Early Neural Correlates of Conscious Somatosensory Perception
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The cortical processing of consciously perceived and unperceived somatosensory stimuli is thought to be identical during the first 100–120 ms after stimulus onset. Thereafter, the electrophysiological correlates of conscious perception have been shown to be reflected in the N1 component of the evoked response as well as in later (>200 ms) nonstimulus-locked γ-band (28–50 Hz) oscillatory activity. To evaluate more specifically the time course and correlation of neuronal oscillations with conscious perception, we recorded neuromagnetic responses to threshold-intensity somatosensory stimuli. We show here that cortical broadband activities phase locked to the subsequently perceived stimuli in somatosensory, frontal, and parietal regions as early as 30–70 ms from stimulus onset, whereas the phase locking to the unperceived stimuli was weak and primarily restricted to somatosensory regions. Such stimulus locking also preceded the perceived stimuli, indicating that the phase of ongoing cortical activities biases subsequent perception. Furthermore, the data show that the stimulus locking was present in the θ- (4–8 Hz), α- (8–14 Hz), β- (14–28 Hz), and γ- (28–40 Hz) frequency bands, of which the widespread α-band component was dominant for the consciously perceived stimuli but virtually unobservable for the unperceived stimuli. Our results show that the neural correlates of conscious perception are already found during the earliest stages of cortical processing from 30 to 150 ms after stimulus onset and suggest that α-frequency-band oscillations have a role in the neural mechanisms of sensory awareness.
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Introduction

The patterns of event-related neuronal activity leading to a conscious perception have remained enigmatic. Early cortical responses (less than ~100 ms from stimulus onset) evoked by somatosensory stimuli have been found to be similar for consciously perceived and unperceived stimuli (Libet et al., 1967; Ray et al., 1999; Meador et al., 2002). The earliest component, N1, of the auditory (Parasuraman and Beatty, 1980) and visual (Supér et al., 2001; Pins and Ffytche, 2003) evoked responses (ERs) thus far found to reflect stimulus detection peaks at ~100–120 ms after stimulus onset. Electrophysiological and functional imaging studies have shown that not only the processing of the consciously perceived stimuli (Sahraei et al., 1997; Feinstein et al., 2004; Marois et al., 2004) but also the processing of imperceptible sensory stimuli (Libet et al., 1967; Sahraei et al., 1997; Colder and Tanenbaum, 1999; Ray et al., 1999; Meador et al., 2002; Blankenburg et al., 2003), as well as several areas higher in the processing hierarchy (Brazdil et al., 2001; Naccache and Dehaene, 2001; Blankenburg et al., 2003). Together, these data suggest that the neural correlates of conscious perception emerge at later stages and higher levels of sensory processing, after an initial period of 100–120 ms, during which stimulus-locked neuronal processing does not distinguish the subsequently perceived stimuli from those escaping conscious perception.

Phase synchronization of neuronal activity has been hypothesized to underlie the emergence of dynamic neuronal assemblies that constitute the metarepresentations required by sensory awareness (Singer, 2002). Indeed, γ-frequency-band phase synchrony in the primary somatosensory cortex is enhanced at ~200 ms after the onset of consciously perceived somatosensory stimuli (Meador et al., 2002). Moreover, studies on binocular rivalry have shown that strengthened local (Fries et al., 1997) and large-scale (Tononi et al., 1998; Srinivasan et al., 1999) neuronal synchrony is correlated with conscious stimulus perception, hence emphasizing the role of neuronal phase relationships in sensory awareness.

We investigated the neural correlates of sensory perception by examining the event-related phase and amplitude dynamics of neuronal network activities recorded using magnetoencephalography (MEG). We show here that these correlates emerge as early as 30 ms after the onset of somatosensory stimuli and that the large-
scale stimulus locking in the α-frequency band is selectively correlated with conscious perception.

**Materials and Methods**

**Subjects and recordings.** Cortical activity was recorded from 14 subjects (23–32 years of age; one female) with a 306 channel MEG instrument (Elekta Neurionmag, Helsinki, Finland); the signals from the 204 planar gradiometers of the device were used in this study. Electro-oculograms and thumb-movement electromyograms were recorded for artifact rejection and for the detection of subjects’ responses (see below), respectively. The study was approved by an ethical committee of the Helsinki University Central Hospital.

**Experimental protocol.** Subjects were electrically stimulated on the tip of the index finger with weak 0.2 ms constant-current pulses. The stimuli were applied to the left, right, or simultaneously to both index fingers equiprobably in a semirandom order. The interval between the consecutive pulses (stimulus onset asynchrony) varied randomly from 3 to 4 s. Four 20 min sessions were recorded for each subject. Before each recording session, the intensity was separately adjusted for both fingers, so that maximally 50% of the trials were detected (for behavioral data, see Results and Fig. 1). During the recording sessions, subjects sat relaxed with their eyes closed and were instructed to promptly twitch the thumb of the hand(s) in which they had felt the stimulus. The thumb twitches were detected off-line from electromyographic (EMG) recordings that were bandpass filtered from 50 to 150 Hz. The latency at which the EMG burst exceeded 10 baseline SDs was defined as the reaction time.

For all analyses of MEG data (see below), the stimuli, followed by correct responses, were categorized as consciously perceived (e.g., a right thumb twitch after a right index finger stimulus). Stimuli to which no response was observed were categorized as unperceived. Other stimulus–response combinations (i.e., wrong responses) were not analyzed because of the poor signal-to-noise ratio in this category (for their prevalence, see Fig. 1). All responses occurring from 0.1 to 3 s after the stimulus onset were accepted.

The responses to left- and right-hand stimuli were pooled so that data in gradiometer selections with hemispheric asymmetry [i.e., the channel selections for sensorimotor (SM), primary somatosensory cortex (SI), and secondary somatosensory cortex (SII)] (see Fig. 2) were averaged within contralateral and ipsilateral categories (for instance, in Fig. 4, contralateral SI was obtained by averaging the responses to a left-finger stimulus over right hemispheric SI with the responses to a right-finger stimulus over the left hemispheric SI). The topographic visualizations (see Figs. 2B, 3C, 5B) were shown for right-finger stimuli only. The topographic maps for left-finger stimuli were very similar, except for the thumb twitches recorded with electromyography (see Material and Methods) and for the detection of subjects’ responses (see below), respectively. The study was approved by an ethical committee of the Helsinki University Central Hospital.

**Results**

**Psychophysical performance.** We presented weak, constant-current electrical stimuli to the tips of subjects’ index fingers with an intensity adjusted so that slightly <50% of the stimuli were reportedly perceived during the minute before the onset of each data recording. The subjects underwent four 20 min MEG recordings during which the stimuli were presented semirandomly to left, right, or both index fingers with a random interstimulus interval ranging from 3 to 4 s. The subjects were instructed to promptly report the detected stimuli with a twitch of the thumb of the stimulated hand. The thumb twitches were recorded with electromyography (see Materials and Methods) and were assumed to indicate that the stimuli were consciously perceived; however, we cannot exclude the
possibility of preconscious or automatic reactions. Figure 1 shows the mean detection probabilities (± intersubject SD) and the averaged median reaction times (RTs) with 16 and 84% confidence limits averaged across subjects. The error bars of the detection probability indicate the SD (approximately corresponding to ~16th and ~84th percentiles) of the detection probability in the present subject population. A. Top, The distribution of reaction times to left (dotted line), right (solid line), and both (dashed line) stimuli. Bottom, Thin gray lines indicate the cumulative reaction-time distribution of each subject averaged across the three stimulation conditions (note the expanded x-axis). The thick black line shows the average across subjects. C. The probability of detecting left (dotted line), right (solid line), and both (dashed line) stimuli was evaluated in 150 s segments and averaged across subjects and the four recording sessions. Thin gray lines show the data of each subject from the first recording session. B. The median (thick lines) and 16th/84th percentiles (thin lines) of subjects’ reaction times to left (dotted line), right (solid line), and both (dashed line) stimuli were averaged within the 150 s segments (as in C) and across the subjects and the four recording sessions.

Figure 1. Characterization of subjects’ psychophysical performance in the stimulus-detection task. A. The histogram bars show the median reaction time (top) and the response probability (bottom) averaged across subjects for each stimulus– (on x-axis) response (indicated with bar texture) pair. The reaction time error bars indicate the average across subjects of the 16th and 84th percentiles of each subject’s reaction-time distribution. The error bars of the detection probability indicate the SD (approximately corresponding to ~16th and ~84th percentiles) of the detection probability in the present subject population. B. Top, The distribution of reaction times to left (dotted line), right (solid line), and both (dashed line) stimuli. Bottom, Thin gray lines indicate the cumulative reaction-time distribution of each subject averaged across the three stimulation conditions (note the expanded x-axis). The thick black line shows the average across subjects. C. The probability of detecting left (dotted line), right (solid line), and both (dashed line) stimuli was evaluated in 150 s segments and averaged across subjects and the four recording sessions. Thin gray lines show the data of each subject from the first recording session. D. The median (thick lines) and 16th/84th percentiles (thin lines) of subjects’ reaction times to left (dotted line), right (solid line), and both (dashed line) stimuli were averaged within the 150 s segments (as in C) and across the subjects and the four recording sessions.

Broadband stimulus locking
To characterize the neural activity related to the consciously perceived and unperceived somatosensory stimuli with high temporal resolution, we quantified the phase locking of the ongoing broadband (1–80 Hz) neural activity to these stimuli. This kind of a stimulus-locking analysis reveals whether the recorded signals at a given latency show a nonrandom phase relationship to the presented stimuli across the trials (Sinkkonen et al., 1995). Because the phase-locking factor is amplitude independent, it is robust to large-amplitude artifacts and may reveal small-amplitude but well locked events better than the conventional evoked responses that mix amplitude and phase information. We found that, after the stimulus presentation, neural activity phase locked more strongly and persistently to the consciously perceived stimuli than to the unperceived stimuli (Fig. 2A). Notably, the difference between the perceived and unperceived stimuli reached statistical significance as early as 30 ms after stimulus onset (Fig. 2A). For both consciously perceived and unperceived stimuli, the stimulus-locked activities were first observed over the contralateral SM region; for the perceived stimuli only, the stimulus locking thereafter spread rapidly to the frontal, parietal, and ipsilateral SM regions (Fig. 2B) (see supplemental video 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Stimulus locking to the perceived stimuli was significant at 40 ms over frontal cortical regions and at ~70 ms over the parietal and ipsilateral SM regions (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, there was also significant stimulus locking of neural activity before the onset of the subject, 4–17% of RTs exceeded 1 s (Fig. 1, horizontal dotted gray lines). According to signal-detection theory, the decision-making criteria may change when detecting weak signals because of the uncertainty of their presence (Wickens, 2002). The long-latency responses probably reflect the prolonged decision making or responding after doubt when the percept was ambivalent. Moreover, according to the theory, it is possible that some unperceived stimuli were actually weakly perceived but did not pass the criteria for decision making (Wickens, 2002). Although the presence of illusory percepts (false alarms) remains unclear because of the impossibility of separating the responses to real stimuli from the responses to imagined stimuli, their number is, in any case, very small. This is evident from the very small response probability between 1.5 and 3 s after stimulus onset (Fig. 1B, top).

We did not find significant differences in psychophysical performance among the four 20 min recordings (data not shown; p > 0.05), but during the course of every 20 min recording, presumably because of fatigue, the detection probabilities decreased moderately (p < 0.001; first half vs second half) (Fig. 1C) and the reaction times became slightly longer (p < 0.05) (Fig. 1D). Nevertheless, the dynamic variability of these behavioral measures in individual subjects was, throughout each recording, larger than the effects of fatigue (Fig. 1C,D), indicating that the psychophysical performance was also influenced by factors on smaller time scales (such as fluctuations of arousal) (Linkenkaer-Hansen et al., 2004). Finally, we estimated whether the success in stimulus detection influenced the detection probability of subsequent stimuli in the present data. The data showed that, when compared with randomized surrogate data in short 40 s windows, detection was more probable [2.7 ± 0.8% (mean ± SEM)] when the previous stimulus was detected and, conversely, less probable (4.2 ± 1.2%) when the previous stimulus was missed. This suggests that fluctuations in attention or in short-term memories of preceding stimuli contributed to the brain states facilitating perception.
subsequently perceived stimuli but not before the onset of the unperceived stimuli (Fig. 2A, B), showing that the phase of the cortical state may bias stimulus detection. Interestingly, the spatial topology of this prestimulus activity was fairly similar to the hemodynamic pattern of activation observed during somatosensory anticipation (Carlsson et al., 2000).

Time-frequency analysis of the phase locking of ongoing activity to sensory stimuli

The analysis of stimulus locking of broad-band phase (Fig. 2) allows the evaluation of the precise time course of the phase-locked events but does not disclose the spectral characteristics of the underlying activities (Fig. 3A, black lines). The event-related responses are known to comprise both evoked components but also stimulus locking of neuronal oscillations in distinct frequency bands (Tallon-Baudry et al., 1999; Makeig et al., 2002; Simões et al., 2003). Therefore, we also examined stimulus locking in the time-frequency domain using Morlet wavelets. Such analysis sheds light on the frequency content of the response but with greatly compromised temporal resolution (Fig. 3A, colored lines) (see Materials and Methods) that makes, for instance, the determination of oscillation onsets ambiguous. We found that, in all frequency bands and in all regions, the phase locking to the consciously perceived stimuli was stronger and spatially more widespread than the phase locking to the unperceived stimuli (Fig. 3B) (see supplemental video 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). The responses to the perceived stimuli were dominated by widespread stimulus locking of the $\alpha$- (4 – 8 Hz) and $\beta$- (8 – 14 Hz) frequency-band phases (Fig. 3B) not only in somatosensory but also in frontal and parietal areas. In addition, in the contralateral SM region, we also observed the phase locking of these bands to the perceived stimuli was weak or absent, respectively (Fig. 3B, C). The phase locking to the unperceived stimuli, in contrast, involved a $\beta$-band component and, in the contralateral SM region, a $\theta$-band component as well (Fig. 3B).

These data thus show that the large-scale $\alpha$-band stimulus locking, prominent for the consciously perceived stimuli and mostly absent for the unperceived stimuli, is the most salient qualitative feature dis-
Stimulus locking and amplitude dynamics over primary and secondary somatosensory cortices

To compare the present findings with previous studies on somatosensory processing, we also computed the conventional, broadband averaged ERs of SI and SII (see Materials and Methods) (Simões et al., 2003) contralateral to the stimulated finger. Over SI, the ER comprised distinct components at ~30, 60, and 100 ms from stimulus onset (Fig. 5A) (Hari et al., 1993; Mauguière et al., 1997). Over SII, the first major component was found at ~100 ms from stimulus onset (Hari et al., 1993; Mauguière et al., 1997; Simões et al., 2003), but it was preceded by small peaks at ~30 and 60 ms (Karhu and Tesche, 1999). Each of these components was larger for the perceived than for the unperceived stim-

Figure 3. The stimulus locking of large-scale α-frequency-band oscillations is strongly correlated with conscious perception. A, Schematic frequency-domain (top panels) and time-domain (bottom panels) representations of the filters used in this study. Left, Black lines, Broadband filter composed of high- and low-pass finite-impulse response filters. Right, Colored lines, A bank of 14 Morlet wavelets. The center frequencies of the examples chosen for the bottom panel are 5 Hz (red), 10 Hz (yellow), 20 Hz (cyan), and 40 Hz (purple). Note the considerable width of the less than ~10 Hz wavelet when interpreting the time-frequency representations below. B, The color scale of the time-frequency representations shows the proportion of grandmetiers with statistically significant phase locking (averaged across subjects). The transparency levels indicate the significance of phase locking (not significant (NS), $P_{\alpha, sc} < 0.01$, and that of the perceived–unperceived difference (NS, $P_{\alpha, sc} < 0.01$). C, Topographies of phase locking to perceived right-hand stimuli in different frequency bands (θ, α, β, and γ). For each band, averages of the topographies of two wavelet frequencies are shown. The frequency ranges given next to the band symbols indicate −1 and +1 SD from the lower and higher, respectively, wavelet center frequencies. norm. PLF, Normalized PLF.
uli; however, the early components over SII did not exceed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level. Intriguingly, small peaks of prestimulus activity were observable at $-30$ and $60$ ms before the onset of the perceived stimuli over SI and at $-30$ ms over SII (Fig. 5A) (note that, for the perceived–unperceived difference; $0.00008 < P_{W} < 0.01$). The timing of these peaks, as well as that of the poststimulus $-30, 60$, and $100$ ms peaks, is suggestive of low $\gamma$-frequency-band ($\sim 30$ Hz) rhythmicity, but because these plots show the amplitude envelope of the broadband activity, the presence of $\gamma$-band oscillations per se cannot be inferred directly.

For SI and SII, we also evaluated the broadband phase locking of neural activity to the stimuli. As expected, over SI, this stimulus locking showed a clear difference between the perceived and unperceived stimuli from $30$ ms onward (Fig. 5B). The stimulus locking over SII was very weak for the unperceived stimuli. These data suggest that, although the processing of unperceived stimuli clearly involved SI, the activation of SII was, at most, very weak. Note that the ER (Fig. 5A) and stimulus-locking (Fig. 5B) analyses here are directly comparable because of the identical broadband filtering.

We also examined the time-frequency characteristics of stimulus locking over contralateral and ipsilateral SI and SII. The phase locking to the perceived stimuli over contralateral SI involved all examined frequency bands; however, over SII, no $\gamma$-band stimulus locking was observed (Fig. 5C). Furthermore, over ipsilateral SI and SII, only $\theta$- and $\alpha$-band stimulus locking was significant. The responses to unperceived stimuli over contralateral SI were composed only of $\theta$- and $\beta$-band components, and, furthermore, significant phase locking was not observed over SII (Fig. 5C) or over ipsilateral SI or SII.

Over the primary and secondary somatosensory cortices, the pattern of poststimulus amplitude dynamics (Fig. 6) was similar to that observed for the somatomotor region (Fig. 4). In addition to the rapid amplitude suppression in $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$-frequency bands below the mean baseline level, a brief increase in $\gamma$-band amplitude was observable, albeit below the Bonferroni-corrected significance level, over the primary somatosensory cortex for the consciously perceived stimuli at $-50$–$100$ ms from stimulus onset, coinciding with stimulus locking in the $\gamma$ band (Fig. 6) (contralateral SI; perceived). Notably, also just before stimulus onset, the $\beta$/$\gamma$-band amplitude was greater for the perceived than for the unperceived stimuli (Fig. 6) (contralateral SI; unperceived and difference; note again, only $P_{W} < 0.05$). This finding is in line with data showing that prestimulus $\gamma$-band oscillations facilitate subsequent processing (Fries et al., 2001a).
Correlation of early stimulus locking with reaction time
To find out whether the early (<100 ms) stimulus-locked and perception-related processing has an impact on the subsequent reaction time, we divided the perceived trials evenly into three categories: fast (the fastest third of RTs) (Fig. 7, thick red lines), mid (the middle third of RTs) (Fig. 7, thick green lines), and slow (the slowest third of RTs) (Fig. 7, thick blue lines). The phase locking of ongoing activity to the stimuli was quantified after broadband filtering as above in Figures 2 and 5. To rule out the possibility that slow fluctuations in attention or cortical excitability could have contributed jointly to differential stimulus locking and reaction time, we also collected three categories of responses to the unperceived stimuli. The number of trials in these categories was equal to that in fast, mid, and slow, and, moreover, the trials were selected so that they were as near as possible to the corresponding perceived trials (Fig. 7, thin lines). First, these data show that the fast reaction times are clearly associated with strong phase locking of the ongoing activity to the stimuli. Second, in contrast to the fastest two thirds (Fig. 7, thick red and green lines) of responses that were preceded by rapid and widespread stimulus locking over somatosensory, frontal, and parietal regions, the slowest third (Fig. 7, thick blue lines) of responses involved essentially negligible early stimulus locking over SII, frontal, and parietal regions. Because the responses to unperceived stimuli were essentially identical across fast/mid/slow categories, slow fluctuations are unlikely to account for the intercategory differences observed here in the responses to the perceived stimuli. Hence, in light of these data, early large-scale stimulus locking of ongoing activity appears to be essential for fast behavioral reaction.

**Figure 5.** The evoked responses and phase locking to the consciously perceived and unperceived stimuli over SI and SII (Fig. 2C). A. The amplitude envelopes of conventional evoked responses over contralateral SI and SII to perceived (blue) and unperceived (red) stimuli and the statistical significance (\(P_{W, \text{bc}}\)) of their difference (black), nPLF, Normalized PLF. B. Broadband stimulus locking over contralateral SI and SII to the perceived (blue) and unperceived (red) stimuli, and the statistical significance (\(P_{W, \text{bc}}\)) of their difference (black), as in Figure 2A. C. Time-frequency representations of the strength of stimulus locking over contralateral and ipsilateral SI and SII averaged across subjects. The significance levels are indicated as in Figure 3B. NS, Not significant.
Discussion

We showed here that neural activity in somatosensory cortex, as well as in areas higher in the processing hierarchy, phase locked robustly to consciously perceived stimuli and that the phase locking to unperceived stimuli was weak and restricted to the SM region. The neural processing of these stimuli already diverged at 30 ms after stimulus onset. Observations of this divergence before 100 ms after stimulus onset add on to previous studies that have demonstrated detection sensitivity of the N1 component both in human (Parasuraman and Beatty, 1980; Pins and Ffytche, 2003) and in rhesus monkey (Kulics, 1982) ERs and also in later (100 ms) activities in human (Meador et al., 2002) and primate (Supér and Lamme, 2001) primary sensory cortices. Interestingly, frontal and parietal regions, known to have a critical role in attention (Coull, 1998; Kastner and Ungerleider, 2000) and consciousness (Dehaene et al., 2001; Rees et al., 2002; Marois et al., 2004), were coactive with the sensory regions from 80 to 150 ms from stimulus onset. The formation of a sensorifrontoparietal network has been suggested to be essential for conscious processes (Rees et al., 2002; Zeman, 2004), but its activation at such an early latency is surprising (Dehaene et al., 2001; Pins and Ffytche, 2003). The correlation of this early stimulus locking with fast behavioral responses (Fig. 7) suggests that the activity of the underlying widespread and coherent neuronal assembly is likely to have a significant impact on consecutive neural processing.

Together, short reaction times, early activation of the fronto-parietal network, and the subsequent multiband amplitude suppression suggest that neural processing underlying conscious perception might already begin during an interval from 30 to 100 ms after stimulus onset.

Contribution of prestimulus and early poststimulus neural activity to stimulus detection

The phase locking to perceived and unperceived stimuli diverged as early as 30 ms after stimulus onset, although the stimulus current was identical for these stimuli, suggesting that neural activity in the prestimulus period contributes to the “perceptual fate” of the stimuli. We showed previously that, indeed, the prestimulus amplitude of ongoing oscillations has a strong influence on behavioral performance (Linkenkaer-Hansen et al., 2004). The present data (Figs. 2A, B, 4A, B) also showed that the phase of brief cortical states before stimulus onset may bias subsequent perception. This is in line with studies suggesting that synchronous neural assemblies in the prestimulus period facilitate subsequent processing and reflect the top-down activation or priming of stimulus representations (Engel and Singer, 2001; Fries et al., 2001a; Supér et al., 2003).

In contrast, attention may also modulate poststimulus neural activity already in the primary somatosensory areas (García-Larrea et al., 1991; Steinmetz et al., 2000) and enhance evoked responses as early as 20–50 ms after stimulus onset (García-Larrea et al., 1991; Täitinen et al., 1993; Fries et al., 2001b). Hence, it is likely that both the prestimulus and poststimulus top-down attentional effects contribute to the early divergence of conscious from unconscious processing in our data. Together, the probability of perception is likely to be influenced by intertwined phenomena at many temporal scales: by fatigue and changes in arousal, by fluctuations in attention, by variable accuracy of selective attention and of short-term memories of preceding stimuli, by intermittent prestimulus cortical states, and finally, by various factors in poststimulus neural processing ranging from early top-down modulation to uncertainty in decision making.

α-Frequency-band oscillations

The large-scale stimulus locking of the α-frequency-band oscillations, extending to the frontal and parietal regions, was found almost exclusively for the perceived stimuli. At first glance, this is at odds with the traditional view of large-amplitude α oscillations reflecting cortical idling. “This idling hypothesis” has emerged from studies that showed a transient decrease in α-band amplitude in response to sensory stimuli or movements (Pfurtscheller and Lopes da Silva, 1999). Later work has shown that parieto-occipital α oscillations in electroencephalograms (EEGs) are larger in amplitude over the hemisphere ipsilateral to the attended visual hemifield (Worden et al., 2000) and that they are also strengthened by attention directed to the auditory modality.
in the idling framework. Interestingly, nonstimulus-locked neuronal substrates of these macrolevel phenomena, the extent to which an amplitude decrease is a signature of a process that is unrelated to task execution per se (and hence suppressed). The "phase reset" of ongoing oscillations (Makeig et al., 2002, 2004). Nevertheless, because little is known about the physiological processes underlying the stimulus-evoked components and specific functional roles of the α-band rhythmicity in these processes.

**β- and γ-frequency-band oscillations**

β-Band stimulus locking was stronger for the perceived stimuli than for the unperceived stimuli, although it was also clearly present in the responses to the unperceived stimuli (Figs. 3B, 4C). In the γ band, significant stimulus locking was observed for the perceived but not for the unperceived stimuli. These findings are in line with previous studies showing a correlation between conscious perception and γ-band activity. In strabismic cats, perceived gratings induce greater nonstimulus-locked γ-band spike synchrony than those that were not perceived (Fries et al., 1997). Also in humans, the strengthening of γ-band synchrony between anatomically distinct regions both in intracortical (Meador et al., 2002) and in EEG (Rodriguez et al., 1999) data is correlated with stimulus perception. In this context, the perception sensitivity of "sensory-areas-restricted" γ-band and that of the more widespread β-band stimulus locking in our data is compatible with suggestions that these oscillations have a role in intra-areal and interareal feature binding, respectively (Castelo-Branco et al., 1998; Singer, 1999). However, in our data, we did not observe nonstimulus-locked amplitude increases (i.e., induced oscillations in the β- or γ-frequency bands), which have been suggested to underlie the formation of neural representations (Tallon-Baudry et al., 1999). The present study is, however, the first to show that distinct percepts of physically identical stimuli are associated with distinct stimulus-locked β-/γ-band oscillations. This adds on to the list of functional similarities between stimulus-locked and nonstimulus-locked oscillations (Tallon-Baudry et al., 1999; Palva et al., 2002) and suggests that adequate neural representations of the present simple stimuli emerge already during the evoked oscillations and permit the early behavioral response (cf. Palva et al., 2002).

**Neural correlates of consciousness**

We found that neuronal activities at various stages of cortical processing both before and after the stimulus onset were correlated with perception. However, prestimulus activity, for instance, cannot be seen to underlie conscious perception per se. Moreover, even in the poststimulus time, it is likely that some neural processes are not causally related to and are not required by the conscious perception, despite being correlated with it. Those processes that suffice the minimal set of neural activities required by consciousness are commonly called the "neural correlates of consciousness" (NCCs) (Chalmers, 2002). Neuronal activities supporting conscious perception have been proposed to involve synchronous cell assemblies (Engel and Singer, 2001) and both bottom-up and top-down processing in a network spanning several levels of cortical hierarchy (Engel and Singer, 2001; Thompson and Varela, 2001; Crick and Koch, 2003). In our data, the α-band stimulus locking was most selective to conscious perception, suggesting that it is an elementary part of the NCCs. Interestingly, at ~100 ms from stimulus onset, the β-/γ-band stimulus locking was observable simultaneously with emergent sensorifrontoparietal α-band stimulus locking (Fig. 4C) (see supplemental video 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemen-
tual material). This points to an intriguing possibility that, at ~100 ms, the phase interactions of these oscillations underlie an NCC, so that the α-band component signals the attentional context and top-down selection of the β-γ-band components that signal the neural representation, i.e., the content of the percept (Palva et al., 2005).
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