A Novel RNA-Binding Protein in Neuronal RNA Granules: Regulatory Machinery for Local Translation
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Local translation in neuronal dendrites is an important basis for long-term synaptic plasticity, and RNA granules in the dendrites are involved in the local translation. Here, we identify RNG105 (RNA granule protein 105), a novel RNA-binding protein, as a component of the RNA granules in dendrites of hippocampal neurons. The RNG105-localizing RNA granules contain mRNAs, the translational products of which play key roles in synaptic plasticity. RNG105 has an ability to repress translation both in vitro and in vivo, consistent with the finding that the RNA granule is translationally arrested in the basal conditions. Dissociation of RNG105 from the RNA granules is induced by BDNF, a growth factor responsible for synaptic plasticity. The RNG105 dissociation is coincident with the induction of local translation near the granules. These findings suggest that RNG105 is a translational repressor in the RNA granules and provide insight into the link between RNG105 dynamics and local translational regulation.
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Introduction

It is increasingly believed that local translation in neuronal dendrites is required for long-term synaptic plasticity (Kang and Schuman, 1996; Huber et al., 2000; Martin et al., 2000; Sigrist et al., 2000; Miller et al., 2002; Dubnau et al., 2003). Local translation can be an efficient means for delivering synthesized proteins to the local synapses, which allows selected synapses to control their strength and efficacy independently among thousands of synapses in a neuron (Tiedge et al., 1999; Jiang and Schuman, 2002; Martin and Kosik, 2002). The local translation requires translocation of corresponding mRNAs from the cell bodies to the dendrites, and several mRNAs have been reported to be present in dendrites (Kuhl and Skehel, 1998; Steward and Schuman, 2001). Importantly, some of these mRNAs code key proteins for synaptic plasticity, such as Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent kinase Ila (CaMKIIα) (Burgin et al., 1990), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (Tongiorgi et al., 1997), tyrosine receptor kinase B (TrkB) (Tongiorgi et al., 1997), cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) (Crino et al., 1998), or NMDA receptor (NMDAR) (Gazzaley et al., 1997). The mRNAs are distributed in the dendrites as granules, which are believed to be identical to “RNA granules.” The RNA granules consist of clusters of ribosomes and RNAs and are responsible for mRNA translation in the dendrites (Knowles et al., 1996; Krichevsky and Kosik, 2001). The RNA granules are reportedly colocalized with translation elongation factor-1α (EF-1α) and staufen (Knowles et al., 1996; Kiebler et al., 1999; Krichevsky and Kosik, 2001), a protein critical for mRNA transport in Drosophila development and mammalian neuronal dendrites (Roegiers and Jan, 2000; Tang et al., 2001). However, the constituents of the RNA granules have not been fully identified.

Recent studies have proposed mechanisms of local translation induced by synaptic activation, such as electrical stimulation and BDNF stimulation. These mechanisms include translational initiation by dissociation of eukaryotic initiation factor-4E (eIF-4E)-binding proteins from eIF-4E (Takei et al., 2001; Huang et al., 2002; Jiang and Schuman, 2002; Tang et al., 2002). The dissociation enables eIF-4E, the mRNA 5′ cap-binding protein, to interact directly with eIF-4G, which allows for ribosomal recruitment and translational initiation (Huang et al., 2002; Jiang and Schuman, 2002; Tang et al., 2002). Phosphorylation of eIF-4E by mitogen-activated protein kinase signal-integrating kinase (Mnk), which is associated with eIF-4G, is also induced by BDNF stimulation (Takei et al., 2001). Electron microscopic analysis indicates that the RNA granules are induced to change toward a less compact organization of their ribosomes and release mRNAs during synaptic activation (Krichevsky and Kosik, 2001). The released molecules could then be recruited into polysomes and used for translation.

Here, we show that RNG105 (RNA granule protein 105) is a novel component of the neuronal RNA granules. RNG105 has an ability to bind directly to mRNAs and repress translation. We also
demonstrate that RNG105 becomes dissociated from the granules by BDNF stimulation, providing insight into the link between RNG105 dynamics and the local translation, which is spatially regulated in the neuronal RNA granules.

Materials and Methods

Reagents and antibodies. The following were used: anti-ribosomal protein S6 (RPS6), anti-elf-4G, anti-poly(A)-binding protein (PABP) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), anti-microtubule-associated protein 1 (MAP2) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), anti-postsynaptic density-95 (PSD-95), anti-elf-4E (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA), anti-phospho-elf-4E (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA), anti-stau-ron (Ma et al., 1999), anti-El-co (Shiina et al., 1994), Alexa 488-labeled anti-rabbit IgG (catalog #A11034; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), Alexa 488-labeled streptavidin (catalog #S11233; Molecular Probes), cyanine 3 (Cy3)-labeled anti-mouse IgG (catalog #286343; Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA), Cy3-labeled anti-goat IgG (catalog #284733; Jackson ImmunoResearch), Cy3-labeled anti-rabbit IgG (catalog #286753; Jackson ImmunoResearch), rabbit IgG (catalog #01-000-003; Jackson ImmunoResearch), anti-digoxigenin (DIG), Fab fragments (Roche Products, Welwyn Garden City, UK), BDNF, ISOGEN (Wako Chemicals, Osaka, Japan), and RNase inhibitor (catalog #23210; Takara, Shiga, Japan).

To generate specific antibodies against Xenopus RNG105 (XRNG105) and RNG105, recombinant proteins were expressed as glutathione S-transferase (GST)-tagged fusion proteins in Escherichia coli and purified as described previously (Kubo et al., 1999). Antibodies were raised in rabbits and then affinity purified as described previously (Kubo et al., 1999).

cDNA cloning. XRNG105 cDNA was cloned by screening of a Agt11 expression cDNA library of the Xenopus oocyte (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA) with one of the monoclonal antibodies (Kubo et al., 1999) and sequenced on both strands as described previously (Shiina and Tsukita, 1999). The sequence data have been submitted to the DNA Data Bank of Japan/European Molecular Biology Laboratory/GenBank databases under accession number AB128031.

Immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting. Rat hippocampal slices were homogenized in 0.25 M sucrose, protease inhibitors (1 mM PMSF, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, pepstatin, aprotinin), 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and 1000 U/ml RNase inhibitor and then centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 × g at 4°C. The supernatant was added at 1:10 vol of 10X PBS followed by 1:20 vol of protein A beads (Fast Flow; Amersham Biosciences) and incubated for 2 h at 4°C. After washing five times in the buffer containing 100 U/ml RNase inhibitor, 1 mM DTT, and 0.1% Nonidet P-40, and then bound to glutathione Sepharose beads (4 Fast Flow; Amersham Biosciences). Recombinant protein (10 μg; 3 μg for GST-C) was bound to 20 μl of beads. The beads were washed five times in the same buffer containing 100 U/ml RNase inhibitor and added at 10 vol of 0.4 mg/ml RNA that had been isolated from rat hippocampus using ISOGEN. After rocking for 20 min at 20°C, the beads were washed three times in the buffer containing 100 U/ml RNase inhibitor. RNA was extracted from the beads using ISOGEN and quantified by measuring absorbance at 260 nm. Poly(dt) (0.1 mg/ml; 55 mer) was preincubated with the isolated RNA for 30 min at 42°C before the addition of the beads. Sense and antisense RNAs of CaMKIIa mRNA were synthesized by in vitro transcription from the CaMKIIa coding sequence (CDS) cloned into pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI) and used at 0.1 ng/ml in the binding assay.

In vitro transcription assay. In vitro transcription was performed in rabbit reticulocyte lysates (L4960; Promega) according to the protocol of the manufacturer. Luciferase mRNA was provided with the lysate was used as a template. The CaMKIIa CDS and the green fluorescent protein (GFP) CaMKIIa 3‘ untranslated region (3‘UTR) were amplified by PCR from the pGEM-T-CaMKIIa CDS and pc3’tull delcPa (Mori et al., 2000) vectors and cloned into the Xhol and SalI sites of the pNTT vector (Promega). The primers were 5‘-CCGGTCTGAGATGGCTACCATCACCTGCAC-3‘ and 5‘-ACGCGTCACTTGAGGAGCGGACAACGCT-3‘ for GST-C. The recombinant proteins were expressed in E. coli (BL21), extracted with PBS containing protease inhibitors, 1 mM DTT, and 0.1% Nonidet P-40, and then bound to glutathione Sepharose beads (4 Fast Flow; Amersham Biosciences). Recombinant protein (10 μg; 3 μg for GST-C) was bound to 20 μl of beads. The beads were washed five times in the same buffer containing 100 U/ml RNase inhibitor and added at 10 vol of 0.4 mg/ml RNA that had been isolated from rat hippocampus using ISOGEN. After rocking for 20 min at 20°C, the beads were washed three times in the buffer containing 100 U/ml RNase inhibitor. RNA was extracted from the beads using ISOGEN and quantified by measuring absorbance at 260 nm. Poly(dt) (0.1 mg/ml; 55 mer) was preincubated with the isolated RNA for 30 min at 42°C before the addition of the beads. Sense and antisense RNAs of CaMKIIa mRNA were synthesized by in vitro transcription from the CaMKIIa coding sequence (CDS) cloned into pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI) and used at 0.1 ng/ml in the binding assay.

Sucrose density gradient centrifugation and semiquantitative RT-PCR. Rat hippocampal slices were incubated in MEM (M4655; Sigma) in a CO2 incubator for 50 min with or without BDNF (50 ng/ml). The slices, cultured A6 cells, or rabbit reticulocyte lysates were fractionated by centrifugation through a linear 15–45% sucrose density gradient as described previously (Krichevsky and Kosik, 2001), except that the gradients were prepared using a gradient gel-making device (ATTO, Tokyo, Japan). The samples were fractionated in 25 fractions. RNG105, tau, and EF-1α were analyzed by Western blotting, and the bands were quantified using NIH Image software. mRNAs were analyzed semiquantitatively by RT-PCR as described previously (Krichevskiy and Kosik, 2001).
After agarose gel electrophoresis, the bands were quantified using NIH Image software. Ribosomes were analyzed semiquantitatively by RTPCR of 18S rRNA. RNA for RT-PCR was extracted using ISOGEN.

**Metabolic labeling.** Cells (10⁷) were labeled for 10 min with 30 μCi/ml [³⁵S]methionine in A6 medium (Shinya and Tsukita, 1999). After washing two times in ice-cold PBS, the cells were lysed in 0.5 ml buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, and protease inhibitors. After centrifugation for 10 min at 10,000 × g at 4°C, the supernatant was added at an equal volume of 20% TCA (w/v), stored on ice for 15 min, and then centrifuged for 15 min at 10,000 × g at 4°C. The precipitants were washed with ice-cold ethanol three times, dried briefly, and dissolved in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, containing 0.5% SDS. They were dissolved in Aquasol 2 (PerkinElmer, Wellesley, MA) and counted using a Liquid Scintillation Analyzer (PerkinElmer).

**Plasmid constructs for GFP fusion proteins.** To construct the expression vectors for RNG105-GFP, ΔC-GFP, and ΔN1-GFP, RNG105 cDNA was amplified by PCR and cloned into the HindIII and KpnI sites of pEGFP-N1 vector (Clontech). The primers were 5’-CCCCAGCTT-ATGAAAAACATTTGCGGATC-3’ (N-HindIII) and 5’-GGGTTACCC-GCCGCTCCTGATGTTGATGTC-3’ (C-KpnI) for RNG105-GFP, N-HindIII and 5’-GGGTTACCCCTCCCTCAAGCTTGTTGGCTGTC-3’ for ΔC-GFP, and 5’-CCCAAGCTT-GGCGTGACAAAAATC-3’ and C-KpnI for ΔN1-GFP. Xenopus-cultured A6 cells were transfected with the vectors, and stable transfectants were selected as described previously (Shinya and Tsukita, 1999).

To construct expression vectors for RNG105-GFP and ribosomal L11-GFP, RNG105 and L11 mRNAs from rat brains were amplified by RTPCR with primers 5’-CGAGCTCATGAAAGCTTGCAC-3’ and 5’-ACGGTACCGTCATTTGACCTGAC-3’ and with primers 5’-GAAGATCTCATGGCGCAAGATCAGGGT-3’ and 5’-GGGTTACCCCTCCTCAAGCTTGTTGGCTGTC-3’ with primers 5’-GAAGATCTCATGGCGCAAGATCAGGGT-3’ and 5’-GGGTTACCCCTCCTCAAGCTTGTTGGCTGTC-3’, respectively. The products were cloned into the Sall/Sall sites of pEGFP-N1 vector (Clontech), respectively. To construct the expression vector for RNG105-nonmeric red fluorescent protein (mRFP1), mRFP1 was amplified by PCR with primers 5’-CCACGGCT-CCGACACATGTTGCTCAGGACGAC-3’ and 5’-ATAGAAATGCG-GCCGCTAGGGCCCGGTTGAA-3’ and cloned into the AgeI and NotI sites of the pEGFP-N1-RNG105 vector.

**Imaging of living neurons.** Hippocampal neurons from embryonic day 18 to embryonic day 20 rats were grown on glass-bottom dishes (Matsunami, Osaka, Japan) and transfected with the vectors by the conventional calcium-phosphate precipitation method. Transection of dendrites was performed by the conventional glass-blades (number 11; Feather, Osaka, Japan) and transfected with the vectors by the conventional calcium-phosphate precipitation method. Time-lapse imaging of living neurons was performed by the conventional glass-blades (number 11; Feather, Osaka, Japan) and transfected with the vectors by the conventional calcium-phosphate precipitation method.

**Results**

RNG105 is a novel protein with an RNA-binding motif

Using one of the monoclonal antibodies raised against *Xenopus* proteins that are associated with centrosomes in a microtubule-dependent manner (Kubo et al., 1999), we identified a protein with an apparent molecular mass of 105 kDa on SDS-PAGE from cultured *Xenopus* A6 cells. Internal peptide sequencing of the purified 105 kDa protein and cDNA isolation revealed that the protein encodes a 680 aa protein (Fig. 1A, B). We called this protein *Xenopus* RNG105. A database search indicated that RNG105 may be identical to MP105, a mitotic phosphoprotein of *Xenopus*, for which a partial cDNA sequence has been reported (Stakenberg et al., 1997). Furthermore, RNG105 has been revealed to be significantly similar to a human protein encoded by a cDNA (GenBank accession number NM_005898; 74.2% identity at the amino acid sequence level). This human RNG105 sequence was originally reported as glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored membrane protein p137GPI (Ellis and Luzio, 1995) but was replaced recently by the non-GPI-anchored version. The RNG105 sequence contains no potential sequences for GPI anchor either.

Structural analysis of the RNG105 sequence predicts an α-helical coiled coil at the N terminus (Fig. 1A, B). Motifs for both nuclear localization signal (NLS) and nuclear export signal are found, suggesting that RNG105 can be shuttled between the cytoplasm and the nucleus. A glutamic acid-rich region may be required for the electrostatic interaction of RNG105 with some molecules. Importantly, RNG105 contains an RGG box, an RNA-binding motif conserved among a variety of RNA-binding proteins (Burd and Dreyfuss, 1994) (Fig. 1A, B). In addition, RNG105 contains a glutamine (Q)-rich region and arginine and glycine (RG)-rich regions, which have been reported in some of the known RNA-binding proteins (Salvetti et al., 1998; Forch et al., 2002). All of these features of RNG105 are well conserved in various vertebrates (data not shown). These findings suggest that RNG105, and RNG105 in other vertebrates, are RNA-binding proteins.

To examine the subcellular localization and behavior of RNG105, we immunostained A6 cells with anti-XRNG105 antibody. RNG105 was visualized as small dots in the cytoplasm (Fig. 1C). We further introduced RNG105 as GFP fusion protein into A6 cells. Expression of RNG105-GFP (~10-fold of endogenous RNG105) induced the formation of cytoplasmic granules in which XRNG105 was enriched (Fig. 1D). The size of the granules was 0.9 ± 0.5 μm in diameter, which was ~4.5 times larger than control endogenous RNG105 granules (0.2 ± 0.05 μm). Immunoelectron microscopy of A6 cells expressing RNG105-GFP revealed that spots of RNG105 immunogold were seen in ribosome-rich areas (Fig. 1E). The ribosomes appeared to be distributed homogeneously in the area and not to be clustered closely around the immunogold. We immunostained A6 cells expressing RNG105-GFP with anti-RPS6 antibody and confirmed that ribosomes were concentrated in the granules (Fig. 1F). Furthermore, in situ hybridization revealed that mRNAs were also concentrated in the granules (Fig. 1G and supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). In contrast to RNG105-GFP, neither RNG105ΔC-GFP, which lacks the C-terminal RGG box and RG-rich region, nor RNG105ΔN-GFP, which lacks the N-terminal coiled-coil region, induced the granule formation (Fig. 1F, G). These results indicate that RNG105 induces the formation of cytoplasmic granules, which contain ribosomes and mRNAs, and its
RNG105 is a novel protein with an RNA-binding motif and promotes the organization of cytoplasmic granules containing ribosomes and mRNAs. A. Predicted amino acid sequence of RNG105. Solid line, Coiled-coil domain; dotted line, NLS; double line, nuclear export signal (NES); wavy line and bold letters, RGG box, an RNA-binding motif. B. Schematic diagram of RNG105. E-rich, Glutamic acid-rich region; Q-rich, glutamine-rich region; RG-rich, arginine- and glycine-rich region. Other regions are described in A. C. Immunostaining of A6 cells with anti-XRNG105 antibody. Right, Differential interference contrast (DIC) image. Scale bar, 10 μm. D. A6 cells expressing RNG105-GFP were stained with anti-XRNG105 antibody. Scale bar, 10 μm. Merge, Merged images. E. Immunoelectron microscopy of A6 cells expressing RNG105-GFP stained with anti-XRNG105 antibody. Spots of immunogold (black arrowheads) are seen in a ribosome-rich area (1 μm in diameter) in the cytoplasm. White arrowheads denote ribosomes (inset). Scale bar, 500 nm. F, G. A6 cells expressing RNG105-GFP, RNG105ΔC-GFP, RNG105ΔN-GFP, and GFP were stained with anti-RPS6 antibody (F) or stained by in situ hybridization with poly(dT) for mRNA detection (G). The right panels are DIC images. Scale bar, 10 μm.
C-terminal RGG box/RG-rich region and N-terminal coiled-coil region are required for the granule formation.

**RNG105 is localized in dendrites of hippocampal neurons**

The characteristics of the XRNG105-induced granules (i.e., concentration of ribosomes and mRNAs) were most similar to those of the ‘sponge body’ for mRNA transport in *Drosophila* oocytes and RNA granules for mRNA transport in mammalian neurons (Knowles et al., 1996; Wilsch-Brauninger et al., 1997). We then examined tissue specificity of XRNG105 by Western blotting with an anti-XRNG105 polyclonal antibody. XRNG105 was highly expressed in testis and brain (Fig. 2A). XRNG105 was highly expressed in the renal cell line A6, although not in kidney (Fig. 2A). Similarly, RNG105 from other vertebrates was highly expressed in all of the cultured cell lines we tested, e.g., HeLa, Madin–Darby canine kidney, Chinese hamster ovary, and DT40 cells (data not shown). The expression in cultured cell lines may be attributable to deregulated gene expression in the cultured cells. To examine the localization of XRNG105 in testis and brain, *Xenopus* tissue slices were immunostained with anti-XRNG105.
antibody. In testis, XRNG105 was specifically expressed in spermatocytes and spermatids but not in matured sperm (data not shown). In brain, XRNG105 was highly expressed in neurons in the lateral pallium, which is the evolutionary antecedent to the mammalian hippocampus (Fig. 2B). In the neurons, XRNG105 was concentrated as granular structures in the cell bodies and the dendritic area (Fig. 2B).

We also examined the localization of mammalian RNG105 in rat brain using a polyclonal antibody raised against mammalian RNG105 (Fig. 2C). Immunostaining of rat brain slices revealed that RNG105 was concentrated in dendrites of hippocampal neurons (Fig. 2D). Higher magnification of the dendritic area showed that RNG105 was localized in granular structures (Fig. 3A), as in the case of Xenopus brains. The localization of RNG105 in dendrites, but not in axons, was confirmed in cultured hippocampal neurons (Fig. 2E). In addition to hippocampal neurons, RNG105 was also concentrated in dendrites of pyramidal neurons in the cerebral neocortex (data not shown). In contrast, RNG105 signal was not detectable in dendrites of Purkinje cells in the cerebellum (Fig. 2D). These distinct localizations of RNG105 suggest specific functions of RNG105 in the dendrites of hippocampal and neocortical pyramidal neurons.

Localization of RNG105 in neuronal RNA granules

The localization of RNG105 as granular structures in the neuronal dendrites led us to the idea that the RNG105-localizing granules may be identical to the neuronal RNA granules. We then examined the localization of RNG105 and molecular markers for...
the RNA granules in rat hippocampal slices. First, RNG105 was shown to be colocalized with ribosomes in the granular structures (Fig. 3A). Quantitative analysis revealed that ~88% of the RNG105-localizing granules were associated with ribosomes. Second, some RNG105-localizing granules (~9%) were accumulated near the postsynaptic sites stained with anti-PSD-95 antibody (Fig. 3A), which may be consistent with the previous report that some proportion of polyribosomes is localized near postsynapses (Steward and Levy, 1982). Third, most of the RNG105-localizing granules (~71%) were colocalized with staufen (Fig. 3A), a well studied component of the RNA granules (Kiebler et al., 1999; Krichevsky and Kosik, 2001; Tang et al., 2001). These results indicated that the RNG105-localizing RNA granules are associated with ribosomes. Furthermore, we examined the association of the RNG105-localizing granules with CaMKIIα and other mRNAs known to be transported in dendrites. Double staining of rat hippocampal slices showed that ~43% of the RNG105-localizing granules were colocalized with CaMKIIα mRNA (Fig. 3B). In addition, immunoprecipitation of RNG105 from rat hippocampal extracts revealed that the precipitants contained a significant amount of CaMKIIα mRNA (Fig. 3C,D). These results show that RNG105-localizing granules are associated with CaMKIIα mRNA. Using the same methods, BDNF, CREB, MAP2, and TrkB mRNAs were also shown to be associated with the RNG105-localizing granules (Fig. 3D). In contrast, NMDAR mRNA was not significantly associated with the granules (Fig. 3D), which may be consistent with a previous report that the distribution of NMDAR mRNA in the sucrose density gradient centrifugation of rat hippocampal extracts revealed that the pre-precipitation of RNG105 from rat hippocampus (Fig. 4A). The GST-RNG105-bound RNA was also analyzed by semiquantitative RT-PCR. As shown in Figure 4C, GST-RNG105 bound to all of the mRNAs tested in this study. It should be noted that GST-RNG105 bound to importin β and NMDAR mRNAs, although these mRNAs were not significantly associated with the RNG105-localizing RNA granules (Fig. 3D). The reason for the differences is discussed below. In contrast to

**Figure 4.** RNG105 binds directly to mRNAs in vitro. A. Recombinant GST-RNG105 deletion mutants were incubated with RNAs isolated from rat hippocampus. RNAs bound to the recombinant proteins were extracted and quantified. ΔC, Deletion of C terminus (from RGG box to C-end); ΔN1, deletion of N terminus (from N-end to coiled-coil region); ΔN2, deletion of N terminus (from N-end to NLS); ΔNC, deletion of N and C terminus (from N-end to coiled-coil region and from RGG box to C-end); CC, coiled-coil region. B, Acidity–basicity analysis of human and Xenopus RNG105. Scores of +1, +0.5, and -1 were given to Lys and Arg, His, and Asp and Glu, respectively. The sum of the scores of 21 aa (residues X - 10 to X + 10) is plotted against a residue number X. Arrows denote clusters of basic residues. NES, Nuclear export signal. C, Indicated RNA bound to GST-RNG105 in A was analyzed by semiquantitative RT-PCR. The ratio of the GST-RNG105-bound RNA compared with the input amount of the RNA is shown. Impβ, Importin β. D, Recombinant proteins were incubated with the hippocampal RNAs in the presence or absence of poly(dT), and RNAs bound to the recombinant proteins were quantified. E, Recombinant proteins were incubated with sense (S) or antisense (A) CaMKIIα mRNA, which had been transcribed in vitro. Bound RNA was quantified. Error bars represent SEM.

**RNG105 binds directly to mRNAs**

To examine whether RNG105 has an ability to bind directly to RNA, we constructed recombinant GST-RNG105 and subjected it to an in vitro RNA-binding assay. GST-RNG105 was revealed to bind directly to RNA, which had been isolated from rat hippocampus (Fig. 4A). The GST-RNG105-bound RNA was also analyzed by semiquantitative RT-PCR. As shown in Figure 4C, GST-RNG105 bound to all of the mRNAs tested in this study. It should be noted that GST-RNG105 bound to importin β and NMDAR mRNAs, although these mRNAs were not significantly associated with the RNG105-localizing RNA granules (Fig. 3D). The reason for the differences is discussed below. In contrast to the finding that GST-RNG105 binds to mRNAs, it did not bind significantly to 18S or 28S ribosomal RNAs (Fig. 4C). Thus, these results indicate that RNG105 has an ability to bind preferentially to mRNAs. Subsequently, we tested whether RNG105 binds to mRNAs via their poly(A) tails. Addition of poly(dT) to mask the poly(A) tails in the in vitro binding assay showed that the binding of GST-RNG105 to rat brain RNAs was not significantly altered in the presence or absence of poly(dT), suggesting that poly(A) is not the major binding sequence for RNG105 (Fig. 4D). We also tested the possibility that RNG105 binds to mRNAs in a sequence-independent manner. Sense and antisense mRNAs of CaMKIIα CDS were synthesized in vitro and used in the in vitro binding assay. GST-RNG105 bound to the antisense mRNA as well as the sense mRNA (Fig. 4E). These results may indicate that RNG105 binds to mRNAs in a sequence-independent manner or that RNG105 binds to palindromic sequences.
We also constructed GST-RNG105 deletion mutants and tested their binding ability to RNA isolated from rat hippocampus. Deletion of N terminus (GST-ΔN1) markedly reduced, and deletion of C terminus (GST-ΔC) slightly reduced, the RNA-binding ability of RNG105 (Fig. 4A). These results indicate that the N terminus containing the coiled-coil region is a major RNA-binding region, and the C terminus containing the RGG box and the RG-rich region is a minor RNA-binding region. Deletion of

Figure 5. The RNA granule is translationally arrested, and RNG105 negatively regulates translation. A, Rat hippocampal slices were immunostained with anti-RNG105 antibody (green) and costained with anti-eIF-4E, anti-eIF-4G, anti-EF-1α, or anti-PABP antibody (red). Dendritic areas are shown. Merge, Merged image. Scale bar, 10 μm. B, RNG105 inhibits translation in vitro. The CaMKIIα CDS, GFP-CaMKIIα 3’UTR, and luciferase mRNAs were translated in the presence of indicated recombinant proteins in rabbit reticulocyte lysates and detected by SDS-PAGE. CC, Coiled coil. C, The bands in B were quantified, and translational repression activity of each recombinant protein (0.3 μM) was calculated. Data are means ± SE of translational repression of the three mRNAs by the recombinant proteins. D, The lysates incubated with the recombinant proteins (0.3 μM) in B were fractionated by sucrose density gradient centrifugation. Elution profiles of ribosomes and EF-1α are shown. E, RNG105 inhibits translation in vivo. A6 cells expressing XRNG105-GFP, ΔC-GFP, ΔN1-GFP, or control GFP were labeled with [35S]methionine for 10 min and then proteins were isolated from the cells by TCA precipitation. Incorporation of [35S]methionine ([35S]met) into newly synthesized proteins is shown. CPM, Counts per minute. Error bars represent SEM.
Figure 6. Dissociation of RNG105 from the RNA granules by BDNF stimulation. A, Time-lapse imaging of RNG105-GFP in the dendrites of cultured hippocampal neurons. The time after BDNF treatment is indicated. Arrowheads denote an RNG105-localizing RNA granule, the localization of which was stationary during the imaging period. Enhanced images are shown, and the inset is the original image of the same magnification. Scale bar, 5 μm. B, Left, Profile of RNG105-GFP fluorescence intensity in the granule during the experiment in A. Results obtained from eight granules are shown. RNG105-GFP fluorescence intensity was reduced in the granules, except for those near the cell bodies (pale blue and pink). Right, Control experiments with buffer (PBS) treatment. C, Time-lapse imaging of RNG105-mRFP1 and ribosomal L11-GFP in the dendrites. The time after BDNF treatment is indicated. Arrowheads and arrows denote RNG105-localizing RNA granules, the locations of which were unchanged during the experiment. At the arrowhead and the left arrow, RNG105-mRFP1 signals were significantly reduced after 25 and 15 min. (Figure legend continues.)
both of these regions (GST-ΔNC) almost completely lost the binding ability (Fig. 4A). The coiled-coil region (GST-CC) was sufficient for the major RNA-binding ability (Fig. 4A). Both of these RNA-binding regions (i.e., the coiled-coil region and RGG box/RC-rich region), were revealed to contain clusters of basic amino acid residues (Fig. 4B). This structural feature may support the notion that RNG105 binds to RNA via the two regions, mainly via the N-terminal and also via the C-terminal regions.

Translational arrest in RNA granules and repression by RNG105

The RNA granule is reportedly suggested to be in a state of translational arrest because of only trace amounts of eIF-4E and eIF-4G in the RNA granule fractions (Krichevsky and Kosik, 2001). To confirm this, we examined the localization of translation factors in the RNG105-localizing RNA granules in rat hippocampal neurons. Double immunostaining of rat hippocampal slices showed that RNG105-localizing RNA granules were colocalized with eIF-4E and PABP (Fig. 5A). Quantitative analysis revealed that ~48 and 83% of the granules were associated with eIF-4E and PABP, respectively. In contrast, most of the RNG105-localizing RNA granules were not colocalized with eIF-4G (Fig. 5B). It should be noted that eIF-4G was scattered in the dendrites as granules that were distinct from the RNG105-localizing RNA granules (Fig. 5A). We also examined the localization of EF-1α in the granules. EF-1α is reported to be colocalized with some of the RNA granules (Knowles et al., 1996), but we found that EF-1α was localized in granular structures distinct from the RNG105-localizing RNA granules, and only ~1% of the RNG105-localizing RNA granules were associated with EF-1α (Fig. 5A). Together, the presence of eIF-4E and PABP supports the finding that the RNG105-localizing RNA granules contain mRNAs, whereas the absence of eIF-4G and EF-1α suggests that the RNG105-localizing RNA granules are in a state of translational arrest.

Subsequently, we tested the effect of RNG105 on translation in vitro. Addition of GST-RNG105 in a rabbit reticulocyte lysate system revealed that GST-RNG105 has an ability to repress translation (Fig. 5B, C). GST-RNG105 (at 0.3 μm) repressed translation of any mRNA we tested (i.e., the CaMKIIα CDS, GFP-CaMKIIα 3’UTR, and luciferase mRNAs), suggesting that the repression may not be sequence specific. GST-ΔC showed translational repression activity similar to that seen for GST-RNG105, whereas GST-ΔN1, GST-ΔN2, or GST-ΔNC showed little activity (Fig. 5B, C). These results indicate that not the C-terminal but rather the N-terminal region containing the coiled coil is required for the translational repression. However, GST-CC, which contains only the coiled-coil region, did not show the translational repression activity (Fig. 5B, C), indicating that the coiled-coil region is necessary, but not sufficient, for the translational repression. The rabbit reticulocyte lysate was also subjected to sucrose density gradient centrifugation, and the effect of RNG105 on polysome formation was examined. As shown in Figure 5D, addition of GST-RNG105 and GST-ΔC in the reticulocyte lysate reduced ribosomes and EF-1α in the polysome fractions. These results support the finding that RNG105 represses translation in the in vitro translation system.

The effect of RNG105 on translation in vivo was also examined by expressing XRG105-GFP in A6 cells. Sucrose density gradient centrifugation of the cells showed that ribosomes and EF-1α in the polysome fractions were reduced by XRG105-GFP overexpression in the cells (supplemental Fig. 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), which was similar to the finding in the in vitro reticulocyte lysate system. In addition, metabolic labeling of the cells with [35S]methionine revealed that protein synthesis in the A6 cells expressing XRG105-GFP was ~60% of that in control cells expressing GFP (Fig. 5E). Expression of ΔC-GFP also reduced the protein synthesis in A6 cells to ~60%, whereas expression of ΔN1-GFP did not reduce the protein synthesis (Fig. 5E). These results indicate that RNG105 has an ability to repress translation in vivo as well as in vitro, and its N terminus is required for the translational repression.

BDNF induces RNG105 release from RNA granules

Local translation in neuronal dendrites is reported to be regulated by synaptic activation. We then examined whether BDNF affects the behavior or distribution of RNG105 in the neuronal dendrites. RNG105-GFP was introduced into cultured hippocampal neurons, and the changes in its behavior and distribution by BDNF stimulation were observed by time-lapse imaging. RNG105-GFP was assembled into the RNA granules in the neurons as in the case in A6 cells. Some granules moved in antero- and retrograde directions in the dendrites, and the others did not change their locations during the imaging period (data not shown). Interestingly, after BDNF stimulation, RNG105-GFP fluorescence in the RNA granule was markedly reduced (Fig. 6A, B) compared with control buffer treatment (Fig. 6B). These results suggest that the affinity of RNG105 to the RNA granule is reduced by BDNF stimulation. Some of the RNA granules did not show the RNG105-GFP reduction even after BDNF stimulation (Fig. 6B, left, pale blue and pink), most likely because the granules were located near the cell bodies in which the cytoplasmic pool of RNG105-GFP was much higher than in distal dendrites. We also introduced ribosomal L11 protein-GFP as a second label for RNA granules in cultured neurons. L11-GFP is reported to be fully functional in yeast (Stage-Zimmermann et al., 2000). In the neurons, L11-GFP fluorescence appeared first in the nucleolus 3–8 h after the transfection and then moved to the cytoplasm and dendrites ~12 h after the transfection, suggesting that L11-GFP was incorporated in 60S ribosomal subunits in the neurons as well as in yeasts. In these neurons, RNG105 was coimmunoprecipitated as a fusion protein with mRFP1 (Campbell et al., 2002). Distribution of L11-GFP was broader than that of RNG105-mRFP1 in the neuronal dendrites, but L11-GFP was concentrated in and just near the RNG105-localizing RNA granules (Fig. 6C). After BDNF stimulation, RNG105-mRFP1 signals were reduced in the RNA granules (Fig. 6C, D). In contrast, L11-GFP remained unchanged in and near the RNA granules after BDNF stimulation (Fig. 6D).
These results indicate that the RNA granules remained in place over the imaging period, but RNG105 was released from the RNA granules after BDNF stimulation.

We also examined the colocalization of RNG105 with phosphorylated eIF-4E, the phosphorylation of which is induced by BDNF (Takei et al., 2001). Because the phosphorylation of eIF-4E is catalyzed by Mnk, which is associated with eIF-4G (Schepers and Proud, 2002), eIF-4E phosphorylation suggests its association with eIF-4G. Immunostaining of hippocampal slices revealed that the RNG105 signals in the granules in which phosphorylated eIF-4E was located were relatively faint compared with those in other RNA granules (Fig. 6E). Quantitative analysis

Figure 7. BDNF induces mRNA shift from the RNA granules to polysomes and local translation near the granules. A. Extracts from control or BDNF-stimulated rat hippocampal slices were fractionated by sucrose density gradient centrifugation and then RNG105, staufen, and CaMKIIα mRNA were analyzed semiquantitatively (means from 3 independent experiments). B. The indicated mRNAs were analyzed as in A. The ratios of the mRNA in the RNA granule fractions to that in the lighter fractions (polysomes) are shown. C. Rat cultured hippocampal neurons were transfected with both GFP reporter fused to the CaMKIIα 3’ UTR and RNG105-mRFP1. Time-lapse images of GFP and RNG105-mRFP1 proteins after BDNF stimulation are shown. Arrowheads denote the position of a granule with a stationary localization during the imaging period. RNG105-mRFP1 in the granule was reduced after BDNF stimulation, which coincided with the increase in GFP signal near the granule. To image clearly the increase in GFP signal near the granules, enhanced images of the GFP signal 1 and 40 min after the stimulation are shown at the bottom. Other granules were motile and changed their positions during the experiment. Scale bar, 5 μm. D. Profiles of RNG105-mRFP1 and GFP fluorescence intensity in the granule indicated by arrowheads in C. a.u., Arbitrary units. E. Profile of GFP fluorescence changes during the experiment in C. The changes in GFP fluorescence intensities near the RNG105-localizing RNA granules between the indicated time points and Δt = 10 min are plotted. Data are means ± SE from eight granules of two independent experiments. F. The same experiment as in E, except for the addition of 10 μg/ml cycloheximide (CHX) 10 min before the BDNF stimulation. Error bars represent SEM.
showed that RNG105 in the phosphorylated eIF-4E-localizing granules was significantly reduced (Fig. 6F). These results suggest that RNG105 release from the RNA granules is coincident with eIF-4E phosphorylation, which is induced by BDNF and suggests the association of eIF-4E with eIF-4G.

Local translation near RNA granules induced by BDNF
We also examined the effect of BDNF on the affinity of mRNAs as well as RNG105 to the RNA granules by sucrose density gradient centrifugation. As shown in Figure 7A, without BDNF stimulation, the peak fraction of CaMKIIα mRNA corresponded significantly to the peak of RNG105 and staufen, indicating again that RNG105 and CaMKIIα mRNA are colocalized in the RNA granules. In contrast, after BDNF stimulation, CaMKIIα mRNA shifted to lighter fractions corresponding to polysomes (Fig. 7A). These results were consistent with the previous study indicating that mRNAs in the RNA granules shift to polysomes after synaptatic activation (Krichevsky and Kosik, 2001). The amount of RNG105 in the RNA granule fractions was reduced after BDNF stimulation (Fig. 7A), which is consistent with the finding that the affinity of RNG105 to the RNA granule is reduced by BDNF stimulation (Fig. 6). In contrast, the amount of staufen in the RNA granule fractions was not reduced after BDNF stimulation (Fig. 7A), consistent with the finding that ribosomal L11 remained in and near the RNA granules after BDNF stimulation (Fig. 6C,D). It should be noted that, after BDNF stimulation, CaMKIIα mRNA peaks did not correspond to any peaks for RNG105 (Fig. 7A). Thus, these results suggest that CaMKIIα mRNA is released from RNG105 and shifts to polysomes under the control of BDNF. Using the same method, TrkB, BDNF, and CREB mRNAs were also shown to shift from the RNA granule fractions to the lighter fractions after BDNF stimulation (Fig. 7B). In contrast, NMDAR mRNA, which was shown not to be associated significantly with the RNA granules (Fig. 3D), did not show such a shift after BDNF stimulation (Fig. 7B).

The shift of mRNAs from the RNA granules to polysomes suggested translational induction of the mRNAs. Subsequently, we transfected cultured hippocampal neurons with a GFP reporter gene and examined whether the translation of the GFP reporter is induced by BDNF stimulation. The GFP reporter gene and examined whether the translation of the GFP reporter was induced by BDNF (Aakalu et al., 2001; Job and Eberwine, 2001). Together, these results suggest that BDNF induces the release of mRNAs from RNG105 and the mRNA shift to polysomes and subsequent translation in the vicinity of the RNA granules.

Discussion
RNG105 is a novel RNA-binding protein in neuronal RNA granules
This study has identified RNG105, a novel protein component of the RNA granules in the dendrites of hippocampal neurons. RNG105 was shown to bind directly to mRNAs in a sequence-independent manner in vitro and repress translation in vitro and in vivo. The N terminus of RNG105 was required for the mRNA binding and translational repression. The N terminus contains a coiled coil with clusters of basic residues, and this region was sufficient for its major RNA-binding ability. Similar structure in aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases is reported (Cahuzac et al., 2000). This structure is an antiparallel coiled coil with a basic patch, presenting a suitable docking surface for nucleic acids. Its binding to RNA is shown to be neither sequence nor structure specific. RNG105 could bind to RNA in a manner similar to that seen for these proteins. Although the coiled-coil region (GST-CC) bound to RNA, the region did not show the translational repression, indicating that the binding of the coiled-coil region to mRNA is not sufficient, and other additional regions are required for the repression. The additional regions may not be the C-terminal RGG box/RG-rich region, a minor RNA-binding region, because the ΔC construct showed translational repression activity as well as the full-length RNG105. The translational repression by RNG105 was also sequence independent. Some translational repressors, e.g., cytoplasmic polyadenylation element-binding protein (CPEB), are reportedly bound to specific sequences in 3′UTR to repress translation (Huang et al., 2002; Jiang and Schuman, 2002), but RNG105 repressed the translation of CaMKIIα mRNA independently on its 3′UTR. Some RNA-binding proteins are also known to bind to mRNAs in a sequence-independent manner and repress translation. For example, mouse MSY1 and Xenopus FRGY2 are present in messenger ribonucleoprotein (mRNP) particles and function to mask mRNAs to inhibit translation in germ cells (Wolffe, 1994). Both the N terminus and the C terminus of RNG105 were required for the formation of the granules [i.e., its association with the RNA granules in A6 cells (Fig. 1F,G) and cultured hippocampal neurons (data not shown)]. Thus, RNG105 is a novel type of RNA-binding protein in the neuronal RNA granules. Although the binding of RNG105 to mRNAs was sequence independent in vitro, RNG105 was shown in hippocampal slices to be associated with specific mRNAs, the translational products of which are key molecules for synaptic plasticity. The different mRNA specificity between in vitro and in vivo may be explained by the specificity of RNG105 to mRNAs being controlled in the RNA granules or, in contrast, may indicate that other RNA-binding proteins in the RNA granules may determine the mRNA specificity. In the latter case, although RNG105 may not be the determinant for the mRNA specificity in the neuronal RNA granules, the association of RNG105 with the granules via its N and C termini would enable the N terminus to bind to specific mRNAs in the granules recruited by other RNA-binding protein(s). As a
result, RNG105 would bind to and repress translation of specific mRNAs in the RNA granules (supplemental Fig. 4, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). The deletion mutant of the C terminus, ΔC, cannot assemble into the RNA granules but can inhibit translation in vitro and in A6 cells. This finding may be explained by the translational repression activity of RNG105 not requiring its association with the granules. The property of RNG105 to be associated with the granules may be required for meeting specific mRNAs in the granules.

**Translation factors in the RNA granules**

In this study, eIF-4E and PABP were associated with the RNG105-localizing RNA granules. These translation factors may bind directly to mRNAs in the granules. In contrast, eIF-4G or EF-1α was not associated with the granules, indicating that the RNG105-localizing RNA granule is in a state of translational arrest, which is consistent with a previous report (Krichevsky and Kosik, 2001). However, it is reported that EF-1α is localized in the RNA granules (Knowles et al., 1996). In addition, a recent study demonstrated that BDNF treatment increases eIF-4E association with cytoskeletal bound RNA granules in an actin- and integrin-dependent manner (Smart et al., 2003). These reports suggest that RNA granules are translationally active locations, which is not consistent with our results and the previous report (Krichevsky and Kosik, 2001) that BDNF induces the shift of mRNAs from the RNA granules to polysomes. One possible explanation for these differences is that there could be multiple RNA granule populations with different compositions and roles in synaptic activation. RNG105-localizing RNA granules could be translationally arrested among the various RNA granules.

It is widely accepted that ribosomes are localized in the RNA granules, and we show here that RNG105-localizing RNA granules contain ribosomes. Because the RNG105-localizing RNA granules do not contain eIF-4G and are suggested to be translationally arrested, it is unlikely that the ribosomes are associated with mRNAs through eIF-4G as translationally competent complexes in the granules. Ribosomes may be localized in the RNA granules as untranslated ribosomes and/or ribosomal subunits, and the localization may not depend on eIF-4E/4G or mRNAs, as illustrated by Krichevsky and Kosik (2001).

**A model for the regulation of local translation**

RNG105 has been suggested to be associated with the RNA granules via its N and C termini and bind to and repress the translation of mRNAs via its N terminus. Consistent with the ability of RNG105 to repress translation, the RNG105-localizing RNA granules were shown to be translationally arrested in the basal conditions. In contrast, after synaptic stimulation by BDNF, RNG105 was released from the RNA granules, which coincided with the mRNA shift to polysomes and translational induction near the granules. Based on these results, we suggest that RNG105 may be involved in BDNF-regulated local translational control (supplemental Fig. 4, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). In this model, RNG105 is associated with RNA granules via its N and C termini. In the granules, RNG105 directly binds to mRNAs via its N terminus, which would repress translation of the mRNAs localized in the RNA granules. After BDNF stimulation, the affinity of RNG105 to the granules would be reduced, which would lead to the dissociation of RNG105 from the granules. The RNG105 dissociation from the granules is concomitant with increased local translation near the granules. The molecular mechanism whereby the RNG105 dissociation is related to the transformation of RNA granules into translating polysomes and to the increase in local translation is an important question to be answered in future studies.

It is noteworthy that RNG105 can be phosphorylated in mitosis (Stukenberg et al., 1997). In addition, in mitosis, XRNG105-GFP becomes dissociated from the granular structures in A6 cells (unpublished data). This suggests that RNG105 may be phosphorylated by a kinase(s), activated in mitosis and by synaptic stimulation, which may reduce the ability of RNG105 to bind to RNA granules and mRNAs. It is reported that a GPI-anchored protein, which has been replaced by a non-GPI-anchored version and is identical to RNG105, is associated with receptor for activated protein kinase C (PKC) 1 (RACK1), which is part of mRNP complexes and localized in neuronal dendrites and dendritic spines (Angenstein et al., 2002). This association was not followed up, probably because of the misattribution of the protein as a GPI-anchored protein. Because RACK1 is shown to be associated with ribosomes and provide a link between PKC signaling and ribosomal subunit joining (Ceci et al., 2003), it would be interesting to see whether RNG105 is regulated by RACK1/PKC and involved in ribosome assembly.

In addition to the inhibitory mechanism by RNG105, there are mechanisms that activate translation by phosphorylation of translation factors (Martin et al., 2000; Takei et al., 2001; Jiang and Schuman, 2002). All of these mechanisms should be regulated by synaptic stimulation so that the local translation of the mRNAs in the RNA granules can be increased.

CPEB is reported to be responsible for stimulation-dependent local translation in neuronal dendrites (Huang et al., 2002; Jiang and Schuman, 2002). It controls translation of specific mRNAs with CPE sequences. Among the mRNAs, which are shown in this study to be localized with RNG105 in the RNA granules, only CaMKIIα and MAP2 mRNAs contains the CPE, whereas others do not. Therefore, CPEB may not target these mRNAs, except for CaMKIIα and MAP2. CPEB is reported to be localized mainly at the postsynaptic density using immunofluorescence and cell fractionation methods (Huang et al., 2002). In contrast, in this study, we show that RNG105 is localized in the RNA granules, and only a small proportion of them are associated with the postsynaptic sites. Thus, RNG105 and CPEB are likely to play their roles at different locations in the dendrites. RNG105 would play important roles in local translational control at the postsynaptic sites and, in addition, in translational repression in the RNA granules during transport of mRNAs in the dendrites.

**Specific roles of RNG105 in vertebrates and insects**

Recent studies have revealed mRNA translocation and local protein synthesis in different organisms and cell types, such as *Drosophila* oocytes, germ cells, neurons, *Xenopus* oocytes, cultured fibroblasts, and mammalian neurons (St Johnston, 1995; Lipshitz and Smibert, 2000; Kloc et al., 2002). Importantly, the mechanisms for the local translation that we proposed in this study are likely to be shared among various organisms and cell types (Kedr etsh et al., 2000; Lipshitz and Smibert, 2000; Krichevsky and Kosik, 2001). Therefore, the translational regulation in the RNA granules should provide a general model for the regulation of local translation. RNG105 is highly conserved among vertebrates, and its N terminus is conserved in *Drosophila* (GenBank accession number NM_144414) (data not shown). Although the C terminus of the *Drosophila* homolog is not similar to vertebrate RNG105, it contains a Q-rich region and an RGG box (data not shown), suggesting that the homolog has functions similar to vertebrate RNG105. RNG105 homologs were not found in yeast or Caenorhabditis elegans. RNG105 was expressed mainly in tes-
tis, oocyte, and brain tissues, in which RNG105 was localized in dendrites of hippocampal and neocortical pyramidal neurons but not in dendrites of Purkinje cells. Thus, the activity of RNG105 is likely to be tissue specific and restricted to vertebrates and insects.

In this study, we showed that RNG105 has an ability to bind to mRNAs and repress translation. RNG105 is associated with the RNA granules in neuronal dendrites, and its affinity to the granules is controlled by BDNF stimulation. In summary, RNG105 is suggested to be implicated in a key role in the local translational regulation in the neuronal RNA granules and to control synaptic plasticity in an activity-dependent manner.
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