Causality of inhibition of presynaptic calcium channels via proton-mediated feedback inhibition.

Introduction

At the output synapse of cone photoreceptors, horizontal cells (HCs) contribute to the surround receptive field of cones by sending illumination-sensitive inhibitory feedback to the presynaptic cones (Baylor et al., 1971). Inhibitory feedback underlies the formation of center-surround antagonistic receptive fields, which support edge detection, create color opponency, and enhance contrast (Wu, 1992; Burkhardt, 1993; Twig et al., 2003). How this inhibition is generated is not yet understood.

Of several mechanisms proposed (Kamermans and Spekreijse, 1999), the proton model for inhibitory feedback at the cone synapse is attractive for several reasons. First, protons are released along with glutamate from cone synaptic vesicles and inhibit voltage-gated Ca channels (DeVries, 2001). Protons also neutralize fixed negative surface charges on the plasma membrane, shifting Ca channel open probability to more positive membrane potentials (Prodhom et al., 1987; Chen et al., 1996). Protons are released into the cleft. HCs also extrude protons as a result of normal cell metabolism (Haugh-Scheidt and Ripps, 1998). Second, proton-mediated inhibition of cone calcium channels has been well documented (Barnes and Bui, 1991; Barnes et al., 1993; DeVries, 2001). Protons inhibit Ca channels in two ways. They reduce the conductance of Ca channels by binding to a site in or near the channel pore, interfering with the interaction between charged amino acids and the ions passing through the pore (Prodhom et al., 1987; Chen et al., 1996). Protons also neutralize fixed negative surface charges on the plasma membrane, shifting Ca channel open probability to more positive voltages (Krafe and Kass, 1988; Klockner and Isenberg, 1994). In an acidic environment, increased membrane depolarization is required to overcome proton inhibition and open the channel. A change of extracellular pH by 0.1 units yields a shift in calcium channel gating of ~1 mV (Barnes and Bui, 1991).

The negative shift in Ca channel activation caused by surrounding illumination (Verweij et al., 1996; Hirasawa and Kaneko, 2003) could be the result of a pH increase in the synaptic cleft. By increasing the proton buffering capacity of the bathing solution to reduce changes of extracellular pH, feedback-induced responses of both cones and HCs are attenuated (Hirasawa and Kaneko, 2003). Although multiple sources of protons exist, no precise mechanism by which HCs modulate pH in a voltage-dependent manner is known.
dependent manner has been identified. In this paper, we show that, not only is the feedback signal sensitive to increased proton buffering, it is sensitive to amiloride, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, and the divalent cations nickel and cobalt. A proton-conducting ion channel in the HC dendrites could provide a suitable pH-regulating influence in the synaptic cleft because HC hyperpolarization would increase the inward driving force on protons, increasing cleft pH, and depolarization would reduce the inward driving force, leaving the cleft more acidic.

Materials and Methods

Isolated goldfish retina preparation. Ethical approval for use of all species was obtained from the University Committee for Laboratory Animals at Dalhousie University, and all animals were treated in accordance with guidelines set forth by the Canadian Council on Animal Care. All drugs used in these studies were obtained from Sigma (Oakville, Ontario, Canada) unless otherwise stated. Adult goldfish (Carassius auratus) 10–15 cm in length were maintained in aerated aquaria on a 12 h light/dark cycle at room temperature. Approximately 3 h into the light cycle, goldfish were placed in the dark for 15–60 min before they were killed. Under dim red illumination, the goldfish was removed from the water, decapitated, and pithed. Eyes were enucleated, and the anterior part of the eye, including the lens, was removed. The eyecup was injected onto filter paper, and the optic nerve was severed to free the retina from the eyecup. The retina was then transferred into a superfusion chamber, photoreceptor side up. To prevent the retina from moving within the chamber, a nitrocellulose filter disc (Millipore, Bedford, MA), perforated with seven 4-mm-diameter holes, was placed on top of the retina. The retina was superfused with bathing medium for 45–90 min at room temperature in the dark before recording commenced. The bathing medium consisted of the following: 100 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 1.2 mM MgSO4, 1.0 mM CaCl2, 20 mM glucose, and 20 mM NaHCO3 bubbled continuously with 97.5% O2/2.5% CO2 to produce a pH of 7.5 or with 95% O2/5% CO2 to produce a pH of 7.2. Flow rate was maintained at ~1–2 ml/min. HEPES, phosphate, taurine, and Tris were added to the normal bicarbonate-buffered bathing medium. The pH of these solutions was adjusted by adding of 10N NaOH or 1N HCl.

Intracellular microelectrode recording. Borosilicate glass microelectrodes were pulled on a Flaming/Brown model P-97 microelectrode puller (Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA) and filled with 2.5 M KCl. Resistances of the electrodes ranged from 10 to 80 MΩ. Full-field light stimulation was used throughout. Light stimuli were presented using a computer-controlled optical bench, with maximal unattenuated light intensity (I0) of ~500 μW/cm2. Calibrated neutral density filters, in conjunction with chromatic filters that ranged from 400 to 740 nm in increments of 20 nm, were used to deliver equal quantal stimuli to the retina. Light stimulus duration was 500 ms. Electrodes were micropositioned (Burleigh Inchworm; Burleigh Instruments, Victor, NY) over an area of the retina with the aid of an infrared camera (Electrophysics Corporation, Nutley, NJ). After a rapid descent to the surface of the retina and the cell body, the cell was impaled with a single injection of 500 ms. To allow comparison between different cells, rollback was expressed as a fraction of the peak hyperpolarization. For cells that continued to hyperpolarize throughout the light pulse, negative rollback was calculated as the difference between the membrane potential at the end of the stimulus (500 ms) and the membrane potential at 170 ms, the typical time of peak hyperpolarization in cells showing rollback. Negative rollback was expressed as a fraction of the amplitude of maximum hyperpolarization. The feedback responses of H2 cells were assessed by measuring the amplitude of responses to 660 and 700 nm equal quantal light stimuli. Responses were then expressed as a fraction of the response to 540 nm stimulus.

After establishing a criterion H1 cell rollback of at least 3% of the peak hyperpolarization in control solutions containing taurine, HEPES, Tris, phosphate, or amiloride were applied for at least 20 min, and the feedback responses of HCs were assessed. Multiple HCs were recorded during a single superfusion condition (control, treatment, or wash), and the cell with the most robust response during that condition was used for analysis.

Zebrafish retinal slice preparations and dye loading. Adult zebrafish (Danio rerio) obtained from local suppliers were housed in a 40 gallon tank at room temperature and kept on a 12 h light/dark cycle. Zebrafish were killed by decapitation, and their eyes were enucleated. The sclera and lens were removed to form an eyecup, which was then placed in bathing medium that consisted of the following (in mM): 121 NaCl, 1 KCl, 3 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 3–5-glucose, and 4 HEPES at pH 7.4 (Connaghan and Maguire, 1998). After submersing, retinas were isolated from the eyecup and transferred to a glass Petri dish in a small drop of bathing medium. The isolated retinas were then minced with a fine razor blade held in a hemostat, and the resulting minisciles (Sun et al., 2002) were transferred to plastic imaging chambers that had been precoated with 0.01% poly-l-lysine. Once in the imaging chamber, 1 μM Fluo-4 AM (Molecular Probes Eugene, OR) in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) was added to a final concentration of 10 μM (final DMSO concentration of 0.1%), and the chamber was left to incubate on ice in the dark for 1 h.

Confocal imaging of retinal slice preparations. Retinal slices loaded with Fluo-4 were imaged on a Nikon (Tokyo, Japan) E800 laser scanning confocal microscope using an argon laser for excitation at 488 nm. Fluorescence emission was recorded by a photomultiplier filtered at 585 nm. Zebrafish retinal slices were observed with a long working distance (2.0 mm) 40 × water immersion objective. Bathing media and other experimental solutions were applied via a gravity flow system controlled with an eight-way valve. The flow rate was 1.5 ml/min, and excess fluid was removed by a suction pump. All confocal experiments were performed at room temperature in dim light. Time-lapse movies were captured and analyzed using Nikon EZC1 version 2.0 software. Images were obtained every 5 s at a resolution of 256 × 256 to minimize photobleaching. Fluorescence values were expressed as A/F. To measure Ca2+ signals at the synaptic terminal layer, we delivered pairs of depolarizing stimuli using elevated [K+] (15–30 mM) solutions, which were made equimosiostic by decreasing Na+ as required. The high K+ bathing medium (5-15 mM Na+ applied for 740 ms) was used to depolarize the retina. The amplitudes of the fluorescence increases in response to the pairs of stimuli were measured relative to baseline. The amplitude of the second Ca2+ signal was then expressed as a percentage of the first.

Stock solutions (20 mM) of kainate and CNQX were made in water and DMSO, respectively. After dilution to the final concentration, drug-containing bathing media were tested for changes in pH. Kainate or CNQX was added 1 min before and during the test depolarizing stimulus. The effects of kainate and CNQX were assessed by comparing the amplitude of the first signal obtained in the absence of drug with the second signal obtained in its presence. GABA blockers were not used routinely because picrotoxin had no significant effect on the second of the paired stimuli using either kainate (~15% reduction, n = 9; p > 0.05) or CNQX (~10% reduction; n = 7; p > 0.05), and it does not appear that GABA mediates feedback (Thoreson and Burkhartd, 1990; Verweij et al., 1996).

Patch-clamp recording from isolated goldfish HCs. Retinas from decapitated goldfish were incubated at room temperature (20–22°C) for 15 min in papain (0.5 mg/ml), washed, and triturated in a calcium-free solution containing the following (in mM): 120 NaCl, 2.6 KCl, 1 NaHCO3, 0.5 NaH2PO4, 1 Na-pyruvate, 4 HEPES, and 16 glucose at pH 7.4. Isolated HCs, visualized with a Nikon Diaphot microscope, were identified by the several large dendrites emanating from a flat cell body. We used whole-cell ruptured-patch recording techniques to measure currents. Patch electrodes were pulled from hematocrit capillary glass.
The proton buffers HEPES (pKa of 7.5) and Tris (pKa of 8.3) reduced the feedback response of both luminosity-type (H1) and chromaticity-type (H2) HCs in isolated goldfish retina. H1 HCs recorded under control conditions (97.5%/2.5% carbogen-bubbled, bicarbonate-based bath solution, pH 7.5) exhibited the characteristic rollback during the hyperpolarizing response to full-field, full-spectrum illumination of 500 ms duration (Fig. 1A,B). Rollback consisted of a gradual depolarization that followed the initial rapid hyperpolarization in response to light, beginning ~170 ms after stimulus onset. At the end of the stimulus, there was a rapid return to the dark-resting membrane potential, sometimes with an overshoot. As illustrated by the examples in Figure 1, A and B, superfusion with either 10 mM HEPES or Tris (both pH 7.5) for at least 20 min, eliminated H1 cell rollback. In fact, H1 cells recorded in HEPES or Tris continued to hyperpolarize throughout the duration of the light stimulus. Similar results were obtained in all retinas studied (n = 9 for HEPES; n = 6 for Tris). Before superfusion with HEPES, the rollback of H1 HCs amounted to a 9 ± 1% erosion of the peak hyperpolarization. In every H1 cell recorded, treatment with 10 mM HEPES blocked rollback, and instead there was a 22 ± 3% increase in the hyperpolarizing response over the same time period (p < 0.001). With Tris buffer, rollback (11 ± 1%) was replaced by a 16 ± 1% additional hyperpolarization when retinas were treated with 10 mM Tris (p < 0.01) (Fig. 1B). In some experiments, it was possible to obtain recordings of H1 cells after 20 min superfusion with control bathing solution after treatment with HEPES or Tris (wash). In these cells, rollback returned (5 ± 2 and 8 ± 2% of peak hyperpolarizing response) after HEPES (n = 3) and Tris (n = 5), respectively. Hare and Owen (1998) found that the amplitude of horizontal cell light responses was dramatically increased when bicarbonate buffers were replaced with HEPES (pH 7.8) and that the cells depolarized by ~30 mV in addition to other effects, consistent with cytoplasmic alkalina-
illustrated for an individual case in Figure 1, C and D, and for the mean (Fig. 1E, F), wash returned the responses at each wavelength to near control values.

Two other proton buffers were also tested (data not shown). Taurine (10 mM; pKa of 9.1) also reduced rollback in H1 cells but was less effective than HEPES or Tris. In some cells, rollback was abolished, but in others it was only reduced. H1 cells recorded under control conditions showed rollback (8 ± 1%; n = 6), but cells recorded in these retinas after treatment with 10 mM taurine did not (p < 0.05), instead showing, on average, a gradual hyperpolarization (6 ± 11%) from 170 ms to the end of the light stimulus. After wash, rollback in H1 cell responses returned (10 ± 2%; n = 5). Taurine also affected the responses of H2 cells but much less than HEPES or Tris. On average, the only significant difference was the decrease and small inversion of the mean response to 700 nm stimuli (p < 0.05; n = 5). Dibasic phosphate (10 mM; pKs of 2.7) was even less effective: it did not abolish rollback in H1 cells (n = 5) and had no effect on the responses of H2 HCs (n = 3).

To determine whether the effect of proton buffers on the feedback responses of HCs depended on the nominal pH of the buffer solution, we tested the effect of Tris at pH 7.2 and 8.2 (data not shown). In both cases (each n = 3), the rollback of H1 HCs was abolished and the magnitude of the resulting purely hyperpolarizing response was not significantly different from that obtained when pH 7.5 Tris was used (p < 0.05) (Fig. 1B).

Imaging calcium dynamics at the photoreceptor synapse during modulation of postsynaptic AMPA receptors

To determine the site of action of the proton buffers on the feedback signal, we measured calcium dynamics at photoreceptor synaptic terminals in zebrafish retinal slices (Fig. 2A). When loaded with the calcium indicator dye Fluo-4, the photoreceptors fluoresced strongly, and their synaptic terminals could be easily visualized (Fig. 2B). The lack of fluorescence in the inner retina was likely attributable to the poor penetration of the AM form of Fluo-4 into the bulk thickness of the slice. Because the outer segments of the photoreceptors were in contact with the dye-loading external bathing medium, photoreceptors loaded more or less uniformly. During depolarization with an enriched potassium solution (15–30 mM), the fluorescence of the synaptic terminal layer increased measurably (Fig. 2C, D). A response to the depolarizing stimulus was characterized by a ΔF/F value >0.1.

Kainic acid (kainate), an AMPA/kainate receptor agonist, and CNQX, an AMPA/kainate receptor antagonist, were used to manipulate HC feedback. Initially, each drug was applied to Fluo-4-loaded zebrafish retinal slices in the absence of any depolarizing stimuli. When applied to the retina for 1 min, 50 μM kainate caused a large and sustained increase in [Ca²⁺], in the upper margin of the inner nuclear layer, a region containing the AMPA receptor-expressing cell bodies of HCs (Morigiwa and Vardi, 1999). Consistent with the findings of Tachibana and Kaneko (1988) that cone photoreceptors are not sensitive to kainate, we found that kainate did not increase fluorescence in the synaptic terminals of the photoreceptors (Fig. 3A–C). Washout of the drug could not be achieved in the time course of these experiments. This was not surprising because the effects of a short exposure to micromolar concentrations of kainate have been shown to persist in cells of the retina for up to 10 min (Baldridge, 1996). No effect on cells of the inner nuclear layer were found with a 1 min exposure to 50 μM CNQX. CNQX, by antagonizing the AMPA receptors, should hyperpolarize the HCs by blocking synaptic input and consequently reduce [Ca²⁺]. Because the cells of the inner retina do not readily load with the dye (Fig. 2), it was impossible to detect reductions in Ca²⁺ concentrations because the baseline fluorescence was too low. However, CNQX caused fluorescence increases in both the synaptic terminals and cell bodies of the photoreceptors in all retinas tested (n = 3) (Fig. 3D–F). This effect was reminiscent of the slow spikes recorded in cones during surround receptive field stimulation, which produces hyperpolarization of horizontal cells (Piccolino and Gerschenfeld, 1980) and might reflect loss of feedback-induced Ca channel inhibition, leading to Ca channel-mediated regenerative depolarizations. Increases in fluorescence could include the effects of Ca²⁺-induced Ca²⁺ release from intracellular Ca²⁺ stores.

It seemed possible that, by modulating HC membrane potential with kainate and CNQX, the level of inhibitory feedback signal originating from these cells could vary and, in turn, affect presynaptic [Ca²⁺]. To test this, paired depolarizing stimuli were delivered. The first stimulus was given in the absence of any drug, whereas the second was accompanied by either 50 μM kainate or CNQX. Control experiments yielded two increases in [Ca²⁺], in the synaptic terminals of approximately the same amplitude, as illustrated in Figure 2D. When expressed as a percentage of the first, the second stimulus peak amplitude was reduced by 7 ± 1% (n = 4) (Fig. 4I, middle bar). This slight reduction may be attributable to photobleaching of the calcium indicator dye. Kainate had the significant effect of reducing the Ca²⁺ signal...
to 60 ± 5% (n = 7; p < 0.01) of control (Fig. 4A–D). CNQX had the opposite effect, significantly increasing the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal by 61 ± 5% (n = 5; p < 0.05) (Fig. 4E–H), similar to the effect of CNQX shown in Figure 3F, but here synchronized by the action of the elevated [K\textsuperscript{+}]. The histogram in Figure 4I summarizes these observations, which are consistent with recent direct measurement of cone Ca channel current modulation by kainate and CNQX (Hirasawa and Kaneko, 2003).

**Increased pH buffering reduces the enhancement and suppression of presynaptic [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], caused by CNQX and kainate, respectively**

To test the role of protons in the inhibitory feedback mechanism from HCs to cones, we increased the pH buffering capacity of the bathing solution by adding 32.5 mM bicarbonate or by increasing the HEPES concentration to 20 or 30 mM from the standard 4 mM. By adding 32.5 mM bicarbonate to the bathing solution, the effects of both kainate and CNQX were attenuated. Bicarbonate reduced the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal inhibition by kainate to an amount 45 ± 4% (n = 4; p < 0.05) less than control and also significantly limited the increase of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal by CNQX to 32 ± 8% (n = 3; p < 0.05) over control. Increasing the HEPES concentration also led to significant attenuation of the effects produced by both kainate and CNQX. HEPES at 20 mM caused a complete elimination of the CNQX-mediated increase in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal (second peak now 4 ± 4% less than control; p < 0.01; n = 6) and significantly limited the kainate-mediated decrease in the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal to a reduction of only 39 ± 6% (n = 7; p < 0.05). An additional increase of buffer capacity, using 30 mM HEPES, led to an even greater attenuation of the kainate-mediated decrease, now reduced to 18 ± 7% (p < 0.001; n = 6) of control. A summary of these effects of increased proton buffering is presented in Figure 5.

By comparing the amplitude of depolarization-induced calcium signals at specific buffered pH values with the values observed during HC membrane potential manipulation, we should be able to estimate the pH changes occurring normally in the cleft. At pH 6.6, the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal amplitude was 78 ± 4% (n = 3) less than that obtained at pH 7.4. At pH 7.0, the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal was reduced to 62 ± 6% (n = 3) of control. In conditions more basic, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals were enhanced. At pH 7.8, the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal was 52 ± 6% (n = 4) greater than the control signal elicited at pH 7.4, and at pH 8.2, the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal was 91 ± 5% (n = 3) greater than that of control. Representative fluorescence intensity time courses are illustrated in Figure 6A. These data could be fit with a logistical equation ranging between 96.2 and −86.3%, with a slope factor of 0.27 and an EC\textsubscript{50} of 7.48 (Fig. 6B). As a first approximation, considering the ~60% reduction of [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]i by 50 μM kainate and 60% increase by 50 μM CNQX, these measurements suggest that kainate depolarization of the HC leads to a synaptic cleft acidification to a value near pH 6.9, whereas CNQX produces alkalization of the synaptic cleft to a value near pH 7.9.
Feedback is sensitive to a reduction in proton driving force and ion channel/transporter blockers

What membrane mechanism might HCs use to regulate cleft pH in a voltage-dependent manner? If the data presented so far suggest that a decrease in cleft proton concentration accompanies HC hyperpolarization, this might be accomplished by diffusion of protons down their electrochemical gradient, in which case, simple depletion of an inward proton gradient across the cell membrane or interference with proton conductance mechanisms should reduce that regulatory ability. To begin examining this, we used methazolamide, a carbonic anhydrase inhibitor, to acidify the cytoplasm of HCs. Inhibition of carbonic anhydrase should cause proton concentrations to rise in the cell body, an effect found previously in cultured astrocytes (Chow et al., 1991, 1992). By acidifying the intracellular compartment, the proton driving force would be decreased, and, when hyperpolarized, HCs ought not be able to alkalinize the synaptic cleft via increased proton influx.

Repeating the investigations of cone terminal calcium signals with kainate and CNQX but now in the presence of 100 μM methazolamide, only significant changes to the CNQX-induced increases in Ca²⁺ signal were found (Fig. 7A). In the presence of both CNQX and methazolamide, [Ca²⁺] was 14 ± 9% (n = 6) less than control, a significant change from the 61% increase found with CNQX alone. In the presence of both kainate and methazolamide, [Ca²⁺] was reduced to 57 ± 5% (n = 10) of control, a value of no significant difference from experiments with kainate alone. This is not surprising because the action of kainate is to depolarize the HCs, and this alone would have the effect of less-
The feedback signal is sensitive to a reduction in proton driving force, amiloride, and divalent cations. A, A summary histogram displaying the mean ± SEM effects of various blockers of the feedback signal. CNQX, by hyperpolarizing HCs, increases the proton driving force into the HC dendrites. This increase in driving force is sensitive to methazolamide, a carbonic anhydrase inhibitor, amiloride, and both nickel and cobalt. Kainate reduces the proton driving force by depolarizing the HCs. None of the agents had a significant impact on the kainate effect. Open bars show control data from Figure 4. B, Representative intracellular H1 recordings showing the elimination of rollback in the presence of 200 μM amiloride (middle trace) and the recovery of rollback during washout (right trace). Calibration: 500 ms, 10 mV.

Isolated HCs contain an amiloride-sensitive conductance
If changes of external pH in the synaptic cleft deliver the modulatory signal for feedback to cones, the HC membrane must be capable of regulating proton fluxes in a voltage-dependent manner. We sought to test the feasibility of the proton-feedback model by identifying an HC membrane mechanism consistent with such an action. Considering the results from isolated retina and slices that amiloride blocks feedback, we investigated the possibility that HCs express amiloride-sensitive conductances that would transport protons in the appropriate direction and with appropriate voltage sensitivity. Amiloride-sensitive ENaCs present a reasonable target because these channels are known to conduct protons and are blocked by the divalent cations Co²⁺ and Ni²⁺ (Hille, 2001; Sheng et al., 2004).

We isolated goldfish HCs and voltage clamped the membrane with ruptured patch techniques. The basal I–V relationship was dominated by (1) pronounced inward rectification at potentials negative to −80 mV, (2) a steady-state inward current, shown previously to be attributable to Ca channel activation positive to −30 mV, (3) a transient inward current that activates near −20 mV, and (4) outward rectification positive to +20 mV (Fig. 8A,C) (Tachibana, 1983). However, over the physiologically important voltage range between −70 and −40 mV, these cells had very low and negative slope conductance (−300 pS, reflecting an input resistance of 4.4 ± 0.8 GΩ; n = 10) that was not decreased by amiloride (Fig. 8A–C). Figure 8, D and E, shows an isolated HC for which, in the presence of 100 μM glutamate, whole-cell conductance increased to 1.74 nS, and this conductance was reduced 43% (to 0.98 nS) when amiloride was added. In a sample of six cells (Fig. 8F), amiloride reduced conductance measured between −70 and −20 mV in the presence of glutamate from 1.50 ± 0.61 to 0.93 ± 0.27 nS, which is on average a 38% reduction. At the holding potential of −60 mV, the reduction in current from 94 to 60 pA was significant. Glutamate has complex effects on HCs, modulating inward rectification (Kaneko and Tachibana, 1985), increasing AMPA and kainate receptor activity (Lasater, 1986; Shingai and Christensen, 1986), suppressing Ca channel activity via intracellular acidification (Dixon et al., 1993), and enhancing outward rectification (Tachibana, 1983). We are not yet certain of the identity of the amiloride-sensitive conductance, and it will require investigation beyond the scope of this present work to be determined.
Synaptic feedback in the outer retina could be encoded by changes in extracellular pH

We describe a near complete reduction in feedback from HCs to cones when pH buffering power is increased. In H1 cells, feedback is seen as a rollback of the hyperpolarizing response to light. Increasing bath pH buffering reduced, eliminated, or even reversed this depolarizing trajectory. In H2 cells, a depolarizing response to red light arises from the negative feedback of H1 cells to green cones. When H1 cells hyperpolarize to red light, this signal is transferred via sign-inverting feedback to green cones. When red light is applied, current–voltage relationships of steady-state currents in six cells showing the response to sustained application of 100 μM glutamate (filled circles) and during application of 200 μM amiloride with 100 μM or 1 mM glutamate (open circles).

Discussion

Synaptic feedback in the outer retina could be encoded by changes in extracellular pH

We describe a near complete reduction in feedback from HCs to cones when pH buffering power is increased. In H1 cells, feedback is seen as a rollback of the hyperpolarizing response to light. Increasing bath pH buffering reduced, eliminated, or even reversed this depolarizing trajectory. In H2 cells, a depolarizing response to red light arises from the negative feedback of H1 cells to green cones. When H1 cells hyperpolarize to red light, this signal is transferred via sign-inverting feedback to green cones, which subsequently feed forward to H2 HCs and produce depolarization. Here again, increasing pH buffering eliminated the red-induced depolarization as well as increasing the hyperpolarization caused by green light. A hypothetical explanation for these effects is that HCs regulate pH in the synaptic cleft and that changes in cleft pH carry a critical element of the feedback signal to cones that can be quenched by strong pH buffers.

To frame this hypothesis more precisely, we tested drugs known to depolarize or hyperpolarize HCs and found remarkable actions on presynaptic cone synaptic terminals. Kainate, which typically depolarizes neurons and increases [Ca$^{2+}$], dramatically reduced the Ca signals in cones, whereas CNQX, which is known to hyperpolarize HCs and is typically associated with a reduction in Ca signals, unexpectedly produced a marked increase in the depolarization-induced Ca signals of cone synaptic terminals. The direct effects of these drugs on HC Ca signals were difficult to detect. In fact, kainate-induced increases of [Ca$^{2+}$], in HCs were observed only sporadically because, in general, HCs did not take up the calcium indicator. This fact also precluded direct observation of a hyperpolarization-induced reduction of Ca signal in response to CNQX.

HC membrane potential drives Ca signal modulation in presynaptic cones

We showed that kainate and CNQX push the inhibitory feedback signal in opposing directions, presumably by shifting the presynaptic cone Ca channel activation curve in the positive or negative direction, respectively (Hirasawa and Kaneko, 2003). These drugs may activate presynaptic modulation by acting on glutamate receptors found on dendrites of HCs that project into the synaptic invaginations of cone pedicles. The absence of AMPA or kainate receptor subunits on photoreceptors has been shown previously (Tachibana and Kaneko, 1988; Haverkamp et al., 2001a,b; Hirasawa and Kaneko, 2003), and we note that a Cl$^{-}$ conductance increase coupled to glutamate uptake (Sarantis et al., 1988; Arriza et al., 1997) is antagonized by kainate (Eliasof and Werblin, 1993; Eliasof et al., 1998). The lack of any detectable responses in the synaptic terminal layer of the zebrafish retina to the application of kainate in the present studies is consistent with the absence of AMPA receptors in photoreceptors. Kainate, by activating AMPA receptors, depolarizes HCs in the retinal slice. Because the imaging experiments are performed on light-adapted retinas, the HCs should be hyperpolarized and the depolarizing response is quite large. By depolarizing HCs, the feedback inhibition they send to the presynaptic cone Ca channels is enhanced. Conversely, CNQX antagonizes AMPA receptors, blocking the effects of glutamate released by the cones. This hyperpolarizes HCs further and reduces their inhibitory feedback to cone Ca channels. When revealed with a depolarizing (K$^{+}$) stimulus, the calcium signal in the synaptic terminals was suppressed by kainate and enhanced by CNQX, providing evidence of modulation of the inhibitory feedback signal.

Inhibitory feedback from HCs to cones is sensitive to increased pH buffering and membrane proton flux

We showed that several specific treatments interfered with the presynaptic Ca signal changes induced by kainate and CNQX. When bicarbonate was added or the concentration of HEPES was increased, extracellular pH within the retinal slice, including the invaginating synapses, should have been strongly clamped. Were feedback encoded by extracellular protons, this would reduce changes in cleft pH in response to the application of either kainate or CNQX. Increased HEPES abolished the CNQX-mediated effect and attenuated that of kainate, suggesting that kainate and CNQX lead to shifts in cleft pH that alter the gating kinetics of the presynaptic cone Ca channels.

In addition to the sensitivity to increased pH buffering, the inhibitory feedback signal was also found to be sensitive to a reduction in proton driving force. Methazolamide, a carbonic anhydrase inhibitor, blocked the effects of CNQX but not kai-
nate. By disrupting the ability of HCs to regulate internal pH (pH$_i$), it is expected that pH will decrease (as a result of continued cellular metabolism). CNQX, by hyperpolarizing HCs, increases the inward proton driving force and alkalinizes the synaptic cleft, an effect blocked by the accumulation of intracellular protons as a result of methazolamide application. Conversely, by depolarizing HCs, kainate decreases proton driving force permitting the cleft to stay acidified. We postulate that, for this reason, methazolamide was without effect on the cone [Ca$^{2+}$], response elicited with HC depolarization.

**Presynaptic Ca channels are strongly sensitive to pH**

This work suggests that the HC light responses recorded in intact goldfish retina have the same sensitivity to increases in pH buffering as the Ca signals recorded optically in retinal slices. What are the most likely targets of protons at the cleft?

The effect of protons on Ca channel gating has been examined (Barnes and Bui, 1991; Barnes et al., 1993; DeVries, 2001). In the current studies, acidic conditions reduced depolarization-induced calcium signals, whereas basic conditions increased the calcium signals. After testing a range of pH values, it was possible to estimate the pH value that kainate and CNQX induce in the cleft. Kainate at 50 to estimate the pH value that kainate and CNQX induce in the calcium signals. After testing a range of pH values, it was possible to estimate the pH value that kainate and CNQX induce in the cleft. Kainate at 50 μM may acidify the cleft to approximately pH 6.9, whereas 50 μM CNQX may alkalinize the cleft to approximately pH 7.9. A change of 0.1 pH units shifts the activation curve of cone Ca channels by ~1 mV (Barnes and Bui, 1991), and surround illumination shifts the activation curve of the Ca channels negatively by ~7.5 mV (Verweij et al., 1996). Therefore, modulation of cleft pH by kainate and CNQX appears consistent with a proton-mediated mechanism of inhibitory feedback on Ca channels.

We note that we may have overestimated the pH changes responsible for presynaptic Ca signaling in feedback: recordings of isolated cones and HCs in slices show that Ca channel activity is sufficiently suppressed at pH 6.9 to eliminate synaptic transmission at this synapse (Barnes and Bui, 1991; Barnes et al., 1993).

**Horizontal cells could modulate cleft pH via an amiloride-sensitive proton channel**

The extracellular compartment of the outer retina is known to undergo a light-induced alkalinization (Borgula et al., 1988; Oakley and Wen, 1989; Yamamoto et al., 1992). These measurements are consistent with the general principal that depolarization increases metabolic load on cells, and this leads to an increase in the production and extrusion of protons. Two reports suggest that glutamate reduces proton efflux from isolated HCs (Dixon et al., 1993; Molina et al., 2004), a finding in contrast to what this proton model of feedback requires. This efflux is coupled to Ca-ATPase activity and is observed when cells are bathed in a strongly pH-buffered environment (a proton sink). With weaker proton buffering and a restricted extracellular volume, conditions of elevated cleft proton concentration would lead to proton influx via proton-permeable channels. There are multiple sources of cleft protons associated with cell metabolism, and protons are released from cones along with vesicular glutamate (DeVries, 2001).

Estimates put the volume of the invaginating synaptic cleft in the range of 3 × 10$^{-18}$ L (Raviola and Gilula, 1975), a volume in which approximately two protons give rise to a pH of 6. Because it has been shown that vesicular release produces a significant source of protons in the cleft at ribbon synapses in cones (DeVries, 2001) and bipolar cells (Palmer et al., 2003), given the partial protonation of vesicular glutamate molecules (intravesicular pH ~5.7; pK$_a$ of glutamate carboxyl side chain of 4.4) and a dark release rate estimated at 400 μm/s (Roska et al., 1998) into an ~3 × 10$^{-18}$ L volume, the flux of protons to maintain steady-state pH could be in the range of ~40 protons per second per cleft. This flux represents an extremely small current (0.006 fA) to be accommodated by a proton conductance. To alkalinize the cleft during HC hyperpolarization, slightly larger fluxes would need to be accommodated.

Amiloride-sensitive channels, such as ENaCs, would be suitable candidates for the HC pH-regulating mechanism. Amiloride is known to inhibit some ENaCs (Garty and Palmer, 1997), acid sensing ion channels (Waldmann et al., 1997), transient receptor potential channels (Inoue et al., 2001; Vulcu et al., 2004), and glutamate-gated channels (Manev et al., 1990). Divalent cations have been shown to block inhibitory feedback from HCs, and no conclusive explanation for this has been put forth (Thoreson and Burkhardt, 1990; Vigh and Witkovsky, 1999; Fahrendorf et al., 2004). Divalent cations block ENaC currents (Sheng et al., 2002). Our imaging of Ca signals demonstrated sensitivity to both divalent cations and amiloride. We also showed that amiloride blocks the rollback in H1 cells and reduces whole-cell currents in isolated HCs. ENaCs also display a high proton conductance (Hille, 2001), necessary for a role in extracellular pH regulation. ENaC subunits have been shown to be present in the retina with molecular and immunological techniques (Mirshahi et al., 1999; Golestan- taneh et al., 2000), and the α-subunit of the ENaC family is expressed in the outer plexiform layer (Brockway et al., 2002). Although the outer retina presents a diversity of targets for amiloride (and the other agents tested), these data are consistent with the notion that an HC proton conductance underlies generation of the voltage-dependent feedback response.
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