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Hypothermic responses of rodents to the peripheral or intraventricular injection of many individual neurotransmitter receptor agonists have been well documented. Because many hypothermia-inducing agonists are also known to activate G-protein-gated potassium (GIRK) channels, we investigated the hypothermic response to several of these agents on GIRK2 null mutant mice. Core body temperatures were measured through radiotelemetry, and animals were maintained in special temperature-regulated chambers to ensure the accuracy of the measurements. The resulting data indicate that the activation of GIRK2-containing potassium channels plays a significant role in hypothermia induced by the activation of serotonergic (5-HT1A), GABAergic (GABAβ), muscarinic (m₂), adenosine (A₁), and µ, δ, and κ opioid receptors. These channels also are involved in the alcohol-induced hypothermic response. These results have implications for the understanding of pharmacologically induced hypothermia and thermoregulatory mechanisms.
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Introduction

G-protein-gated potassium (GIRK) channels are modulated by a variety of G-protein-coupled neurotransmitter receptors (GPCRs), including serotonergic (5-HT₁A), GABAergic (GABAβ), muscarinic (m₂), adenosine (A₁), opioid (µ, δ, and κ), adrenergic (α₂), and dopaminergic (D₂) receptors (for review, see Yamada et al., 1998). GIRK channels play key roles in the maintenance of the resting membrane potential, regulation of action potential duration, and receptor-dependent modulation of cellular excitability. GIRK1/GIRK4 heteromers are found mainly in the atrium (Krapivinsky et al., 1995). Neuronal cells predominantly express GIRK1, GIRK2, and GIRK3 but also express GIRK4 to a minor extent (Wickman et al., 2000). When activated, GIRK channels typically decrease the firing rate of atrial myocytes and neuronal cells. Interestingly, the pharmacological activation of the same GPCRs that are known to positively modulate GIRK channels also produces hypothermia in rodents (Jackson and Nutt, 1991; Meller et al., 1992; Anderson et al., 1994; Malberg and Seiden, 1997; Heisler et al., 1998; Gainedinov et al., 1999; Millan et al., 2000; Eltayb et al., 2001; Baker and Meert, 2002).

To explore the potential connection between GPCR activation of GIRK channels and pharmacologically induced hypothermia, we quantitatively assessed the hypothemic responses of inbred GIRK2 null mutant [knock-out (KO)] mice to the peripheral injection of several selective GPCR agonists and ethanol. Core body temperatures were measured through radiotelemetry, and animals were maintained in special temperature-regulated chambers to ensure the accuracy of the measurements. The GIRK2 gene (also known as Kcnj6, Kir3.2, or “weaver gene”) encodes potassium channel subunits that are thought to form primarily heteromeric channels with GIRK1 subunits, and GIRK2 KO mice also show reduced Girk1 expression in the brain (Signorini et al., 1997). Therefore, GIRK2 KO mice are deficient on two of the three major neuronal GIRK channel subunits and should provide a reasonable initial estimate of the role of GIRK channels in pharmacologically induced hypothermia.

Materials and Methods

Animals. The generation and DNA PCR genotyping of GIRK2 null mutant mice were described by Signorini et al. (1997). Heterozygous GIRK2 KO mice were backcrossed 15 generations onto the C57BL/6J background to effectively eliminate variations in genetic background. Experimental animals were generated by heterozygous × heterozygous matings, which produced homozygous, heterozygous, and wild-type mice. Mice were group-housed four to five to a cage based on sex and litter until the day they underwent surgical implantation of miniature telemetry devices and were single-housed thereafter. Animals were maintained on a 12 h light/dark cycle, with lights on at 7:00 A.M. and ad libitum access to food and water. All experiments were performed during the light phase of the light/dark cycle. Altogether, 60 male mice were used in this study: 24 wild-type, 24 homozygous KO, and 12 heterozygous KO mice. Handling and care of mice were consistent with federal guidelines, and all experi-
mental methods received the approval of the University of Denver’s Ani-
mal Care and Use Committee.

Core temperature measurements. To quantitatively assess core tempera-
ture drops, we performed the temperature measurements with tempera-
ture-sensitive radiotelemetry devices (XM-FH; Mini Mitter, Sun River, OR) surgically implanted in the mouse peritoneal cavity under
general anesthesia (80 mg/kg ketamine and 20 mg/kg xylazine, i.p.). After a 3–4 d recovery period, the animals were transferred in their home cage
into a temperature-measurement chamber for 110–150 min (set at 24 ±
0.2°C), similar to the one described by Malberg and Seiden (1997). First,
the mice were acclimated to the temperature chamber for 30 min before
the injection of the drug. Then, after drug administration, core body
temperatures were recorded continuously for an additional 80–120 min
period. Injections were performed twice per week for 4 consecutive
weeks on the same animals. These procedures were designed to minimize
confounding factors such as core body temperature shifts attributable to
animal handling or fluctuations in room temperature.

Preparation and administration of drugs. Subcutaneous injections of
the selective 5-HT₁A and 5-HT₇ receptor agonist R-(-)-8-hydroxy-2-
(di-n-propylamino) tetralin (8-OH-DPAT) were used to study the dose
dependence of the hypothermia induced by this compound on wild-type,
ho­mozy­go­us KO, and heterozy­go­us KO mice. Single-dose 8-OH-DPAT
injections were performed twice per week for 4 consecutive weeks on the
same animals in the following sequence of doses: 0.1, 1.0, 0.03, 3.0, 0,
and 10 mg/kg; this was designed to prevent the development of tolerance
(Meller et al., 1992). The role of the Girk2 gene on the hypothermia
induced by various GPCR agonists was studied on a second group of
wild-type and homozygous KO mice. The agonists used were 8-OH-
DPAT (0.3 mg/kg, s.c.), (R)-4-amino-3-(4-chlorophenyl) butanoic acid
(baclofen; GABA₉ receptor; 5 mg/kg, i.p.), oxotremorine (m₅ receptor;
0.05 mg/kg, s.c.); R-(-)-7-hydroxy-2-(di-n-propylamino) tetralin (7-
OH-DPAT; D₅ receptor; 0.2 mg/kg, s.c.), clonidine (α₂ adrenergic recep-
tor; 0.2 mg/kg, s.c.), R-N⁴-phenylisopropyladenosine (R-PIA; A₁
receptor; 0.05 mg/kg, i.p.); 4-[(p-chlorophenyl)-4-hydroxy-N,N-
dimethyl-α,α-diphenyl-1-piperidinethyramide (loperamide; opioid δ,
δ, and κ receptor; 5 mg/kg, i.p.); and ethanol (3 g/kg, i.p.), which has been
shown to directly activate Girk2-containing channels (Lewohl et al.,
1999) as well as 5-HT₁A receptors (Popova and Ivanova, 2002). Except
for ethanol, all drugs were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO), were
prepared from frozen stocks (−80°C) on the day of the experiments, and
were administered at an injection volume of 6.25 ml/kg. A 20% w/w
solution of ethanol in physiological saline (0.9% NaCl in distilled water)
was prepared from a 95% stock (AAPER Co., Selbyville, KY; kept at
−20°C) on the day of the experiments and administered at an injection
volume of 15.66 ml/kg.

Statistics. Basal core temperature values for each genotype were com-
pared by one-way ANOVA (Statistica 6.1; StatSoft, Tulsa, OK). In ex-
periments involving the study of the dose dependence of the hypothermia
induced by 8-OH-DPAT, we generated dose–response graphs in which
data points represented mean ± SEM maximal temperature drop values
for each animal per genotype per dose as a function of the 8-OH-DPAT
dose. These results were analyzed by two-way repeated-measures (RM)
ANOVA and Fisher’s protected least significant difference (PLSD) post
hoc tests, with dose and genotype as factors (Statistica). In addition,
these data were curve fitted to a Hill equation \[ Y = \text{MaxResponse}\times(1 + \text{exp}[(\log ED_{50} - X) \times \text{HillSlope}]) \], where \( X \) is the logarithm of the 8-OH-
DPAT dose, \( Y \) is the magnitude of the temperature drop, MaxResponse
represents the maximal curve asymptote, \( \log ED_{50} \) is the logarithm of the
8-OH-DPAT dose that produces one-half the maximal response, and
HillSlope represents the steepness of the dose–response curve using
nonlinear regression (Prism version 4; GraphPad Software, San Diego,
CA). The values of parameters MaxResponse, \( \log ED_{50} \), and HillSlope for
each genotype were compared by \( F \) tests. Unpaired two-tailed Mann–
Whitney \( U \) tests (Prism) were used to compare the mean temperature
drops produced by various GPCR agonists on wild-type versus homozy-
gous KO mice. In all cases, a difference was considered to be significant if
the obtained probability was \( p < 0.05 \).

Results

Girk2 gene dosage has a significant effect on the amount of
hypothermia induced by 8-OH-DPAT

Overall, we found progressively smaller temperature drops as the
gene dosage of the Girk2 gene went from 2 in wild-type mice to 0
in homozygous Girk2 KO mice (Fig. 1A,B). Analysis of the data
showed that both genotype (RM ANOVA; \( F_{(2,9)} = 22.137; *p <
0.0001 \)) and 8-OH-DPAT dose (\( F_{(5,165)} = 279.63; *p < 0.0001 \))
had significant (*) effects on the recorded core temperature drops.
A significant interaction between genotype and 8-OH-
DPAT dose was also detected (\( F_{(10,165)} = 3.793; *p = 0.0001 \)).
In addition, Fisher’s PLSD post hoc tests showed that the tempera-
ture drop induced by a 0.3 mg/kg injection of 8-OH-DPAT was
larger for wild-type mice compared with heterozygous KO mice
\( (p = 0.0152) \) and larger for heterozygous KO mice than for
homozygous KO mice \( (p = 0.0350) \), which indicates a Girk2
gene dosage dependence for this form of pharmacologically
induced hypothermia. Note, however, that the mean basal core
body temperatures (as measured at time = 0) were not signifi-
cantly different across genotypes (wild-type, 38.07 ± 0.08°C; het-
erozygous KO, 37.97 ± 0.10°C; homozygous KO, 38.20 ±
0.07°C; \( F_{(2,33)} = 1.887; p = 0.1676 \) ANOVA).

Although it is not possible to interpret in molecular terms the
parameters of dose–response curves in the context of this type of
experiment, nonlinear analysis of the best-fit Hill equations de-
picted in Figure 1B indicates a significant decrease in apparent
drug potency as the Girk2 gene dosage decreased from 2 in wild-
type mice to 0 in homozygous Girk2 KO mice. The ED_{50} value
for the hypothermia produced by 8-OH-DPAT on wild-type mice
\( (0.115 ± 0.014 \, \text{mg/kg}) \) was significantly smaller than on
heterozygous KO mice \( (0.231 ± 0.022 \, \text{mg/kg}; \, F_{(1,6)} = 15.57; *p =
0.0076; \, F\text{test}) \) and significantly smaller on heterozygous KO mice
than on homozygous KO mice \( (0.394 ± 0.028 \, \text{mg/kg}; \, F_{(1,6)} =
18.63; *p = 0.0050) \). The maximal hypothermic responses and
Hill coefficients, however, were comparable between all three
genotypes \( (F_{(2,9)} = 3.556, p = 0.0728; \, F_{(2,9)} = 1.456, p = 0.2832) \).

The Girk2 gene plays a significant role in the hypothermia
induced by various GPCR agonists

We then extended these experiments to a new group of Girk2 KO
\( (n = 12) \) and control \( (n = 12) \) mice by injecting, in addition to
from Girk2/Girk3
ceruleus neurons from Torrecilla et al. (2002) have shown that opioid-induced hyperpolarization of other members of the GIRK family. For example, also the presence of substantial residual pharmacologically induced hypothermia in homozygous KO mice for all tested agonists clearly indicates that the activation of GIRK2-containing channels act as molecular hubs controlling many modalities of pharmacologically induced hypothermic responses. Hyperpolarization produced by the activation of GIRK2-containing channels could either decrease or increase the firing rate of spontaneously firing neurons depending on whether the activated channels are located presynaptically or postsynaptically. For example, Strecker et al. (2000) found that adenosine, which has been shown to have direct inhibitory effects on many neurons, in fact activates neurons in the preoptic–anterior hypothalamus. The ability of adenosine to increase the firing rate of these neurons was explained by a reduction in GABAergic inhibitory input via postsynaptic disinhibition.

Although the basic mechanisms of neuronal thermosensitivity remain unresolved, most researchers in this field agree that the firing rate of warm-sensitive neurons in the preoptic–anterior hypothalamus is important in sensing changes in core temperature and controlling both physiological and behavioral thermoregulatory responses (Zhao and Boulant, 2005). Warming of the body increases the firing rate of these neurons. The majority of the spontaneously firing neurons in the preoptic–anterior hypothalamus, however, are temperature insensitive. A classic neuronal model developed originally by Hammel (1965) proposes that it is the comparison between excitatory and inhibitory synaptic inputs from temperature-insensitive and warm-sensitive neurons that provides the basis for set point temperatures in heat loss, heat retention, and heat production responses. Therefore, if the warm-sensitive neurons are considered the “heat sensors” of the thermoregulatory system, temperature-insensitive neurons would provide the “thermostat setting,” and the error-detecting neurons (some times referred to as cold-sensitive neurons), which receive synapses from these two types of neurons, would be the “thermostat” of the system.

Modulation of the firing rate of any of these three types of neurons by neurotransmitter receptor agonist activation of GIRK2-containing channels could potentially influence pharmacologically induced hypothermic responses. Hyperpolarization produced by the activation of GIRK2-containing channels could either decrease or increase the firing rate of spontaneously firing neurons depending on whether the activated channels are located presynaptically or postsynaptically. For example, Strecker et al. (2000) found that adenosine, which has been shown to have direct inhibitory effects on many neurons, in fact activates neurons in the preoptic–anterior hypothalamus. The ability of adenosine to increase the firing rate of these neurons was explained by a reduction in GABAergic inhibitory input via postsynaptic disinhibition.

Various experimentally testable hypotheses to explain our results can be derived from the thermoregulation model of Hammel (1965). In the simplest scenario, GPCR-mediated activation of presynaptic GIRK2-containing channels would induce hypothermia by a transient increase in the firing rate of warm-sensitive neurons. A less-straightforward scheme would involve a GIRK2-mediated deceleration of the rate of recovery from hypothermia. In such a scheme, hypothermia would be induced by a separate GPCR-mediated mechanism (such as inhibition of voltage-gated calcium conductances or modulation of adenylyl cyclase activity). Although this mechanism is less intuitive than the first one, it can accommodate more easily the existence of hypothermia induced by agonists of GPCRs not shown to be linked to GIRK conductances (e.g., the 5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor, which modulates adenylyl cyclase activity) (Hedlund et al., 2003). It can also account directly for the observed shift to the left in the peak of the hypothermic response to 8-OH-DPAT of Girk2 KO compared with wild-type mice (Fig. 1A). We have observed similar shifts in the peak responses for baclofen, oxotremorine, 7-OH-DPAT, R-PIA, and ethanol (data not shown). (Clonidine produced no shift in peak response, and loperamide produced a shift to the right.)

One possible way of interpreting the observed shifts in the

8-OH-DPAT (0.3 mg/kg, s.c.), other GPCR agonists with demonstrated hypothermic effect. These agonists were baclofen (5 mg/kg, i.p.), oxotremorine (0.05 mg/kg, s.c.), 7-OH-DPAT (0.2 mg/kg, s.c.), clonidine (0.2 mg/kg, s.c.), R-PIA (0.05 mg/kg, i.p.), loperamide (5 mg/kg, i.p.), and ethanol (3 g/kg, i.p.). We observed significant genotype dependence for the amount of hypothermia produced by 8-OH-DPAT (*p = 0.0073; Mann–Whitney U test), baclofen (*p = 0.0011), oxotremorine (*p = 0.0226), R-PIA (*p = 0.0226), loperamide (*p = 0.0086), and ethanol (*p = 0.0166), whereas the results for 7-OH-DPAT (*p = 0.1572) and clonidine (p = 0.4025) were not significantly genotype dependent (Fig. 2A).

In the present study, we made no systematic attempt to quantify behavioral events that typically accompany pharmacologically induced hypothermia, such as reduced locomotor activity and sedation. However, we noticed that these effects were also markedly less pronounced in homozygous KO mice, particularly after the injection of baclofen, 8-OH-DPAT, oxotremorine, and R-PIA.

Discussion

The main finding of the present study was that the Girk2 gene plays a significant role in the hypothermia induced by various GPCR agonists. Although the basic mechanisms of neuronal thermosensitivity remain unresolved, most researchers in this field agree that the firing rate of warm-sensitive neurons in the preoptic–anterior hypothalamus is important in sensing changes in core temperature and controlling both physiological and behavioral thermoregulatory responses (Zhao and Boulant, 2005). Warming of the body increases the firing rate of these neurons. The majority of the spontaneously firing neurons in the preoptic–anterior hypothalamus, however, are temperature insensitive. A classic neuronal model developed originally by Hammel (1965) proposes that it is the comparison between excitatory and inhibitory synaptic inputs from temperature-insensitive and warm-sensitive neurons that provides the basis for set point temperatures in heat loss, heat retention, and heat production responses. Therefore, if the warm-sensitive neurons are considered the “heat sensors” of the thermoregulatory system, temperature-insensitive neurons would provide the “thermostat setting,” and the error-detecting neurons (some times referred to as cold-sensitive neurons), which receive synapses from these two types of neurons, would be the “thermostat” of the system.

Modulation of the firing rate of any of these three types of neurons by neurotransmitter receptor agonist activation of GIRK2-containing channels could potentially influence pharmacologically induced hypothermic responses. Hyperpolarization produced by the activation of GIRK2-containing channels could either decrease or increase the firing rate of spontaneously firing neurons depending on whether the activated channels are located presynaptically or postsynaptically. For example, Strecker et al. (2000) found that adenosine, which has been shown to have direct inhibitory effects on many neurons, in fact activates neurons in the preoptic–anterior hypothalamus. The ability of adenosine to increase the firing rate of these neurons was explained by a reduction in GABAergic inhibitory input via postsynaptic disinhibition.

Various experimentally testable hypotheses to explain our results can be derived from the thermoregulation model of Hammel (1965). In the simplest scenario, GPCR-mediated activation of presynaptic GIRK2-containing channels would induce hypothermia by a transient increase in the firing rate of warm-sensitive neurons. A less-straightforward scheme would involve a GIRK2-mediated deceleration of the rate of recovery from hypothermia. In such a scheme, hypothermia would be induced by a separate GPCR-mediated mechanism (such as inhibition of voltage-gated calcium conductances or modulation of adenylyl cyclase activity). Although this mechanism is less intuitive than the first one, it can accommodate more easily the existence of hypothermia induced by agonists of GPCRs not shown to be linked to GIRK conductances (e.g., the 5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor, which modulates adenylyl cyclase activity) (Hedlund et al., 2003). It can also account directly for the observed shift to the left in the peak of the hypothermic response to 8-OH-DPAT of Girk2 KO compared with wild-type mice (Fig. 1A). We have observed similar shifts in the peak responses for baclofen, oxotremorine, 7-OH-DPAT, R-PIA, and ethanol (data not shown). (Clonidine produced no shift in peak response, and loperamide produced a shift to the right.)

One possible way of interpreting the observed shifts in the
peak response involves the use of a simple dynamic scheme in which hypothemic responses are described in terms of the following transitions: normal body temperature → hypothermia → recovery. If GIRK2-containing channels play a significant role in hypothermia induction, a decrease in the expression of these channels should lead to a decrease in the rate of transition from normal body temperature to hypothermia and, therefore, a shift to the right and a decrease in amplitude of the peak response. However, if GIRK2-containing channels play a role in decreasing the rate of recovery from hypothermia, decreasing the expression of this gene should speed up recovery and produce a peak response that is smaller and shifted to the left in KO mice compared with wild-type animals. However, we should reemphasize that, because of the large number of pharmacokinetic variables associated with the type of experiment we have performed, direct interpretation of the resulting data in terms of molecular kinetics has only limited heuristic value. Future experiments involving in vivo microdialysis and simultaneous temperature measurements by radiotelemetry could potentially overcome this technical barrier and allow the distinction between the two competing mechanisms. In addition, future in vitro electrophysiological assessments of thermoregulatory neurons in the preoptic–anterior hypothalamus of Girk2 KO mice could complement such studies and provide a clear molecular mechanism for the central role of GIRK2-containing potassium channels in pharmacologically induced hypothermia.

Finally, we should note that, because the GIRK2 gene is located in human chromosome 21 (and its mouse ortholog, Girk2, is in mouse chromosome 16) (The Jackson Laboratory, 2005), our findings might be relevant to the study of neurotransmission and thermoregulation in persons with Down syndrome and aneuploid mouse models for this genetic disorder (for review, see Patterson and Costa, 2005).
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