The Role of Extracellular Adenosine in Regulating Mossy Fiber Synaptic Plasticity
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Hippocampal mossy fiber synapses show unique molecular features and dynamic range of plasticity. A recent paper proposed that the defining features of mossy fiber synaptic plasticity are caused by a local buildup of extracellular adenosine (Moore et al., 2003). In this study, we reassessed the role of ambient adenosine in regulating mossy fiber synaptic plasticity in mouse and rat hippocampal slices. Synaptic transmission was highly sensitive to activation of presynaptic adenosine A1 receptors (A1Rs), which reduced transmitter release by >75%. However, most of A1Rs were not activated by ambient adenosine. Field potentials increased only by 20–30% when A1Rs were fully blocked with the A1R antagonist 8-cyclopentyl-1,3-dipropylxanthine (DPCPX) (1 μM). Moreover, blocking A1Rs hardly altered paired-pulse facilitation, frequency facilitation, or posttetanic potentiation. Frequency facilitation was similar in A1R−/− mice and when measured with NMDA receptor-mediated EPSCs in CA3 pyramidal cells in the presence of DPCPX. Additional experiments suggested that the results obtained by Moore et al. (2003) can partially be explained by their usage of a submerged recording chamber and elevated divalent cation concentrations. In conclusion, a reduction of the basal release probability by ambient adenosine does not underlie presynaptic forms of plasticity at mossy fiber synapses.
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Introduction

Short-term synaptic plasticity is regarded a very elementary correlate of learning and memory. It represents a dynamic adjustment of synaptic strength according to previous activity of the presynaptic cell, which at most lasts for a few minutes. Short-term synaptic plasticity can be depressing or facilitating and shows a remarkable diversity between different synaptic connections (Zucker and Regehr, 2002). Hippocampal mossy fibers, connecting granule cells to CA3 pyramidal cells, display very pronounced forms of short-term synaptic enhancement (Salin et al., 1996). At this synapse, synaptic strength is temporarily augmented twofold to tenfold in response to an increase in the frequency of presynaptic activity from <0.03 to 100 Hz. Because of the large unitary conductance and the very proximal dendritic location, the augmentation of a single mossy fiber synapse can fire a postsynaptic CA3 pyramidal cell (Urban et al., 2001).

These extraordinary forms of presynaptic plasticity have been a subject of intense investigation. Several properties unique to mossy fiber synapses have been found to contribute. Among those properties are presynaptic kainate receptors (Contractor et al., 2001; Schmitz et al., 2001), release-incompetent presynaptic Ca2+ channels (Dietrich et al., 2003), presynaptic Ca2+ stores (Lauri et al., 2003), a particularly large pool of releasable vesicles (Hallermann et al., 2003), strongly inactivating presynaptic K+ channels (Geiger and Jonas, 2000), presynaptic CaM kinase II (Salin et al., 1996), and a high endogenous Ca2+ buffer content of synaptic terminals (Blatow et al., 2003).

A recent report challenged the view that mossy fiber synaptic plasticity arises from specialized intrinsic properties of the terminals and proposed that the local environment of the synapses plays a decisive role (Moore et al., 2003). It was reported that the tonic presynaptic inhibition of transmitter release by a local, high concentration of ambient adenosine is essential for the expression of paired-pulse facilitation, frequency facilitation, posttetanic potentiation, and long-term potentiation by mossy fibers. However, ambient adenosine is very sensitive to a variety of conditions such as the metabolic state of the tissue and the extracellular ion concentration (Dunwiddie and Masino, 2001; Latini and Pedata, 2001). We therefore retested the importance of ambient adenosine for mossy fiber synaptic plasticity in a recording chamber that optimizes oxygenation of the tissue and with physiological concentrations of divalent cations. Under these circumstances, we do not find evidence for a role of ambient adenosine in regulating plasticity at this synapse.

Materials and Methods

Adult C57BL/6 wild-type mice, adenosine A1 receptor A1R−/− mice (see below), or Wistar rats (postnatal days 20–60; Charles River Wiga, Sul-
zfeld, Germany) were anesthetized with chloroform and decapitated. The brain was rapidly removed, and horizontal (mouse) or frontal (rat) hippocampal slices (500 μm) were prepared (VT1000; Leica, Nussloch, Germany) in ice-cold solution containing the following (in mM): 87 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 7 MgCl2, 0.5 CaCl2, 25 NaH2CO3, 25 glucose, and 75 sucrose (95% O2–5% CO2) (Geiger and Jonas, 2000). Afterward, slices were stored for at least 45 min at room temperature (22–24°C) in solution containing the following (in mM): 124 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 2 MgCl2, 2 CaCl2, 26 NaH2CO3, and 10 glucose (95% O2–5% CO2). For recording, slices were transferred to either a Haas-type interface chamber or a submerged chamber and perfused with ACSF (2–3 ml/min) with saline containing artificial CSF (ACSF) (in mM): 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1 NaH2PO4, 1.3 MgCl2, 2.5 CaCl2, 26 NaH2CO3, 10 glucose, and 10 μM 2-amino-5-phosphonovaleric acid 0.05 (95% O2–5% CO2, 30°C). Slices were incubated for 45 min in the recording chamber before measurements were started. Extracellular recordings of field EPSPs (fEPSPs) were made using glass electrodes (4–6 MΩ) filled with ACSF and placed under visual guidance in the stratum lucidum of the CA3 region. Monopolar stimulation was delivered through a glass electrode filled with ACSF (resistance, ~1 MΩ) placed in the stratum lucidum or in the granule cell layer as indicated in Results. The mossy fiber origin of fEPSPs was verified at the end of each experiment by application of the group II metabotropic glutamate receptor agonist (2S,2′R,3′R)-2′-(3′-dicarboxycyclopropyl)glycine (DCCG-IV) (1 μM) (Kamiya et al., 1996). The experiments were discarded if the inhibition produced by DCCG-IV was <30%. Experiments were also discarded if a positive potential remained under DCCG-IV with an amplitude of ≥10% of pre-DCCG-IV level. fEPSPs were recorded with a bridge-clamp amplifier (IR-283; Neurodata Instruments, New York, NY), filtered at 3 kHz, and digitized at 20 kHz using Igor Pro Software (WaveMetrics, Lake Oswego, OR).

Adenosine A1R−/− mice were generated as described previously (Johansson et al., 2001), backcrossed to C57BL/6JTac until genetic background was 100% C57BL/6. Wild-type and A1R−/− mice were bred from heterozygote crossings.

Mossy fiber EPSCs were recorded as described previously (Dietrich et al., 2003). In brief, slices were kept in a submerged recording chamber and perfused with ACSF (~24°C). Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings (EPC7 amplifier; HEKA, Lambrecht, Germany) were obtained with the following pipette solution (in mM): 100 Cs-gluconate, 30 CsCl, 5 QX-314-Br [2(3′-trithiethylamino)-N-(2,6-dimethylphenyl) acetamide], 10 HEPES, 0.5 EGTA, 4 Na ATP, and 4 MgCl2. Mossy fibers were stimulated in the stratum lucidum, and trials were discarded if the inhibition produced by DCCG-IV (1 μM) was <30%.

In separate experiments, we tested whether the poorly water soluble, high-affinity A1R agonist 8-cyclopentyl-1,3-dipropylxanthine (DPCPX) (KD = 1–3 nm) might accumulate in the perfusion system of our recording chamber. We found that our perfusion system has to be washed for ≥60 min with 0.1 NaOH (solvent of DPCPX) to regain the full inhibition of CA1 fEPSPs by the A1R agonist N-cyclopentyladenosine (CPA) [800 nm, 90–100% (see Fig. 2) (Johansson et al., 2001)]. Fluxing for only 15 min reduced the CPA inhibition to ~50%. Before all DPCPX experiments included in this study, the system was flushed overnight (17 of 25) or for >60 min (18 of 25).

Statistical significance of data was assessed with the two-tailed t test (α = 0.05). Data are given as mean ± SEM.

**Results**

In the first series of experiments, we recorded mossy fiber fEPSPs in slices placed in an interface chamber that optimizes oxygen supply to the tissue (Tsacopoulos et al., 1981; Bingmann and Kolde, 1982). In response to paired-pulse stimulation in the stratum lucidum with an interstimulus interval of 40 ms, fEPSPs showed strong paired-pulse facilitation (212 ± 12%; n = 5) (Fig. 1A), as is typical for mossy fiber synapses (Salin et al., 1996; Castillo et al., 2002; Dietrich et al., 2003). After obtaining a stable baseline of fEPSPs, the frequency of stimulation was increased from 0.033 to 1 Hz for 10 s. Stimulation at 1 Hz induced a prominent potentiation of mossy fiber fEPSPs to 407 ± 23% (n = 5) (Fig. 1A,B) of the value obtained during 0.033 Hz stimulation. This potentiation is referred to as frequency facilitation (Regehr et al., 1994; Salin et al., 1996; Toth et al., 2000; Castillo et al., 2002; Dietrich et al., 2003), and it was suggested recently that this potentiation can occur only when ambient adenosine tonically decreases transmitter release probability (Moore et al., 2003). To rapidly and fully remove the putative inhibitory effect of ambient adenosine, we applied a high concentration of the A1R antagonist DPCPX (Lohse et al., 1987). In striking contrast to the findings of Moore et al. (2003), 1 μM DPCPX increased fEPSPs only to 128 ± 16% (n = 5) (Fig. 1A) and did not change paired-pulse facilitation significantly (control, 212 ± 12%; DPCPX, 221 ± 13%; n = 5) (Fig. 1A). Moreover, increasing stimulation frequency to 1 Hz in the presence of the A1R antagonist evoked a frequency facilitation of unchanged magnitude (407 ± 37%; n = 5) (Fig. 1A,B). We next asked whether posttetanic potentiation might be dependent on tonic A1R activation. A single train of 20 pulses at 100 Hz induced a prominent potentiation of fEPSPs that decayed back to near-baseline levels within minutes (Fig. 1C). Five seconds after the train, fEPSPs were potentiated to 600 ± 50% under control conditions (n = 4) (Fig. 1C). After preapplication of 1 μM DPCPX, posttetanic potentiation was nearly unchanged and amounted to 520 ± 44% (n = 4; not significantly different from control conditions) (Fig. 1C).

We tested the function of presynaptic A1R and the antagonistic potency of DPCPX in our preparation. As expected, perfusion of the specific A1R agonist CPA (800 nm) strongly reduced mossy fiber fEPSPs to 27 ± 3% (n = 3) (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, the CPA-induced depression of fEPSPs was completely reversed during coperfusion of DPCPX (1 μM) (Fig. 2A). Similar to our initial experiments (Fig. 1A), DPCPX increased fEPSPs only slightly above pre-CPA baseline levels (118 ± 11%) (Fig. 2A).

We further verified the independence of mossy fiber synaptic plasticity of A1R activation in mice lacking the A1R. In A1R−/− mice, frequency facilitation amounted to 399 ± 3% of baseline transmission (n = 4) (Fig. 2B), which is very similar to what is observed in wild-type mice (Fig. 1B), including littermates of the A1R−/− mice (data not shown). The phenotype of the mice was validated in the same slices by showing the absence of Schaffer collateral-evoked CA1 fEPSPs by CPA (cf. Johansson et al., 2001) (n = 3) (Fig. 2C).

Because the CA3 network contains many recurrent connections, fEPSPs may be contaminated by a polysynaptic component. To minimize such polysynaptic activity, we blocked AMPA, kainate, and GABAA receptors. Under these conditions, we obtained whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from CA3 pyramidal cells and recorded NMDA receptor-mediated mossy fiber EPSCs at positive holding potentials in the presence of DPCPX (Fig. 2D). When stimulation frequency was increased to 1 Hz, EPSCsNMDA were potentiated to a degree very similar to that seen for fEPSPs (to 384 ± 51%; n = 4) (Figs. 2D, E, 3E). Therefore, we conclude that there is an approximately fourfold increase in transmitter release from mossy fiber synapses during 1 Hz stimulation, which is independent of A1R activation.

These results are in clear contrast to findings by Moore et al. (2003). We asked whether technical differences between their study and ours can account for this discrepancy. We tested the effect of DPCPX on basal fEPSP amplitude and on frequency facilitation under various conditions to reproduce their experimental settings as close as possible: changing the stimulation site from stratum lucidum to the granule cell layer (Fig. 3A), using rat instead of mouse hippocampal slices (Fig. 3A), using a submerged recording chamber as a substitute of our interface re-
Figure 1. Antagonizing A1Rs does not change short-term synaptic plasticity of mossy fiber synapses. A, Top, Time course of fEPSP amplitudes (n = 5). Mossy fibers were stimulated in the stratum lucidum at a rate of 0.033 Hz. Asterisks indicate time points at which stimulation frequency was increased to 1 Hz for 10 stimulations. During application of the A1R antagonist DPCPX (1 μM; black bar), fEPSPs increase only slightly (notice that the asterisks are on the same vertical position), and frequency facilitation is unchanged. At the end of each experiment, 1 μM DCG-IV was applied (white bar) to verify the mossy fiber origin of stimulated fibers. Bottom, Example traces of the first and the second fEPSP (interstimulus interval of 40 ms, average of 5 traces) taken from the time points indicated by small letters are shown on the left. A summary bar graph of the paired-pulse facilitation value calculated before and during perfusion of DPCPX is shown on the right. Blocking A1Rs does not alter paired-pulse facilitation. B, Frequency facilitation is not dependent on A1Rs. Data from experiments in A are replotted. Within each experiment, fEPSP amplitudes before and during DPCPX application were normalized on the mean amplitude of the fEPSPs preceding the change in stimulation frequency. Original example traces taken from one experiment during 1 Hz stimulation are shown on the right. Stimulus artifacts are blanked with white squares throughout all figures for clarity. Calibration: 0.2 mV, 2 ms.

Figure 2. Frequency facilitation persists in A1R−/− mice and when A1R, AMPA, and kainate receptors are blocked pharmacologically. A, Mossy fiber synaptic transmission is highly sensitive to activation of A1Rs. Application of the specific A1R agonist CPA (800 nM; large white bar) potently depresses fEPSPs. This depression is completely reversed by the A1R antagonist DPCPX (black bar). Note that full steady state of CPA perfusion was not achieved. B, Time course (n = 4) and example traces of mossy fiber fEPSPs recorded in A1R−/− mice. Frequency facilitation is virtually identical to wild-type mice. Calibration: 0.1 mV, 2 ms. C, Schaffer collateral fEPSPs were recorded in the CA1 region of the same slices (3 of 4) used to test mossy fiber frequency facilitation to verify the phenotype of the mice. As expected, the A1R agonist CPA (800 nM) was without effect on synaptic transmission in A1R−/− mice (average time course, n = 3) but strongly reduced fEPSPs in wild-type mice (average time course, n = 3). Note that DPCPX (1 μM) increases fEPSPs slightly above baseline to a degree very similar to that seen for mossy fiber fEPSPs (see A). Calibration for example traces: 0.2 mV, 4 ms. D, In the presence of DPCPX (1 μM), NMDA receptors were pharmacologically isolated with CNQX (30 μM) and bicuculline (10 μM). Mossy fiber EPSCs were recorded in CA3 pyramidal cells held at −70 mV in whole-cell voltage-clamp mode. The residual EPSC recorded under these conditions at −70 mV was <10 pA. In each cell (n = 4), frequency facilitation was elicited two times, as indicated by the asterisks. Example traces of mossy fiber EPSCs are shown on the right. Calibration: 100 pA, 10 ms. The magnitude of 1 Hz frequency facilitation is similar to that measured with fEPSPs and is not dependent on activation of AMPA, kainate, or A1Rs. E, Data shown in D are replotted. In each cell, the two trials of frequency facilitation were normalized and averaged before the mean values were calculated across all cells.
photoconversion chamber (Fig. 3B), and perfusion of ACSF containing elevated divalent cation concentrations (4 mM Ca$^{2+}$ and 4 mM Mg$^{2+}$) (Fig. 3C). In general, under all conditions, the increase in fEPSP amplitudes by DPCPX was small (Fig. 3D). However, it should be noted that placing slices in a submerged chamber and elevating the divalent cation concentrations yielded a larger DPCPX effect (increase by ~55%) when compared with interface chamber recordings in mice or in rats (increase by ~25%) (Fig. 3D). Figure 3E summarizes the values of 1 Hz frequency facilitation obtained in the absence of A1R function. Using a variety of methods, frequency facilitation ranged ~400% but was slightly reduced in high divalent cation solution (347 ± 6%; n = 4).

**Discussion**

In contrast to a previous proposal (Moore et al., 2003), we find that mossy fiber synaptic plasticity is not dependent on ambient adenosine and that the tonic inhibition of transmitter release mediated by adenosine is mild and comparable with that seen at other hippocampal synapses.

Our results clearly demonstrate that basal transmitter release at mossy fiber terminals is only weakly depressed by ambient adenosine. Removing the inhibitory action of adenosine with a high concentration of DPCPX [1 μM; K$_D$ at A$_1$Rs ~1–3 nM (Lohse et al., 1987)] increased mossy fiber fEPSPs by only 20–30%. This amount of augmentation is in good agreement with a number of previous studies and our own observations (Fig. 2C) at the hippocampal Schaffer collateral–CA1 synapse (Dunwiddie and Diao, 1994; Brundege and Dunwiddie, 1996; de Mendonca et al., 1997; Latini et al., 1999; Bon and Garthwaite, 2002) but is much less than reported by Moore et al. (2003), who found a fivefold increase in mossy fiber fEPSPs during perfusion of DPCPX.

It is unlikely that this difference is attributable to a different adenosine sensitivity of mossy fiber terminals in our study compared with the preparation of Moore et al. (2003). In both studies, adenosine receptor agonists strongly inhibited mossy fiber synaptic transmission to a similar extent (reduced to 27% from a baseline of 118%). This inhibition is mediated by the A$_1$R, as evidenced by the effectiveness of the specific A$_1$R agonist CPA and 2-chloro-N$^\epsilon$-cyclopentyladenosine, by the effectiveness of the A$_1$R antagonist DPCPX, and by the DPCPX insensitivity of fEPSPs in A$_1$R$^{-/-}$ mice (Moore et al., 2003; this study). This inhibitory A$_1$R is localized on mossy fiber terminals and depresses glutamate release via inhibition of presynaptic Ca$^{2+}$ channels (Rivkees et al., 1995; Kamiya et al., 2002). The most straightforward explanation for the difference between our data and the experiments presented by Moore et al. (2003) is a higher concentration of extracellular adenosine in their preparation.

What could be the reason for the excessive level of extracellular adenosine in slices used by Moore et al. (2003)? Adenosine reaches the extracellular space principally in two different ways (Dunwiddie and Masino, 2001; Latini and Pedata, 2001): by catabolism of extracellular adenine nucleotides by ectonucleotidases (Zimmermann, 2000) or by release of intracellular adenosine through nucleoside transporters. Metabolic stress, such as hypoxia, can lead to an enormous elevation of the extracellular adenosine level, which nearly completely depresses synaptic transmission in hippocampal slices (Dale et al., 2000; Frenguelli et al., 2003). When compared with interface chambers, submerged recording chambers are less optimal for oxygenation of the tissue because of a limited transfer rate of oxygen through the perfusate (Tascopoulos et al., 1981; Bingmann and Kolde, 1982). For this reason, elevated levels of ambient adenosine are more likely to occur in submerged slices. This idea is supported by our

**Figure 3.** Enhancement of fEPSPs by DPCPX is increased in submerged recording chambers and in high concentrations of divalent cations. **A**, Tonic inhibition of mossy fiber transmission by A$_1$Rs is similarly small in rats (n = 4) or when mossy fibers are stimulated close to the granule cell layer (n = 4). Calibration: 0.1 mV, 1 ms. **B**, Keeping slices in submerged recording chambers apparently elevates the level of ambient adenosine (n = 5). Potentiation by DPCPX is increased compared with interface chamber recordings (compare with A). Nevertheless, there is still a prominent frequency facilitation in the presence of DPCPX. Calibration: 50 μV, 2 ms. **C**, Synaptic transmission is more sensitive to blockade of A$_1$Rs by DPCPX when slices are bathed in 4 mM Ca$^{2+}$/4 mM Mg$^{2+}$ (n = 4). However, even under this condition, frequency facilitation is not dependent on A$_1$R activation. Asterisks indicate an increase in stimulation frequency to 1 Hz. Calibration: 0.1 mV, 2 ms. **D**, Summary bar graph showing the increase in fEPSP amplitudes by DPCPX under various recording conditions. **E**, Summary of the values of frequency facilitation (frea. facil.) obtained in the absence of A$_1$R function. luc, Stratum lucidum; glc, granule cell layer; stim, stimulation.
finding that the DPCPX effect is indeed significantly larger when we placed our slices in this type of chamber (Fig. 3B). Additionally, our findings indicate that the elevated divalent cation concentration used by Moore et al. (2003) renders synaptic transmission more sensitive to A1 antagonism (Fig. 3C). Together, it appears likely that the high concentration of extracellular adenosine observed in the study by Moore et al. (2003) partially is a result of their recording conditions. However, there still is a dramatic difference in DPCPX effect when compared between our submerged chamber and high Ca<sup>2+</sup>/Mg<sup>2+</sup> recordings (each increases to ~155%) and their results (increase to ~500%). The origin of this difference is unclear at present.

Another important result of our study is that the strong synaptic potentiation characteristic of mossy fiber transmission is not dependent on a permanent decrease of release probability by adenosine (as suggested by Moore et al., 2003). The magnitude of paired-pulse facilitation, frequency facilitation, and posttetanic potentiation measured in this study under control conditions compares very well to values published by other groups (Regehr et al., 1994; Salin et al., 1996; Toth et al., 2000; Castillo et al., 2002) partially is a result of their recording conditions. However, there still is a dramatic difference in DPCPX effect when compared between our submerged chamber and high Ca<sup>2+</sup>/Mg<sup>2+</sup> recordings (each increases to ~155%) and their results (increase to ~500%). The origin of this difference is unclear at present.
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