Cholinergic Inhibition of Neocortical Pyramidal Neurons
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Acetylcholine (ACh) is a central neurotransmitter critical for normal cognitive function. Here we show that transient muscarinic acetylcholine receptor activation directly inhibits neocortical layer 5 pyramidal neurons. Using whole-cell and cell-attached recordings from neurons in slices of rat somatosensory cortex, we demonstrate that transient activation of M1-type muscarinic receptors induces calcium release from IP3-sensitive intracellular calcium stores and subsequent activation of an apamin-sensitive, SK-type calcium-activated potassium conductance. ACh-induced hyperpolarizing responses were blocked by atropine and pirenzepine but not by methoctramine or GABA receptor antagonists (picrotoxin, SR 95531 [2-(3-carboxypropyl)-3-amino-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)pyridazinium bromide], and CGP 55845 [(2S)-3-[(15)-1-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)ethyl]amino-2-hydroxypropyl](phenylmethyl)phosphinic acid]). Responses were associated with a 31 ± 5% increase in membrane conductance, had a reversal potential of −93 ± 1 mV, and were eliminated after internal calcium chelation with BAPTA, blockade of IP3 receptors, or extracellular application of cadmium but not by sodium channel blockade with tetrodotoxin. Calcium-imaging experiments demonstrated that ACh-induced hyperpolarizing, but not depolarizing, responses were correlated with large increases in intracellular calcium. Surprisingly, transient increases in muscarinic receptor activation were capable of generating hyperpolarizing responses even during periods of tonic muscarinic activation sufficient to depolarize neurons to action potential threshold. Furthermore, eserine, an acetylcholinesterase inhibitor similar to those used therapeutically in the treatment of Alzheimer’s disease, disproportionately enhanced the excitatory actions of acetylcholine while reducing the ability of acetylcholine to generate inhibitory responses during repeated applications of ACh. These data demonstrate that acetylcholine can directly inhibit the output of neocortical pyramidal neurons.
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Introduction

Acetylcholine (ACh) is an important neurotransmitter critical for learning and memory (Hagan and Morris, 1988), and loss of cholinergic function contributes to the profound learning impairments and memory deficits associated with age-related dementia and Alzheimer’s disease (Bartus et al., 1982; Kasa et al., 1997). Evidence supporting a role for ACh in cognitive processes includes the findings that muscarinic acetylcholine receptor (mAChR) antagonists impair memory in animals (Buresova et al., 1986) and humans (Drachman and Leavitt, 1974), and that mACHR activation facilitates the long-term synaptic plasticity thought to be the physiological substrate of learning and memory (Blitzer et al., 1990; Burgard and Survey, 1990; Hasselmo and Barkai, 1995; Ossepiian et al., 2004).

A number of studies have examined the influence of ACh and cholinergic agonists on pyramidal neuron excitability in the cortex. Studies using intracellular current-clamp recordings have generally found mAChR activation to be excitatory, producing depolarizing responses in neurons and facilitating action potential generation (Knjivic et al., 1971; McCormick and Prince, 1985, 1986; Schwindt et al., 1988; Halliwell, 1989; Andrade, 1991; Metherate et al., 1992; Wang and McCormick, 1993; Gloveli et al., 1999; Egorov et al., 2002, 2003). These direct excitatory effects have been attributed to mAChR-mediated inhibition of potassium conductances (Krnjevic et al., 1971; Schwindt et al., 1988; McCormick and Williamson, 1989; McCormick, 1990; Nishikawa et al., 1994), although more recent data suggest that ACh may also increase activation of a nonselective cation conductance (Andrade, 1991; Haj-Dahmane and Andrade, 1996, 1998; Klink and Alonso, 1997; Shalinsky et al., 2002; Egorov et al., 2003).

In a minority of studies, inhibitory actions of ACh on neocortical pyramidal neurons have been reported (McCormick and Prince, 1985, 1986; Halliwell, 1989; Muller and Singer, 1989; Bandrowski et al., 2001; Yamamoto et al., 2002). Experiments conducted in vitro found that pressure applications of high concentrations of exogenous ACh (1–50 mM) can hyperpolarize pyramidal neurons (McCormick and Prince, 1985, 1986). Because responses were found to be sensitive to classical inhibitors of synaptic transmission [low external Ca2+ or tetrodotoxin (TTX)] and to blockers of GABA A channels (picrotoxin or bicuculline), the inhibitory actions of ACh were attributed to increased GABA transmission after mAChR-mediated excitation of interneurons (McCormick and Prince, 1985, 1986). Similar observations suggested that a GABAergic mechanism was responsible for the mAChR-mediated inhibition reported by Bandrowski et al. (2001) in rat auditory cortex in vitro and by Muller and Singer (1989) in cat visual cortex in vivo.
Results

Transient muscarinic receptor activation hyperpolarizes cortical pyramidal neurons

To examine the effect of transient mACHr activation, we focally applied ACh (100 μM) or carbachol (100 μM) near the soma of visually identified layer 5 pyramidal neurons (Fig. 1A). In all cells tested (>200), transient mACHr activation produced a delayed hyperpolarizing response from rest (Fig. 1B, C). Hyperpolarizing responses to 1-s-long applications of ACh (mean amplitude, −4.6 ± 0.5 mV; n = 21) or carbachol (mean amplitude, −4.8 ± 0.3 mV; n = 30) were not significantly different (p = 0.6), and data were therefore pooled. A more detailed comparison of hyperpolarizing responses to 1-s-long ACh and carbachol applications is presented in Table 1. For the combined dataset (n = 51), ACh or carbachol application (1 s) produced a biphasic response consisting of a delayed hyperpolarization followed by, or superimposed on, a slower depolarization. Hyperpolarizing responses had a mean latency of 344 ± 19 ms, a rise time of 208 ± 19 ms, an amplitude of −4.7 ± 0.3 mV, and half-widths of 568 ± 55 ms (n = 51). Two methods were used to confirm that hyperpolarizing responses to ACh or carbachol application were attributable to mACHr activation. First, to test that focal applications themselves do not induce hyperpolarizations, normal aCSF was focally applied to four neurons for 1 s periods. No significant change in membrane potential was observed in these cells (mean change in membrane potential during application of aCSF alone was −0.6 ± 0.2 mV; n = 4; p = 0.2; data not shown). Second, bath application of the muscarinic receptor antagonist atropine (10 μM) completely and irreversibly blocked hyperpolarizing responses in six of six neurons tested (Fig. 1D). In baseline conditions, carbachol application (1 s) generated responses of −3.4 ± 0.6 mV. After bath application of atropine (5 min), the mean change in membrane potential at a time corresponding to the peak of the hyperpolarization in baseline conditions was +0.2 ± 0.2 mV (n = 6; p < 0.01).

The biphasic response to transient mACHr activation described above contrasts with the depolarizing responses typically observed during tonic mAChr activation (Schwindt et al., 1988; Halliwell, 1989; Haj-Dahmane and Andrade, 1996). To determine the relationship between the duration of mAChr activation and the peak amplitude of hyperpolarizing and depolarizing responses, ACh was applied to a separate group of neurons for durations ranging from 5 to 1000 ms (Fig. 1E). The resulting “duration–response” curves for the hyperpolarizing and depolarizing components of the response were fit with exponential functions (Fig. 1F). This experiment indicated that hyperpolarizing and depolarizing responses reached steady state during ACh applications of <1 s duration. Exponential fits to these data demonstrate that, relative to hyperpolarizing responses (τ = 19 ± 2 ms), depolarizing responses (τ = 139 ± 37 ms) require approximately seven times longer periods of ACh exposure to generate maximum response amplitude (n = 10; p < 0.05). These data suggest that brief periods of mAChr activation will favor the generation of hyperpolarizing responses over depolarization.

To determine whether hyperpolarizing responses to transient mAChr activation is specific to neurons from younger (3- to 5-week-old) animals, we focally applied ACh (50 ms) to pyramidal neurons in slices obtained from 10-week-old rats. As was observed in neurons from younger animals, transient mAChr
that hyperpolarizing responses to transient mAChR activation also occur in adult animals.

Finally, because mAChRs require intracellular signal transduction via G-protein-linked cascades, it is possible that our use of whole-cell recording could modify normal cholinergic signaling. To confirm that mAChR-induced hyperpolarizations do not result from intracellular changes attributable to whole-cell recording, we made extracellular recordings from identified layer 5 pyramidal neurons while neurons were driven to fire action potentials spontaneously by increased extracellular potassium (6 mM) and bath application of the glutamate receptor agonist kainate (200 nM). Under these conditions, in six of six neurons, focal application of ACh (20 ms) to the soma produced a prolonged inhibition of action potential firing (Fig. 1H). For these experiments, the mean duration of inhibition was 1640 ± 270 ms, and the instantaneous spike frequency (ISF) was reduced from 13.8 ± 2.7 to 0.6 ± 0.1 Hz \((n = 6; p < 0.01)\).

Pharmacology of mAChR-mediated hyperpolarization
Muscarinic receptors have been broadly classified into two distinct families based on their coupling to intracellular messengers. Members of the \(M_1\)-type family (\(M_1\), \(M_2\), and \(M_3\)) are generally associated with \(G_s\) signaling and phosphatidylinositol turnover. In contrast, members of the \(M_2\)-type family (\(M_2\) and \(M_4\)) are generally linked via \(G_i\) to cAMP production. To determine the receptor family responsible for mediating ACh-induced hyperpolarizations, we focally applied ACh (1 s) before and after exposing slices to selective muscarinic antagonists. Bath application (5 min) of the \(M_1\)-type-selective antagonist pirenzepine (PZP) (500 nM) completely blocked ACh-induced hyperpolarizing responses \((n = 6)\) (Fig. 2A, C). In control conditions, ACh applications for 1 s produced hyperpolarizing responses of \(-5.2 \pm 1.0\) mV and delayed depolarizations of \(4.7 \pm 0.8\) mV \((n = 6)\). After PZP application, hyperpolarizing responses were no longer observed, with the mean change in membrane potential at a time corresponding to the peak hyperpolarization in control conditions being \(+1.6 \pm 0.7\) mV \((n = 6; p < 0.01)\). Similarly, the delayed depolarizing phase was significantly reduced by PZP, being reduced to only \(+1.2 \pm 0.4\) mV at a time corresponding to the peak depolarization in control conditions \((n = 6; p < 0.01)\).

Conversely, in a separate group of neurons, bath application of the \(M_2\)-selective antagonist methoctramine (MCT) (500 nM; 5 min) had no effect on hyperpolarizing responses to 1-s-long applications of ACh \((n = 6)\) (Fig. 2B, D). In these neurons, the peak amplitude of hyperpolarizing and depolarizing responses in control were \(-4.1 \pm 0.7\) and \(5.0 \pm 1.3\) mV, respectively. After the bath application of MCT, hyperpolarizing responses to ACh were of identical amplitude \((-4.1 \pm 0.5\) mV), whereas peak depolarizing responses were slightly, but not significantly, larger, with the mean depolarization in MCT being \(7.1 \pm 1.8\) mV \((n = 6; p = 0.7)\) (Fig. 2D).

The data above indicate that both hyperpolarizing and depolarizing responses to brief (1 s) ACh applications require \(M_1\)-type receptor activation. Furthermore, the finding that atropine and PZP completely block hyperpolarizing ACh responses, whereas carbachol mimics the effect of ACh, strongly suggest that nicotinic receptors play little, if any, role in their generation. To compare the depolarization generated by brief ACh applications with the depolarization commonly observed during tonic mAChR activation, we bath applied carbachol (10 \(\mu\)M) to control slices and slices continuously exposed to either PZP (500 nM; \(n = 6\)) or MCT (500 nM; \(n = 5\)). In control neurons, bath application of carbachol (5 min) depolarized neurons by \(4.9 \pm 0.3\) mV \((n = 15; p < 0.01)\).
Table 1. Comparison of hyperpolarizing responses to 1 s applications of carbachol or ACh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agonist</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Resting $V_m$ (mV)</th>
<th>Latency (ms)</th>
<th>Rise time (ms)</th>
<th>Amplitude (mV)</th>
<th>Half-width (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbachol</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$-79 \pm 0.7$</td>
<td>346 $\pm 29$</td>
<td>238 $\pm 30$</td>
<td>$-4.8 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>622 $\pm 89$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylcholine</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>$-78 \pm 0.9$</td>
<td>342 $\pm 23$</td>
<td>165 $\pm 11$</td>
<td>$-4.6 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>489 $\pm 39$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$p < 0.0001$), an amount similar to the peak amplitude of the depolarizing phase of responses to transient (1 s) mAChR activation with ACh (4.9 $\pm 0.7$ mV; $n = 12$, data combined from baseline responses in the separate PZP and MCT experiments above). In neurons exposed to pirenzepine, bath application of carbachol (5 min) produced no significant depolarization (mean change in membrane potential was $+0.3 \pm 0.4$ mV; $n = 6; p = 0.5$) (Fig. 2C), a result that was significantly different from the depolarization observed during 1-s-long applications of ACh in control conditions ($p < 0.001$). In contrast, in the presence of MCT, bath-applied carbachol (5 min) depolarized neurons by 6.2 $\pm 1.0$ mV ($n = 5; p < 0.01$) (Fig. 2D), an amount not significantly different from the depolarization observed by transient (1 s) mAChR activation in control conditions ($n = 17; p = 0.3$). Together, these data suggest that the transient depolarization observed during focal ACh application is qualitatively and quantitatively similar to the depolarization observed during tonic carbachol application.

Previous studies demonstrating ACh-mediated hyperpolarization of neocortical pyramidal neurons during application of high concentrations of ACh (~10 mm) have suggested that the inhibitory actions of ACh are mediated by GABAergic synaptic transmission (McCormick and Prince, 1985, 1986). To test whether GABA mediates the ACh-induced hyperpolarizations described above, we focally applied ACh (1 s) in the continual presence of antagonists of GABA$_A$ and GABA$_B$ receptors. The presence of any of three GABA$_A$-specific antagonists, picrotoxin (100 $\mu$M; $n = 7$), bicuculline methiodide (20 $\mu$M; $n = 3$), or 2-(3-carboxypropyl)-3-amino-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)pyridazinium bromide (SR 95531) (10 $\mu$M; $n = 6$), delivered at concentrations sufficient to block GABAergic signaling, failed to block ACh-mediated hyperpolarizations (Fig. 3). Similarly, the presence of the GABA$_B$ receptor antagonist (2S)-3-[[[(1S)-1,3-(dichlorophenyl)ethyl]amino-2-hydroxypropyl]phenylmethyl]phosphonic acid (CGP 55845) (1 $\mu$M; $n = 6$) had no effect on ACh-mediated hyperpolarizing responses (Fig. 3). No significant differences were observed in the mean amplitudes of hyperpolarizing responses generated in control conditions (mean response, $-4.6 \pm 0.5$ mV; $n = 21$) (Table 1) and responses generated in the presence of GABAergic antagonists (ANOVA; $p = 0.68$) (Fig. 3B). The mean peak responses in GABA antagonists were $-3.4 \pm 0.8$ mV (picrotoxin), $-3.9 \pm 0.5$ mV (bicuculline), $-4.2 \pm 0.6$ mV (SR 95531), and $-5.0 \pm 0.8$ mV (Cgp 55845). Together, these data demonstrate that ACh-induced hyperpolarizations require activation of M$_1$-type muscarinic receptors but do not involve increases in GABAergic synaptic transmission.

Hypermultipolarizing responses are facilitated by calcium entry through voltage-gated calcium channels

To explore the impact of neuronal firing on transient cholinergic signaling, we compared responses to transient mAChR activation generated at resting potentials and during trains of action potentials. When ACh was repeatedly applied (five applications of 20 ms duration at 8 s intervals) to neurons at resting potentials ($-78 \pm 1$ mV; $n = 9$), initial responses were quite large, with subsequent responses showing rapid reduction in amplitude (Fig. 4A). Compared with the initial hyperpolarization, responses to applications 2 through 5 were reduced by 73 $\pm 9$, 80 $\pm 6$, 87 $\pm 6$, and 86 $\pm 5$%, respectively ($n = 9; p < 0.001$).

In the same neurons, ACh responses were repeated during periods of repetitive action potential firing generated by somatic current injection (Fig. 4A, C). Under these conditions, responses to the first application of ACh were significantly more hyperpolarizing than were initial responses generated at rest ($-4.8 \pm 0.6$ mV compared with $-3.4 \pm 0.3$ mV at rest; $n = 9; p < 0.05$). Furthermore, membrane depolarization prevented response rundown during repeated applications, with responses being reduced by only 2 $\pm 10$, $-3 $ $\pm 10$, 3 $\pm 11$, and 13 $\pm 12$% for applications 2 through 5, respectively ($n = 9; p = 0.32$). Additional experiments revealed that brief periods of action potential firing (4 or 5 s), delivered immediately before a test application of ACh or carbachol, prevented response rundown to repeated ACh applications at the resting potential (data not shown; see also Fig. 7C below). This procedure was used throughout the experiments described in this manuscript to obtain reproducible responses to repeated ACh or carbachol applications.

To determine whether action potentials themselves are necessary to prevent response rundown, in a separate group of neurons, we blocked action potentials by including TTX (1 $\mu$M) in the aCSF while delivering repetitive focal applications of ACh (20 ms; five applications at 8 s intervals). In the presence of TTX, and with membrane potentials adjusted to $-60$ mV with current injection to mimic action potential threshold, responses to focal ACh applications 2 through 5 were reduced by 67 $\pm 8$, 75 $\pm 6$, 71 $\pm 6$, and 82 $\pm 7$%, respectively ($n = 11; p < 0.0001$), amounts that were similar to those observed at resting potentials without TTX present (Fig. 4B, C). Although these data suggest that TTX-sensitive action potentials are required to reduce rundown, when the same neurons were further depolarized by strong somatic current injection (600–900 pA) to membrane potentials above $-50$ mV (mean, $-42 \pm 3$ mV), responses 2 through 5 were reduced by only 9 $\pm 3$, 6 $\pm 4$, 7 $\pm 5$, and 10 $\pm 5$% ($n = 11; p < 0.05$) (Fig. 4B, C), indicating that depolarization in the absence of action potentials can prevent response rundown.

Another potential mechanism by which depolarization might facilitate mAChR-mediated responses is through the activation of voltage-gated calcium channels (VGCCs) and subsequent increases in intracellular calcium. To determine whether VGCCs play a role in promoting hyperpolarizing responses to mAChR activation, in a subset of neurons previously exposed to TTX ($n = 10$), we bath applied the broad-spectrum VGCC antagonist cadmium chloride (200 $\mu$M, 5 min exposure) while repeatedly applying ACh (five applications of 20 ms at 8 s intervals). In the presence of both TTX and cadmium, and with strong somatic depolarization to membrane potentials above $-50$ (mean membrane potential, $-41 \pm 2$ mV), responses 2 through 5 were reduced by 52 $\pm 10$, 46 $\pm 4$, 57 $\pm 5$, and 65 $\pm 4$%, respectively ($n = 10; p < 0.0001$) (Fig. 4B, C). In five of these neurons, we washed out cadmium for 20 min and observed a partial reversal of the effects of cadmium. In these neurons, responses 2 through 5 were reduced by 15 $\pm 9$, 11 $\pm 7$, 24 $\pm 8$, and 23 $\pm 10$% respectively ($n = 5; p = 0.1$).
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To examine the influence of VGCC on cholinergic hyperpolarizing responses in neurons at rest, in a separate group of neurons not exposed to TTX, we bath applied cadmium (200 μM; 5 min). In five neurons, baseline responses to ACh (40 ms) recorded in control conditions (mean amplitude, 3.40.5 mV) were blocked after 5 min exposure to cadmium (Fig. 4D). In the presence of cadmium, the mean response amplitude was reduced to 0.40.4 mV (n = 5; p < 0.01 when compared with baseline responses). Removal of cadmium from the bath partially rescued the cholinergic response in four of these neurons (mean response after wash, 1.70.9 mV; n = 5). Together, the data above demonstrate that it is calcium entry through VGCCs, rather than action potentials per se, that is required to prevent the rundown of cholinergic hyperpolarizing responses.

**Ionic mechanism of mAChR-mediated hyperpolarizations**

The data above demonstrate that transient exposure to ACh produces a direct inhibitory effect on cortical pyramidal neurons that

---

**Figure 3.** Transient cholinergic inhibition is not mediated by a GABAergic mechanism. **A**, Responses of pyramidal neurons to focal application of ACh (100 μM; 1 s) in the presence of a variety of GABAergic antagonists: picrotoxin (PTX; top left), bicuculline (Bic; top right), SR 95531 (SR; bottom left), and CGP 55845 (CGP; bottom right). **B**, A summary graph comparing the peak amplitudes of hyperpolarizing responses to ACh in control neurons (n = 21) with the peak amplitude of responses to ACh in the presence of the different GABAergic antagonists. All data from 1-s-long applications of ACh.

---

**Figure 2.** Cholinergic inhibition results from M₁ receptor activation. **A**, Responses of a layer 5 neuron to transient ACh application (1 s) in control conditions (top trace) and after a 5 min bath application of the M₁ receptor antagonist PZP (500 nM; middle trace). The response partially returns after a 20 min wash in control aCSF (bottom trace). **B**, Cholinergic responses before (top trace), during (middle trace), and after (bottom trace) a 5 min bath application of the M₂ receptor antagonist MCT (500 nM). **C**, Summary graph showing the effects of PZP on hyperpolarizing and depolarizing responses to 1 s-long ACh applications (n = 6), as well as on tonic depolarization generated by a 5 min bath application of carbachol (10 μM; n = 15 in control condition; n = 6 in PZP). **D**, Summary graph showing the effects of MCT on hyperpolarizing and depolarizing responses to 1 s-long ACh applications (n = 6), as well as on tonic depolarization generated by a 5 min bath application of carbachol (10 μM; n = 5 in MCT). Control cells for bath-applied carbachol data are the same for **C** and **D**.
is not mediated by the synaptic release of GABA. Furthermore, the data above demonstrating that cadmium, but not TTX, antagonizes cholinergic signaling suggests that intracellular calcium may play a role in generating hyperpolarizing responses to ACh. To confirm that intracellular calcium is important for mAChR signaling, we filled patch pipettes with an internal solution including the calcium-chelating agent BAPTA (10 mM). In six of six neurons, the presence of BAPTA completely blocked hyperpolarizing responses to 1-s-long applications of ACh, with the mean change in membrane potential after ACh application being $+1.3 \pm 0.2$ mV within 5 min after obtaining a whole-cell recording (Fig. 5B). Because the presence of BAPTA in the recording pipette blocked responses immediately, it was impossible to compare responses before and after BAPTA application. As an added control, in four additional neurons, we made whole-cell recordings of hyperpolarizing responses with pipettes filled with regular intracellular solution (no BAPTA) before repatching with a BAPTA-containing pipette (Fig. 5A). In all four neurons, hyperpolarizing responses to ACh that were observed when pipettes were filled with regular intracellular solution (mean response was $-4.2 \pm 0.7$ mV) were completely blocked when BAPTA was introduced during repatching (mean response at times matching peak hyperpolarization in first patches was $+0.6 \pm 0.3$ mV). In a separate group of four neurons, we repatched neurons with regular pipette saline without BAPTA. In this control group, hyperpolarizing responses to ACh after repatching were similar to the mAChR responses observed during the first patch recording (mean response to ACh after repatching was $-3.6 \pm 1.0$ mV; $n = 4$; $p = 0.52$; data not shown). These data demonstrate a necessity for free intracellular calcium in the generation of hyperpolarizing responses to ACh.

We next determined the reversal potential for ACh responses by transiently

![Figure 4. Calcium entry during trains of action potentials facilitates hyperpolarizing responses to transient mAChR activation.](image-url)

A. Repeated application of ACh (20 ms applications at 8 s intervals) produces a gradual rundown of the hyperpolarizing response (bottom trace, expanded below for clarity). Repeating the protocol during a train of action potentials generated by a 400 pA current injection (top trace) facilitates phasic hyperpolarizing responses. B. Responses to repetitive ACh application (20 ms at 8 s intervals) in a neuron exposed to $1 \mu M$ TTX at $-60$ mV (bottom black trace) and during strong depolarization (top black trace). Subsequent bath application of cadmium ($200 \mu M$) caused significant rundown at the depolarized potential (blue trace).

B. Responses to repetitive ACh application (20 ms at 8 s intervals) in a neuron exposed to $1 \mu M$ TTX at $-60$ mV (bottom black trace) and during strong depolarization (top black trace). Subsequent bath application of cadmium ($200 \mu M$) caused significant rundown at the depolarized potential (blue trace).
Hyperpolarizing responses to transient mAChR stimulation result from the activation of an SK-type calcium-activated potassium conductance. Indeed, mAChR activation was associated with a significant increase in membrane conductance (Fig. 5D). In a subset of neurons, hyperpolarizing pulses (∼200 pA, 15 ms duration, at 15 Hz) were generated repeatedly while ACh (n = 8) or carbacol (n = 4) was focally applied to neurons, and the hyperpolarization generated from these negative current pulses was compared between different phases of the response (mean of three consecutive events immediately before drug application, at the peak of the hyperpolarizing response, and 1.5 s after the hyperpolarizing response were compared). In these neurons, mAChR activation produced an increase in membrane conductance of 31 ± 5% during the peak of the hyperpolarizing response (n = 12; p < 0.05). Conversely, at 1.5 s after the drug application, during the depolarizing phase of the response, whole-cell conductance was slightly, but significantly, decreased by 10 ± 3% (p < 0.001).

Because hyperpolarizing responses to ACh result from activation of a membrane conductance that reverses near the equilibrium potential for potassium, and, given their dependency on intracellular calcium, we tested the hypothesis that mAChR activation polarizes layer 5 pyramidal neurons by activating calcium-activated potassium channels. Bath application of apamin (50 or 100 nM; 5 min exposure), an antagonist of SK-type calcium-activated channels, completely and irreversibly blocked all hyperpolarizing responses to focal ACh application (40 ms) (Fig. 5E, F). In baseline conditions, ACh application generated hyperpolarizing responses of ∼4.8 ± 0.3 mV. After apamin application for 5 min, ACh-mediated hyperpolarizations were completely abolished, with the mean change in membrane potential being +1.2 ± 0.4 mV at a time corresponding to the peak hyperpolarization in baseline conditions (n = 6; p < 0.05). Another substance known to block SK-type channels nonspecifically is the GABA_A channel antagonist bicuculline methiodide (Johnson and Seutin, 1997). In contrast to the lack of effect seen with low concentrations (20 μM) of bicuculline, bath application of bicuculline at a higher concentration (100 μM) for 5 min reversibly blocked hyperpolarizing responses to mAChR activation (Fig. 5G). In baseline conditions, 1-s-long applications of ACh generated hyperpolarizations of ∼3.1 ± 0.4 mV. After a 5 min bath application of bicuculline, the mean change in membrane potential at the time of peak hyperpolarization in baseline conditions was 0.0 ± 0.2 mV (n = 10; p < 0.001). After 10–15 min of wash

Figure 5. Hyperpolarizing responses to transient mAChR stimulation result from the activation of an SK-type calcium-activated potassium conductance. A, Responses of a pyramidal neuron to transient carbacol application when patched with normal internal pipette solution (top trace) and after being patched a second time with a pipette containing the calcium-chelating agent BAPTA (10 mM; bottom trace). B, Summary graph showing effectiveness of internal BAPTA in blocking cholinergic responses. The left two bars show a comparison of responses to 1-s-long applications of carbachol or ACh in control conditions (n = 51) and in cells patched a single time with BAPTA (10 μM) in the pipette (n = 6). Right two bars compare responses to carbacol (1 s) in control conditions and after repatching with a BAPTA-containing pipette (10 μM; n = 4). C, Responses to a 40 ms application of carbacol (100 μM; top) from different starting potentials generated by somatic current injection (bottom). Right, A plot of peak response versus starting potential indicates a reversal potential of ∼94 mV. D, ACh-induced hyperpolarizations are associated with an increased membrane conductance. The membrane response to repetitive current injections is shown during the focal application of ACh (1 s). Thick dashed lines indicate the amplitude of membrane responses to somatic current steps in baseline conditions (shown again during the depolarizing phase of the ACh response for comparison). Thin dotted lines show the amplitude of responses to current injection during the peak of the ACh-induced hyperpolarization. To the right are enlarged traces of individual hyperpolarizing pulses generated before (1), during (2), and after (3) application of ACh. E, The application of the SK-type potassium channel blocker apamin irreversibly blocks the hyperpolarizing response to transient mAChR activation (40 ms). F, Summary graph showing that apamin completely blocks ACh-induced hyperpolarizations. G, Bath application of 100 μM bicuculline methiodide, an SK channel antagonist at high concentrations, reversibly blocks hyperpolarizing responses to ACh.
in regular aCSF, responses returned to $-2.6 \pm 0.6$ mV. Together, these data demonstrate that ACh hyperpolarizes neocortical pyramidal neurons through the activation of SK-type potassium channels.

**mACHR-dependent intracellular calcium signaling**

The data presented above indicate that mAChR activation in pyramidal neurons triggers an increase in intracellular calcium concentration. To observe this directly, we loaded neurons with the low-affinity calcium-sensitive dye OGB6F (100 μM) and focally applied ACh while measuring somatic fluorescence with confocal microscopy (Fig. 6) (supplemental videos 1, 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Hyperpolarizing responses to focal ACh applications (5–20 ms) were associated with increases in intracellular calcium, as indicated by increases in fluorescence during line scans through the longitudinal axis of the soma (Fig. 6A). Consistent with the facilitatory action of neuronal depolarization described above (Fig. 4A–C), the amplitude of ACh-induced increases in fluorescence was $51 \pm 20\%$ larger when ACh was applied during periods of action potential firing ($n = 5$; $p < 0.01$) (Fig. 6B). When responses generated at resting potentials were analyzed (17 trials in 5 neurons), a statistically significant correlation was observed between peak $\Delta F/F$ and the amplitude of the corresponding hyperpolarizing voltage response ($n = 21$; $p < 0.01$) (Fig. 6C).

During the imaging experiments described above, short applications of ACh were used to limit the depolarizing phase of the ACh response. To compare calcium signaling during hyperpolarizing and depolarizing responses, we imaged calcium transients during 1-s-long ACh applications (Fig. 6D,E). To limit movement artifacts during 1-s-long ACh applications, pipettes were positioned $\sim 30$ μm from the soma, and pressure was reduced to $\sim 5$ psi. Under these conditions, ACh application produced biphasic, hyperpolarizing then depolarizing responses. The peak hyperpolarization in these responses was $-2.8 \pm 0.4$ mV, whereas the peak depolarization was $5.3 \pm 0.9$ mV (Fig. 6D). To compare calcium signaling during the two phases, we measured $\Delta F/F$ at the peak of the hyperpolarizing and depolarizing responses (Fig. 6D,E). During the peak of the hyperpolarizing response, $\Delta F/F$ was $1.05 \pm 0.09$. Conversely, $\Delta F/F$ at the peak of depolarizing responses was significantly less ($n = 4$; $p < 0.001$), being very close to zero (mean $\Delta F/F$ at peak depolarization was $0.02 \pm 0.01$; $n = 4$). Our finding that internal calcium levels are not increased during the depolarizing phase of ACh responses is consistent with previous data showing that bath application of carbachol alone does not produce calcium signals in these neurons (Larkum et al., 2003).

Because the increases in intracellular calcium described above were coincident with hyperpolarization but not depolarization of the membrane potential, they are unlikely to be attributable to activation of VGCCs. Therefore, we hypothesized that mAChR activation induces the release of calcium from intracellular stores. To test for this, we emptied intracellular calcium stores with bath-applied cyclopiazonic acid (CPA) (30 μM). Five minutes of exposure to CPA completely blocked ACh-induced hyperpolarizing responses ($n = 6$) (Fig. 7A). In control conditions, brief applications of ACh (20 ms) produced a $4.1 \pm 0.6$ mV hyperpolarization of the membrane potential. After CPA application, all hyperpolarizing responses were absent, revealing a small depolarization of $0.9 \pm 0.2$ mV ($n = 6$; $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 7B).

Calcium release from intracellular stores can be mediated by two ligand-gated channels in the endoplasmic reticulum: ryanodine-sensitive receptors and IP₃-sensitive receptors. To test for a role of ryanodine receptors in mAChR signaling, we repeatedly applied ACh (40 ms duration, 15 s intervals) while bath applying ryanodine to interfere with ryanodine receptor signaling (Fig. 7C). Bath application of ryanodine (20 μM; 10 min) led
to a rapid decreased in response amplitude by 95 ± 2% (n = 6; p < 0.01) (Fig. 7C).

Although the ability of ryanodine to block mAChR-mediated hyperpolarizations is suggestive of a role for ryanodine receptors in gating ACh-induced calcium release, ryanodine and IP receptors may share a common intracellular calcium store (Power and Sah, 2005). If so, it is possible that activation of ryanodine receptors with bath-applied ryanodine may deplete IP-sensitive calcium stores. To test the potential role of calcium release from IP-sensitive stores, we patched neurons with pipettes filled with a solution containing the IP receptor blocker heparin (2–3 mg/ml) and measured hyperpolarizing responses to applications of ACh presented at 15 s intervals beginning immediately after the attainment of whole-cell recording (Fig. 7D,E). The addition of intracellular heparin produced a steady decrease in the peak amplitude and half-width of ACh-induced hyperpolarizing responses to 6 ± 1 and 2 ± 1% of their original amplitude, respectively, 10 min after the start of whole-cell recording (n = 6; p < 0.001) (Fig. 7D,E).

To further test the role of ryanodine receptors, in separate experiments, we added the ryanodine receptor antagonist ruthenium red (40 μM) to our pipette saline. Whereas intracellular ruthenium red produced no significant effect on the peak amplitude of responses (mean hyperpolarization after 10 min was 95 ± 9% of the initial response; n = 6; p = 0.2) (Fig. 7D), ruthenium red lead to a significant reduction in the half-width of hyperpolarizing responses by an average of 35 ± 9% (n = 6; p < 0.05) (Fig. 7E). Together, these data suggest that calcium release after activation of IP receptors is both necessary and sufficient to generate ACh hyperpolarizing responses, but activation of ryanodine receptors can prolong ACh-induced hyperpolarizations.

**Figure 7.** Transient mAChR activation leads to calcium release from IP-sensitive stores. A, Response to a 20 ms application of ACh in control conditions (top) and after a 5 min bath application of CPA. B, Summary data from six experiments in which CPA was applied. Hyperpolarizing responses were completely eliminated after 5 min exposure to CPA. C, Plot of normalized peak hyperpolarizing response amplitude for ACh applications given at 15 s intervals in control conditions (filled circles) and after bath application of ryanodine (20 μM; open circles). Insets, Superimposed traces from the beginning (black) and end (gray) of the experiment for control and ryanodine-exposed neurons. D, E, Patching neurons with pipettes filled with either the ryanodine receptor antagonist ruthenium red (open circles) or the IP receptor antagonist heparin (filled triangles) demonstrates that the peak amplitude of hyperpolarizing responses depends solely on IP receptor activation (D), whereas activation of ryanodine receptors can prolong response half-width (E). Insets, The first (black) and last (gray) traces superimposed for cells patched with heparin or ruthenium red.

**Interaction of tonic and transient mAChR signaling**

The above data demonstrate that phasic mAChR activation hyperpolarizes and decreases the excitability of neocortical pyramidal neurons. Conversely, tonic mAChR stimulation with bath-applied agonists depolarizes cortical pyramidal neurons, leading to an increase in excitability and, in some cases, spontaneous action potential generation. To determine whether phasic and tonic mAChR signaling can coexist in individual pyramidal neurons, we focally applied ACh (100 μM, 20 ms) before, during, and after bath application of carbachol (5 μM; 5–10 min exposure). In five of six neurons that experienced subthreshold depolarization in carbachol, additional mAChR activation with focally applied ACh generated transient hyperpolarizing responses (Fig. 8A). In a second set of neurons (n = 6), bath-applied carbachol (5 μM) combined with periodic depolarizing current steps (1 s, ~300 pA, 0.1 Hz) produced enough depolarization to initiate spontaneous and sustained action potential generation, presumably by accelerating voltage-dependent depolarization by carbachol (McCormick and Prince, 1986; Haj-Dahmane and Andrade, 1996). Under these circumstances, focal application of ACh continued to generate hyperpolarizing responses to inhibit action potential firing in 50% of neurons tested (n = 3 of 6 neurons firing spontaneously in carbachol) (Fig. 8B). Together, these data demonstrate that transient increases in mAChR activation can inhibit layer 5 neurons even during periods of tonic mAChR activation that is sufficient to depolarize neurons to action potential threshold.

One situation that may lead to increases in ambient concentrations of cortical ACh is the use of acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (AChEIs). These drugs, which are commonly prescribed to combat the cognitive deficits associated with Alzheimer’s disease,
and 1.1 ± 0.07 Hz, respectively. When eserine was present, the baseline ISF generated by current injection increased to 13 ± 1 Hz (p < 0.001). Under these conditions, the initial application of ACh reduced the ISF to 0.7 ± 0.05 Hz (n = 9), a frequency similar to that observed in control conditions. Subsequent ACh applications, however, produced significantly less inhibition of action potential firing than in control conditions (Fig. 9 B, C). In eserine, the minimum ISFs attained for applications 2 through 5 were 2.8 ± 0.6, 3.6 ± 0.8, 4.4 ± 1.1, and 5.0 ± 1.2 Hz, respectively (n = 9; p < 0.05). The rundown of individual hyperpolarizing responses during action potential firing in eserine was similar to the rundown observed in control neurons at rest (p = 0.6) (Fig. 4C), with responses 2 through 5 being reduced by 55 ± 12, 91 ± 28, 105 ± 30, and 109 ± 35%, respectively, when compared with the initial response (n = 9; p < 0.0001). Additional experiments showed that the increase in rundown of ACh-induced inhibition in the presence of eserine was not attributable to an eserine-induced increase in the ISF, because control cells with similar ISFs to those observed in eserine showed no obvious rundown (Fig. 9D,E). Together, these data demonstrate that AChEIs primarily enhance depolarization during single ACh applications but can decrease the inhibitory action of ACh when mAChRs are repeatedly activated during periods of intense somatic depolarization.

**Discussion**

The present study demonstrates, for the first time, that transient mAChR activation produces strong and direct inhibition of neocortical pyramidal neurons. Our data show that ACh, acting at M1-type mAChRs, induces calcium release from IP3-sensitive intracellular stores and subsequent activation of an apamin-sensitive, calcium-activated potassium conductance in neocortical pyramidal neurons (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). This inhibition can be initiated by brief (∼5 ms) ACh applications, can last for several seconds, and is strong enough to inhibit action potential generation even during periods of intense somatic depolarization. Remarkably, inhibitory responses to brief ACh applications were observed even during tonic mAChR activation that is sufficient to depolarize and drive action potential generation. Finally, we show that AChEIs enhance depolarization after transient mAChR activation but impede inhibition generated by repeated ACh applications. Together, these data demonstrate that ACh can directly inhibit cortical pyramidal neurons, and that this inhibition is less effective in the presence of AChEIs.

The direct SK-channel-dependent inhibitory action of transient mAChR activation in neocortical pyramidal neurons described here is similar to the inhibition generated in midbrain dopaminergic neurons after muscarinic (Fiorillo and Williams, 2000) or metabotropic glutamate (Fiorillo and Williams, 1998) receptor activation. Additionally, it is consistent with previous
work showing that increases in intracellular IP$_3$ lead to the activation of calcium-activated potassium conductances, including an SK-type conductance, in pyramidal neurons in mouse prefrontal cortex (Stutzmann et al., 2003) and rat visual cortex (Yamada et al., 2004). These previous data, together with our data from pyramidal neurons in rat somatosensory cortex, suggest that SK channel activation in response to the activation of G-protein-coupled receptors may be a generalized mechanism for inhibition in pyramidal neurons throughout the cortex. This cholinergic activation of apamin-sensitive SK channels contrasts with the well-described block of the apamin-insensitive calcium-activated potassium channels underlying the slow afterhyperpolarization during tonic mACh activation in pyramidal neurons (Sah and Faber, 2002) and indicates that tonic and phasic mACh activation in the cortex are likely to have different functional roles.

Pharmacology of cholinergic signaling
Muscarinic transmission in the neocortex is critical for learning and memory (Winkler et al., 1995), and muscarinic antagonists impair memory in animals and people (Drachman and Leavitt, 1974; Buresova et al., 1986). The data presented here clearly support a role for muscarinic signaling in cortical processing. Our experiments using selective antagonists demonstrate that both the hyperpolarizing and depolarizing responses to ACh are mediated by M$_1$-type mAChRs. Of the M$_1$-family receptor subtypes (M$_1$, M$_3$, and M$_5$), M$_1$ receptors are by far the most abundant in the cerebral cortex, comprising up to 60% of all mAChRs expressed (Flynn et al., 1995). Because M$_3$ and M$_5$ receptors are expressed at very low levels in the cortex, it is likely that the direct actions of ACh on cortical pyramidal neurons are achieved primarily through M$_1$ receptor activation. M$_1$ receptors are classically linked via G$_q$ and phospholipase C activation to the generation of two important signaling molecules: IP$_3$ (the endogenous ligand for IP$_3$ receptors) and diacylglycerol (DAG). DAG is an activator of protein kinase C, which can downregulate the M current (Schmitt and Meves, 1993). DAG can also directly activate some nonspecific cation conductances (Hofmann et al., 1999). If DAG does participate in the generation of depolarizing responses to ACh, the intrinsic bifurcation of the G$_q$ signaling cascade would explain how both hyperpolarizing and depolarizing responses result from activation of the same receptor subtype. Tonic M$_1$ receptor stimulation by low, nonsaturating concentrations of bath-applied carbachol may favor depolarization via generation of only a modest increase in cytosolic IP$_3$ that is insufficient to evoke the large calcium increases required to activate SK channels. In contrast, additional M$_1$ receptor activation during focal applications of higher concentrations of ACh may increase intracellular IP$_3$ to a level sufficient to initiate substantial calcium release from intracellular stores and subsequent SK channel activation.
Cholinergic inhibition in the cortex

Early experiments using intracellular recordings of neocortical pyramidal neurons showed that brief applications of very high concentrations of ACh (10 mM) often resulted in biphasic, hyperpolarizing then depolarizing, responses similar to those described here. McCormick and Prince (1986) attributed these mAChR-mediated hyperpolarizing responses to ACh-induced increases in GABA release. This conclusion was based on the finding that the reversal potential of the hyperpolarizing phase of ACh-mediated responses was similar to the reversal potential for GABA, and that traditional blockers of evoked GABAergic synaptic transmission (low extracellular Ca$^{2+}$ or the presence of TTX, bicuculline, or picrotoxin) selectively blocked the hyperpolarizing phase of the response. Similar lines of reasoning were used to conclude that GABAergic mechanisms were responsible for hyperpolarizing responses observed during synaptic release of ACh in auditory cortex (Bandrowski et al., 2001), although in these experiments, synaptic responses were only partially sensitive to atropine and, therefore, likely involved activation of non-muscarinic receptors as well.

The data presented here indicate an additional mechanism whereby cholinergic inputs to pyramidal neurons will have a direct inhibitory effect on cortical pyramidal neurons independent of activation of local inhibitory networks. Given that high concentrations of bicuculline block cholinergic inhibition independently of GABA$_A$ receptor blockade (Fig. 5F), it seems likely that at least some the inhibitory actions of ACh described previously and attributed to GABA were actually mediated via the SK channel-dependent mechanism described here. For example, the studies by both McCormick and Prince (1986) and Müller and Singer (1989) used concentrations of bicuculline (50 μM or 1 mM) that would be expected to inhibit direct hyperpolarizing responses mediated by SK-type potassium channels (Fig. 5F). Furthermore, methods used to block synaptic transmission in previous studies, such as decreased extracellular calcium or the presence of TTX or cadmium, would be likely to prevent or slow the refilling of the calcium stores necessary for SK channel-mediated inhibition. Indeed, we found that the presence of either TTX (Fig. 4B, C) or cadmium (Fig. 4D) inhibited repetitive generation of hyperpolarizing responses at membrane potentials near rest. Furthermore, in several experiments, we found that storing slices in calcium-free saline eliminated hyperpolarizing responses to ACh (data not shown). In contrast, previous data describing picrotoxin-sensitive cholinergic hyperpolarization (McCormick and Prince, 1986; Bandrowski et al., 2001) may reflect differences in species (rats vs guinea pigs) or cortical region studied (somatosensory vs cingulate and auditory cortex). Additional studies, comparing the action of ACh in different cortical cell types and regions and in vivo, will be necessary to determine the relative impact of direct (SK-mediated) and indirect (GABA-mediated) cholinergic inhibition on cortical function.

Functional significance

Although muscarinic signaling in the cortex is critical for learning and memory, the way in which ACh facilitates these cognitive processes remains a mystery. One popular hypothesis suggests that ACh increases the signal-to-noise ratio of sensory input by tonically activating mAChRs to enhance the intrinsic neuronal excitability of cortical neurons while selectively reducing the size of corticocortical, rather than thalamocortical, excitatory inputs to neocortical pyramidal neurons (Hasselmo and Bower, 1992; Hasselmo and Célix, 1996; Gil et al., 1997; Hsieh et al., 2000). This model assumes that ACh release in the cortex occurs via nonspecific volume transmission (Hasselmo and McGaughy, 2004). However, recent data point to a more cell-to-cell-specific coupling between cholinergic neurons and cortical targets, with cholinergic axon terminals associated with specialized postsynaptic densities, often occurring on the basal dendrites of pyramidal neurons (Mrzljak et al., 1995; Smiley et al., 1997; Turrini et al., 2001; Casu et al., 2002). Such specific connectivity implies that cholinergic release should lead to rapid and transient activation of postsynaptic mAChRs in cortical neurons and argues against a role of tonic mAChR activation via volume transmission. Consistent with this idea, in the absence of inhibitors of acetylcholinesterase, the ambient extracellular concentration of ACh in the brain is in the low nanomolar range (~20 nM) (Vinson and Justice, 1997) and is increased only twofold or threefold during behavioral states that promote ACh release in the cortex (Pepeu and Giovannini, 2004). Although the local concentration of ACh at synaptic mAChRs is not known, these findings suggest that the biphasic inhibitory/excitatory response to transient mAChR activation described here is likely to be more physiologically relevant than the tonic excitatory response observed during bath applications of micromolar concentrations of cholinergic agonists in vitro.

It is likely that both hyperpolarizing and depolarizing responses to transient mAChR activation influence information processing in the cortex. Hyperpolarizing responses to ACh may act to reset activity in layer 5 pyramidal neurons, whereas delayed cholinergic depolarization could enhance responsiveness to subsequent excitatory input and assist the refilling internal calcium stores to prevent rundown of hyperpolarizing responses over time. Furthermore, biphasic cholinergic signaling enhances the dynamic range of action potential firing frequencies during periods of sustained activation (Fig. 9B), which will influence information processing in the cortex.

Finally, the data presented here raise important questions about our current methods for treating ACh-related cognitive disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease. The data from our eserine experiments suggest that AChEIs are likely to enhance the depolarizing action of ACh, while reducing the ability of ACh to inhibit neocortical pyramidal neurons. A complete understanding of how AChEIs interact with phasic and tonic mAChR signaling will require additional studies, the data from which may help explain why AChEIs have only modest efficacies in treating a disease in which ACh depletion is directly correlated with cognitive decline (Courtney et al., 2004).
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