Analysis of Cerebellar Development in math1 Null Embryos and Chimeras
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The cerebellar granule cell is the most numerous neuron in the nervous system and likely the source of the most common childhood brain tumor, medulloblastoma. The earliest known gene to be expressed in the development of these cells is math1. In the math1 null mouse, neuroblasts never populate the external germinal layer (EGL) that gives rise to granule cells. In this study, we examined the embryonic development of the math1 null cerebellum and analyzed experimental mouse chimeras made from math1 null embryos. We find that the anterior rhombic lip gives rise to more than one cell type, indicating that the rhombic lip does not consist of a homogeneous population of cells. Furthermore, we demonstrate that math1 null granule cells are absent in the math1 null chimeric cerebellum, from the onset of their genesis in the mouse anterior rhombic lip. This finding indicates a vital cell intrinsic role for Math1 in the granule cell lineage. In addition, we show that wild-type cells are unable to compensate for the loss of mutant cells. Finally, the colonization of the EGL by wild-type cells and the presence of acellular gaps provides evidence that EGL neuroblasts undergo active migration and likely have a predetermined spatial address in the rhombic lip.
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Introduction
The anterior rhombic lip (ARL) of the developing cerebellum gives rise to the most numerous cell in the adult brain, the granule cell. In the mouse, the ARL is discernible by embryonic day (E) 9, and by E13, granule cell neuroblasts from the ARL begin to migrate over the surface of the cerebellar anlagen to populate the external germinal layer (EGL) (Miale and Sidman, 1961). The earliest known marker of these cells is the basic helix–loop–helix transcription factor Math1 (Akazawa et al., 1995; Ben-Arie et al., 1996, 1997, 2000; Helms and Johnson, 1998). In the math1 null mutant, the EGL fails to form (Ben-Arie et al., 1997), indicating that Math1 is a critical factor for the progression of the granule cell lineage. To determine the autonomy of Math1 in granule cell development and to gain insights into granule cell lineage and migration in the formation of the mouse cerebellum, we have analyzed the effects of the math1 null mutation on embryonic ARL development and on postnatal cerebellar development using experimental mouse chimeras.

We find that in the embryonic ARL of the mouse, there are at least two populations of cells: a Math1-dependent population and a Math1-independent population. We identify a population of Math1-positive cells in the math1 null that are not granule cell neuroblasts and that appear to be Math1 independent as they survive in the mutant. These findings provide evidence that the cells in the ARL do not compose a homogeneous population.

In the math1 null chimeric cerebellum, only wild-type cells populate the EGL, indicating a vital, cell-intrinsic role for Math1 in the development of the granule cell lineage. In addition, wild-type granule cell neuroblasts do not appreciably compensate for the absence of mutant cells. Interestingly, the lack of compensation by wild-type granule cells creates regions in the mutant chimeric cerebellum that completely lack an EGL, and, in some cases, these regions are noncontiguous. This finding suggests that the formation of the EGL is an active process of cells leaving the ARL to populate the EGL.

Materials and Methods
Animals and determination of genotype. The heterozygous math1 null mouse (math1<sup>-/-Gαt</sup><sup>-/-</sup>), which contains a β-galactosidase (β-gal) reporter gene (lacZ) in place of the math1 open reading frame, was obtained from Dr. Huda Zoghbi (Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX) (Bermingham et al., 1999). All mice used in this study were maintained at the University of Tennessee Health Science Center animal care facility. Mice were kept on a 14/10 hr light/dark cycle with food and water ad libitum. All of the mice were treated in accordance with Society for Neuroscience care protocol.

Because math1<sup>-/-Gαt</sup><sup>-/-</sup> mice are neonatal lethal, all math1<sup>-/-Gαt</sup><sup>-/-Gαt</sup> and math1<sup>-/-Gαt</sup><sup>+/+</sup> mice used in this study were generated from matings between math1<sup>-/-Gαt</sup><sup>+/+</sup> breeding pairs. Females were examined each morning for the presence of a vaginal plug. Once a plug was detected, the female was removed from the male, and noon of that day was designated...
as E0.5. Embryos were collected on E10.5, 11.5, 12.5, 13.5, 15.5, 17.5, and 18.5 and the day of birth (P0). To determine genotype, DNA was isolated from either the tail or yolk sac. The math1 genotype was determined by PCR, as described previously (Jensen et al., 2002).

**Tissue preparation.** Mice E17.5 or older were anesthetized with Avertin and perfused transcardially with a 0.1 M PBS solution, pH 7.3, followed by fixation with either 4% paraformaldehyde or a 3:1 solution of 95% ethanol and acetic acid (EtOH:AA). Mice younger than E17.5 were immersed fixed in either 4% paraformaldehyde or EtOH:AA. Paraformaldehyde-fixed tissue was rinsed with PBS and cryoprotected overnight in a 30% sucrose in PBS solution. Tissue was embedded in tissue-freezing medium (TBS; Triangle Biomedical Sciences, Durham, NC), and 20 μm sagittal cryosections were mounted on Superfrost Plus slides (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). EtOH:AA-fixed tissue was placed in 70% ethanol overnight, followed by dehydration in a series of ethanols and clearing in xylenes. Tissue was embedded in paraffin, and 6 μm sagittal sections were mounted on Superfrost Plus slides (Fisher Scientific).

Detection of proliferation in the rhombic lip. To examine cell proliferation in the ARL, timed pregnant dams were injected intraperitoneally with 5-bromo-deoxyuridine (BrdU; 50 μg/g body weight) at E13.0 or 1 hr before the collection of embryos. Embryos were examined at E13.5, 15.5, 17.5, or 18.5. Tissue was processed for paraffin embedding and sectioned as described above. Sections were cleared in xylenes, rehydrated with decreasing concentrations of ethanol, and rinsed in distilled H2O (dH2O). For each time point, one set of slides was stained with cresyl violet and examined for the presence of mitotic figures. A second set of slides was processed for BrdU immunohistochemistry. For BrdU immunohistochemistry, slides were pretreated with 1 N HCl at 37°C for 30 min, followed by PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 (PBS/T) rinse and incubation with an antibody against BrdU (anti-G4G3; 1:4; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank) overnight at room temperature. The following day, slides were further processed according to the immunohistochemistry protocol described below using the ABC Elite detection system (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). To quantify the number of BrdU-positive cells, five sagittal sections equally distributed across 480 μm were counted using 100× objective from the E15.5 ARL (n = 2 math1<sup>β-Gal/+Gal−</sup> and n = 2 math1<sup>+/Gal−</sup>). In addition, counts of the interior face and exterior face of math1<sup>+/Gal−</sup> ARL were determined. The total number of BrdU-positive cells was estimated using the formula of Konigsmark (1970).

Detection of cell death in the rhombic lip. To examine cell death in the ARL at E13.5, 15.5, and 17.5 and P0, paraformaldehyde-fixed and paraffin-embedded sections were cleared in xylenes, rehydrated with decreasing concentrations of ethanol, and rinsed in dH2O. For each time point, one set of slides was stained with cresyl violet and examined for dead or dying cells as evidenced by the presence of pyknotic nuclei. A second set of slides was processed for TdT immunohistochemistry. For β-gal immunohistochemistry, sections were pretreated with 1 N HCl at 37°C for 30 min, followed by PBS containing 0.1 M phosphate buffer. After antigen retrieval using the protocol of Jiao et al. (1999), slides were rinsed in PBS/T and blocked, and sections were incubated with antibodies against β-gal (1:50; Biogenesis, Sandown, NH) overnight at room temperature. Slides were rinsed with PBS/T and incubated with Alexa 594 secondary antibody (1:200; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) for 30 min at room temperature.

For detection of β-gal activity, 4% paraformaldehyde-fixed embryos or cryosections were rinsed in PBS/T and then incubated at 30–35°C overnight in an X-gal (Roche, Nutley, NJ) mix in 0.1 M PBS/T containing 5 mM potassium ferricyanide, 5 mM ferrocyanide, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.02% NP-40, 0.01% sodium deoxycholate, and 1 mg/ml X-gal dissolved in DMSO. After incubation, tissue was rinsed with 0.1 M phosphate buffer. Embryos were processed for paraffin embedding and sectioned as described above. All tissue processed for X-gal activity was counterstained with neutral red.

**Generation of experimental murine chimeras.** Because of the neonatal lethality of homozygous math1 null mice (math1<sup>β-Gal/β-Gal−</sup>), the mutant component of the chimeras was generated by mating math1<sup>β-Gal/β-Gal−</sup> males and females to generate math1<sup>β-Gal/β-Gal−</sup>, math1<sup>β-Gal+/β-Gal−</sup>, or math1<sup>+/β-Gal−</sup> embryos. The wild-type (+/+) component was generated from one of five different lines: ICR, Rosa26 (Friedrich and Soriano, 1991), Balb/c, GTO (Lo et al., 1987), or math1<sup>+/+</sup>. Experimental mouse chimeras were generated as described previously (Goldowitz and Mullen, 1982; Goldowitz, 1989). In brief, four- to eight-cell math1<sup>β-Gal/β-Gal−</sup>, math1<sup>β-Gal+/β-Gal−</sup>, or math1<sup>+/β-Gal−</sup> embryos were cultured together overnight. After successful fusion, blastocysts were transplanted into the uterine horn of pseudo-pregnant host ICR females.

Chimeras and +/- controls were collected at P0, 5, 12, and 21. Animals were anesthetized deeply with Avertin, and tail biopsies were taken for detection of the presence of Math1/lacZ-positive cells (Jensen et al., 2002). Then, mice were perfused transcardially with a 0.1 M PBS, followed by fixation with EtOH:AA, paraformaldehyde–lysine–periodate (PLP) at pH 6.2, or 4% paraformaldehyde. EtOH:AA-fixed tissue was embedded in paraffin, and 6 μm sections were mounted on Superfrost Plus slides. PLP- and paraformaldehyde-fixed tissue was rinsed with PBS and stored at 4°C until further processing.

Identification of genotypically Math1/lacZ cells. Two different techniques were used to determine the presence of genotypically Math1/lacZ cells. For EtOH:AA-fixed and paraffin-embedded brains, sections were processed for β-gal immunohistochemistry as described above. For PLP- and paraformaldehyde-fixed tissue, whole brains or cryosections were reacted for β-gal activity as described above. Whole brains were processed for paraffin embedding and sectioned as described above. Both cryo and paraffin sections reacted for X-gal were counterstained with neutral red.

Identification of genotypically wild-type cells. In P0, 5, and 12 math1<sup>−/−</sup> GTO chimeras, genetically GTO, wild-type cells were identified by the presence of the globin transgene using DNA in situ hybridization (Hamre and Goldowitz, 1997). Probes for the transgene were labeled with biotinylated dUTP (Enzo Biochem, New York, NY) by nick translation protocol according to Rigby et al. (1977). Sections were deparaffinized with xylenes, rinsed in 100% ethanol, denatured in 2× SSC (300 mts NaCl and 30 mmsodium citrate, pH 7.5) at 80°C for 10 min, and then dehydrated in an ascending series of ethanols. Sections were hybridized with biotinylated probe (10 ng/μl) in 2.5× SSC, 10% dextran sulfate, and salmon sperm DNA (25 ng/μl) overnight at 56°C. Sections were rinsed with 2×, 1×, and 0.1× SSC, and labeled cells were visualized by alkaline phosphatase histochemistry using the chromogen nitro-blue tetrazolium and substrate 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate. GTO cells were identified by the presence of a blue-black to purple precipitate in their nucleus.

**Estimation of chimerism and three-dimensional reconstruction of chimeric cerebellum.** To estimate chimerism, we measured the area of the EGL in P0 and P5 chimeric and control cerebella. For each brain, three non-consecutive sagittal sections were analyzed and averaged. The EGL and cerebellar area were traced and calculated using AnalySIS Opi 3.1 imaging system (Soft Imaging System Corp., Lakewood, CO). The ratio of mean cerebellar area were traced and calculated using AnalySIS Opi 3.1 imaging system (Soft Imaging System Corp., Lakewood, CO). The ratio of mean cerebellar area to the EGL area was then calculated as a percentage of the area in the EGL to the EGL area. This ratio was then used to determine the percentage of genotypically wild-type cells present in the chimeric cerebellum.
model generator in Bioquant. Bitmaped files from Bioquant were imported into Adobe Photoshop (version 7.0; Adobe), where they were labeled and composited into a single figure.

**Immunohistochemistry.** Slides were rinsed in PBS/T, blocked with 3% BSA, and incubated overnight at room temperature with one or more of the following antibodies: rabbit anti-calbindin (Chemicon, Temecula, CA), goat anti-β-gal (Biogenesis), mouse anti-NeuN (Chemicon), and rabbit anti-GFAP (Shandon-Lipshaw, Pittsburgh, PA). The following day, slides incubated with anti-calbindin were rinsed with PBS/T and incubated with a biotinylated secondary antibody (1:200) for 30 min at room temperature, and immunoreactivity was detected with DAB using the ABC Elite kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For all other antibodies, slides were rinsed with PBS/T and incubated with the appropriate primary antibody (Alexa 594 donkey anti-goat, Alexa 488 goat anti-mouse, or Alexa 350 goat anti-rabbit; 1:2000; Molecular Probes) for 30 min at room temperature. For triple-labeling experiments, slides were first incubated with Alexa 594 donkey anti-goat for 30 min, rinsed thoroughly with PBS/T, followed by incubation with both Alexa 488 goat anti-mouse and Alexa 350 goat anti-rabbit for an additional 30 min. After rinsing, slides were coverslipped with Vectashield Mounting Medium (Vector Laboratories). As a control, known β-gal-positive and -negative tissue was processed with experimental tissue. Additional controls included the exclusion of the primary antibodies. For triple-labeling experiments, we included a slide incubated with goat anti-β-gal primary and all three secondary antibodies, as described above, to control for possible cross-reactivity between the secondary antibodies raised in goat and the β-gal antibody. No cross-reactivity was evident. Fluorescent images were captured on a LSM 510 Meta multiphoton microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

**Results**

**Analysis of the math1 null cerebellum**

In the developing cerebellum, Math1 is expressed in the cells of the ARL and granule cell neuroblasts in the EGL (Helms and Johnson, 1998, 2000). In the absence of math1, the ARL is greatly reduced in size, and the EGL does not form (Fig. 1A, C, E) (Ben-Arie et al., 1997, 2000). To gain insight into the role of math1 in granule cell development, we performed a more detailed analysis of the development of the math1 null cerebellum. We examined the effects of the math1 null mutation on ARL development at E13.5, 15.5, 17.5, and 18.5 and P0. In the mouse, granule cell neuroblasts are generated in the ARL and begin migrating over the surface of the cerebellum on approximately E13 (Miale and Sidman, 1961). In the math1β-gal/+ cerebellum, the absence of the EGL was apparent at E13.5 (Fig. 1A). At this time, there was no obvious difference in the size of the ARL (Fig. 1A, B). However, by E15.5 the math1β-gal/+ ARL was noticeably reduced in size compared with the wild-type cerebellum (Figs. 1C, D, 2A, B). The ARL, according to Altman and Bayer (1997), is divided into two epithelial linings or faces: the interior face, adjacent to the fourth ventricle and continuous with the ventricular neuromucicipithelium, and an exterior face that is continuous with the EGL. In the math1β-gal/β-gal ARL, by definition and cytoarchitecture (i.e., the interior face has a columnar organization similar to the neuromucicipithelium, whereas the exterior face is more tangentially organized like the EGL), the exterior face appears to be absent (Fig. 1, compare C, D, 2, compare A, B; see figure legend). There were no differences observed between the wild-type and math1β-gal/+ cerebellum at all ages examined.

To determine whether the reduction in ARL size was attributable to the absence of cell proliferation, we examined cresyl violet-stained sections for the presence of mitotic figures. At all ages examined, mitotic figures were present within the math1β-gal/β-gal ARL (Fig. 2A, E15.5 ARL). BrdU labeling confirmed the presence of proliferating cells at all time points in the math1β-gal/β-gal cerebellum (Fig. 2C, E15.5 ARL). Quantitative analysis of BrdU-positive cells in the E15.5 math1β-gal/β-gal and wild-type ARL demonstrated an increased number of BrdU cells in the wild-type brain (5152 and 5488 cells in the wild-type ARL compared with 3136 and 3328 cells in the mutant ARL; see Materials and Methods for details of counts). Interestingly, there was no difference in the number of BrdU-positive cells between E15.5 math1β-gal/β-gal ARL (3136 and 3328) and the interior face of the wild-type ARL (3152 and 3312). These findings are consistent with the loss of the exterior face of the ARL in the mutant cerebellum.

To determine whether the diminution of the mutant ARL over time was attributable to cell death, we examined cresyl violet-stained sections for pyknotic nuclei and TUNEL, hallmarks of dying cells. At E15.5, we rarely observed pyknotic nuclei in the ARL of math1β-gal/β-gal cerebella (in only one of four mutant cerebella). There was also no obvious cell death at later time points. To examine this further, we performed TUNEL staining. We found no TUNEL-positive cells in either the math1β-gal/β-gal, math1β-gal/+ or wild-type ARL at any age examined (Fig. 2E, E15.5 ARL). Thus, cell death does not seem to be the basis for the reduced size of the math1β-gal/β-gal ARL.

One possible explanation for the progressive reduction in ARL size over time is that cells are migrating away from this region. To examine this, we looked at Math1/lacZ expression from E10.5 to P0 in the math1β-gal/β-gal and math1β-gal/+ cerebella. It has previously been demonstrated that the expression pattern of lacZ in math1β-gal/β-gal mice replicates the known expression pattern of Math1 in the cerebellum (Ben-Arie et al., 2000). At all ages examined, Math1/lacZ-positive cells were seen...
within the ARL of the math1<sup>B-Gal/B-Gal</sup> and the math1<sup>B-Gal/+</sup> cerebellum (Fig. 3). Between E10.5 and the onset of EGL formation at E13.5, we observed a population of cells emanating from the ARL toward the surface of the cerebellum and then rostrally over the cerebellum in a subpial manner (Fig. 3B). At later time points, some of these cells were found deep to the pia that suggested they were descending into the cerebellar plate in both the math1<sup>B-Gal/B-Gal</sup> and math1<sup>B-Gal/+</sup> cerebellum. Our findings demonstrate that before E13.5 in the mouse cerebellum, the ARL is composed of at least two different cell types. There is a Math1-dependent population (those cells that will populate the EGL and are absent in the mutant) and a Math1-independent population of cells (the second Math1<sup>lacZ</sup>-positive cell population that survive in the mutant).

**Analysis of math1<sup>B-Gal/B-Gal</sup> chimeras**

To examine possible effects that wild-type cells might confer on math1 null mutant cells, as well as the effects of the Math1 null mutation on cerebellar development beyond P0, we generated experimental mouse chimeras by the aggregation of wild-type embryos with embryos produced from heterozygous math1<sup>B-Gal/+</sup> matings. Chimeric cerebella were analyzed at P0, 5, 12, and P21. As an initial determination of math1<sup>B-Gal/B-Gal</sup> genotype, we examined chimeras for the characteristic signs of the math1<sup>B-Gal/B-Gal</sup> mutant phenotype: the absence of foliation and incomplete fusion at the midline (Ben-Arie et al., 1997; Jensen et al., 2002).

At all ages examined, the presence of a math1<sup>B-Gal/B-Gal</sup> component to the chimeric cerebellum was immediately apparent by the presence of abnormal foliation. Of the 103 chimeras examined, 92 had abnormal foliation (Table 1). There was considerable variation in the foliation pattern of the 29 cerebella suspected to be from math1<sup>B-Gal/B-Gal</sup> chimeras, ranging from what appeared to be complete lack of foliation to almost normal foliation and, in some cases, hyperfoliation. From a gross observation, there appeared to be no consistency to the patterning defect in either the mediolateral or anteroposterior axis. However, in all cerebella with diminished foliation at the vermis, there also appeared to be incomplete fusion at the midline.

To confirm the foliation defects, cerebella were sectioned in either the sagittal or coronal plane and stained for cresyl violet or neutral red. Nine of the 36 P0 cerebella and 8 of the 27 P5 cerebella were suspected of containing a mutant component based on abnormal gross morphology (Table 1). In the normal P0 cerebellum, a four- to six-cell-thick EGL covers the entire surface of the cerebellum, and the primary fissures are apparent (Fig. 4A). By P5, the normal cerebellum has doubled in size, the EGL is six to eight cells thick, there is active inward migration of granule cells, and foliation is more pronounced compared with the P0 cerebellum (Fig. 5D). In P0 and P5 presumed math1<sup>B-Gal/B-Gal</sup>/+ cerebella, regions of the EGL were completely lacking (Fig. 4B, C, E, F). In some cases, only the most anterior or posterior portion of the EGL was absent. However, in some of the math1<sup>B-Gal/B-Gal</sup>/+ cerebella there were noncontiguous regions of missing EGL (Figs. 4F, 5D). In P0 and P5 presumed math1<sup>B-Gal/B-Gal</sup>/+ cerebella, regions of the EGL were completely lacking (Fig. 4B, C, E, F). In some cases, only the most anterior or posterior portion of the EGL was absent. However, in some of the math1<sup>B-Gal/B-Gal</sup>/+ cerebella there were noncontiguous regions of missing EGL (Figs. 4F, 5D). In all regions of these cerebella lacking an EGL, or directly adjacent to gaps in the EGL, foliation was disrupted. Where there were large gaps in the EGL, foliation was completely absent (Fig. 4B). In some instances, in regions adjacent to gaps in the EGL, there was hypertrophy of a lobule (Fig. 4B, E).

To better assess the global patterns of EGL abnormalities, we performed a three-dimensional reconstruction on two P0 and three P5 mutant chimeras (see Materials and Methods). The regions lacking EGL had orientations in both the mediolateral and anteroposterior dimensions (Fig. 5A–D). In all five chimeras analyzed in this manner, there was little evidence of spotty omissions of granule cells; rather, these agranular regions of the EGL occurred in large clusters in either the anteroposterior or mediolateral dimensions, suggesting a coherent clonal allocation of presumptive Math1 null cells.

We then examined 18 P12 and 22 P21 chimeras to assess how the defects in the EGL affect the progression of cerebellar development. Five of the 18 P12 cerebella and 7 of the 22 P21 cerebella were suspected of containing a mutant component based on gross morphology (Table 1). By P12 in the normal cerebellum, the EGL is only three to four cells thick, there is active inward migration of granule cells, a well established internal granule cell layer (IGL), and the secondary fissures are present (Fig. 6A). By P21, the EGL is no longer present, and the IGL is fully formed (Fig. 6D). In the 12 P12 and P21 suspected math1<sup>B-Gal/B-Gal</sup>/+
chimeras, disruptions in foliation were confirmed. As we saw with the P0 and P5 chimeras, the extent of the disruption was greatly varied. However, the overall disruption of the cerebellum appeared even more pronounced in the older chimeras (Fig. 6B, C, E, F). In some cases, folia appeared to have collapsed, resulting in a folding over of the cerebellar cortex (Fig. 6B, E). The variable foliation phenotypes in the math1 null chimeric cerebella appear to be the result of two factors: the location of the acellular regions and the extent of the acellular region (Chen and Hillman, 1988). If, for example, the acellular region is located at the base of a folia, there is a lengthening of the folia (Figs. 4B, E, 5B). However, if the acellular region occurs between two areas of rapid growth there is shortening of the folia (Figs. 4F, 5C). Thus, numerous gaps along the EGL [as in some of our chimeras or the methlyazoxymethanol acetate (MAM)-treated cerebella of Chen and Hillman (1988)] would produce an overall decrease in the growth of the EGL and an increase in the number of fissures produced that are shorter than normal (Fig. 6F). The size of these gaps is also important. Gaps encompassing an entire lobe of the cerebellum result in no foliation (Fig. 4B, 6B, C). Thus, these studies provide a dramatic illustration of the important role that the EGL plays in cerebellar foliation. Although the EGL is necessary for the complete fusion of the cerebellar anlagen (Jensen et al., 2002), in three chimeras (one at P12 and two at P21) with virtually no granule cells in the vermis there was at least a partial fusion at the midline (see Fig. 10B), indicating that the granule cells do not appear to be necessary for the initial fusion of the two lateral cerebellar anlagen. This is consistent with the recent findings of Louvi et al. (2003). Finally, the two sides of the cerebellum seemed to be equally affected in all chimeras examined (Figs. 6F, 10B).

Identification of genotype and estimation of chimerism

To determine the genotypic composition of chimeras, PCR genotyping of tails was performed, and all brains were histochemically reacted for the presence of lacZ-positive cells (see Materials and Methods). Of the 29 chimeras presumed to contain a mutant component based on gross phenotype, none contained lacZ-positive granule cells, and all were PCR positive for lacZ. The absence of lacZ-positive granule cells in chimeras is identical with the observation of no lacZ-positive granule cells in the math1 null cerebellum (Ben-Arie et al., 2000). LacZ-positive cells were, however, present in other regions of chimeric brains (Ben-Arie et al., 2000). Thus, the 29 chimeras suspected of being derived from a math1 null embryo based on phenotypic consideration were shown by β-gal histochemistry to contain math1 null cells. The proportion of homozygous mutant chimeras (29 of 103) was expected from the mating scheme used to produce the math1 component of chimeras. It should be noted that we may have missed very low percentage mutant chimeras with this approach.

In math1<sup>B-<i>Gal</i>-<i>Gal</i></sup>/+ +/+ P0 chimeras, lacZ-positive cells were evident within the medullary vellum and choroid plexus, but mutant cells did not colonize the EGL (Fig. 7A, B). In math1<sup>B-<i>Gal</i>-<i>Gal</i></sup> and math1<sup>B-<i>Gal</i>-<i>Gal</i></sup> chimeras, a population of lacZ-positive cells was also detected within the white matter and forming cerebellar plate at P0 (Fig. 7B, D). At later time points, large cells within the IGL (apparent Golgi type II based on size and location), smaller cells adjacent to Purkinje neurons (presumed Bergmann glia), and cells within the molecular layer were also Math1/lacZ positive (Fig. 7E). Using a neuronal (anti-NeuN) and a glial (anti-GFAP) marker, we examined the phenotype of these

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Chimeras (math1 ↔ wild type)</th>
<th>Total number of chimeras generated</th>
<th>Number of chimeras with abnormal cerebellar phenotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P0</td>
<td>math1 ↔ BALB/c</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0</td>
<td>math1 ↔ math1&lt;sup&gt;B+&lt;i&gt;+&lt;/i&gt;&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0</td>
<td>math1 ↔ GTO</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>math1 ↔ ICR</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>math1 ↔ GTO</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12</td>
<td>math1 ↔ math1&lt;sup&gt;B+&lt;i&gt;+&lt;/i&gt;&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12</td>
<td>math1 ↔ BALB/c</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12</td>
<td>math1 ↔ GTO</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P21</td>
<td>math1 ↔ BALB/c</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P21</td>
<td>math1 ↔ ROSA26</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5. Three-dimensional reconstructions of math1<sup>BGal</sup>/β-Gal ↔ +/+ chimeric cerebellum. In all of the reconstructions, EGLs containing granule cells are gray, whereas areas without granule cells in the EGL are white. A, In this P0 chimera, the agranular EGL traverses several lobules in the posterior cerebellum, beginning at the midline and ending in the initial segments of the hemispheric. B, In this P5 chimera, the agranular zone of the EGL is located to a circum-spect area starting medially in lobule VIII and progressing to the interface of the vermis and hemisphere. Lobule VIII of this brain is abnormally extended in the posterior direction (outlined in white dashed line), and this extension starts at the point that the agranular EGL starts. C, In this P5 chimera, the agranular region is located in the posterior cerebellum, extending laterally along the superior surface of lobule 6. The lobule containing the agranular EGL (white arrow) is shorter than the same lobule in control brains. D, In this P5 cerebellum, three distinct areas of the EGL are agranular. The largest gap (g1) traverses the entire medial to lateral extent of the cerebellum, without gaps. In the medial cerebellum, the agranular area encompasses much of the posterior cerebellum, whereas in the hemisphere the agranular region is more discrete. Two smaller agranular regions were seen in this brain that were oriented in the anterior-to-posterior axis. A, Anterior; P, posterior; M, medial; L, lateral.

Figure 6. math1<sup>BGal</sup>/β-Gal ↔ +/+ chimeras demonstrate severe foliation defects in the P12 and P21 cerebellum. Sagittal view of P12 +/+ (A) and mathtβ-Gal/β-Gal ↔ +/+ (B, C) medial cerebellum and P21 +/+ (D) and mathtβ-Gal/β-Gal ↔ +/+ (E) lateral cerebellum, and coronal view of P21 mathtβ-Gal/β-Gal ↔ +/+ cerebellum (F) stained with cresyl violet. In all chimeric animals (B, C, E, F), foliation is disrupted compared with wild-type cerebella in A and D. In C, the absence of foliation is associated with the almost complete lack of an IGL. In B and E, folia (arrowheads) appear to have collapsed inward, and in F there is hyperfoliation. In all cases, there is a reduction in the size of the cerebellum compared with the wild type (insets; compare B, C with A; compare E with D). Scale bar: A, D, F, insets, 600 μm; B, C, E, 300 μm.

Math1/LacZ-positive cells. We found that only GFAP colocalized with the β-gal-positive cells (Fig. 8). However, there were β-gal-positive cells that were neither GFAP nor NeuN positive. This does not rule out the possibility that these cells may be one or more of the cerebellar interneurons that do not label with NeuN (Weyer and Schilling, 2003).

Because mathtβ-Gal/β-Gal cells were evidently absent in the EGL of mutant chimeras, we wanted to confirm that the cells present in the EGL were of wild-type origin. DNA in situ hybridization for the globin transgene was performed to identify wild-type EGL cells in mathtβ-Gal/β-Gal ↔ GTO chimeras (see Materials and Methods). In mathtβ-Gal/β-Gal ↔ GTO chimeras, all cells within the EGL were labeled with the wild-type cell marker confirming the absence of mutant granule cell neuroblasts in the matht1 null chimeric cerebellum (Fig. 9A–C).

To determine the extent of chimerism, we measured the area of the EGL in P0 and P5 chimeric and control cerebella. There was no difference in EGL area between nonmutant chimeras and wild-type controls, and these values were considered as a single control group. We found there was a reduction in the area of the EGL in all mathtβ-Gal/β-Gal chimeras compared with controls (Table 2). We then examined the cerebellar area of mutant chimeras and controls. We found that in all matht1 null mutant chimeras with large decreases in EGL area there was a concomitant reduc-
aligned in a single layer of cells located between the molecular layer above and the underlying IGL (Fig. 10A,C). In all P12 and P21 mutant chimeras, the Purkinje cell layer was disrupted in regions where the EGL and IGL were disrupted. In these disrupted regions, Purkinje cells were in unorganized clusters (Fig. 10D, inset). Areas immediately adjacent to the disrupted region, where the EGL and IGL were intact, exhibited normal trilaminar cortical structure (Fig. 10D). In addition, in chimeras in which the most anterior EGL and IGL were disrupted, there were ectopic Purkinje cells found within the inferior colliculus (Fig. 10B, E, insets). This ectopic population was not found in mutant chimeras in which the most anterior EGL and IGL were intact (Fig. 10D). Thus, the laminar structure of Purkinje cells is disrupted in all regions where the EGL is absent. In regions where a large portion of the EGL is absent (e.g., an entire lobe of the cerebellum), Purkinje cells form unorganized clusters below the pial surface. These findings add to the expanding literature on the critical role that granule cells play in the registration of Purkinje cells into a well defined layer (Jensen et al., 2002).

Discussion

In this study, we have examined the development of the ARL in the math1 null mutant and the development of the cerebellum in math1 null chimeras. We show that, at early stages of cerebellar development, the ARL is composed of a heterogeneous population of cells: the math1-dependent granule cells and the math1-independent cells found in the heterozygous and homozygous mutant cerebellum. We also demonstrate that math1-dependent granule cell neuroblasts do not populate the math1 null cerebellum. We also demonstrate that Math1+/- granule cell neuroblasts do not populate the math1 null cerebellum and that wild-type cells are unable to compensate for the loss of mutant cells. Finally, the colonization of the EGL by wild-type cells and acellular gaps provide evidence that granule cell neuroblasts not only undergo active migration but are spatially specified in the ARL.

The relatively normal cell proliferation that we observe in the math1 null ARL is likely caused by the presence of Math1+/- cells in the ARL that do not give rise to granule cells. The migration of these cells from the ARL helps explain the gradual diminution of the ARL population of cells over time. The presence of migrating cells from the ARL in the math1 null cerebellum was not surprising in light of recent cell lineage studies in the chick and zebrafish (Wingate and Hatten, 1999; Koster and Fraser, 2001; Lin et al., 2001). These authors have found evidence for the emigration of cells from the ARL to areas outside of the cerebellum. What was surprising was our finding that some of these Math1+ cells that originate from the ARL populate the cerebellum. The origins of all but the principal neurons of the cerebellum have been a subject of much debate (Hallonet et al., 1990; Alvarez Otero et al., 1993; Ryder and Cepko, 1994; Alder et al., 1996; Zhang and Goldman, 1996). The majority of studies addressing this issue finds that the EGL only gives rise to granule cells. Based on this finding, for example, it has been presumed that the cerebellar interneurons are derived from the primary cerebellar neuroepithelium. These studies, however, examined relatively late times during development and did not rule out an initial origin of these cells from the ARL. In fact, in light of our current findings, it is also
Table 2. EGL and cerebellar area in P0 and P5 math1<sup>fl/fl</sup>-<del>/gal</del>/<del>+</del>-null chimeras and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Chimera</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>EGL area&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (μm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Cerebellum area&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (μm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>090700K</td>
<td>math1&lt;sup&gt;+/+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>194705</td>
<td>1239518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>090700A</td>
<td>ICR</td>
<td>184015</td>
<td>1149668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>090700M</td>
<td>math1&lt;sup&gt;fl/fl&lt;/sup&gt;-&lt;del&gt;/gal&lt;/del&gt;-/ICR</td>
<td>65845</td>
<td>595783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>090700G</td>
<td>math1&lt;sup&gt;fl/fl&lt;/sup&gt;-&lt;del&gt;/gal&lt;/del&gt;-/ICR</td>
<td>85515</td>
<td>506948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>090700A</td>
<td>ICR</td>
<td>121622</td>
<td>1240703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>090700M</td>
<td>math1&lt;sup&gt;fl/fl&lt;/sup&gt;-&lt;del&gt;/gal&lt;/del&gt;-/ICR</td>
<td>136389</td>
<td>1253508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>090700G</td>
<td>Math1&lt;sup&gt;fl/fl&lt;/sup&gt;-&lt;del&gt;/gal&lt;/del&gt;-&lt;del&gt;/gal&lt;/del&gt;-/ICR</td>
<td>184477 (&lt;164213–213105)</td>
<td>1148023 (&lt;1013108–1332086)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0</td>
<td>080899H</td>
<td>math1&lt;sup&gt;+/+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>59343</td>
<td>524207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0</td>
<td>080899H</td>
<td>Balb&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>61298</td>
<td>532759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0</td>
<td>080899E</td>
<td>math1&lt;sup&gt;fl/fl&lt;/sup&gt;-&lt;del&gt;/gal&lt;/del&gt;-&lt;del&gt;/gal&lt;/del&gt;-/Balb&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26285</td>
<td>426604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0</td>
<td>080899E</td>
<td>Balb&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>44028</td>
<td>507886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0</td>
<td>Balb&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>59296 (&lt;55081–63605)</td>
<td>539310 (&lt;516805–572824)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Mean area of EGL and cerebellum calculated for three nonconsecutive sections.

<sup>b</sup>Mean area of EGL and cerebellum calculated for three nonconsecutive sections of n = 5 animals within each group. The range of mean for individual animals is in parentheses.

determination. In the cerebellum, a similar feed-forward or feedback mechanism could exist in which extrinsic elements can induce math1 null cells to enter into the granule cell lineage. This clearly is not the case in any of the chimeras studied in the present research. Thus, Math1 is a vital molecule in the granule cell lineage.

Other genes have been proposed to play critical roles in granule cell lineage based on their expression pattern within the ARL and granule cell precursor population within the EGL (Aruga et al., 1994; Yang et al., 1996). However, targeted deletion of these genes have resulted in no or only partial loss of granule cell neuroblasts (Aruga et al., 1998; Yang et al., 1999). To date, math1 is the only example of a gene that is expressed within the ARL and that results in the complete loss of granule cells when disrupted (Ben-Arie et al., 1997).

One fascinating outcome in math1-null chimeras is that there is no obvious compensation by wild-type granule cells. This situation is in contrast to other instances in which granule cells are eliminated from the EGL by X-irradiation or chemical insult to the postnatal cerebellum. In these experimental conditions, there is an amazing capacity for the regeneration of granule cell population (Shimada and Langman, 1970a,b; Altman, 1973; Jones and Gardner, 1976; Yu, 1979; Lovell et al., 1980; Chen and Hillman, 1986, 1988; Altman and Bayer, 1997; Doughty et al., 1998). However, the extent of this regeneration is dependent on when the insult occurs and the degree of damage to the EGL. For example, administration of the antimotot agent MAM within 24 hr of birth results in extensive damage to the EGL with only limited regeneration (Chen and Hillman, 1988). One explanation for the apparent lack of EGL regeneration in the math1 null is that Math1 functions at a developmental time when the progenitor cell population is determined, and each of these cells has a set proliferative capacity. Thus, when math1 null members of the progenitor pool are eliminated there is an irretrievable loss of clonal sets of granule cells. How this interpretation sits with the previous finding in the meander tail chimera is an area for additional exploration. In the meander tail mutant mouse, there is a near-total loss of anterior lobe granule cells that can be possible that at least some of these cells (e.g., an undetermined number of Bergmann glia and Golgi type II cells) actually first originate from the ARL, from the Math1-positive, independent cell population. A more detailed analysis of the origins and migratory path(s) of these cells is warranted.

In the chimeric cerebellum, math1 null cells do not colonize the EGL. This indicates a completely cell-autonomous action of the math1 gene in granule cell development. Moreover, based on the developmental analysis of the math1 null ARL, it appears that granule cell neuroblasts are not generated. Although it may be axiomatic that transcription factors act intrinsic to cell function, the downstream effects of transcriptional activation or repression often are translated through other cells. There are many such examples of non-cell autonomous actions of transcription factors during development (Rhinn et al., 1999; Kitajima, 2000; Weinhold et al., 2000; Cui et al., 2003). Likewise, whereas studies of the Math1 knock-out mouse indicates that Math1 expression is intrinsic to, and critical for, inner hair cell development (Bermingham et al., 1999), preliminary studies of the math1 null chimera (K. Hamre, personal communication) have indicated that Math1 null inner hair cells can exist in a chimera, suggesting a non-cell autonomous action of the math1 gene in inner hair cell
seen as early as E13–E15 (Napieralski and Eisenman, 1993; Hamre and Goldowitz, 1997). However, in the meander tail chimera there is a dramatic increase in the production of wild-type granule cells in the anterior cerebellum (Hamre and Goldowitz, 1997). We expect that the identification of the meander tail gene and its expression pattern will help to solve this apparent incongruity in which there is compensation by wild-type granule cells in meander tail, but not math1 null, chimeras.

The loss of math1 null granule cell neuroblasts in the math1+/-;Gal(–/–) embryo results in regions of the EGL devoid of neuroblasts. Interestingly, in some chimeras these regions devoid of neuroblasts are noncontiguous. These results suggest that the EGL is actively populated by waves of neuroblasts that leave the ARL at discrete intervals with a set destination along the surface of the cerebellum. Thus, in the math1+/-;Gal(–/–) embryo, the EGL is a patchwork of wild-type cells and empty spaces, with the spaces presumed to be regions that should have been colonized by sets of math1 null granule cell neuroblasts. This suggestion of the migratory dynamics of EGL neuroblasts is similar to the observations of an active and orderly process in the migration of cerebellar ARL cells in the explanted chick cerebellum (Wingate and Hatten, 1999; Gilthorpe et al., 2002). The observations of Wingate and Hatten (1999) led to the prediction “that subsequent waves of migrating cells stop at successively more proximal points on the surface of the anlage, perhaps inhibited from further migration by the accumulation of older precursors.” From the present results, we would agree with the initial premise of their prediction, however, would note that the vacant regions of the EGL in chimeras would not be evidence for an inhibitory mechanism but, rather, would suggest a predetermined spatial address for cohorts of EGL neuroblasts. This spatial address is most likely encoded temporally and is consonant with more recent finding that demonstrates a temporal organization of two different precursors in the chick ARL (Gilthorpe et al., 2002).

In conclusion, the current study demonstrates a vital, cell-autonomous role for Math1 in the granule cell lineage from the onset of the appearance of granule cell precursors in the ARL. In addition, the analysis of the math1 null chimeric cerebellum provides new insights into the temporal and spatial mechanisms that drive the migration of granule cell neuroblasts in the formation of the EGL. Finally, the view that the ARL gives rise to a homogeneous population of cells is challenged by our finding that multiple cell types coexist within, and migrate from, the ARL.
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