Responses of Human Anterior Cingulate Cortex Microdomains to Error Detection, Conflict Monitoring, Stimulus–Response Mapping, Familiarity, and Orienting
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Human anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) activity modulation has been observed in numerous tasks, consistent with a wide variety of functions. However, previous recordings have not had sufficient spatial resolution to determine whether microdomains (approximately one to two columns) are involved in multiple tasks, how activity is distributed across cortical layers, or indeed whether modulation reflected neuronal excitation, inhibition, or both. In this study, linear arrays of 24 microelectrodes were used to estimate population synaptic currents and neuronal firing in different layers of ACC during simple/choice reaction time, delayed word recognition, rhyming, auditory oddball, and cued conditional letter-discrimination tasks. Responses to all tasks, with differential responses to errors, familiarity, difficulty, and orienting, were recorded in single microdomains. The strongest responses occurred ~300–800 ms after stimulus onset and were usually a current source with inhibited firing, strongly suggesting active inhibition in superficial layers during the behavioral response period. This was usually followed by a sink from ~800 to 1400 ms, consistent with postresponse rebound activation.

Introduction

The anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) lies at the crossroads of three vast anatomo-functional systems: motor, limbic, and prefrontal (Vogt et al., 2004). In the motor system, the ACC lies immediately inferior to the supplementary motor cortex and projects to motor cortex as well as the spinal cord (Dum and Strick, 1993). In the limbic system, the ACC is directly related to the subicular complex (and thus hippocampus), posterior orbital cortex (area 32), and the anterior thalamic nucleus, as well as brainstem nuclei concerned with autonomic control. Finally, the ACC has strong bidirectional connections with the dorsolateral prefrontal and temporal cortices (Barbas, 2000; Vogt et al., 2004).

Consistent with these connections, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and positron emission tomography (PET) have found ACC hemodynamic activation in a wide variety of tasks including reading (Fiez and Petersen, 1998), word generation (Crosson et al., 1999), episodic recall (Nyberg, 1998; Cabeza et al., 2003), working memory (Bunge et al., 2001), emotion (Phan et al., 2002), and attention (Mesulam, 1981; Corbetta et al., 1998; Cabeza et al., 2003). ACC activation is related to the number of possible responses in a task, suggesting that it may contribute to response choice or “selection-for-action” (Posner et al., 1988; Petersen et al., 1989; Frith et al., 1991). This may reflect a basic contribution to motor control (Kollias et al., 2001; Picard and Strick, 2001) or a role in detecting situations that requires strategic intervention because of conflicting potential responses that may lead to errors (Carter et al., 2000; Kiehl et al., 2000).

Some authors emphasize the juxtaposition of different functions, especially emotional and cognitive as the key to ACC function (Duncan and Owen, 2000; Allman et al., 2001; Paus, 2001), whereas others emphasize the anatomical segregation of different functions (Peterson et al., 1999). For example, cognitive activation in ACC has been located anterior to the anterior commissure line of Talairach and posterior to the anterior limit of the corpus callosum, with emotional activation in more anteroinferior ACC (Bush et al., 2000). Motor areas may be above and behind the cognitive division (Dum and Strick, 2002), visuospatial areas in posterior cingulate, and memory-related areas inferior to posteri-
may reflect different levels of neuronal processing related to the same integrative function. For example, the ACC may contribute to both orienting–monitoring and response–choice but at different phases of the response or trial. This would imply that there is also a temporal segregation of ACC function.

It is difficult to distinguish between these hypotheses using noninvasive imaging methods because of their limited spatial resolution (Dale and Halgren, 2001). Furthermore, noninvasive methods lack the physiological resolution to distinguish excitation from inhibition (EEG and magnetoencephalography) or are hemodynamic, which has an unknown relationship to neuronal activity. Intracranial measures have greater spatial accuracy but typically record from all cortical layers in a region that contains many cortical columns. The current study used simultaneous recordings from an array of microelectrodes to characterize synaptic activity and neuronal firing in relatively small populations of ACC neurons. These data demonstrate that multiple responses related to novelty, memory, set, errors, and the difficulty of stimulus–response mapping are colocalized at a submillimeter level. Surprisingly, inhibition in superficial cortical layers appeared to be a common response by the ACC to cognitive stimuli. Relative excitation after the inhibition may provide a window when the ACC contributes to wider cortical processing.

Materials and Methods

Patients and electrodes. Patients with complex partial seizures resistant to all appropriate medications were considered for surgical removal of their epileptogenic focus. When extensive noninvasive diagnostic tests were unable to unambiguously locate the focus, intracranial recordings from potential seizure onset sites were recommended. Patient 1 (Pt1) was a 35-year-old right-handed male; patient 2 (Pt2) was a 55-year-old right-handed female. Their intelligence and personality were in the normal range. Both gave fully informed consent according to National Institutes of Health guidelines to add a linear array of microcontacts to the tips of their clinical macroelectrodes. Each laminar probe was ~3.5 mm long with a row of 24 40-μm-diameter contacts separated by 110 μm (Ulbert et al., 2001a). The choice of patients and sites to implant, as well as the duration of implantation, were made on completely clinical grounds. Successful recordings were obtained bilaterally from area 24 of the ACC in both patients (Fig. 1). Electrode positions were slightly more posterior in patient 1, rendering it possible that one or both could lie in the anterior cingulate motor area. However, there were no systematic response differences noted between patients. Seizure origin in Pt1 was multifocal in the right hemisphere including the hippocampus and orbitofrontal cortex and in Pt2 was in the left temporal lobe remote from the ACC; the ACC recordings reported here did not display interictal spikes during waking and were made at least 24 h after the most recent seizure.

Recordings. Differential recordings were made from 23 pairs of successive contacts. After wideband (DC, 10,000 Hz) preamplification (gain, 10X; common mode rejection ratio, 90 db; input impedance, 1012 Ω), the signal was split into field potentials (filtered at 0.2–500 Hz; gain, 1000X; digitized at 2000 Hz; 16 bit) and action potentials (filtered at 200–5000 Hz; gain, 1000X; digitized at 20,000 Hz; 12 bit) and stored continuously with stimulus markers. Population transmembrane current flows were estimated using linear current source density (CSD) analysis (Nicholson and Freeman, 1975; Ulbert et al., 2001b), calculated using the second spatial derivative of local field potentials (LFP). Population action potentials [multiunit activity (MUA)] were estimated by rectifying the bandpass filtered data [zero phase shift, 300–3000 Hz; 48 db/octave (oct)] and then low-pass filtering the result (zero phase shift, 30 Hz; 12 dB/oct).

Spatial resolution. A simulation was performed to evaluate how steeply LFP and CSD decline with distance from the neural generator (see supplemental material I, available at www.jneurosci.org). CSD amplitude in this simulation declines 10-fold at ~300 μm from the cortical column, whereas potential decreases at a slower slope. This falloff is in the direction parallel to the cortical surface; the falloff is more rapid in the orthogonal direction, actually reaching zero while still within the cortex. Theoretical and empirical studies in animals indicate that MUA should decline with distance at least as rapidly as a result of the short duration, asynchrony, and spatial distribution of action potential fields (Humphrey, 1968; Grover and Buchwald, 1970). In summary, CSD and MUA estimate the activity of neurons in a volume approximately corresponding to that of a cortical column.

Spectral analysis. For time-frequency spectral measures, the single trial signal for each channel was convolved with complex Morlet’s wavelets (Kronland-Martinet et al., 1987; Halgren et al., 2002). Relatively constant temporal and frequency resolution across target frequencies was obtained by adjusting the wavelet widths according to the target frequency. The wavelet widths increase linearly from 1 to 6.5 as frequency increases from 1 to 13 Hz, resulting in a constant temporal resolution of 80 ms and frequency resolution of 2 Hz. Tests with simulated data confirmed that the methods used here accurately measure spectral power patterns, even at frequencies as low as 1 Hz (see supplemental material II, available at www.jneurosci.org).

In addition to the microarray recordings in ACC, recordings from clinical macrocontacts were available in multiple temporal and frontal sites in Pt2. The macrocontacts consisted of 1.3-mm-diameter cylinders, each 1.5 mm long and separated from the next contact by 3.5 mm. Our initial results showed that event-related spectral activity is mainly in the theta range and is generated in the superficial ACC layers. Thus, we focused our calculations on the theta and adjacent bands and calculated the phase locking between the superficial ACC contacts and simultaneous macrorecordings. This measure is sensitive to the similarity of the timing of activity in a particular frequency range between two structures, regardless of their amplitudes (Lachaux et al., 1999). Our simulation confirmed that the method accurately detects the phase-locking period, even at frequencies as low as 1 Hz (see supplemental material II, available at www.jneurosci.org). Potential gradients from both microrecordings and macrorecordings were used for these calculations. Statistical significance of the difference between conditions for a particular recording channel, latency, and measure (CSD, MUA, or spectral power) was assessed using a t-test of values from individual trials. Significant deviations of responses from baseline were assessed using one-sample t-tests of values from each trial. Threshold was set at p < 0.01 (two-tailed). Time-frequency maps of spectral power or phase-locking factor are displayed as z-scores relative to the mean and variance of the same measure in the prestimulus period. Baseline measures were calculated separately for each frequency and channel.

Cognitive tasks. To probe ACC activity during different functions, we used the following five tasks (Fig. 2): (1) simple/choice reaction time

Figure 1. Locations of laminar probes in MRIs taken with the electrodes in situ. Laminar probes are indicated by dark MRI artifacts (arrowheads; artifacts are larger than the actual electrodes). All contacts appear to lie in Brodmann’s area 24* (Vogt et al., 2004). Note the dilation of the third ventricle in Pt1 attributable to compensated aqueductal stenosis.
Simple/Choice Reaction Time (Simple/Choice RT)

- **Simple- always press left**
  - Stimulus
  - Response: left hand

- **Choice- press ipsilateral to the stimulus**
  - Stimulus
  - Response: left hand

- **Simple- always press right**
  - Stimulus
  - Response: right hand

- **Choice- press contralateral to the stimulus**
  - Stimulus
  - Response: right hand

Delayed Word Recognition (Word Memory)

- **Press to repeated words**
  - New WORD: 300 ms
  - Feedback TONE: 1550 ms
  - Old WORD: 2800 ms
  - Feedback TONE: 6000 ms

Rhyme Judgment (Rhyme)

- **Press to words that end in the -AY sound**
  - WORD rhymes with -AY regular orthography: 240 ms
  - WORD doesn’t rhyme regular: 2000 ms
  - WORD rhymes irregular: 4000 ms
  - WORD doesn’t rhyme irregular: 6000 ms

Auditory Oddball

- **Press key when hearing target tone**
  - Frequent standard tone: 70 ms
  - Rare target tone: 1800 ms
  - Frequent standard tone: 3600 ms
  - Frequent standard tone: 5400 ms
  - Rare unique non-target: 7200 ms

Color-Cued Conditional Letter Discrimination (Cued Conditional RT)

- **Left KP if letter in cued color is H; Right KP if letter in cued color is S**
  - All 16 possible conditions are shown below, grouped by cue and correct response. Red letter cues are indicated by italics.

Auditory oddball: subjects pressed a key to rarely occurring target tones (76; 10.5%) embedded in a series of frequently occurring standard tones (57; 79%) and nontarget novel tones (76; 10.5%) requiring no response. All stimuli were 70 ms in duration, presented every 1.8 s. Each nontarget novel sound was a unique sound differing in pitch and harmonics but with the same amplitude envelope as the pure tones serving as frequents and targets (Marinkovic et al., 2001). (5) Color-cued conditional letter discrimination (cued conditional RT): subjects were presented with a color cue for 210 ms (“red” or “green”). After a delay of 750 ms, two letters (HH, SS, SH, or HS) in two colors were presented for 1700 ms. If the letter in the cued color was an H, then the subject made a left-handed keypress; if the letter in the cued color was an S, then the subject made a right-handed keypress (Gehring and Knight, 2000). The 16 permitted combinations of cues and imperative stimuli with the correct responses are shown in Figure 3, bottom panel. There were a total of 533 trials. Total SOA was 3500 ms. Only Pt2 was tested on task 5.

Adequate performance was found in most tasks for both subjects (Table 1). When available, error rates were lower than 7% except for Pt1 on the rhyme judgment task (37.5% errors). Mean reaction times ranged from 449 to 912 ms.
Results

Extensive task-related activity was found in all sites and tasks. Activity was measured as CSD, which is the transmembrane current density. EPSCs produce current sinks at the active synapses, with passive sources as current returns (Nicholson and Freeman, 1975). At the membrane potentials typical of waking neocortex (Destexhe et al., 2003), IPSCs should produce current sources at the active synapses, with passive sinks as current returns. If simultaneous MUA increases during a current sink, this probably represents an EPSC. Conversely, if MUA decreases during a current sink, then it probably represents active inhibition, an IPSC.

In all four recording sites, the strongest CSD responses occurred from ~300 to 800 ms after stimulus onset and were located in superficial layers. In Pt1 left ACC and Pt2 both left and right ACC, this response was a current source, followed from ~800 to 1400 ms by a current sink. In both locations with MUA recordings, superficial cell firing decreased during the local source, suggesting active inhibition. Pt1 right ACC and Pt2 left ACC also generated task-related theta activity in superficial layers. Theta in Pt2 showed a task-related transient increase in phase locking to distant cortical sites.

CSD recordings from two patients during multiple tasks are shown in Figure 3. Recordings in Figure 3a show a large source (upward deflection) that is evoked from ~300 to 800 ms after stimulus onset by visual targets (in a simple/choice reaction time task), words (in declarative memory and rhyming tasks), and brief sounds (in an auditory oddball task). The currents are significantly larger to stimuli that provoked wrong responses in the simple/choice RT task, as well as to feedback tones indicating a wrong response in the word memory task. The currents are larger to rare stimuli in the auditory oddball task and to old (i.e., repeated) words in the word memory task. The currents are larger when the response requires a choice in the simple/choice RT task, or the word orthography is irregular in the rhyming task. All responses exhibit similar morphologies and time courses and were recorded at the same microcontact. They show that the same ACC microdomain can respond in very similar ways to quite different tasks and stimuli, with differential responses to errors (either indicated by feedback or not), to rare events (presumably evoking orienting responses), to repeated words, and to difficulty (in stimulus–response mapping or orthographic–phonological decoding).

Biophysically, the source in the top panel could be attributable to active inhibitory synapses or could represent a passive current return to excitatory synapses located elsewhere. In Figure 3b, simultaneous MUA and CSD recordings from patient 2 allows the net local level of excitation to be estimated. A source is again observed across multiple tasks. The technical quality of the recordings is not as good, and relatively little task related modulation occurs between conditions. However, MUA significantly decreases during the CSD source in the auditory oddball, word memory, and rhyming tasks, suggesting that the source may represent active inhibition.

In Figure 3a, the cortical layer in which the source is located is hard to determine, because the laminar probe was in the sulcus (i.e., was not perpendicular to cortical surface). In Figure 3b, the source was recorded in the most medial contacts of the laminar probe, suggesting upper layers, but the technical quality of the recording was not adequate to confirm this suggestion.

Both the superficial location of the source and the association of the source with decreased MUA are confirmed in recordings from the left ACC of Pt2, as shown in Figure 4. The MRI indicated that the probe penetrated the crown of the gyrus perpendicular to its surface. At the top, CSD sources (blue) and sinks (red) over the cortical depth are plotted as contours versus time. At the bottom are plotted CSD and local MUA waveforms from a medial laminar contact near the cortical surface. A repeated observation across most tasks and conditions is a superficial sink from ~200 to 800 ms poststimulus, displayed as a blue area in the contour plots and an upward deflection in the CSD waveforms. The source is accompanied by a sink in deeper layers (red area in contour plots) with approximately the same time course. Simultaneous MUA is inhibited in all tasks and conditions, again with a similar time course. In most cases, the superficial source inverts to a strong sink after ~800 ms. Note that the sources in both Pt1 left ACC (Fig. 3a) and Pt2 right ACC (Fig. 3b) also invert to sinks after ~800 ms. These recordings also confirm the responsiveness of ACC microdomains to multiple tasks and the modulation of this response by different condition contrasts, including difficulty in stimulus–response mapping, word repetition, and cue consistency. Thus, across these three ACC microdomains, and across multiple tasks and conditions, a superficial CSD source with decreased MUA indicate active inhibitory postsynaptic currents.

The fourth recording location (Pt1 right ACC) (Fig. 5) also shows a strong CSD response across multiple tasks with large differentiations across task conditions. Again, the response is larger to choice than simple RT, to wrong than correct trials in that task, to repeated than nonrepeated words, and to rare auditory stimuli. The CSD contour has a different pattern than that seen in the other sites described above: rather than a source in the middle layers, beginning shortly after 100 ms. This pattern and timing is more typical of what has been observed in laminar recordings from temporal and prefrontal neocortical sites (E. Halgren, C. Wang, I. Ulbert, S. Knake, K. Marinkovic, J. Wu, J. Madsen, and D. Schomer, unpublished observations). This observation may indicate that only some ACC microdomains show the superficial inhibitory pattern that appears to characterize the recordings described above. Alternatively, this microcontact array may not have penetrated to the cortical surface. In that case, a slightly deeper penetration may have recorded a superficial source indicating inhibition as in the other sites.

The CSD responses described above were based on averaging the CSD with respect to stimulus onset. This method eliminates activity that is not phase locked to stimulus onset. Because the ACC generates theta activity in animals, and it has been proposed that the ERN is actually averaged theta activity in humans (Luu et al., 2003), we examined the ongoing CSD and noted strong oscillations in the theta range in Pt1 right ACC and Pt2 left ACC (Fig. 6). Aver-
aging the CSD on the peaks of these oscillations revealed an average frequency of ~4–5 Hz (Fig. 6a1,b1). In both cases, theta was generated mainly in superficial layers (Fig. 6a2,b2). Theta power was related to the stimulus, increasing after the stimulus and showing significantly different responses to old versus new words and to rare versus frequent auditory stimuli (Fig. 6a3). Theta did not have any strong or obvious effect on the MUA in the period between tasks (Fig. 6b3). When averaged during the peri-stimulus epoch, theta was associated with MUA decrease (Fig. 6b3) but without a theta-range periodicity, suggesting that this decrease was unrelated to the theta but rather was attributable to other aspects of the response (compare Fig. 4).

The consistency of the phase of theta band activity was estimated between the ACC and a sample of temporal and frontal lobe sites using potential gradient measurements (Fig. 7). Phase locking significantly increased in a task-related manner, including a consistent increase from ~200 to 700 ms after the stimulus. Additional peaks between ~500 and ~1000 ms were also observed. These transient increases in the similarity of the local field potential were found between both right and left ACC and sites in temporal neocortex (near the superior temporal sulcus), the frontal neocortex (including inferior, middle, and superior frontal gyri and the orbital gyrus), as well as mesial temporal hippocampal and parahippocampal leads. The increases were apparent both when comparing ACC to ipsilateral cortical as well as contra-lateral cortical sites. No consistent increases in ACC spectral power or ACC-neocortical phase locking were observed outside of the theta band.

In summary, the most common response was a current source and MUA decrease in ACC superficial layers from ~300 to 800 ms after onset, followed by a current sink. Theta rhythm was also found in superficial layers. These responses were observed across a variety of tasks, occurred during different phases of tasks, such as cue, stimulus, and feedback, and modulated across multiple task conditions. These responses were recorded in very small regions, corresponding to parts of one or two cortical columns.

**Discussion**

Multiple cognitive correlates in single ACC microdomains

A clear finding of this study was that synaptic currents and neuronal firing recorded at individual ACC locations responded to multiple tasks and contrasts within tasks. These responses reflected activity within ~300 μm from the recording site, as a result of the small size and close spacing of the recording contacts and the CSD/ MUA analytic methods used. Comparably high spatial resolution has also been reported for ultrahigh field (7 T) fMRI in animals (Yang et al., 1998). However, in the usual hemodynamic studies,
spatial resolution is limited by voxel size and spatial smoothing to a volume ~1000× larger than that of CSD/MUA. Spatial resolution of hemodynamic measures is also limited by diffusion, vasculature, and downstream vascular effects. Noninvasive electromagnetic measures have worse spatial resolution, especially for extended sources, caused by uncertainties inherent in source estimation (Dale and Halgren, 2001). Depth EEG measurements have less uncertainty but are still limited by the large in source estimation (Dale and Halgren, 2001). Depth EEG measurements have less uncertainty but are still limited by the large in source estimation (Dale and Halgren, 2001). Depth EEG measurements have less uncertainty but are still limited by the large in source estimation (Dale and Halgren, 2001).

The current results thus establish that within the very small sampling volumes of CSD/MUA, ACC synaptic and neuronal activity can show multiple cognitive correlates. Conversely, it is still likely that there is relative specialization of different ACC areas for different functions; other ACC microdomains would presumably also respond to multiple tasks but may have a distinct profile. Indeed, although the sites had similar responses in that they all responded to multiple tasks and differentially to multiple condition contrasts, with sustained synaptic activity in superficial cortical layers, they also had clear differences in their degrees and distribution of task modulation. More studies are needed to determine the topography and individuality of these responses.

In any case, these findings clearly support the exploration of functional models in which the ACC calculates its contribution to behavior by integrating multiple types and domains of information within individual cortical columns and/or between nearby columns. That is, within the range of tasks sampled, these data favor solutions to the multiplicity of ACC activation that posit broad participation through a global function rather than multiple more restricted contributions that are topographically segregated.

The actual tasks and situations evoking ACC responses and differential responses would be consistent with a variety of different formulations for ACC function. Perhaps most consistent with the largest number of observations would be the detection of situations in which there is a larger possibility of error (“conflict monitoring”), which might be expected also to evoke an orienting response (Table 2). However, the activation of a structure in a particular task does not imply that it is essential for performance of that task, nor even that it makes a significant contribution to the task. Given the involvement of the ACC in multiple anatomical systems, it is also possible that some activation reflects a collateral involvement that is only tangentially related to the intended behavioral manipulation. Furthermore, the current study had no effective probe of ACC neuronal output, because most ACC efferents arise from deep layers where we did not record MUA (Barbas, 2000).

### ACC generation of error-related brain potentials

A negative scalp potential termed “N2” occurs just before the response in conflict situations, and an “error-related negativity” (ERN) peaks ~120 ms after initiation of an incorrect response during speeded tasks (Gehring et al., 1993; Dehaene et al., 1994). The association of similar situations with ACC hemodynamic activation, as well as their general scalp topography (Holroyd et al., 1998; Scheffers and Coles, 2000), has led some to suggest that N2 and ERN are generated in ACC (Falkenstein et al., 2000; van Veen and Carter, 2002). Less ambiguous localization has been obtained from direct intracranial ACC recordings showing LFP during incorrect responses (Halgren et al., 2002). The current study provides additional confirmation that ACC generates ERPs during the same period as the N2 and ERN, with similar task correlates. However, more study is needed, including simultaneous scalp and laminar recordings during key tasks and detailed biophysical modeling to conclude that the scalp-recorded N2 and ERN are generated, in whole or in part, in ACC.

In other studies, ACC has been identified as one of the principal cortical structures generating potentials correlated with the orienting response (Baudena et al., 1995). The P3a, elicited by novel stimuli, evokes an involuntary reorientatation of attention as well as a constellation of autonomic features including a prominent electrodermal response (Marinkovic et al., 2001). Such features may be mediated by ACC projections directly to brainstem autonomic efferent structures, and ACC stimulation can provoke autonomic and general changes in cortical tones (Devinsky et al., 1995). Neuroimaging studies also found ACC activation in orientation or autonomic control, in particular to rare stimuli in
oddball tasks (Braver et al., 2001; Downar et al., 2001; Kiehl et al., 2001). The current study provides additional evidence for a role of the ACC in integrating cortical with autonomic aspects of phasic arousal to cognitive stimuli.

**ACC generation of theta**

Task-related theta activity was visible in the spontaneous CSD in the ACC of both patients. The theta rhythm is a dominant EEG feature of limbic structures including the hippocampal formation and cingulate gyrus (Leung and Borst, 1987) in most mammals, where it occurs during nonautomatic movements (Vanderwolf, 1969) and orienting (Grastyan et al., 1966). It also entails location-specific unit activity in rats (O’Keefe and Nadel, 1978), a property that has been hypothesized to aid in memory retrieval (McNaughton, 1998). The task correlates of the mammalian theta thus resemble in several respects those associated with ACC hemodynamic activation (reviewed above) as well as those found in the current study to differentially evoke human ACC synaptic and unit activity.

Theta in the human scalp EEG has also been related to memory processes (Bastiansen and Hagoort, 2003). The scalp topography of a prominent “frontal midline theta” during working memory tasks is consistent with generation in ACC (Gevins et al., 1997; Ishii et al., 1999), and scalp theta power is correlated across subjects with ACC glucose metabolic rate (measured with PET) (Pizzagalli et al., 2003). Subdural grid recordings have found task-related theta in numerous locations over the cortical convexity (Raghavachari et al., 2001). A single case report recorded spontaneous theta activity in the vicinity of ACC (Uchida et al., 2003). The current findings provide more direct and unambiguous support for task-related theta generation in the human ACC. Furthermore, the task-related increase in phase locking observed here indicates that the ACC theta forms part of a larger network involving widespread cortical locations in the temporal, frontal, and possibly other areas, consistent with the widespread coactivation of ACC with other areas observed with fMRI (Kiehl et al., 2000, 2001).

It is interesting to contrast the current results with depth recordings from the fusiform gyrus during the same word memory task as used in the current study and a similar task using faces. As in the current study, stimuli evoked an event-related increase in spectral power (Klopp et al., 1999). However, unlike the current study, the increase was wideband (from 5 to 45 Hz) rather than restricted to the theta range, was specific for faces rather than occurring to a variety of stimuli, was early and brief (150–210 ms) rather than later and extended (∼200–1000 ms), and was followed by a profound decrease in spectral power rather than being a monophasic increase. In con-
Inhibition in superficial layers
The most consistent task-related neuronal response observed here is a current source with unit firing decrease indicating IPSCs
in superficial ACC layers. One possible source for this inhibition could be local GABAergic interneurons, whose neuropil (as indicated by parvalbumin and calbindin labeling) is most dense in layer III of ACC (Nimchinsky et al., 1997). A second possible source is cholinergic fibers from the Ch4 cell group in the nucleus basalis of Meynert that project mainly to superficial ACC in primates and humans (Geula and Mesulam, 1989; Lewis, 1991; Selden et al., 1998). This is the same system as the medial septum/ diagonal band of Broca, whose cholinergic and GABAergic projections to multiple limbic and neocortical sites produce the theta rhythm (Bland and Oddie, 1998). The proximal cause of the hippocampal theta in rats appears to be cholinergic (Bland and Oddie, 1998). The proximal cause of the hippocampal theta in rats appears to be cholinergic (Bland and Oddie, 1998). The proximal cause of the hippocampal theta in rats appears to be Cl- mediated IPSCs (Leung, 1998). Although the local neuronal mechanisms of the ACC theta are less clear, AMPA infusion into the nucleus basalis results in decreased cingulate glucose metabolism, suggesting that these basal forebrain influences may be mainly inhibitory (Browne et al., 1998). Consistent with this interpretation, in the current recordings, the theta rhythm originated mostly from ACC superficial layers, and during task performance appeared to be associated with decreased firing. However, the overall effect of cholinergic or theta modulation is a change in the mode of information processing, which extends beyond simple inhibition.

It is unlikely that ACC inhibition is attributable directly to input from dorsolateral prefrontal or other isocortex, which project to the deep layers of ACC (Barbas, 2000). However, such input could occur indirectly via projections to ACC interneurons and/or to basal forebrain modulatory structures. One would predict such projections, because ACC superficial inhibition distinguishes between error and correct trials, and the ERN does not make such distinctions in patients with prefrontal lesions (Gebrin and Knight, 2000).

In conclusion, the current results are most consistent with modulation of superficial ACC layers across a wide variety of tasks and situations. This modulation may arise in the basal forebrain, under the control of prefrontal and other areas, and be mediated by local interneurons. It starts well before the behavioral response and continues for several hundred milliseconds. It is larger when the task is difficult or an error has been made. We hypothesize that this modulatory influence gates ACC output during behavior. Although firing from the ACC output layers was not recorded, evidence for ACC-neocortical interaction during this period was found in a transient increase in the phase locking of their synaptic activity in the theta range. Inhibition in cortical pyramidal cells is commonly followed by activation of a nonspecific cation channel that is suppressed by normal waking levels of depolarization (Destexhe et al., 2003). In the present recordings, the superficial source is followed by a superficial sink, suggesting that rebound from superficial inhibition could prime the ACC to deal with the consequences of action in the postresponse period.

### References


