Altered Behavioral Responses to Noxious Stimuli and Fear in Glutamate Receptor 5 (GluR5)- or GluR6-Deficient Mice
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Different kainate receptor (KAR) subtypes contribute to the regulation of both excitatory and inhibitory transmission. However, no study has reported a role for KAR subtypes in behavioral responses to persistent pain and fear memory. Here we show that responses to capsaicin or inflammatory pain were significantly reduced in mice lacking glutamate receptor 5 (GluR5) but not GluR6 subunits. In classic fear-memory tests, mice lacking GluR6 but not GluR5 showed a significant reduction in fear memory when measured 3, 7, or 14 d after training. Additionally, synaptic potentiation was significantly reduced in the lateral amygdala of GluR6 but not GluR5 knock-out mice. Our findings provide evidence that distinct KAR subtypes contribute to chemical/inflammatory pain and fear memory. Selectively targeting different KAR subtypes may provide a useful strategy for treating persistent pain and fear-related mental disorders.
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Introduction
Kainate receptors (KARs) are composed of five different subunits (Hollmann and Heinemann, 1994). These include glutamate receptor 5 (GluR5), GluR6, and GluR7 subunits, which form functional homomeric receptors, and KA1 and KA2, which combine in heteromeric receptors but do not form functional ion channels on their own. In the periphery and spinal cord, KARs play an important role in sensory transmission. KARs are located on sensory afferent fibers and dorsal root ganglion (DRG) cells (Partin et al., 1993; Tolle et al., 1993; Procter et al., 1998; Hwang et al., 2001; Kerchner et al., 2001b, 2002). In the spinal cord, they are located on the postsynaptic membrane of dorsal horn neurons and contribute to synaptic responses to high-threshold primary afferent fiber stimulation (Li et al., 1999). KARs are also present presynaptically on the primary afferent fibers themselves (Davies et al., 1979; Huettner, 1990), where they can regulate glutamate release in the spinal cord (Kerchner et al., 2001a). Furthermore, presynaptic KARs biphasically regulate inhibitory transmission in the spinal dorsal horn (Kerchner and Zhuo, 2002). The deletion of GluR5 abolished KAR function in DRG neurons (Kerchner et al., 2002). However, glutamate-mediated sensory synaptic transmission is normal in spinal cord slices of GluR5 and GluR6 knock-out mice (Youn and Randic, 2004). Behavioral responses to both formalin and complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA) are reduced when animals are treated with the selective GluR5 receptor antagonist (3S,4aR,6S,8aS)-6-(4-carboxyphenyl)methyl-1,2,3,4a,5,6,7,8,8a-decacyclohexadecane-3-carboxylic acid (LY382884) (Simmons et al., 1998; Guo et al., 2002), indicating a role for GluR5 in pain transmission. These findings suggest that GluR5 is essential for KAR-mediated responses in DRG cells and for presynaptic regulation in the spinal dorsal horn.

KARs are also distributed in higher brain structures, such as the amygdala and related cortical areas (Hollmann and Heinemann, 1994; Li et al., 2001). In the hippocampus, KARs contribute to presynaptic regulation and postsynaptic responses to re-petitive stimulation (Ferking and Nicoll, 2000; Kullmann, 2001; Huettner et al., 2002; Lerma, 2003). GluR5-containing KARs in the amygdala contribute to heterosynaptic facilitation induced by prolonged low-frequency stimulation (Li et al., 2001). The role of the KAR subtypes GluR5 and GluR6 in fear memory has yet to be elucidated. Additionally, although studies have shown that GluR5 antagonists can attenuate responses to persistent pain, no study has examined nociceptive responses in both GluR5 and GluR6 knock-out mice. In the present study, we used knock-out mice to explore the contribution of GluR5 and GluR6 receptors to sensory responses, fear memory, and learning-related synaptic potentiation in the amygdala. Several tests for acute thermal sensitivity and chemically induced persistent pain were used to elucidate selective roles for both KAR subtypes in nociceptive responses. It is important to understand how different receptor subtypes contribute to pain and fear memory, because such an understanding may lead to the development of more efficacious treatments for chronic pain and memory loss.

Materials and Methods
Mice. Adult male mice (8–12 weeks of age) were used for all experiments. GluR5 and GluR6 knock-out mice were gifts from Dr. Stephen F. Heinemann (The Salk Institute, San Diego, CA) (Mulle et al., 1998, 2000). Mice were housed on a 12 h light/dark cycle with ad libitum access to food and water. GluR5 and GluR6 knock-out mice were maintained on a mixed...
129Sv × C57BL/6 background, and 129Sv/C57BL/6 mice from Taconic (Germantown, NY) were used as controls. Additional experiments were performed on GluR5 and GluR6 wild-type littermates, and no significant difference was found when compared with 129Sv/C57BL/6 mice from Taconic. The Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Toronto approved all mouse protocols. Because GluR5 and GluR6 knockout mice are visually indistinguishable, all experiments were performed blind to the genotype.

Sensory stimuli and inflammation. The tail-flick reflex was evoked by focused, radiant heat applied to the underside of the tail. In the hot-plate test, mice were placed on a thermally controlled metal plate (Columbia Instruments, Columbus, OH). The time between placement on the plate and licking or lifting of a hindpaw was measured. Mice were removed after a response, and the cutoff was 30 s. Mechanical withdrawal thresholds were assessed with von Frey filaments (Stoelting, Wood Dale, IL) modified so that the filament extended parallel to the rod. The mean response was calculated as the average of five to six measurements performed at 10 min intervals.

Capsaicin (1 μg per 10 μl in 7.5% DMSO/saline (10 μl); Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was injected into the dorsal skin of one hindpaw. Licking of the injected hindpaw was recorded during every 5 min interval for a total of 30 min. For inflammatory pain, formalin (5%, 10 μl) was injected into the dorsal side of a hindpaw. The total time spent licking or biting the injected hindpaw was recorded during every 5 min interval for a total of 30 min. Three phases of formalin licking were recorded, because previous studies consisting of (in mM): 124 NaCl, 4.4 KCl, 2.0 CaCl2, 1.0 MgSO4, 5.0 NaHCO3, 1.0 NaH2PO4, and 10 glucose, bubbled with 95% O2 and 5% CO2. Slices were kept in the recording chamber for at least 2 h before the start of experiments (Wci et al., 2002). In amygdala slices, a bipolar tungsten stimulating electrode (Micro Probe, Potomac, MD) was placed in the ventral striatum, and an extracellular recording electrode (3–12 MΩ, filled with ACSF) was placed in the lateral amygdala (LA). In auditory cortical slices, a bipolar tungsten stimulating electrode was placed in layer V, and extracellular field potentials were recorded using a glass microelectrode placed in layer II/III. Synaptic responses were elicited every 50 s by electrical stimulation (200 μs duration). After obtaining stable recordings for at least 15–20 min, five trains of theta burst stimulation (TBS), which consisted of five bursts (four pulses at 100 Hz) of stimuli delivered every 200 ms at the same intensity, were applied.

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings. Transverse slices (300 μm) of the amygdala were transferred to a room-temperature submerged recovery chamber with oxygenated (95% O2 and 5% CO2) ACSF solution as described above. After a 1 h recovery, slices were placed in a recording chamber on the stage of an Axioskop 2FS microscope (Zeiss, Thornwood, NY) equipped with infrared differential interference contrast optics for visualized whole-cell patch-clamp recordings. EPSCs were recorded from cells in the lateral amygdala with an Axon 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA). Electrical stimulations (200 μs duration) were delivered by a bipolar tungsten stimulating electrode placed in the internal capsule (thalamic inputs) (Tsvetkov et al., 2004). EPSCs were induced by repetitive stimulations at 0.02–0.05 Hz, and neurons were voltage clamped at −70 mV. In all experiments, the stimulus intensity was adjusted to produce synaptic responses with an amplitude of 70–100 pA. In long-term potentiation (LTP) experiments, the recording pipettes (3–5 MΩ) were filled with solution containing (in mM): 145 K-glucuronate, 5.0 NaCl, 1.0 MgCl2, 0.2 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 2.0 Mg-ATP, and 0.1 Na2-GTP, adjusted to a pH of 7.2 with KOH (280–300 mOsm). Picrotoxin (100 μM) was always present in the perfusion solution (ACSF) to block GABA-A receptor-mediated inhibitory synaptic currents. After obtaining a stable EPSC for at least 10 min, LTP was induced by 80 pulses at 2 Hz paired with postsynaptic depolarization at +30 mV (Tsvetkov et al., 2004). AMPA receptor-mediated components of EPSCs were pharmacologically isolated in ACSF containing AP-5 (50 μM) and picrotoxin (100 μM). To detect kAR-mediated EPSCs, (±)-4-(4-aminophenyl)-1,2-dihydro-1-methyl-2-propylcarbamoyl-6,7-methylenedioxyphthalalazine (SYM220; 100 μM) and CNXQ (20 μM) were sequentially applied through bath solution. The patch electrodes contained (in mM): 120 cesium gluconate, 5.0 NaCl, 1.0 MgCl2, 0.5 EGTA, 2.0 MgATP, 0.1 Na2-GTP, 10 HEPES, and 2.0 lidocaine N-methyl bromide quaternary salt (QX-314), adjusted to pH7.2 with CsOH (280–300 mOsm). Access resistance was 15–30 MΩ and monitored throughout the experiment. Data were discarded if access resistance changed >15% during an experiment.

Drugs. All chemicals and drugs were obtained from Sigma, except for SYM2206 and QX-314, which were from Tocris Cookson (Ellisville, MO). Data analysis. Results were expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical comparisons were performed using a one- or two-way ANOVA and the Student–Newman–Keuls test for post hoc comparisons. In all cases, p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Responses to noxious stimuli and inflammation

To determine whether thermal sensitivity might be altered in GluR5 or GluR6 knockout mice, we used the spinal tail-flick reflex and the hot-plate test. In the tail-flick test, no significant difference was found between wild-type, GluR5 knock-out, and GluR6 knock-out mice (wild-type, n = 10; GluR5, n = 14; GluR6, n = 8) (Fig 1A). In the hot-plate test (55°C), again, no difference was found in response latencies (wild-type, n = 10; GluR5, n = 14; GluR6, n = 8) (Fig 1 B). To detect possible changes in threshold, we set the hot-plate to a lower temperature (50°C) and found no significant difference (wild-type, n = 10; GluR5, n = 14; GluR6, n = 8) (Fig 1B). We also measured hindpaw withdrawal thresholds to mechanical stimuli with von Frey filaments and found no significant difference among groups [wild-type, n = 6 (mean, 1.9 ± 0.2 g); GluR5, n = 6 (mean, 2.1 ± 0.2 g); GluR6, n = 5 (mean, 1.9 ± 0.2 g)] (Fig 1C). These results indicate that GluR5 and GluR6 receptors do not contribute to acute nociceptive transmission or mechanical sensitivity.
A peripheral subcutaneous injection of capsaicin was used to evaluate the role of KARs in response to a more prolonged noxious stimulus (Caterina et al., 2000). After injection, wild-type mice \((n=9)\) (Fig. 1D) displayed licking behaviors for 30 min. Similar responses were obtained from wild-type littermate mice \((n=5; \text{mean, 64.2 ± 19.5 s})\). However, a significant reduction in the licking response to capsaicin was found in GluR5 knock-out mice \((n=7; p<0.05)\) (Fig. 1D). In contrast, responses to capsaicin injection were not significantly different in GluR6 knock-out mice \((n=7)\) (Fig. 1D). GluR5 knock-out mice \((n=5; p=0.46)\) and wild-type littermate mice \((n=7)\) responded similarly when injected with the vehicle solution.

A peripheral injection of formalin, a common model for tissue injury and inflammatory pain, was used to determine whether KARs play a role in behavioral responses to inflammation. Interestingly, we found a significant reduction in all three phases of the licking response in GluR5 knock-out mice \((n=7)\) (Fig. 2A,B) compared with wild-type mice \((n=10)\). As before, licking responses were normal in GluR6 knock-out mice \((n=8)\) (Fig. 2A,B). The formalin licking response was also significantly reduced in GluR5 knock-out mice when compared with wild-type littermates \((n=8)\) \((p<0.05\) for each phase).

CFA (50%, 10 μl), a model for more prolonged inflammatory pain, was injected into the dorsum of a hindpaw in wild-type, GluR5, and GluR6 knock-out mice (Wei et al., 2001). Application of a von Frey fiber to the hindpaw elicited no response in untreated mice. However, at 1 and 3 d after CFA injection, mice responded to mechanical stimulation of either the same (ipsilateral) or, to a lesser extent, the contralateral hindpaw. We found that mechanical allodynia, or the display of noxious responses to stimuli that do not normally elicit pain, was significantly reduced in GluR5 knock-out mice compared with wild-type littermates \((n=8; p<0.05)\). Hindpaw edema was measured with a fine caliper in wild-type, GluR5 knock-out, and GluR6 knock-out mice 3 d after CFA injection. \(*p<0.05\), significant difference from wild-type mice treated with formalin.

**Figure 1.** Acute nociception and responses to capsaicin in wild-type (WT), GluR5 knock-out, and GluR6 knock-out mice. A, B, Nociceptive responses in the tail-flick reflex (A) and hot-plate test (B). C, Mechanical withdrawal thresholds measured using von Frey filaments. D, Behavioral responses to capsaicin (total 30 min) in wild-type mice compared with GluR5 and GluR6 knock-out mice and wild-type mice injected with the vehicle solution. \(*p<0.05\), significant difference from wild-type mice treated with capsaicin.

**Figure 2.** Reduced response to formalin in GluR5 but not GluR6 knock-out mice. A, Behavioral responses to formalin injection, plotted in 5 min intervals, in wild-type (WT) mice compared with GluR5 and GluR6 knock-out mice. B, Data from A grouped into three phases. C, Behavioral responses after CFA injection. Data are plotted as a percentage of positive responses to stimulation of the ipsilateral or contralateral hindpaw in wild-type, GluR5 knock-out, and GluR6 knock-out mice. D, Hindpaw thickness was measured with a fine caliper in wild-type, GluR5 knock-out, and GluR6 knock-out mice 3 d after CFA injection. \(*p<0.05\), significant difference from wild-type mice treated with formalin.
to a previously non-noxious mechanical stimulus, was similar in wild-type mice (n = 6), GluR5 knock-out mice (n = 13), and GluR6 knock-out mice (n = 6) (Fig. 2C). We also evaluated hindpaw edema by measuring the hindpaw diameter. A similar degree of inflammation was found in wild-type, GluR5, and GluR6 knock-out mice (n = 5–6 for each group) (Fig. 2D), indicating that peripheral responses to inflammation are likely identical in these mice.

Fear memory after classic conditioning

To determine whether deletion of GluR5 or GluR6 affected long-term fear memory, we performed fear conditioning in wild-type and knock-out mice (Davis et al., 1997; LeDoux, 2000; Maren, 2001). Contextual and auditory fear memory was measured at 1 h and 1, 3, 7, and 14 d after conditioning (Wei et al., 2002). There was no significant difference in freezing responses immediately after training among wild-type mice (n = 8 mice; 39.6 ± 4.1%), GluR5 knock-out mice (n = 7; 33.3 ± 6.3%), and GluR6 knock-out mice (n = 8; 31.0 ± 8.5%), suggesting that the deletion of GluR5 and GluR6 did not cause any developmental defect that would interfere with the shock-induced freezing response. This reinforces our assertion that acute nociceptive thresholds in GluR5 and GluR6 knock-out mice were unaffected by the genetic manipulation. GluR6 knock-out mice showed a significant reduction in both contextual and auditory fear memory at early (1 and 3 d) as well as later (1 and 2 weeks) time points after conditioning (Fig. 3). In contrast, contextual and auditory fear memory was unaltered in GluR5 knock-out mice, except for a small reduction at one early time point (1 h after conditioning) (Fig. 3B).

KAR-mediated synaptic plasticity in the lateral amygdala

Synaptic plasticity, including LTP, is thought to be important for fear learning and memory (Bliss and Collingridge, 1993; McKernan and Shinnick-Gallagher, 1997; Rogan et al., 1997; Maren, 1999; Tsvetkov et al., 2002). Because of the significant reduction of fear memory in GluR6 knock-out mice, we decided to examine synaptic potentiation in the amygdala, a structure known to be important in fear memory. We examined synaptic potentiation at thalamic input synapses to the LA by placing a stimulating electrode in the ventral striatum (Fig. 4A) (Wei et al., 2002). For these experiments, we used five trains of TBS (Frankland et al., 2001; Wei et al., 2002). In wild-type mice, TBS induced significant synaptic potentiation (164.9 ± 7.9%; n = 11 slices/9 mice; p < 0.05 compared with baseline) (Fig. 4B). However, synaptic potentiation in slices of GluR6 knock-out mice was significantly reduced or blocked (103.4 ± 17.2%; n = 8 slices/6 mice; p < 0.01 compared with wild-type mice). In slices of GluR5 knock-out mice (173.9 ± 19.7%; n = 6 slices/6 mice; p = 0.33), TBS induced...
LTP requires the activation of the GluR6 subunit. A, Injection of depolarizing current into a neuron induced action potentials that showed significant firing frequency adaptation. B, LTP was induced in the LA of wild-type littermates (n = 9 slices/5 mice) but not in GluR6 knock-out mice (n = 11 slices/6 mice). C, Paired training induced LTP in GluR5 knock-out mice (n = 9 slices/7 mice). Inset, Representative records of EPSCs recorded during baseline collection and 20 min after the pairing training (arrow).

Synaptic potentiation in the auditory cortex
In addition to the amygdala, the auditory cortex is thought to play a role in the expression of fear memory (LeDoux, 2000). Therefore, we performed similar recordings of LTP in slices of the auditory cortex. In slices of GluR6 knock-out mice, TBS failed to induce significant potentiation (89.9 ± 12.1%; n = 5 slices/5 mice) compared with that of wild-type mice (147.0 ± 10.0%; n = 7 slices/7 mice; p < 0.005) (Fig. 7B). In slices of GluR5 knock-out mice, however, TBS induced synaptic potentiation (142.0 ± 11.2%; n = 7 slices/5 mice; p < 0.05 to baseline or GluR6 knock-out mice) (Fig. 7C).

Discussion
Our results provide evidence for a role of KARs in persistent pain and fear memory. Genetic deletion of GluR5 but not GluR6 significantly reduced responses to capsaicin and formalin, whereas responses to acute thermal or mechanical stimuli were unaff-
fected. The involvement of GluR5 receptors is selective, because deletion of GluR6 receptors did not cause any significant change in the same tests for nociceptive behavior. To examine the role of KARs in fear memory induced by painful or noxious stimuli, we also performed classic fear-memory tests. We found that GluR6 but not GluR5 knock-out mice showed a significant reduction in both contextual and auditory fear memory. Electrophysiological evidence showed that LTP induced by TBS or the pairing of synaptic activity with postsynaptic depolarization was blocked in GluR6 knock-out mice. Here we combine the study of fear memory with persistent pain to provide novel evidence for the distinct roles of different KAR subtypes in the central processing of sensory information, from behavioral nociceptive responses to long-term fear memory. Elucidating the unique roles of different receptor subtypes in the transmission of sensory information will further our understanding of how the CNS processes physiological stimuli.

KARs: DRG, spinal cord, and cortical neurons

KARs were first characterized on sensory axons of peripheral nerve fibers (Huettner, 2003). They are abundantly expressed on the small-diameter sensory neurons that form unmyelinated C fibers, suggesting a potential role for KARs in nociception. Evidence from different approaches indicates that KARs function at both peripheral and central terminals. At the periphery, KARs can function as sensory receptors to detect glutamate release after injury; at central terminals, KARs act as autoreceptors presynaptically to regulate the release of sensory transmitters (Kerchner et al., 2002). Recent studies using GluR5 and GluR6 knock-out mice showed that functional KARs on DRG cells absolutely require GluR5 (Kerchner et al., 2002). Although recent studies suggest that both GluR5 and GluR6 contribute to KAR-mediated currents in spinal dorsal horn neurons (Kerchner et al., 2002), synaptic responses induced by stimulation of afferent fibers were not significantly affected in GluR5 or GluR6 knock-out mice (Kerchner et al., 2002; Youn and Randic, 2004). These results suggest that other KAR subtypes may contribute to spinal synaptic responses.
KARs: nociception and inflammatory pain
Considering the complexity of KARs in spinal sensory transmission and regulation, it is important to evaluate, at the behavioral level, the contribution of KAR subtypes to behavioral responses. For acute responses, we found that the deletion of GluR5 or GluR6 did not affect nociceptive behavior in three different tests. One possible interpretation is that because acute nociceptive responses are important for animals to protect themselves from injury, other postsynaptic receptors, such as AMPA and norepinephrine receptors, may compensate for the loss of postsynaptic KAR-mediated responses. Figure 2 shows that the deletion of GluR5 significantly reduced behavioral responses to formalin injection. The location of GluR5 on DRG cells, along with the reduced phase I response to formalin in GluR5 knock-out mice, suggests that peripheral GluR5 receptors may play a role in early phase I responses. The reduction in phase I may reduce long-term plasticity in the spinal cord and forebrain areas that are important for phase II and III responses. GluR5-containing KARs in supraspinal structures may also contribute to phase II and III responses. Future studies are needed to investigate these possibilities. A similar decrease in nociceptive responses to capsaicin also supports the notion that the contribution of KARs is preferential for long-lasting pain, where the level of synaptic glutamate is likely to be high. The results presented here agree with a previous study that used a selective GluR5 antagonist, LY382884, to show that inhibition of GluR5 activity reduces behavioral responses to formalin (Simmons et al., 1998). Another study showed that mice bearing a targeted mutation at a critical RNA editing site of the GluR5 gene had normal responses to formalin (Saider et al., 1999). The reason for this discrepancy may be attributable to different levels of functional GluR5 receptors between this transgenic mouse and the ones used here, because the RNA editing mutant retains some functional receptors.

Despite the decrease in nociceptive behaviors seen in the formalin test, mechanical allodynia was not affected in GluR5 knock-out mice in the CFA model. This provides evidence for the dissociation between the nociceptive (licking) response to formalin and the mechanical allodynia observed in the CFA model. Behaviors measured in the formalin test are likely to represent early responses to inflammation, and licking most likely reflects responses to the formalin injection itself. In the CFA model, however, a non-noxious mechanical stimulus was used to induce behavioral withdrawal responses (allodynia). Furthermore, mechanical allodynia in the CFA model usually does not occur until 1–3 d after injury. It is likely that mechanical allodynia in the CFA model involves mostly AMPA receptors in related sensory synapses. Guo et al. (2002) reported that GluR5 mRNA was increased in the spinal cord after CFA injection. Additionally, intrathecal injection of LY382884 decreased thermal hyperalgesia induced by CFA in adult rats, although a high dose of LY382884 produced hindlimb paralysis (Guo et al., 2002). No deficit in locomotor function was detected in GluR5 knock-out mice (our unpublished data). Thus, whether LY382884 also affects spinal motor neurons by acting on GluR5 or produces side effects by acting on other targets remains to be investigated. Our finding that GluR5 knock-out mice show unaltered responses to CFA seems to be in contrast to the report by Guo et al. (2002). However, it should be noted that whereas the present study measured mechanical sensitivity in knock-out mice, Guo et al. (2002) measured thermal sensitivity after acute LY382884 injection intrathecally in rats. These differences may account for this discrepancy. Less information is available about the role of GluR6 in nociception and persistent pain. In the present study, we found that responses to acute noxious stimuli and inflammation were normal in GluR6 knock-out mice, suggesting that the GluR6 subunit is not necessarily required for these behavioral responses. However, these results do not rule out a role for GluR6 in neuronal modulation. Although it has been reported previously that GluR6 mRNA was increased after CFA inflammation (Guo et al., 2002), there is a lack of evidence to show an increase in KAR-mediated currents. We did not see any change in mechanical sensitivity in GluR6 knock-out mice after CFA injection (Fig. 2C). Results from this study suggest that the upregulation of GluR5 and GluR6 mRNA may not reflect a dependency on these subunits for the behavioral responses induced by CFA in GluR5 and GluR6 knock-out mice.

KARs and the amygdala
KARs contribute to synaptic transmission in the amygdala, a structure important for fear memory (Li and Rogawski, 1998). Li et al. (2001) demonstrated the involvement of KARs in homosynaptic and heterosynaptic potentiation, and results using selective pharmacological agents indicated that GluR5 plays an important role in this plasticity. In the present study, we induced LTP in the lateral amygdala of adult mice using two different standard protocols for LTP: TBS [field EPSP (fEPSP) recording] and the pairing of synaptic activity with postsynaptic depolarization (whole-cell patch-clamp recording). We found that the deletion of GluR5 did not affect synaptic potentiation in the amygdala. However, in GluR6 knock-out mice, LTP induced by two different protocols was blocked. The activation of GluR5 KARs was shown to affect inhibitory transmission in the amygdala (Braga et al., 2003). The LTP reported here is likely to be independent of inhibitory transmission, because inhibitory transmission was completely blocked in the whole-cell patch-clamp recordings. Future studies will use KAR knock-out mice to examine the possible regulation of inhibitory transmission within the amygdala in adult animals.

KARs are believed to be important for learning and memory, in part because of their roles in synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus and amygdala (Ferking and Nicoll, 2000; Kullmann, 2001; Huetterer et al., 2002; Lerma, 2003). Early contextual and auditory fear memory is mediated by the hippocampus and/or amygdala, whereas late contextual memory may be mediated by cortical areas (Sutherland and McDonald, 1990). Despite in vitro electrophysiological evidence of KARs in the amygdala, little information is available about the roles of KARs in learning and memory. In the present study, we provide evidence that GluR6, but not GluR5, contributes to fear memory. Consistent with behavioral findings, LTP in the amygdala of GluR6 but not GluR5 knock-out mice was significantly reduced. It is unlikely that the defect in fear memory observed in GluR6 knock-out mice is caused by changes in nociception, because responses to acute and inflammatory pain were comparable with that of wild-type mice. In summary, these results suggest distinct roles for separate KAR subtypes in physiological functions, from persistent pain to fear memory. KARs are shown to contribute to synaptic transmission, regulation, and plasticity in different regions of the CNS (Lerma, 2003). Understanding the contributions of different glutamate receptor subtypes may provide potential new molecular targets for treating diseases such as chronic pain, fear, and memory loss.
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