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The Mechatosensitivity of Mouse Colon Afferent Fibers and Their Sensitization by Inflammatory Mediators Require Transient Receptor Potential Vanilloid 1 and Acid-Sensing Ion Channel 3
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Mechanical hypersensitivity of the colon underlies in part the chronic abdominal pain experienced by patients with irritable bowel syndrome, yet the molecules that confer mechatosensitivity to colon sensory neurons and their contribution to visceral pain are unknown. We tested the hypothesis that transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) and acid-sensing ion channel 3 (ASIC3) are peripheral mechatosensors in colon afferent neuronal fibers that mediate visceral nociceptive behavior in mice. Visceral nociception, modeled by the visceromotor response to colorectal distension, and colon afferent fiber mechatosensitivity were assessed in control (C57BL/6) mice and two congenic knock-out mouse strains with deletions of either TRPV1 or ASIC3. Phasic colon distension (15–60 mmHg) produced graded behavioral responses in all three mouse strains. However, both TRPV1 and ASIC3 knock-out mice were significantly less sensitive to distension, with an average response magnitude only 58 and 50% of controls, respectively. The behavioral deficits observed in both strains of knock-out mice were associated with a significant and selective reduction in afferent fiber sensitivity to circumferential stretch of the colon, an effect that was mimicked in control preparations by pretreatment with capsazepine, a TRPV1 antagonist, but not amiloride, a nonselective ASIC antagonist (both 500 μM). In addition, whereas stretch-evoked afferent fiber responses were enhanced by chemical inflammatory mediators in control mice, this effect was differentially impaired in both knock-out mouse strains. These results demonstrate a peripheral mechatosensory role for TRPV1 and ASIC3 in the mouse colon that contributes to nociceptive behavior and possibly peripheral sensitization during tissue insult.
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Introduction

Mechanical distension of hollow organs such as the colon is uniquely capable of producing reports of pain in humans and pseudoadaptive nociceptive behavior in animals (for review, see Gebhart et al., 2004). Peripheral terminals of sensory neurons innervating the colon are equipped to detect a variety of mechanical stimuli, including organ distension (Su and Gebhart, 1998) and circumferential stretch of the muscle layers (Lynn and Blackshaw, 1999), and the sensitization of colon afferent fiber function contributes to the mechanical hypersensitivity and abdominal pain of patients with irritable bowel syndrome (Lembo et al., 1994). However, the molecules responsible for mechatosensation and sensitization in the viscera are unknown but present promising targets for novel treatments of visceral pain.

Recently, transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) and acid-sensing ion channel 3 (ASIC3) have emerged as potential mechatosensors that mediate pain associated with gastrointestinal disease. Protein expression levels of both TRPV1 and ASIC3 are increased in biopsy specimens from patients with inflammatory bowel disease, a condition in which visceral pain is a prominent symptom (Yiangou et al., 2001a,b). In animals, TRPV1 is present in neurons throughout the viscera (Birder et al., 2001; Ward et al., 2003), in which it has been shown to participate in mechatosensation in the small intestine (Rong et al., 2004) and bladder (Birder et al., 2002). ASIC3 is also expressed in sensory neurons (Alvarez de la Rosa et al., 2002) and has been implicated in mechatosensation in the skin (Price et al., 2001). The mechatosensory function of TRPV1 and ASIC3 in peripheral neurons appears to be especially important after tissue injury because mechanical hypersensitivity after inflammation of the bladder or muscle is partially mediated by TRPV1 (Dinis et al., 2004) and ASIC3 (Sluka et al., 2003), respectively. Neither protein has been directly implicated in colon mechatosensation, and therefore their contribution to pain from this organ is unclear.

The hypothesis of these experiments is that TRPV1 and ASIC3 mediate visceral pain by contributing to the peripheral detection of mechanical stimuli in the colon. To test this hypothesis, we used a combination of behavioral and electrophysiological tech-
nikes in congenic knock-out mice with genetic deletion of TRPV1 or ASIC3 to overcome the lack of specific pharmacological tools to study these proteins. We found significant deficits in visceral nociception and impairments in the mechanosensory properties of stretch-sensitive colon afferent neuronal fibers in both knock-out mouse strains. In addition, whereas the function of these fibers could be sensitized by chemical inflammatory mediators in control mice, gene deletion differentially affected sensitization in TRPV1 and ASIC3 knock-out mice. These results support a mechanosensory role for TRPV1 and ASIC3 in the mouse colon and demonstrate that mouse colon sensory neurons can be sensitized by exposure to chemical inflammatory mediators in a TRPV1- and ASIC3-dependent manner.

Materials and Methods
All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Iowa (Iowa City, IA).

Animals. Adult male mice weighing 20–30 g from the following mouse strains were used in these experiments: congenic TRPV1 knock-out (The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME), congenic ASIC3 knock-out (graciously provided by M. Price and M. Welsh, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA), and C57BL/6 (Taconic Farms, Germantown, NY). C57BL/6 mice were used as a wild-type control for all experiments because both knock-out mouse strains are congenic on this genetic background (backcrossed ≥10 generations). The experimenter was blinded to animal genotype during behavioral and electrophysiological experiments.

Electromyographic electrode implantation. To record the visceromotor response (VMR) to colorectal distension (CRD), electromyographic (EMG) electrodes were surgically implanted in the abdominal musculature. Mice were anesthetized by subcutaneous injection of ketamine/xylazine (87.5/12.5 mg/kg, i.p.), the right or left musculature was exposed through an incision made on the abdomen, and the bare ends of two EMG electrodes were surgically implanted in the abdominal musculature. The electrodes were connected to a suprathreshold spike stimulator (Spike 2, Cambridge Electronic Design, Cambridge, UK) for off-line analysis. EMG activity was amplified, filtered, and recorded on a personal computer with Spike 2 software (Cambridge Electronic Design, Cambridge, UK) for off-line analysis. CRD responses were quantified by using the suprathreshold spike method. Threshold EMG activity was set at 300 μV, above the average background activity of mice in our hands. The number of times that EMG activity surpassed the preset threshold value during the balloon inflation period was counted and used as a reflection of distension-evoked behavioral response.

Mouse colon–pelvic nerve preparation. A total of 42 control (C57BL/6), 20 TRPV1 knock-out, and 22 ASIC3 knock-out mice were killed for electrophysiological experiments. As described previously (Brierley et al., 2004), mice were killed by CO2 asphyxiation, the abdominal cavity was opened, and the body was submerged during dissection in ice-cold, modified Krebs’ solution [in mM: 117.9 NaCl, 4.7 KCl, 25 NaHCO3, 1.3 NaH2PO4, 1.2 MgSO4(H2O)7, 2.5 CaCl2, 11.1 d-glucose, 2 sodium butyrate, and 20 sodium acetate] that was bubbled with carbogen (95% O2/5% CO2) and contained 1 μM nifedipine, an L-type calcium channel blocker to inhibit smooth muscle contractions, and 5 μM indomethacin, a prostaglandin synthesis inhibitor to suppress the release of endogenous prostaglandins. The distal 6 cm of the descending colon and rectum with pelvic nerves attached were dissected free from the abdomen, the colon was opened longitudinally along the antimesenteric border, and the entire preparation was placed in a custom-built organ–nerve bath (Danz Instrument Service, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia). The colon was pinned flat, mucosal side up, to the clear Sylgard (Dow Corning, Midland, MI) base of an organ chamber continually perfused at rate of 10 ml/min with carbogen-spiked Krebs’ solution maintained at 33–34°C. The pelvic nerves were passed into an adjacent recording chamber filled with mineral oil through a small hole in a gate separating the two chambers and sealed with petroleum jelly.

Single-fiber electrophysiological recordings. One at a time, the pelvic nerves were cleared of connective tissue, the nerve sheath was gently pulled back, and each nerve was split into 10–12 smaller bundles with fine forceps on a glass plate fixed to the floor of the recording chamber. Each bundle was draped across a platinum recording electrode, with a reference electrode resting in a small puddle of Krebs’ solution on the glass plate, and tested for mechanosensitive afferent fibers by searching with a soft brush for receptive fields along the entire length of the colon and attached mesentery. Bundles were either discarded if they exhibited no brush-evoked activity or split further if they contained more than two readily distinguishable single units. Electrical signals from the recording electrode were amplified and filtered with a differential amplifier and sampled at a rate of 20 kHz using a 1401 interface device (Cambridge Electronic Design). Data were stored on a personal computer for off-line analysis. The electrical signal was also fed to an audio monitor to facilitate real-time detection of activation of afferent fibers.

Mechanical and chemical testing of afferent fibers. When single units were identified, receptive fields were mapped with a von Frey probe (1 g), and baseline functional responses were systematically tested with mucosal stroking by curved von Frey filaments applied in a proximal-to-distal direction (10–1000 mg; each filament applied 10 times) and circumferential stretch applied with a claw, pulley, and cantilever system (1–5 g in 1 g increments; each weight applied for 20 s, with a 20 s interstimulus interval). Serosal afferents were also tested for their response to von Frey probes applied perpendicular to the receptive field (70 mg to 2 g; each force applied once for 3 s). Chemical activation and sensitization was only tested on fiber types that responded to stroking and/or stretch (i.e., muscular, mucosal, and muscular/mucosal). Immediately after baseline mechanical testing, a stainless steel cylindrical ring (height, 1 cm; inner diameter, 4 mm) was placed over the receptive field. Residual Krebs’ solution was removed from within the ring before application of 150 μl of chemical solution. After 1 min, the chemical solution was withdrawn by pipette and the ring was removed. Repeat mechanical testing was performed immediately (1 min) and up to 30 min thereafter. Afferent fiber conduction velocity was measured at the end of some experiments by electrical stimulation of the receptive field with a bipolar electrode. A shortest-path approach was used to estimate the distance traveled by action potentials from the site of electrical stimulation to the recorded end of the nerve bundle, and the latency between the electrical stimulus
and arrival of the action potential at the recording electrode was determined off-line from the experimental record.

Data and statistical analysis. Single units were discriminated off-line from the experimental record based on action potential waveform characteristics using Spike 2 software (Cambridge Electronic Design). Muscular stroking stimulus–response functions were generated by averaging the number of action potentials produced during all 10 stroking tests for each filament intensity. Circumferential stretch stimulus–response functions were generated by averaging the total number of action potentials produced during the 20 s stimulation period for each intensity of stretch. Von Frey probing stimulus–response functions were generated by averaging the mean spike rate over the 3 s application of each probe force. All data are expressed as mean ± SEM. A \( \chi^2 \) analysis was performed to determine significant differences in the prevalence of each afferent type recorded from the pelvic nerves of TRPV1 knock-out and ASIC3 knock-out mice. Differences in the percentage of afferents activated by inflammatory soup among all three mouse strains were tested for significance using individual z-tests for each fiber type. Two-way ANOVA and repeated-measures two-way ANOVA were used to identify statistical differences in chemical activation properties and stimulus–response functions, respectively, with Tukey’s post hoc tests performed when appropriate. A level of \( p < 0.05 \) was set for statistical significance.

Drugs. Bradykinin, serotonin HCl, histamine HCl, prostaglandin E2, capsaicin, amiloride HCl, and capsazepine were all purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Aliquots (20 \( \mu l \)) of inflammatory soup were prepared by combining bradykinin, serotonin, and histamine dissolved in distilled water with prostaglandin E2 dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and stored at −20°C. The aliquots were diluted to final concentration (5 \( \mu M \)) in either neutral (7.4) or acidified (6.0) Krebs’ solution on the day of the experiment. The acidity of the Krebs’ solution was adjusted to the appropriate pH using 12N hydrochloric acid. Capsaicin, amiloride, and capsazepine were dissolved in 10 \( \mu l \) aliquots of DMSO and stored at −20°C until dilution to final concentration (3, 500, and 500 \( \mu M \), respectively) in neutral Krebs’ solution for experiments. Capsaicin was applied at the conclusion of each experiment to avoid any potential desensitization ofafferent fibers.

Results

Deletion of TRPV1 or ASIC3 impairs visceral nociception in mice

Visceral nociception was assessed in control, TRPV1 knock-out, and ASIC3 knock-out mice by measuring the VMR to phasic CRD with an inflatable balloon (Fig. 1). Increasing distension pressures evoked graded responses in all three mouse strains. However, both TRPV1 and ASIC3 knock-out mice were significantly less sensitive to distension than control mice (repeated measures two-way ANOVA; \( F = 8.3; \ p < 0.001 \)). This effect was significant at all pressures tested (Tukey’s post hoc test; 15 mmHg, \( p < 0.05 \); 30 mmHg, \( p < 0.01 \); 45 mmHg, \( p < 0.01 \); 60 mmHg, \( p < 0.001 \)).

The pelvic nerves of TRPV1 and ASIC3 knock-out mice contain a full complement of mechanosensitive colon afferent fiber types

To identify the contributions of TRPV1 and ASIC3 to peripheral mechanosensation in the colon, single-fiber electrophysiology was performed using an in vitro colon–pelvic nerve preparation. Afferent fiber types were identified according a previously described classification scheme (Brierley et al., 2004) based on their sensitivity to two types of mechanical stimuli: fine mucosal stroking (10 mg) and circumferential stretch (Fig. 2, top). These stimuli reflect in the in vitro preparation the forces produced by colonic contents in vivo (specifically, longitudinal transport and organ distension). Muscular afferents were defined by their sensitivity to stretch but not fine stroking, and mucosal afferents were defined by their sensitivity to fine stroking but not stretch. Muscular/mucosal afferents responded to both types of mechan-
than those in control mice (Tukey’s post hoc test; TRPV1 knock-out, p < 0.001; ASIC3 knock-out, p = 0.012). In general, one punctate “hot spot” was identified within the receptive field from which von Frey probing readily produced activation (Fig. 3). These hotspots were concentrated in the distal 4 cm of the colon, including the rectum and anal canal, and distributed throughout the anteroposterior axis. No obvious differences were noted in the distribution of afferent receptive fields among control, TRPV1 knock-out, and ASIC3 knock-out mice, nor were there obvious differences in the distribution of fibers activated by and those not activated by chemical inflammatory mediators. Serosal afferent receptive fields recorded in TRPV1 and ASIC3 knock-out mice were similarly distributed (data not shown).

Chemical confirmation of TRPV1 deletion in TRPV1 knock-out mice
To confirm the functional deletion of TRPV1 in the TRPV1 knock-out mice, capsaicin (3 μM, 2 min) was applied to afferent fiber receptive fields in control and TRPV1 and ASIC3 knock-out mice at the end of some experiments. Whereas capsaicin excited afferent fibers of all types in similar proportions in control and ASIC3 knock-out mice, no afferent fibers tested in TRPV1 knock-out mice were activated by capsaicin in the receptive field (Table 1).

Functional properties of mechanosensitive colon afferent fibers in TRPV1 and ASIC3 knock-out mice
Baseline mechanosensitivity testing with graded mucosal stroking and circumferential stretch was performed in all four afferent fiber types. Mucosal stroking with calibrated von Frey filaments produced graded responses in every fiber type, but mucosal and muscular/mucosal afferents were, by definition, able to detect the lowest filament strength tested (10 mg) (Fig. 4). Although muscular/mucosal afferents in ASIC3 knock-out mice tended to be less sensitive than those in control and TRPV1 knock-out mice, no significant differences were detected in afferent fiber responses to mucosal stroking. Graded responses to circumferential stretch were also obtained in muscular and muscular/mucosal afferents in all three mouse strains (Fig. 5). Muscular afferents in TRPV1 knock-out mice tended to be more sensitive than those in control or ASIC3 knock-out mice, but this difference was not significant. In contrast, muscular/mucosal afferents in both TRPV1 and ASIC3 knock-out mice were significantly less sensitive to stretch than control afferent fibers (Fig. 5A, B, right) (repeated-measures two-way ANOVA; F = 6.0; p = 0.005). Stretch-evoked responses consisted of an initial dynamic phase followed by adaptation to sustained stimulus application (Fig. 5C). The reduced stretch sensitivity of muscular/mucosal afferents in TRPV1 and ASIC3 knock-out mice consisted of a decrease in the dynamic and adaptation phases (Fig. 5C, right). In control mice, pharmacologic blockade of TRPV1 with capsazepine (500 μM, 5 min) produced a similar and significant decrease in stretch-evoked responses of muscular/mucosal afferents (Fig. 6A) (repeated-measures two-way ANOVA; F = 5.9; p = 0.02). Amiloride (500 μM, 5 min), a nonselective ASIC antagonist, was unable to significantly affect the stretch sensitivity of muscular/mucosal afferents in control mice (Fig. 6B).

The basal mechanosensitivity of serosal afferents was tested in TRPV1 and ASIC3 knock-out mice for comparison with previously published functional properties of this fiber type in wild-type control C57BL/6 mice (Brierley et al., 2004). Serosal afferents in both knock-out mouse strains generated graded responses to von Frey probing that were not significantly different between knock-out mouse strains (data not shown) (repeated-measures two-way ANOVA; F = 0.48; p = 0.50) and are similar to those reported previously for serosal afferents in the pelvic nerve of C57BL/6 mice (Brierley et al., 2004). The physiological significance of serosal afferent sensitivity to von Frey probing is unclear; therefore, this fiber type was excluded from additional testing.

Effects of TRPV1 and ASIC3 gene deletion on the activation and sensitization of colon afferent fibers by acidic inflammatory soup
The chemosensitivity of colon afferent fibers in the mouse was tested by receptive field application of an acidic (pH 6.0) inflammatory soup. The majority of afferent fibers in control, TRPV1 knock-out, and ASIC3 knock-out mice were activated by acidic inflammatory soup, generating a sustained burst of action potentials that gradually waned to background activity after removal of the chemical stimulus (Fig. 7A). Similar percentages of each fiber
Table 1. Comparison of structural properties and capsaicin sensitivity among mechanosensitive colon afferent fibers in control (C57BL/6), TRPV1 knock-out, and ASIC3 knock-out mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Muscular</th>
<th>Mucosal</th>
<th>Muscular/mucosal</th>
<th>Serosal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rf (mm²)</td>
<td>cv (m/s)</td>
<td>cap +</td>
<td>rf (mm²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.05</td>
<td>6/10</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRPV1</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>1.6* ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIC3</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.12</td>
<td>3/8</td>
<td>2.6* ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.09</td>
<td>2/9</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All afferent fibers were determined to be C-fibers, with conduction velocities (cv) < 2 m/s. Receptive field area (rf) varied significantly based on fiber type and mouse strain (two-way ANOVA, afferent type, p = 0.007; strain, p = 0.018). Past floc testing revealed that receptive fields of muscular/mucosal afferents were significantly larger than those of serosal afferents (p = 0.003) and that mucosal afferent receptive fields in TRPV1 knock-out and ASIC3 knock-out mice were significantly smaller than those in control mice (*p < 0.05 for both analyses). The capsaicin (cap) sensitivity of pelvic nerve colon afferent fibers was tested by application of capsaicin (3 μM, 2 min) to receptive fields at the end of the experiment to avoid potential desensitizing effects of the drug. A proportion of all four afferent fiber types in control and ASIC3 knock-out mice responded to capsaicin, although it did not activate any afferent fiber tested in TRPV1 knock-out mice. Data are expressed as mean ± SD.
Figure 5. Impaired circumferential stretch sensitivity of muscular/mucosal afferent fibers in TRPV1 and ASIC3 knock-out mice. A, Representative traces of muscular/mucosal afferents of control (C57BL/6), TRPV1 knock-out, and ASIC3 knock-out mice. B, Circumferential stretch stimulus–response functions of muscular (left) and muscular/mucosal (right) afferents. Muscular/mucosal afferents in both knock-out strains were significantly less sensitive than afferent fibers in control mice ($p < 0.05$); significant differences were detected in afferent responses to loads $\geq 3$ g ($p < 0.05$). C, Adaptation of muscular (left) and muscular/mucosal (right) afferents to a 20-s application of a 5-g load. Muscular and muscular/mucosal afferents in all mouse strains adapted throughout the duration of the stimulus period. Muscular/mucosal afferents in control mice responded at higher levels than those in TRPV1 or ASIC3 knock-out mice during both the initial dynamic phase of the stretch response and the subsequent adaptation phase.

Figure 6. Pharmacological inhibition of colon afferent fiber sensitivity to circumferential stretch. Effect of capsazepine, a TRPV1 receptor antagonist, and amiloride, a nonselective ASIC antagonist, on the stretch-evoked responses of muscular/mucosal afferents in control (C57BL/6) mice. A, Exposure of muscular/mucosal afferent receptive fields to capsazepine (500 μM, 5 min) resulted in a significant decrease in stretch sensitivity ($p = 0.02$); stretch-evoked responses were attenuated up to 30 min after capsazepine was removed (data not shown). Inset, Representative traces of stretch responses of a muscular/mucosal afferent before (top) and after (bottom) application of capsazepine. B, Amiloride (500 μM, 5 min) had no significant effect on the stretch sensitivity of muscular/mucosal afferents in control mice.

Discussion

These experiments were designed to test the contributions of two putative mechanosensors, TRPV1 and ASIC3, to visceral mechanosensation and hypersensitivity. In most respects, mechanosensitive colon afferent fibers in the knock-out mice were indistinguishable from those in control mice. Each knock-out strain possessed a full repertoire of fiber types, and their sensitivity to mucosal stroking was intact. However, the sensitivity of muscular/mucosal afferents to circumferential stretch was significantly reduced in both knock-out strains compared with controls. Muscular/mucosal afferents are the predominant stretch-sensitive fiber type in the mouse colon and encode stretch intensity into the noxious range (Brierley et al., 2004). The functional importance of a reduction in afferent fiber sensitivity to colonic stretch is underscored by the parallel reduction in behavioral sensitivity to colorectal distension observed in TRPV1 and ASIC3 knock-out mice. This is the first report, to our knowledge, of visceral mechanosensory deficits at a behavioral level in either knock-out mouse strain. Moreover, these results demonstrate that TRPV1 and ASIC3 contribute to the perception of noxious mechanical stimuli in the colon by specifically enabling the peripheral detection of circumferential stretch of the colonic wall and not other types of mechanical stimuli.

Primary afferent fibers from the colon detect a variety of mechanical stimuli that generate intrinsic reflexes regulating gastrointestinal function and extrinsic reflexes that produce pain. Organ distension is the primary physical stimulus capable of producing reports of pain in humans (Ritchie, 1973) and pseudofebrile responses in animals (Ness and Gebhart, 1988). Although mechanosensitive structures have not been described in the small or large intestine, circumferential stretch of the esophagus, stomach, and rectum is thought to be detected by intraganglionic laminar endings (IGLEs) (Lynn et al., 2003; Zagorodnyuk and Brooks, 2000; Zagorodnyuk et al., 2001). Indeed, Zagorodnyuk et al. (2003) recently reported that esophageal IGLEs directly transduce mechanical stimuli. The identity of the molecules that confer mechanosensitivity to these structures is unknown, but we provide evidence to suggest that TRPV1 and ASIC3 may contribute to this function.

TRPV1, known for its thermosensing abilities (Caterina et al., 1997), has previously been implicated in visceral mechanosensation. Deletion of TRPV1 impairs afferent fiber detection of mechanical stimuli in the jejunum (Rong et al., 2004) and bladder (Birder et al., 2002). The diminished stretch-evoked responses of colon afferent fibers in TRPV1 knockout mice lend additional support to the hypothesis that TRPV1 is an important mediator or modulator of mechanosensitivity in the viscera. This contrasts with reports of normal cutaneous mechanosensitivity, in terms of both animal behavior and afferent fiber function in TRPV1 knock-out mice (Caterina et al., 2000) and together indicate that TRPV1 may have different functions in different body tissues. Application of capsazepine, a TRPV1 antagonist, to pelvic nerve afferent fiber receptive fields in control mice also attenuated stretch-evoked responses. This finding should be interpreted with caution, however, because the concentration necessary for an effect in our preparation was higher than used by other groups in different in vitro preparations (Rong et al., 2004).

Members of the ASIC family, including ASIC3, have been proposed to serve a mechanosensory function in the periphery. Cutaneous afferent fibers of ASIC2 and ASIC3 knock-out mice possess altered mechanical response properties that are hypothesized to be important for the detection of touch (Price et al.,
In ASIC3 knock-out mice, these effects are varied, with increased sensitivity of cutaneous, low-threshold mechanoreceptors but decreased sensitivity of A-fiber mechanonociceptors (Price et al., 2001). In the gut, Page et al. (2005) demonstrated mechanosensory deficits in stomach and colon afferent fibers from ASIC3 knock-out mice. The decreased sensitivity of pelvic nerve afferent fibers in ASIC3 knock-outs that we observed is similar to the deficits of cutaneous A-fiber mechanonociceptors and gastrointestinal vagus and splanchnic nerve afferents. Interestingly, Page et al. (2004, 2005) describe a hypersensitive and mixed hypersensitive and hyposensitive phenotype of colon afferent fibers in ASIC1 and ASIC2 knock-out mice, respectively. Therefore, different ASIC subunits may exert opposing effects on mechanosensation in the viscer: inhibition by ASIC1 and facilitation by ASIC3. A role for ASIC3 in colon afferent fiber function was not verified with amiloride in the present experiments; however, this drug is a relatively nonselective ASIC antagonist and unable to completely block ASIC3 currents even at high doses (Waldmann et al., 1997).

Arguing against the hypothesis that ASIC3 acts as a peripheral mechanosensor is evidence that, in cell culture, mechanical deformation of sensory neurons from ASIC3 knock-out mice elicits ionic currents no different from those obtained in wild-type control neurons (Drew et al., 2004). Interpretation of these results is challenging because cultured neurons lack accessory components that participate in mechanotransduction. In addition, sensory neurons from several spinal levels were pooled in this study without retrograde tracing from the tissue of origin, thereby preventing detection of effects of ASIC3 deletion that may be specific to different tissues and afferent fiber types. Additional studies, comparing neuronal populations with known innervation territories and functional properties in a physiologic context, are necessary to determine the precise role of ASIC3 in the detection of mechanical stimuli in the periphery.

The precise mechanisms by which TRPV1 and ASIC3 contribute to the activation of colon afferent fibers by mechanical stimuli remains to be determined. Two possibilities have been proposed (Welsh et al., 2002). They may be directly activated by mechanical forces via tethering molecules to the extracellular and/or intracellular environment, as has been described for the degenerin family of proteins, relatives of the ASICs found in *Drosophila* (Welsh et al., 2002). Alternatively, they may be indirectly activated by diffusible secondary messengers released from other nearby cells, analogous to the participation of purinergic receptors in visceral mechanosensation (Wynn et al., 2003). Future experiments will elucidate which of these (or other) mechanisms is responsible.

In addition to their contributions to basal mechanosensitivity, TRPV1 and ASIC3 were required for the sensitization, but not activation, of colon afferent fibers by acidic (pH 6.0) inflammatory soup. In control mice, receptive field application of acidic

---

**Figure 7.** Deletion of TRPV1 or ASIC3 did not affect colon afferent fiber chemosensitivity. A, Representative trace (bottom) and plot of maximum instantaneous firing frequency (top) of a muscular/mucosal afferent fiber activated by a 1 min exposure to acidic (pH 6.0) inflammatory soup (IS). Chemical activation was characterized by an increase in action potential firing above background (spontaneous activity was absent in all fibers) that followed the chemical application at a variable latency and extended beyond the application period for a variable duration. B, The majority of afferent fibers in control (C57BL/6), TRPV1 knock-out, and ASIC3 knock-out mice were activated by acidic inflammatory soup. Depicted are the percentages and numbers of fibers activated (white bars, black numbers) and not activated (black bars, white numbers) for each fiber type in the three mouse strains. No significant differences were detected in the number of afferents activated by chemical exposure. C, Deletion of TRPV1 or ASIC3 did not affect afferent fiber activation properties. No significant differences were observed among the three mouse strains in the latency to activation (left), duration of activation (middle), or maximum instantaneous frequency (max if; right) achieved during activation. mm, Muscular/mucosal.
Mucosal afferents to circumferential stretch produced by acidic (pH 6.0) inflammatory soup. Both knock-out strains were activated by acidic inflammatory soup; like those in control mice, the majority of fibers in the pelvic nerve of the mouse. This provides an important point of commonality between the mouse and other species that previously in visceral afferent fibers of other animal species, but no significant sensitization was only observed in muscular/mucosal afferents of TRPV1 knock-out mice. The differing impairments in afferent fiber sensitization may be attributable to variations in the function of these channels in different fiber types, such that ASIC3 plays a dominant role in the sensitization of muscular afferents, whereas both TRPV1 and ASIC3 contribute to sensitization in muscular/mucosal afferents. The mechanism by which TRPV1 and ASIC3 mediate afferent fiber sensitization is unknown. Acidification was required because inflammatory soup at neutral pH only activated afferents and did not also sensitize them. However, the proton-sensing abilities of chemical mediators elaborated during tissue inflammation and applied in supraphysiologic concentrations, would act in concert under disease conditions in vivo to affect sensory neuron function. The present results suggest that chemical mediators released during tissue insult not only activate but also sensitize afferent fibers in the colon to mechanical stimuli.

Figure 8. TRPV1 and ASIC3 are differentially required for the sensitization of mouse colon afferent fibers to circumferential stretch produced by acidic (pH 6.0) inflammatory soup. A, Chemical exposure resulted in a significant increase in the sensitivity of muscular and muscular/mucosal afferents to circumferential stretch in control (C57BL/6) mice (muscular, p = 0.03; muscular/mucosal, p < 0.001). Differences were significant in muscular afferents for loads ≥3 g (p < 0.05) and in muscular/mucosal afferents for loads ≥2 g (p < 0.01). B, Area under the curve (AUC) analysis of stretch stimulus–response functions before (unfilled bars) and after (filled bars) chemical treatment (IS 6.0, acidic inflammatory soup; IS 7.4, neutral inflammatory soup; pH 6.0, acid alone) of muscular and muscular/mucosal afferents in control mice. C, Significant sensitization was only observed in muscular/mucosal afferents of TRPV1 knock-out mice (p < 0.001); no significant difference was observed in the stretch responses of muscular afferents before and after acidic inflammatory soup. D, Neither muscular nor muscular/mucosal afferents in ASIC3 knock-out mice were sensitized to stretch after chemical exposure.
These results support the hypothesis that TRPV1 and ASIC3 contribute to mechanosensation in the viscera and are important mediators of mechanical hypersensitivity produced by tissue in- and injury. Pharmacologic manipulation of TRPV1 and/or ASIC3 may be effective strategies for reducing peripheral contributions to visceral hypersensitivity in human conditions such as irritable bowel syndrome.
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