described in the first experiment. Three rats with improper BLA lesions were excluded from analysis. BLA lesions of two rats were too small, and one additional rat was excluded because of unilateral damage to the BLA. Cannula placement in the mPFC is shown in Figure 4B. All injection needle tips of rats included in the analysis were localized in the prelimbic region of the mPFC. One sham-lesioned rat with extensive tissue damage at the injection needle tip site was excluded from further analysis.

Systemic propranolol injections block corticosterone effects on working memory
Because previous findings indicated that glucocorticoid effects on cognition are intimately linked with noradrenergic activation, this experiment examined whether systemic injections of the centrally acting \(\beta\)-adrenoceptor antagonist propranolol blocked the impairing effects of systemic corticosterone administration on working memory. The data of the sham-lesioned rats from the first experiment given vehicle and the most effective dose of corticosterone (1.0 mg/kg, i.p.) on delayed alternation performance in rats. A, Results represent mean + SEM percent correct choices. B, Results represent mean + SEM response time in seconds. **\(p < 0.01\) compared with the vehicle group; *\(p < 0.05\), **\(p < 0.01\).

Accuracy
A one-way repeated measures ANOVA for accuracy showed a significant group effect \((F_{(3,36)} = 4.14; p = 0.01)\). As shown in Figure 5A, propranolol treatment alone did not significantly alter accuracy relative to vehicle \((p = 0.32)\), but propranolol blocked the impairing effect induced by corticosterone. Performance of rats given propranolol together with corticosterone was signifi-
We demonstrated previously that neurotoxic lesions of the BLA blocked glucocorticoid effects on enhancement of memory consolidation as well as impairment of memory retrieval (Roozendaal and McGaugh, 1996, 1997; Roozendaal et al., 2003). In addition, systemic administration of a β-adrenoceptor antagonist or a selective blockade of noradrenergic neurotransmission in the BLA prevented the modulatory effects of glucocorticoids on these two memory functions (Quirarte et al., 1997; Roozendaal et al., 2002, 2004; Roozendaal, Hahn, Nathan, de Quervain, and McGaugh, unpublished observation). We present here the novel finding that a comparable mechanism appears to underlie glucocorticoid effects on working memory: lesions of the BLA or systemic administration of a β-adrenoceptor antagonist blocked glucocorticoid-evoked impairment of working memory in rats. Furthermore, because BLA lesions prevented working memory impairment induced by GR agonist infusions into the mPFC, the findings indicate that the BLA interacts with the mPFC in regulating glucocorticoid influences on working memory.

**Glucocorticoid effects on working memory**

Both corticosterone administered systemically and the GR agonist RU 28362 infused into the mPFC shortly before testing impaired performance on a delayed alternation task. Glucocorticoid administration selectively affected response accuracy but had no detectable effect on motivational or motor variables that might influence delayed alternation performance, as indicated by unaltered response times. Thus, this pattern of results strongly suggests that elevated glucocorticoid levels, via GR activation, impair working memory. This conclusion is consistent with findings indicating that mild uncontrollable stress impairs performance on a delayed alternation task but does not impair performance on non-mnemonic control tasks that have similar motivational and motor demands (Murphy et al., 1996a). The finding that glucocorticoid administration induced working memory deficits is also consistent with that of studies investigating cortisol effects on working memory tasks in human subjects (Lupien et al., 1999; Young et al., 1999; Wolf et al., 2001). Comparable with the findings of the present study, glucocorticoid impairment of working memory in human subjects was not caused by disruption of other processes influencing behavior, such as attention or logical reasoning.

In accord with the present findings, extensive evidence indicates that the mPFC is involved in working memory (Brito et al., 1982; Arnsten, 2000). Electrophysiological studies have shown increased mPFC neuronal activity in the delay period during which the information needs to be retained (Fuster, 1991). Furthermore, drugs that enhance working memory increase mPFC neuronal activity during this delay period, whereas drugs that impair working memory decrease such neuronal activity (Li et al., 1999). Impaired working memory is also observed in patients with mPFC dysfunction, including attention deficit–hyperactivity disorder and schizophrenia (Benson, 1991; Levy and Farrow, 2001; Barch et al., 2003). It is interesting to note that chronic stress or sustained hypercortisolemia induces dendritic reorganization of mPFC pyramidal neurons (Wellman, 2001) and may contribute to the onset or precipitation of symptoms for these neuropsychiatric conditions (Mazure, 1995). Because the mPFC expresses high mRNA and protein levels for GRs (Meaney and Aitken, 1985; Reul and de Kloet, 1985; Patel et al., 2000; Sanchez et al., 2000), glucocorticoids may impair working memory, at least in part, via a GR-mediated inhibition of mPFC activity during task performance. Consistent with our findings, it was reported that stress-level cortisol treatment, which at high doses preferentially binds to GRs, impairs mPFC-dependent inhibitory control of behaviors in squirrel monkeys (Lyons et al., 2000). Although the mPFC also expresses a low density of mineralocorticoid receptors (MRs), a role of this receptor type in mPFC-dependent working memory has not been investigated; however, evidence indicates that MRs are involved in response selection, integration of sensory inputs, and behavioral exploration in other behavioral tasks (Oitzl and de Kloet, 1992; Oitzl et al., 1994) and therefore may mediate nonspecific influences on working memory performance (Douma et al., 1998). In this regard, it is noteworthy that the hippocampus, which contains high densities of both GRs and MRs, is also involved in some types of spatial working memory (Wan et al., 1994; Seamans et al., 1998; Lee and Kesner, 2003) and that stress exposure or glucocorticoid administration impairs performance on hippocampus-dependent working memory tasks (Diamond et al., 1999).

**Role of the BLA in regulating glucocorticoid effects on working memory**

A major finding of the present study is that BLA lesions blocked the effects of systemically administered corticosterone or intra-mPFC infusions of RU 28362 on working memory. The finding that BLA lesions alone did not affect working memory is in accord with previous evidence (Aggleton et al., 1989; Wan et al., 1994; Bianchin et al., 1999) and suggests that BLA activity is not implicated in working memory performance per se but rather is involved in modulating stress or emotional arousal effects on working memory in other brain regions [but see Barros et al. (2002)]. The current findings indicate that the BLA regulates stress hormone effects on working memory via interactions with the mPFC. Several studies have reported that the BLA interacts with the mPFC (and other frontal lobe regions) in influencing performance on affectively motivated tasks (Timms, 1977; Schoenbaum et al., 1998; Bechera et al., 1999; Garcia et al., 1999; Quirk and Gehlert, 2003). Electrophysiological studies have shown that there are reciprocal inhibitory influences between both brain regions. Stimulation of the BLA predominantly induces inhibitory responses in the mPFC via an activation of local GABAergic interneurons (Perez-Jaray and Vives, 1991), whereas, conversely, electrical activation of the mPFC reduces BLA activity (Rosenkranz and Grace, 2002). Furthermore, a recent study reported that acute stress blocks the induction of long-term potentiation in the BLA–mPFC pathway in vivo (Marouon and Richter-Levin, 2003). Hence, by activating BLA neurons (Feldman et al., 1983; Roozendaal, 2000), systemically administered glucocorticoids may potentiate inhibition of mPFC activity. BLA lesions may prevent glucocorticoid-induced working memory impairment by blocking stress hormone effects on the inhibition of mPFC neuronal activity. This suggestion fits well with previous findings indicating that BLA lesions block fear-induced decreases in spontaneous electrical activity in the mPFC (Garcia et al., 1999) and is remarkably similar to findings of in vivo electrophysiological studies indicating that BLA lesions block changes in hippocampal neuroplasticity induced by either high-
frequency stimulation of the perforant path or elevated glucocorticoid levels (Ikegaya et al., 1995; Kim et al., 2001). In addition to direct connections between both regions (McDonald, 1991), the inhibitory effects of BLA activation on the mPFC may be mediated via indirect projections involving the nucleus accumbens (Jackson and Moghaddam, 2001; Rosenkranz and Grace, 2001; Stevenson and Gratton, 2003) or the mesocortical dopaminergic system (Davis et al., 1994).

Role of the noradrenergic system in regulating glucocorticoid effects on working memory

Numerous studies have shown that glucocorticoids are intimately linked with central noradrenergic mechanisms and permissively increase noradrenergic neurotransmission during emotionally arousing situations (McEwen, 1987; Stone et al., 1987; Duman et al., 1989; Roozendaal et al., 2002). The current findings indicate that systemic injections of the β-adrenoceptor antagonist propranolol, at a concentration that blocks glucocorticoid effects on memory consolidation and retrieval, also prevented the impairing effect of corticosterone on working memory. Importantly, propranolol administration alone did not alter performance. Thus, the blocking of the corticosterone response was not likely caused by additive effects of the two treatments. Because propranolol was administered systemically, there are many sites where propranolol might have exerted its effect. In line with the observation of the first experiment, one interpretation of our findings is that propranolol may have blocked corticosterone effects on working memory impairment by inhibiting β-adrenoceptors within the BLA. Activation of the BLA depends critically on noradrenergic neurotransmission (Liang et al., 1995; McIntyre et al., 2002). An additional possibility is that propranolol might have acted directly on postsynaptic β-adrenoceptors in the mPFC to protect cognitive performance during high circulating levels of corticosterone. Systemically administered corticosterone rapidly increases norepinephrine levels in the mPFC (Thomas et al., 1994) by stimulating GRs in noradrenergic cell populations in the brainstem (Härstrand et al., 1987; McEwen, 1987; Roozendaal et al., 1999b) or by locally blocking extraneuronal norepinephrine reuptake mechanisms in the mPFC (Grundemann et al., 1998). In conjunction, excessive levels of norepinephrine induce mPFC dysfunction and working memory impairment, at least in part, via an activation of the intracellular cAMP–protein kinase A signal transduction pathway (Taylor et al., 1999). Several studies indicate that glucocorticoids interact with β- and α1-adrenoceptors in stimulating cAMP accumulation (Stone et al., 1987; Duman et al., 1989); however, because stress and glucocorticoids also increase extracellular levels of several other neurotransmitters in the mPFC, including glutamate and dopamine (Moghaddam, 1993; Thomas et al., 1994; Morrow et al., 2000), glucocorticoid effects on working memory impairment may involve interactions between norepinephrine and other neuromodulatory systems in the mPFC.

Functional implications and conclusions

This series of experiments was designed to extend our understanding of the role of the BLA and the noradrenergic system in regulating glucocorticoid effects on different cognitive functions. Because our findings indicate that BLA influences on other brain regions in regulating stress hormone effects on memory are not restricted to the consolidation and retrieval of long-term memories but extend to working memory, it can be hypothesized that the BLA is part of an integrated network of cortical and subcortical brain regions engaged in regulating glucocorticoid effects on different memory functions (McGaugh, 2002; Roozendaal, 2002). By this hypothesis, glucocorticoid effects on these apparently distinct cognitive processes might be interrelated in terms of neurobiological substrate as well as function (Roozendaal, 2002).

Thus, exposure to glucocorticoids or emotionally arousing training experiences, evoking noradrenergic activation, may induce BLA activation, in concert with inhibitory effects on the mPFC and other brain regions, to create a brain state that promotes the long-term storage of these emotionally arousing events and thus preserve significant information. According to this view, the temporary impairment of working memory (and long-term memory retrieval) observed concurrently by this pattern of brain activity should not a priori be considered as a maladaptive response, but if associated with a training experience may serve to enhance memory consolidation (e.g., by blocking retroactive interference). In support of this hypothesis, high doses of norepinephrine infused into the mPFC, known to impair working memory, have been reported to induce enhancement of long-term memory consolidation when administered after a training experience (Liang, 2001). Moreover, acutely administered corticosterone increases the expression of neural cell adhesion molecules in the mPFC, molecules that have been implicated in the stabilization of synaptic contacts and long-term memory consolidation (Sandi and Loscerteles, 1999); however, prolonged or uncontrolled activation of this brain state such as that found in patients with hypercortisolemia and several neuropsychiatric disorders may be associated with cognitive impairment (Semple et al., 2000; Elzinga and Bremner, 2002).
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